International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Advanced Piezoelectric Nanocomposites for Low-Frequency Vibration Detection and Energy Harvesting

Alka Vishwakarma[1], Dr. Mohit Bajpai[2]

[1,2]Department of Physics
Himalayan University, Itanagar, (A.P) India

Abstract:
Advanced piezoelectric nanocomposites convert low-frequency mechanical vibrations (typically <100 Hz) into usable electrical energy by embedding active nanostructures into flexible or rigid matrices, making them ideal for self-powered sensors and wearable electronics. [1, 2]

Key Materials and Performance

- Lead Zirconate Titanate (PZT):

Delivers the highest electromechanical coupling and energy efficiency, though it is brittle and faces lifecycle degradation under heavy cyclic loads. Thinned bulk or composite layers help reduce its stiffness. [1, 2, 3]

- Barium Titanate (BTO/SU-8):

Produces strong output performance (such as ~642 µW at resonance in conventional cantilever setups) with better environmental compatibility than lead-based alternatives. [1]

- Zinc Oxide (ZnO):

Offers lead-free, non-ferroelectric operation, easy nanorod/nanowire manufacturing, and high flexibility for human-motion tracking (generating microwatt-scale outputs). [1, 2, 3]

- Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVDF):

A biocompatible polymer matrix that provides high mechanical flexibility and durability tailored for low-frequency and wearable applications

Low-Frequency Optimization Strategies

- Double/Multi-Layer Nanocomposites: Combining micropillar arrays with thick matrix layers (e.g., PZT–PDMS) concentrates mechanical strain and boosts open-circuit voltage significantly. [1]
- Frequency Up-Conversion & Resonance Tuning: Because ambient vibrations are often slow (<10 Hz to 50 Hz), designs use magnetic plucking, quasi-zero stiffness (QZS) structures, or gravity-induced self-excited generators to shift low inputs up to higher resonant frequencies. [1, 2, 3]
- Hybrid Systems: Integrating piezoelectric transduction with triboelectric or electromagnetic mechanisms broadens the operational bandwidth and increases overall power density. [1, 2]

Keywords: Piezoelectric, nanocomposites, detection, vibration, harvesting, low-frequency

INTRODUCTION

Lack of low-cost, large area deposition methods and photo-patternability of high-performance piezoelectric materials restrict the rapid development of efficient functional devices like sensors and energy harvesters. In this paper, we report an optimized process flow for Zinc Oxide (ZnO)/SU-8 and Barium Titanate (BTO)/SU-8 based nanocomposite thin films for mechanical energy harvesting (MEH) applications. Parametric variation of process variables for these nanocomposites reveals that 15% ZnO/SU-8 and 20% BTO/SU-8 nanocomposites show optimum results with good piezoelectric response and UV transmittance, allowing reliable lithography of the nanocomposites. Furthermore, three different types of energy harvesting devices are fabricated using these nanocomposites as piezoelectric layer. Output powers of 223μW (ZnO/SU-8) and 642μW (BTO/SU-8) are produced at resonance for the conventional cantilever type MEH devices at an input acceleration of 0.5g. Using the nanocomposites as flexible nanogenerator, open circuit voltages of 570 mV (ZnO/SU-8) and 780mV (BTO/SU-8) are obtained using regular finger pressing. The hybrid energy harvesters, based on combined piezoelectric-triboelectric transduction mechanisms, generate output voltages of 0.4V, 0.95V, 1.4V (ZnO/SU-8) and 0.7V, 1.1V and 1.9V (BTO/SU-8) in piezoelectric, triboelectric and hybrid operations, respectively.[1,2,3]

The demand for sustainable energy sources to power small electronics like IoT devices has led to exploring innovative solutions like acoustic energy harvesting using piezoelectric nanogenerators (PENGs). Acoustic energy harvesting leverages ambient noise, converting it into electrical energy through the piezoelectric effect, where certain materials generate an electric charge in response to mechanical stress or vibrations. This review paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the advancements in PENG technology, emphasizing their role in acoustic energy harvesting. We begin by discussing the essential principles of piezoelectricity and the design considerations for nanogenerators to optimize energy capture from sound waves. The discussion includes a detailed examination of various piezoelectric materials, such as polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), lead zirconate titanate (PZT), and zinc oxide (ZnO) nanowires, which are known for their superior piezoelectric properties. A critical aspect of this review is the exploration of innovative structural designs and resonance devices that enhance the efficiency of PENGs. We delve into the mechanisms and benefits of using Helmholtz resonators, quarter-wavelength tubes, and cantilever beams, which are instrumental in amplifying acoustic signals and improving energy conversion rates. Each device's design parameters and operational principles are scrutinized to highlight their contributions to the field. The review addresses practical applications of PENGs in various domains. Environmental monitoring systems, wearable electronics, and medical devices stand to benefit significantly from the continuous and sustainable power supplied by PENGs. These applications can reduce reliance on batteries and minimize maintenance by harnessing ambient acoustic energy, leading to more efficient and longer-lasting operations. Despite the promising potential of PENGs, several challenges remain, including material degradation, efficiency limitations, and integrating these devices into existing technological frameworks. This paper discusses these obstacles in detail and proposes potential solutions to enhance the longevity and performance of PENG systems. Innovations in material science and engineering are crucial to overcoming these hurdles and realizing the full potential of acoustic energy harvesting.[4,5,6]

DISCUSSION

Research and development efforts in acoustic energy harvesting are intensifying to address existing limitations and enhance the overall efficiency of energy capture. A key focus is improving nanogenerators' performance, pivotal in converting sound wave vibrations into electrical energy. By optimizing these nanogenerators, a more significant amount of energy can be harnessed from the ambient sound. Nanogenerators detect the vibrations caused by sound waves and convert these motions into electrical signals. An important avenue of exploration involves the innovation of new materials and design approaches that enhance the ability of these devices to capture and convert sound energy more effectively. An exciting development in this area is the exploration of triboelectric materials. Given their ability to generate electricity from even slight vibrations, their high sensitivity to minimal mechanical disturbances positions them as prime candidates for acoustic energy harvesting. Design considerations for acoustic nanogenerators are manifold, with the frequency range being a critical aspect. Each nanogenerator is optimized for a specific range of frequencies within which it operates most efficiently. Performance tends to decline sharply outside this optimal range. Typically, these devices are more effective at lower frequencies, usually within the 100–500 Hz spectrum. The rapid separation of contact points at higher frequencies can result in inadequate charge transfer, reducing energy capture efficiency. The physical size of the nanogenerator also plays a vital role in the quantity of energy it can harvest. Devices with larger surface areas can intercept more sound waves, enhancing their power output. However, scaling up the size of these devices introduces challenges related to portability and the ease of integrating them with other technologies. Balancing the trade-offs between size and efficiency is a critical challenge in the ongoing development of acoustic energy harvesting technologies.[7,8,9]

In acoustic energy harvesting, the efficiency of converting acoustic energy into electrical signals is of utmost importance, especially considering the inherently low energy levels typically available from sound. The ability of an acoustic energy harvesting system to maximize the conversion of available sound energy into electricity is crucial for enhancing its overall efficacy. The system's sensitivity to sound waves is an essential determinant of its efficiency, influencing its ability to convert even low-level sound vibrations into significant electrical outputs effectively. High-sensitivity systems are particularly adept at transforming faint sound waves into measurable electrical energy, an advantage in settings where ambient noise is minimal. This sensitivity is critical for maintaining steady voltage outputs, ensuring the system's consistent performance despite the sound intensity and frequency variations. While employing more powerful speakers might appear to increase production, such results should be interpreted cautiously due to the potential for artificially high input signals. Thus, optimizing the device's sensitivity and efficiency is essential for achieving superior performance and producing significant outputs from minimal inputs. The research underscores the importance of sensitivity and efficiency, indicating that systems designed with these priorities excel in capturing and converting acoustic energy, thereby boosting efficiency.

Moreover, the efficiency of an acoustic nanogenerator is closely linked to its membrane's properties. The membrane is vital in transforming sound vibrations into electrical energy, with its design significantly influencing the system's overall efficiency. For example, choosing a membrane with a fibrous structure can enhance the device's interaction with sound waves. Such a structure, often created through techniques like electrospinning, uses electric fields to weave polymer solutions into intricate

fibrous patterns. This increases the membrane's surface area, optimizing it for better sound absorption and conversion to mechanical vibrations. The choice of materials for the nanogenerator's electrodes is also crucial, with a preference for those offering high conductivity and minimal defects. This ensures the efficient conveyance of generated electrical energy to the intended load, highlighting the importance of material selection in maximizing the efficiency of acoustic energy harvesting systems[43,44,45]. Resonance devices, such as Helmholtz resonator (HR) and quarter-wavelength resonator, stand out as excellent candidates for acoustic energy harvesting because they facilitate a greater extraction of electrical output than the initial yield. This improvement is primarily due to the amplification of the signal entering the system, thereby increasing its efficiency.[10,11,12]

RESULTS

Piezoelectric materials are widely referred to as "smart" materials because they can transduce mechanical pressure acting on them to electrical signals and vice versa. They are extensively utilized in harvesting mechanical energy from vibrations, human motion, mechanical loads, etc., and converting them into electrical energy for low power devices. Piezoelectric transduction offers high scalability, simple device designs, and high-power densities compared to electro-magnetic/static and triboelectric transducers. This review aims to give a holistic overview of recent developments in piezoelectric nanostructured materials, polymers, polymer nanocomposites, and piezoelectric films for implementation in energy harvesting. The progress in fabrication techniques, morphology, piezoelectric properties, energy harvesting performance, and underpinning fundamental mechanisms for each class of materials, including polymer nanocomposites using conducting, non-conducting, and hybrid fillers are discussed. The emergent application horizon of piezoelectric energy harvesters particularly for wireless devices and self-powered sensors is highlighted[13,14,15]

Anthropogenic environmental pollution and climate change-related to energy production and consumption present a key challenge to humanity and our technological future. The development of renewable, environmentally friendly, and cost-effective energy sources has increasingly become important to meet the energy demands of the future.[1] There are various renewable energy types present in our environment ranging from kinetic energy to solar energy and bioenergy, and extensive research has been conducted on energy harvesting technologies to scavenge energy from readily available sources like vibration, human motion, water, air, heat, light, chemical reactions into useful electrical energy for powering small scale devices.[2, 3, 4, 5] While there remain many challenges for such energy harvesting methods including variable and unpredictable ambient conditions, excellent progress in wireless communication, and low power integrated circuits (ICs) have decreased power consumption requirements and further attracted portable energy harvesting approaches.[2] For example, the power requirement of the latest generation of personal wireless communication devices is of the order of tens of mW.[6, 7] Advanced wireless technologies and microelectronics have facilitated the development of a new generation of wearable devices such as, body-mounted sensors, fitness trackers, smart clothing, augmented reality sensors, etc. In addition, the concept of the Internet of Things (IoT) has led to placing smart equipment in remote areas such as, health care devices inside the human body, where it is difficult and sometimes impossible to charge batteries. Therefore, energy harvesting has become necessary to sustain such self-powered systems.

Among ambient energy sources, low-intensity kinetic energy from random displacements, human activities such as, finger tapping, running, walking, heartbeat, respiration; structural vibrations from manufacturing equipment and transportation vehicles; low Reynolds-number flow of fluids like wind, water are abundantly available. Recent research has focused on kinetic energy harvesting based on three main transduction mechanisms: Electromagnetic, piezoelectric, and triboelectric. The advantages and disadvantages of these energy harvesting methods have been widely discussed in the literature,[8] with most studies focusing on piezoelectric transduction motivated by its superior power densities, high energy conversion efficiency, simpler architectures, and high scalability.[9, 10] As a result, applications of piezoelectric materials have increased tremendously across numerous fields including sensors,[11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] actuators,[19, 20, 21] nanogenerators,[22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30] MEMS devices,[31, 32, 33] portable electronics,[34, 35] and biomedicine.[36, 37, 38, 39, 40]

CONCLUSION

Currently, the most widely used classes of piezoelectric materials range from lithium niobate (LiNbO3),[41] lead magnesium niobate-lead titanate (PMN-PT),[42] zinc oxide (ZnO),[43] lead zirconate titanate (PZT),[44, 45, 46] barium titanate (BaTiO3),[47] to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), and its copolymers.[25, 48, 49] Although lead (Pb) based ceramics such as, PZT exhibit high piezoelectric coefficients, their rigidity, brittleness, and toxicity limit their applications in flexible and stretchable devices.[13] Due to Pb toxicity, there is increasing concern about the use of PZT based devices in consumer products such as various smart systems, medical imaging devices, automobiles, and sound generators.[13] PZT based ceramics are also unsuitable for high-temperature applications. On the contrary, Pb free ceramics such as, KNbO3, NaNbO3, etc., are biocompatible which allows their versatile utilization in sensors and actuators transplanted directly into living bodies. Additionally, their properties can be easily tailored which make them the best alternative in contrast to Pb based PZT ceramics.[19,20]

REFERENCES:

1.Brown T. W., Bischof-Niemz T., Blok K., Breyer C., Lund H., Mathiesen B. V., Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2018, 92, 834. [Google Scholar]
2.Paradiso J. A., Starner T., IEEE Pervasive Comput. 2005, 4, 18. [Google Scholar]
3.Wei C., Jing X., Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2017, 74, 1. [Google Scholar]
4.Dewan A., Ay S. U., Karim M. N., Beyenal H., J. Power Sources 2014, 245, 129. [Google Scholar]
5.Selvan K. V., Ali M. S. M., Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2016, 54, 1035. [Google Scholar]
6.Pramanik P. K. D., Sinhababu N., Mukherjee B., Padmanaban S., Maity A., Upadhyaya B. K., Holm-Nielsen J. B., Choudhury P., IEEE Access 2019, 7, 182113. [Google Scholar]
7.Tawalbeh M., Eardley A., Tawalbeh L., Procedia Comput. Sci. 2016, 94, 183. [Google Scholar]
8.Covaci C., Gontean A., Sensors 2020, 20, 3512. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9.Bowen C. R., Kim H. A., Weaver P. M., Dunn S., Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 25. [Google Scholar]
10.Li H., Tian C., Deng Z. D., Appl. Phys. Rev. 2014, 1, 041301. [Google Scholar]
11.Tressler J. F., Alkoy S., Newnham R. E., J. Electroceram. 1998, 2, 257. [Google Scholar]

12.Lang S. B., Muensit S., Appl. Phys. A 2006, 85, 125. [Google Scholar]
13.Maeder M. D., Damjanovic D., Setter N., J. Electroceram. 2004, 13, 385. [Google Scholar]
14.Chiu Y.-Y., Lin W.-Y., Wang H.-Y., Huang S.-B., Wu M.-H., Sens. Actuators, A 2013, 189, 328. [Google Scholar]
15.Beringer L. T., Xu X., Shih W., Shih W.-H., Habas R., Schauer C. L., Sens. Actuators, A 2015, 222, 293. [Google Scholar]
16.Khan S., Dang W., Lorenzelli L., Dahiya R., IEEE Trans. Semicond. Manuf. 2015, 28, 486. [Google Scholar]
17.Song K., Ma N., Mishra Y. K., Adelung R., Yang Y., Adv. Electron. Mater. 2019, 5, 1800413. [Google Scholar]
18.Zhao R., Ma N., Qi J., Mishra Y. K., Adelung R., Yang Y., Adv. Electron. Mater. 2019, 5, 1800791. [Google Scholar]
19.Wang Z. L., Yang R., Zhou J., Qin Y., Xu C., Hu Y., Xu S., Mater. Sci. Eng., R 2010, 70, 320. [Google Scholar]
20.Polla D. L., Francis L. F., Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 1998, 28, 563. [Google Scholar]