International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Streamlining Clinical Trial Recruitment with LLMs: Using Gen AI to match eligible patients with ongoing clinical trials by analysing medical records, trial requirements, and patient preferences

Antony Ronald Reagan P

Abstract

Recruitment of patients as clinical trials participants is still one of the major and most significant barriers to successful medical study. Challenges experienced in individual participant consent, trial allocation, and addressing issues of practicality and compliance give rise to program delays and results in higher expenditures. The operational utility of Generative AI, especially LLMs in this regard, will dramatically change this process by quickly sifting through the mountains of patient data and medical records or the trial specifications to come up with suitable candidates for clinical trials. The second major category of cognitives, the LLMs, employ NLP and are designed for understanding medical jargons, patents histories and trial requirements, which makes them perfect for the task of recruiting.

Using EHRs, medical histories, and patients' preferences, LLMs can identify the patient for current active trials relevant to their medical conditions and treatment. Moreover, the possibility of using LLMs in filtering and analyzing data in real-time makes a huge difference in efficiency as well as lowers recruitment costs and time for researchers and clinicians. Such steps make a trial more probable to accrue a diverse set of patients while at the same time make sure that trial is done within the required time.

Further, LLMs allow for individualization in clinical trial participation by taking into account patient's concerns which may include geographic site preference, the ability to adhere to trial requirements, and treatment-expectancy. When patient data is matched with trial characteristics, LLMs increase both quality and speed of recruitment and, subsequently, the number of patients qualified for trials and, presumably, a decreased number of trial stoppages for lack of enrollment.

Keywords: Clinical Trials, Recruitment, Large Language Models, Patient Matching, Generative AI, Natural Language Processing

1. Introduction

Patient enrollment in clinical trials forms a crucial step in conducting clinical research, this aspect nonetheless presents a major bother. Traditionally, several challenges have characterized recruitment procedures in clinical trials such as patients, awareness, eligibility criteria, and others, logistical factors. Previously, recruitment meant physicians and trial coordinators had to go through patients' files and trial

eligibility criteria to find candidates. This process is labor- intensive, inefficient and often cause trials not to meet the recruitment stat hence, delay delivery of likely life-saving treatments to the market. However, the recruitment process turns out to be problematic because many of these patients do not get adequate information regarding trial availability, meaning that the trials are not diverse.

Among generative AI, LLMs are a perfect solution to address these challenges. Next generation LLMs based on the throne of NLP technologies are fully capable of analyzing vast datasets including the EHRs, medical histories and the trial protocols to enroll appropriate subjects into clinical trials. Such AI models can take in massive amounts of unstructured data and find patterns useful to humans within those data. LLMs can quickly and effectively identify the most eligible patients for the current trials due to their ability to process massive amounts of information.

In addition, recruitment preferences of patients including geographical location, duration of trial, types of treatment, among others can also be incorporated in the recruitment strategy making more likely to participate. On one hand, the patient identification algorithm is underpinned by clinical criteria, whereas, on the other hand, the trial matching system improves participants' trial experience making their preferences compatible with the availability of trials. By the force of LLMs, the clinical trial recruitment can be made even more efficient, accessible, and patient-oriented so that more progress is achieved in medicine.

2. Literature Review:

Recruitment for participants in clinical trials has remained a puzzle for the medical research fraternity for sometime. In the case of clinical trials, recruitment delays have been highlighted by various studies as one of the key factors that contribute to the indeed failure of trials to meet set goals; this is always likely to cost firms a lot of money and time to get new treatments to consumers [3]. Using conventional material methods in patient recruitment increases the chances of trial recruitment while at the same time is very slow in identifying suitable patient to join a clinical trial. Also there is the issue of homogeneity of trial populations where many trials often include few populations like minorities, elders or those with multiple co morbidities [2].

The integration of AI is already in the process of solving a number of these problems. Artificial intelligence as applied by machine learning algorithms and natural language processing or tools have been widely used in various fields to automate the flow of data analysis and decision making. Al models have been used in healthcare; this involves analyzing data about patients, histories, laboratory results, and demographics of the patient to map them to relevant clinical trial [3]. More recent works have pointed to patient recruitment as a key area where AI can clearly be useful in that this has been automated and now depends upon the patient records to identify patients that qualify for clinical trials [4]. These models can analyse an extensive range of possibilities, such as conditions of a patient, previous treatments, and even patient preferences, which were unconsidered in regular recruitment procedures.

Particularly, the Large Language Models (LLMs) have by now demonstrated high efficiency in working with an unstructured information like patients' notes or medical texts. Due to their capability to understand medical data and spot the most important results from the large amount of medical records, LLMs are perfect for the effective organisation of recruiting [5]. Also, since LLMs can recruit patients based on their

interests, such as the location of the trial or the type of treatment, the number of patients interested in and enrolling in the trial is likely to increase [6].

3. Problem statement

Currently, though there have been improvements in the design and conduct of clinical trials, recruitment remains a challenge. Not only are conventional forms of recruitment time consuming and expensive, but they also often involve enrolling a very limited and non- heterogeneous set of patients, thereby limiting the utility of clinical trial findings. Taking time: A startling concentration is that manual data processing; clinical trial coordinators, and other medical practitioners physically wade through patient records and clinical trial protocols to screen for eligible candidates. This often results in missed opportunities, longer time to enrollment the patients and increased cost of attracting a large number patients for enrollment [7].

Additionally, patients are not taken into consideration during the recruitment process in relation to their preferred location, trial length or type of treatment - which can act as a barrier to recruitment. Such a failure to target specific recruitment leads to patient dropout or loss of interest, which add more time to trial conduct [8]. Additionally, many trials struggle with poor patient recruitment across specific demographic groups leading to study cohorts that do not include the overall patient population. Imbalance of demographic characteristics between samples and populations restricts the generalization of trial results, thereby restricting external validity of trials [9].

4. Solution

To overcome the problems and concerns with regards to clinical trial recruitment, Large Language Models (LLMs) can be utilized to better match patients. The NLP a component of the LLMs can sift through unnamed data from EHRs, clinical trial protocols and medical histories in order to discover patients who would fit into specific trials. This way, patient recruitment is more efficient since heavy manual screening is eliminated, thus relying on the use of big data. This reduces human error and delays in the recruitment of patients by only pulling information that is pertinent to a trial, as well as only featuring information about trials to which the patient might be a good fit [1].

Besides patient identification, LLMs also improve the recruitment process due to patient preferences. The following list of preferences: geographic location, treatment type, the degree of trial commitment, and the extent of patient involvement can be also reflected in match. If these preferences are considered in the design of trials, LLMs enhance the probability of enrolling patients but also enhance the probability of the retention of the same patients since patients are willing to participate in trials that are consistent with their preferences [2]. Also, tailored recruitment help to enhance patients' satisfaction and interest hence making trial recruitment successful [3].

There is also another benefit of using LLMs in explaining that biases such as underrepresentation of ethnic minorities in clinical trial could be solved. Many of the conventional techniques for patient recruitment do not allow the selection of a diversified population that is socially diverse, or that has special needs, including members of the minorities, elderly persons, or patients with complicated medical histories. Through the examination of various patients' information, LLMs are able to select patients that have been left behind and enroll them in trial to improve the representativeness of such trials. Cohort homogenization

subsequently results in more generalizable trial outcomes that improve the validity of clinical research among diverse populations [4].

It is important to note that the efficiency of LLMs in not restricted to the identification of the patients. They can also help with the active management of the recruitment process by constantly extracting new patient data in real time, sieve through to find new eligible patients, and tailor the trial recruitment to the progress of the trial. This apparent dynamic approach helps to constantly ensure that clinical trials are always on-line in terms of enrollment and that the patient is not left out. In addition, since LLMs are able to adapt the study rapidly so as to change a trial protocol or adjust to the patient's conditions, the method enables the recruitment to meet changing needs and enable trials to continue efficiently [5].

Finally, the use of LLMs in enhancing recruitment to clinical trials can now ease the burden of work on the research staff. Some benefits of using LLMs include automating most of the data acquisition, analysis, and patient matching and subsequent concentration on patients and trials. This results in better resource utilization, increased rate of trial and efficiency [3], and overall shorter trial durations [6].

Consequently, we found that the application of LLMs for patient recruitment in clinical trials is timely, efficient, engaging, and less burdensome. Clinical trials recruitment using AI applications can be effective, inclusive, and centred on the patients, hence driving faster drug discovery.

5. Conclusion

The use of Large Language Models (LLMs) in clinical trial recruitment holds a high promise in terms of increasing effectiveness and reducing bias in the entire process of trial recruitment. Since LLMs identify patients who meet the prerequisites for trials by compiling and comparing extensive medical histories with trials' prerequisites, the time and costs of recruitment can be drastically minimized. The flexibility which is incorporated in the LLMs by taking into considerations patients' concern like; preferred site, trial interest/dedication and treatment expectations increases the chances of participation; decreases drop out rate and enhances patients' involvement.

Furthermore, LLMs assist in solving the difficulty in recruitment bias by highlighting improvement opportunities for patient enrolment and suggesting how clinical investigations can enrol a more heterogeneous populace. This will not only increase external validity and generalisability of clinical trial results but also advance the quality of medical research as a whole by sampling patient population more variably.

Nevertheless, there is no doubt that using of the AI in clinical trial recruitment is advantageous due to problems they create, including data privacy issues, as well as integration of these technologies in the functioning healthcare facilities. It presents a chance to overcome the constantly emerging issues with patients recruitment and retention, cut the costs behind trials, and speed up the potential development of new approaches to the treatment. In the progress of developing and applying the AI technologies in the health care facilities, the LLM is one of the approaches that can transcend the practices of clinical trial enrollment, facilitate, effective, and accessible.

References

1. Smith, J., et al. (2020). Challenges in clinical trial recruitment and the role of artificial intelligence. Journal of Clinical Research, 15(4), 56-67.
2. Johnson, R., & Zhang, H. (2021). Overcoming recruitment barriers in clinical trials with AI. Pharmaceutical Research, 18(2), 34-50.
3. Lee, K., & Wong, F. (2020). AI applications in clinical trial recruitment: A review of current technologies. Journal of Medical Informatics, 22(3), 103-112.
4. Cheng, J., & Patel, P. (2021). Leveraging machine learning for efficient patient recruitment in clinical trials. AI in Healthcare, 4(1), 77-85.
5. Kim, S., & Yang, T. (2022). Large language models for healthcare data processing. Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 8(1), 12-29.
6. Kumar, R., & Gupta, A. (2021). Enhancing patient recruitment through personalized AI systems. Healthcare Technology Journal, 6(4), 35-44.
7. White, J., & Williams, M. (2019). The financial and operational impacts of delayed clinical trial recruitment. Journal of Healthcare Economics, 11(1), 99-106.
8. Brown, P., & Xu, Y. (2020). Addressing patient preferences in clinical trial recruitment using AI. Medical Data Systems Journal, 3(2), 58-68.
9. Jones, T., & Richards, S. (2021). The importance of diversity in clinical trials and how AI can help. Medical Diversity Review, 2(2), 22-30.