From Non-Alignment to Multi Alignment: India’s Balancing Power Strategy

Akash P Vaishnav

Student, MA Political Science, St Joseph’s University

Abstract
India, now the world’s fifth-largest economy and a rising power in the world started its journey in the international realm by choosing to remain strategically autonomous under the umbrella of the Non-Alignment Movement. India, also a founding member state of the Non-Alignment Movement stayed true to its decision for more than two decades by not moving into any of the two primary security blocs (US, USSR) during the Cold War. India, then under the leadership of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru decided to delve into the waters of non alignment because it simply wanted to stay away from another looming crisis that was the Cold War. Jawaharlal Nehru and the foreign policy makers of India had already seen two bloody world wars and the disaster that was brought by it. Quite understandably, India didn’t want themselves to engulf in another global conflict. However, with the swiftly changing times in the global order, Indian Foreign Policy went through tremendous changes. New opportunities arose for new partnerships. From Non-Alignment in the 20th century, Indian Foreign Policy has come far with its outreach much broader and well-versed in contemporary times. India understood the need to multi align themselves after the fall of Berlin Wall which saw the end of the erstwhile USSR. A broken Russia was not in the interest of India but they had to quickly change their strategy to fill the massive vacuum of support left by the USSR. That is when, India slowly started to reach out to West and it’s allies. Indian Foreign Policy has truly moved on from Nehruvian Idealism to Strategic Realism and Economic Pragmatism. India now has strong relations with multiple poles of power while still exercising its strategic autonomy without much external influence from a certain or any blocs of power.

To study the following, relevant data or references will be taken from various reliable books written by experts in the International Relations field. References will also be taken into account from journals, articles and other published research papers from scholars.
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Introduction
Indian Foreign Policy has gone through various changes with the test of the time. The South Asian giant gained it’s independence from the British Empire in 1947 after long fought freedom struggle which saw the torture and deaths of many freedom fighters and their torch bearers. The time also saw a brutal India Pakistan war on the lines of religion as soon as they were divided. The bloody, tiny episodes wrapped in history gives us an understanding of why India has always had a protectionist policy. Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and the then Indian Foreign Policy makers had already seen plenty of wars and it’s unsolicited destruction. Jawaharlal Nehru forged a policy that tried to keep India out of any bloc. Despite the two
World Wars taking place in just a span of decades, the World was looking at yet another global conflict. This time, two partner countries that came out as victorious from the second World War decided to see each other eye to eye in lieu of ideological differences. US and the West were in one camp while USSR and certain communist countries from Eastern Europe and South America were in the opposite camp. It soon became a West vs East conflict. But the difference here was not many countries from the Global East were interested to pick sides. Similarly, India under the leadership of Jawaharlal Nehru had other visions for a new India that had to look inwards first to better provide to a massive population that had just gotten out from the brunt of colonialism. And playing around a conflict was certainly not that vision but completely opposite of what was to be achieved. India and other newly independent countries from different colonies wanted to have strategic autonomy in their internal and external affairs. They didn’t wish to get dictated regarding their domestic and foreign policies through ideological lenses. Gaining, independence was a crucial achievement for these countries and maintaining it away from external influence was another challenge. Non Alignment Movement became an answer for these countries in such a critical moment.

Research Objective
1. What prompted Indian Foreign Policy to leave Jawaharlal Nehru’s brainchild – Non Alignment.
2. How much success has the multi-alignment strategy brought to the Indian Foreign Policy’s doorsteps under the leadership of Narendra Modi?

The objectives of this research paper are to understand the motives behind Indian Foreign Policy’s big move from Non Alignment to Multi Alignment. Indian Foreign Policymakers certainly have reasoning to pursue multi alignment. We explore this reasoning in this paper. However, there have been fresh challenges where India’s multi alignment and non participatory approach has come under immense pressure from a certain block. The Russia Ukraine war has been a true test for India’s new approach but did India reap any benefit by staying silent and angering the West?

Evolution of Non Alignment Movement
Non Alignment Movement began as a response to the two strategic military blocs (US, USSR) being formed amidst the Cold War in the early 1950’s. The specific term of Non Alignment Movement was coined by V.K Menon in 1953. However, Non Alignment Movement is also known to be the brain child of Jawaharlal Nehru. The founding members of the movement were the then Indian Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru, President of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia Josip Broz Tito, President of Egypt Gamal Abdel Nasser, President of the Republic of Indonesia Sukarno and President of Ghana Kwame Nkrumah. The Bandung Asian-African Conference in 1955 laid the stones for a formal organization. However, the organization named Movement of Non-Aligned Countries was formed in 1960. Its first conference was held in 1961 in Belgrade where 25 countries attended it. The early days of the Indian Foreign Policy were driven by the principles of Non Alignment.

Nehruvian Idealism was the driving force behind much of the policy framework. India walked the path of moral and idealism during its early years. It trusted countries like China very easily without confirming deeper realities which had their own repercussions set in the far future. India acted calmly in the matters of conflict and concerns from the beginning itself. Panchsheel, Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence also became an important element for Indian Foreign Policy. The five Principles of Panchsheel were—Mutual respect for each other’s territorial integrity and sovereignty, Mutual non-aggression, Mutual non-interference in each other’s internal affairs, Equality and mutual benefit, Peaceful co-existence. However, the border clashes with China came as a shock to India not only to India but to the Non Alignment Countries. The India China War in 1962 exposed the harsh realities to the Indian Foreign Policy makers. A large part of Eastern Kashmir was annexed by China. India lost the war by an embarrassing margin. This shook the belief of Jawaharlal Nehru and thereafter, India slowly sought its journey towards Strategic Realism. In this period, the Indian Foreign Policy put greater emphasis on National Interest.

India under the leadership of Indira Gandhi further emphasized on national interest. India took tough decisions under the stern central leadership. The major change was evident during the 1971 India Pakistan War. A lot of changes were visible from a Foreign Policy stand point. The Indian leadership was aware of the human rights violations happening in the then East Pakistan Region. However, initially, India’s response was that of a non interventionist. India was still vocal at the U.N. as it raised the issue multiple times at the global forum. But it was tough not to act as India saw an inflow of millions of refugees from East Pakistan. During the war in East Pakistan, in 1971, which led to the formation of Bangladesh, around 10 million refugees (80 percent of them Hindu) crossed the border into India of which an estimated 35,000 remained in India, mostly in West Bengal.

The then Assistant for National Security Affairs Henry Kissinger visited India in July, 1971. He warned Indira Gandhi against any attack on Pakistan. However, just a month later, India did something no one would have expected in the International realm. India and Soviet Union signed a security pact with each other in August, 1971. This was a major moment for Indian Foreign Policy which also showcased India’s move away from its Non Alignment strategy. The South Asian giant broke away from its Non Alignment strategy and principle in favor of its National interest. It was indeed a major turning point in the history of Indian Foreign Policy. Indira Gandhi outwitted West and Pakistan with this move. Pakistan preemptively attacked India on December 3rd. This paved the way for a full fledged war between India and Pakistan. U.S. tried to bluff India by sending their war ships to Bay of Bengal. However, India’s security pact with the Soviet Union made sure Soviet's send their warships as well to counter U.S. U.S’s bluff was called out and India won the war against Pakistan on December 16. It was a major Indian Foreign Policy win by external force. India not only diffused a possible three front war scenario but also split half their enemy nation. It was a major moment for the India Soviet partnership as well. The Soviets were more sensitive to India’s causes like that of the Kashmir issue. USSR vetoed UN resolutions on the Kashmir issue in 1957, 1962 and 1971. In total, they vetoed six times in favour of India in the U.N. India’s strategic alliance with Soviet Union in 1971 saw them moving away from Non Alignment Movement.

---


However, the Soviet Union was doomed as the Berlin Wall fell in 1989. The Cold War symbol, Berlin Wall was brought down thus uniting West Berlin and East Berlin. Soon after, various Soviet Republics began announcing their independence and Soviet Union’s flag furled for the last time in 1991. This was shocking for India as one of their strategic allies was brought down. India was dependent on Soviet Union for a lot of things out of which military equipments contributed the most. The break-up of the Union therefore disrupted defense production and deliveries to foreign customers. Indian officials spent some time trying to identify the estimated 3500 suppliers scattered around the new republics who manufactured the military equipment that India needed.

Moving towards Multi Alignment
India had to reshape it’s Foreign Policy in a new reality of the world that was a unipolar world order. In the absence of Soviet Union, U.S. became the sole superpower in the world. India already had an improvement of relations with the U.S. from 1980’s. India’s economic crisis in 1991 paved the way for the LPG (liberalization, privatization and globalization) reforms. As a result, Indian economy opened up and India moved towards economic pragmatism. They had an inflow of foreign capital especially from the Western countries. This led to globalization of Indian economy. Relations with the West went in the upward trajectory from the 90’s. However, the 1999 Kargil War with Pakistan enhanced opportunities for multi alignment. U.S.A played a role of non interference as it did not support any country publicly during the war. It also cut off the access of GPS to India to balance the odds and deprive India of any major advantage in the war against Pakistan. The U.S. took a moral high ground when India needed it’s support. But Sweden provided indirect military support to India through the immediate sale of Bofors artillery guns. Russia and France swiftly delivered spare parts for some of India’s fighter jets. While Israel quickly delivered Heron Unmanned Aerial Vehicle, laser-guided bombs. At a time when certain countries delayed military equipment orders during the Kargil War, certain other countries came in support of India by providing quick delivery of military equipment. Israel’s military help during the Kargil War kick started ministerial level meetings between the two nations for the first time in history. On the other side, Russia saw a major power change as Vladimir Putin became the Russian President in 1999. Though the power shift was not immediate but the appointment held a massive change for the coming years.

Another milestone that further pushed India towards the U.S. was the India – U.S. nuclear deal in 2008. The 123 agreement between the two nations paved the way for India to trade with other countries for civil nuclear deals. All this while maintaining their stance of not signing the NPT agreement. Despite, China’s protest against the deal, U.S. worked through its clout to make the deal happen which showcased India’s growing strategic relationship with the West.

However, the 2008 terrorist attack in Mumbai caused a lot of disbalances. India highly advocated for tough sanctions on Pakistan and it’s proxy terrorists. But the call fell on deaf ears. Multilateral forums and countries could only condemn of what happened. U.S. did not do much to hurt Pakistan for its misadventure across the border. This has been a major issue for India where Pakistan uses its proxy

terrorists, trains them and sends them to India to destabilize the country. India has been advocating for a tough action on certain individuals as well as Pakistan as a country but so far not much has been achieved.

Indian Foreign Policy under Narendra Modi
BJP Prime Ministerial candidate Narendra Modi bagged a massive majority on 16th May 2014. The Prime Minister elect showed early signs of a robust foreign policy as he invited all the SAARC (South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation) leaders for his swearing in ceremony. All the leaders accepted the invitation and attended the event. Surprisingly, the then Pakistan Prime Minister, Nawaz Sharif too accepted the invitation and attended Narendra Modi’s swearing in ceremony. This was a perfect start for Narendra Modi’s Neighborhood First Policy with all the regional head of states attending his oath taking ceremony. The year 2014 showed what was to come in terms of foreign policy for India under the new leadership. Prime Minister Narendra Modi visited various countries in his first year of power itself. He started off by visiting Bhutan. Narendra Modi then made trips to Brazil, Nepal, Japan, U.S., Myanmar, Australia, Fiji and again Nepal in his first year in power. Narendra Modi attended a SAARC Summit, BRICS Summit and UN General Assembly Session. He also hosted Chinese Premier Xi Jinping in Ahmedabad the same year.

Narendra Modi reached out to multiple poles of power to further strengthen India’s role in the global order. It was important for the Prime Minister to start on such a strong note and repair some relationships with other countries. Relationship with the U.S. grew stronger in the initial years of Narendra Modi’s leadership. He also batted for further strengthening ties with Russia giving mixed signals to Washington. Back home, he also sought to give more prominence to the neighborhood by attending SAARC summits. However, Pakistan’s constant misadventure nullified India’s efforts vis a vis SAARC. The last functional SAARC summit that happened was back in 2014 in Nepal. The next nation to host the SAARC summit was Pakistan and since then the summit is consistently getting cancelled as India has refused to visit Pakistan until it amends its ways vis a vis India and Kashmir. However, boycotting SAARC gave a lifeline to BIMSTEC. BIMSTEC like SAARC is a regional organization that consists of South Asian Countries. Bay of Bengal Initiative for Multi-Sectoral Technical and Economic Cooperation (BIMSTEC) consists of Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Myanmar, Thailand. Though only three summits of BIMSTEC have taken place since 2014, but it’s prominence has massively increased as a regional multilateral forum for India. India is also a key funder of the projects in BIMSTEC led initiatives. Important game changing projects like Kaladan Multimodal Project (linking India and Myanmar), Asian Trilateral Highway (linking India and Thailand through Myanmar) are already underway. The passage holds the potential to link India and a handful of ASEAN countries. In terms of trade and strategic importance, BIMSTEC is a key multilateral organization in the region.

India, with a population of 1.4 Billion people, landmass such big that it holds the position of 7th largest country in the world. India is also the fifth largest economy in the world post the COVID-19 era. However, it has still not gotten it’s fair share at the United Nations Organization. Narendra Modi and his foreign policy makers have been aggressively advocating for U.N. reforms and permanent U.N. Security Council membership. Narendra Modi while addressing the United Nations’ 75th General Assembly said, “The trust India has in the UN is unparalleled. But Indians are waiting for reforms in the UN. They are concerned if the reform process will reach the logical end. How long will India be kept away from decision-making in
the UN. How long will the country have to wait for the changes happening in the real world. India aspires to be in an expanded role in the UN⁵.

India has been constantly working with other nations to get as much support as it can muster for its pursuit of a permanent seat in the United Nations Security Council. It has already bagged support from four permanent members of the Security Council – U.S., Russia, U.K., France. Similarly, India garnered votes of many countries to get into the NSG group. But China had a tough stance every time and India was blocked the entry.

The China challenge for India has been ever growing in these few years. The Doklam crisis in 2017 warned India of what was to come. India was tight lipped about China under the leadership of Narendra Modi until 2020 Border clashes with China. The border clashes came as a shock to many but it was long expected in the strategic circles that an aggressive China would definitely make a move against India sooner than later. China is also a factor in India’s multi alignment approach. China’s string of pearls move has led India to counter with another strategy. The term ‘String of Pearls’ was initially published in 2005, in a document titled ‘Energy Futures in Asia’ presented to the United States Secretary of Defense Donald H Rumsfeld by Booz Allen Hamilton. The strategy of the ‘String of Pearls’ revolves around the construction of infrastructure networks in littoral countries from the South China Sea to the Middle East⁶.

India has been working with different countries to counter China’s string of pearls. So far, India is building a deep-sea Port in Sabang, Indonesia. India built a deep water port in Sittwe in 2016. India has signed agreements to access strategically located Naval facilities in Oman. India has signed an agreement to access Changi Naval Base of Singapore⁷.

India has also aligned itself with the QUAD group under it’s multi alignment approach to counter China in the Indian Ocean. Australia, US, Japan and India currently hold the QUAD membership. Though, the members themselves say it is not a security pact or organization but QUAD could well be the answer to China’s aggression in the Indian Ocean Region. QUAD stands for an open, prosperous and inclusive Indo Pacific region. Currently, India is working with the QUAD members in various fields like Vaccines, Technology, AI etc. However, this does not mean that India is completely aligned with the West like every other country in the QUAD group. India is also a part of BRICS, SCO group which seeks for a change in the global order that also challenges the authority of the U.S. and the West in the current global order. BRICS has been a major voice for the developing countries. India currently also holds the Presidency of


the Shanghai Corporation Organization (SCO). India have been an active participant in these organizations. Despite all this, India still gets an invitation for nearly every G7 summit. This shows that both West and (Russia, China led groups) see India as a responsible and important player in the global order. India’s presence in QUAD, SCO, BRICS groups shows it’s multilateral approach in today’s world order. When it comes to bilateral engagements, India has maintained warm relations with every major country barring a few with which it has strategic concerns like Turkey, China. India’s Foreign Policy has been more direct, aggressive and blunt in the era of Narendra Modi 2.0. Prime Minister Modi brought in a seasoned diplomat to lead the External Affairs Ministry. S Jaishankar has not been holding when it comes to India's defense as the West has been constantly attacking India’s strategic choices of the recent times. S Jaishankar has been more direct than ever since the Russia Ukraine War in March 2022. In one conference at Bratislava, S Jaishankar said, “Somewhere Europe has to grow out of the mindset that Europe’s problems are the world’s problems but the world’s problems are not Europe’s problems. That if it is you, it’s yours, if it is me it is ours. I see reflections of that. I don’t accept that India has to join either the U.S. axis or China axis. We are one-fifth of the world’s population, fifth or sixth-largest economy in the world…we are entitled to weigh our own side.” Said S Jaishankar in Bratislava refuting India’s inclusion in bloc politics.

The Indian Foreign Policy has been under tremendous pressure in the course of the Russian Ukraine war. India has been wooed by both Russia and Ukraine with West to take a particular side that suits their own interests. However, India rather took a stance which supports its interests in the domestic and international realm. As a result of that, India has come under massive criticism from the Western circles be it media or even the citizens. Everyone has an opinion on India’s moral encompass. The West cannot entirely be trusted entirely either, that is why a multi lateral approach is the best way forward. However, during these testing times, India has to walk on a tight rope to sustain on a path of national interest. Indian foreign policy under the leadership of Narendra Modi has come out well especially in the second ongoing term. However, under the China challenge, the present government has not been able to make much progress. Even the Russia Ukraine conflict can be taken as an example where there is much more room for improvement vis a vis India’s overall approach.

Conclusion
The harsh realities of the world drove India away from Non Alignment to Multi Alignment. India needed the support and for it, it had to align with more powerful countries. India was not right to follow an Idealistic approach from the beginning of the years. However, sooner it shifted away to Strategic Realism was more better. The win in the 1971 War against Pakistan was an example of an approach that involved strategic realism. India’s shift to globalization in the early 90’s rightfully brought itself closer to the West. India’s clout in the international order has only grown under the leadership of Narendra Modi. Parting from non-alignment, India’s strategy is one of multi-alignment thus vigorously seeking out partners who may otherwise be at loggerheads with each other and operating with them to go after its interests and

---
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notions. The multi alignment approach under S Jaishankar has also given a new face to India that is more aggressive when it comes to national interest. However, its approach during the Russia Ukraine war exposed India’s unpreparedness and little inexperience as well. It has brought a small hiccup with the US and West. But that seems to temporary as India and West are drawn together strategically. India’s recent China problem has also been long pending without a solution. China’s aggressive stance at the border and wolf warrior diplomacy in the international groups has kept India without any big answer. So far, India has been mum on China despite China being quite vocal against India and its sensitive issues. However, in the contemporary times, multi alignment approach is the way to go for India.