

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Prevalence of Childhood Obesity and Food Habits in School Going Children of 10 -12 Years

Riswana KM¹, Annie Ninan², Bushaira V³

Department of Home Science, Korambayil Ahamed Haji Memorial Unity Women's College, Manjeri, Kerala, India 676122

ABSTRACT

Childhood obesity is a growing epidemic affecting children at an alarming rate in the world. Childhood obesity has important consequences for health and wellbeing both during childhood and also in later adult life. The rising prevalence of childhood obesity poses a major public health challenge in both developed and developing countries by increasing the burden of chronic non-communicable diseases. The present study was conducted to find out prevalence of childhood obesity and to study the food habits among school going children. It is conducted in Anakkayam panchayath, Malappuram district, Kerala. 200 samples were selected and information collected using questionnaire. Based on the BMI for age samples classified into obese, overweight, risk of overweight, normal, wasted and severely wasted. Among the total samples there are no obese children, 4.5 percent were overweight, 16 percent are risk of overweight, 63 percent are normal, 9 percent are wasted and 7.5 percent are severely wasted samples. There is more preference for dinner than breakfast among overweight samples (55.6 percent). 44.4 percent skip meals among overweight samples. 31.93 percent prefer sweet items for snacking and preference for fast food among overweight samples were 100 percent. Soft drink consumption is high among overweight samples (88.9 percent).

Keywords: Prevalence, Childhood, Obesity, Food Habits, School Going Children

INTRODUCTION

Good nutrition is the bedrock of child survival and development. Well-nourished children are better able to grow, learn, play and participate in their communities. They are also more resilient in the face of crisis. Healthy nutritious diet is an essential component in the growing and developing years of children. It aids in building a strong foundation in the child with regard to staying healthy and imbibing good eating habits right from childhood. The three most important benefits of early childhood nutrition are it helps in building immunity against various infectious diseases, ensures proper development of brain and other vital organs and improves child's activity levels and cognitive functioning. Therefore, proper nutrition for children is important as it sets the stage for them towards living a healthy and balanced life for the rest of their lives.

Childhood obesity is a growing epidemic affecting children at an alarming rate in the world. Overweight and obesity is when a person is too heavy for his or her height. Abnormal or excessive fat accumulation can impair health. Body mass index (BMI) is an index of weight-for-height commonly used to classify overweight and obesity. Childhood obesity can profoundly affect children's physical health, social, and emotional well-being, and self-esteem both during childhood and also in later adult life.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The rising prevalence of childhood obesity poses a major public health challenge in both developed and developing countries by increasing the burden of chronic non-communicable diseases. So, the study on 'Prevalence of childhood obesity and food habits in school going children of 10 -12 years' was conducted with the objectives to find out prevalence of childhood obesity and to study food habits among school going children.

METHODOLOGY

The area selected for the study was Anakkayam panchayat, Malappuram district, Kerala due to easy reachability and convenience. 200 samples aged between 10 to 12 years, including both boys and girls from H S A U P School Pappinipara, Manjeri were selected by simple random sampling method. A structured and pre tested interview schedule was prepared to collect information relating to socioeconomic background, nutritional status, food habits and dietary pattern. Respondents from the selected area were approached, the purpose of the study was explained and rapport was developed. The collected data was compiled, tabulated, analyzed and results were discussed and interpreted. Appropriate statistical analysis was done.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION SOCIO ECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE SUBJECTS

Table 1 Socio economic profile

N = 200

| Criteria | | Fre | quenc | ey | | | P | ercent | | | Total |
|-------------|----|-----|-------|----|----|------|------|--------|------|------|-------|
| | OW | ROW | N | W | SW | OW | ROW | N | W | SW | % |
| Age | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 years | 0 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 6.7 | 14.5 |
| 11 years | 4 | 11 | 41 | 7 | 7 | 44.4 | 34.4 | 32.5 | 38.9 | 46.7 | 35.0 |
| 12 years | 5 | 17 | 64 | 8 | 7 | 55.6 | 53.1 | 50.8 | 44.4 | 46.7 | 50.5 |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boy | 4 | 18 | 42 | 10 | 8 | 44.4 | 56.3 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 53.3 | 41.0 |
| Girl | 5 | 14 | 84 | 8 | 7 | 55.6 | 43.8 | 66.7 | 44.4 | 46.7 | 59.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Family type | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nuclear | 8 | 27 | 90 | 12 | 11 | 88.9 | 84.4 | 71.4 | 66.7 | 73.3 | 74.0 |
| Joint | 1 | 5 | 36 | 6 | 4 | 11.1 | 15.6 | 28.6 | 33.3 | 26.7 | 26.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*}Ow- over weight, Row-risk of overweight, N- normal, W - wasted, SW- sever wasted

There are five subgroups in the total samples, they are overweight, risk of overweight, normal, wasted and severe wasted, in which 14.5 percent are 10year old, 35 percent are 11year old and 50.5 percent are 12year old. Most of the overweight and risk of overweight children come under the group of 12 years.

The above table shows a lower prevalence of childhood obesity among the selected samples. The selected subjects are 41 percent boys and 59 percent girls. In the total overweight children 55.6 percent are girls and 44.4 percent are boys. 74 percent of subjects are from nuclear family and 26 percent from joint family. 88.9 percent of total over weight subjects are from nuclear family and 11.9 percent from joint family.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@jjfmr.com

HEALTH ASSESMENT

Anthropometric measurements are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Anthropometric measurements

N = 200

| Criteria | 10yea | r old | 11yea | r old | 12ye | ar old | Chi- | P | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|--|
| | NO | % | NO | % | NO | % | square | valu | |
| | | | | | | | | e | |
| Height for age | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal | 27 | 16.1 | 60 | 35.7 | 81 | 48.2 | | | |
| Stunted | 1 | 4.8 | 6 | 28.6 | 14 | 66.7 | 3.456 | 0.485 | |
| Severely stunted | 1 | 9.1 | 4 | 36.4 | 6 | 54.4 | | | |
| BMI for age | | | | | | | | | |
| Overweight | 0 | 0 | 4 | 44.4 | 5 | 55.6 | | | |
| Risk of overweight | 4 | 12.5 | 11 | 34.4 | 17 | 53.1 | 3.872 | 0.868 | |
| Normal | 21 | 16.7 | 41 | 32.5 | 64 | 50.8 | | | |
| Wasted | 3 | 16.7 | 7 | 38.9 | 8 | 44.4 | | | |
| Severe wasted | 1 | 6.7 | 7 | 46.7 | 7 | 46.7 | | | |

Total subjects are divided on the basis of height and BMI. There are three categories based on height for age, they are normal, stunted and severely stunted. The above table shows that 48.2 percent of normal samples are 12year old. 66.7 percent are stunted and 54.4 percent of total are severely stunted and belongs to the age group of 12.

Based on BMI for age subjects are classified into obese, overweight, normal, wasted and severe wasted. 55.6 percent of overweight, 53.1 percent of risk of overweight and 50.8 percent of normal samples belong to the age group of 12year. 44.4 percent of overweight samples are 11year old.

FOOD HABITS AND DEITARY PATTERN

Food pattern of subjects

Table 3 point out the details of the meal time, food pattern and their interest in healthy eating.

Table 3 Food pattern

N=200

| Criteria | | F | requenc | су | | | | Percent | - | | Tota | Chi | P |
|------------|---|----|---------|----|----|------|------|---------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| | О | RO | N | W | S | OW | RO | N | W | SW | 1% | squ | val |
| | W | W | | | W | | W | | | | | are | ue |
| Food | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pattern | 1 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 11.1 | 15.6 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Vegetarian | 8 | 27 | 111 | 16 | 13 | 88.9 | 84.4 | 88.1 | 88.9 | 86.7 | 87.5 | 84 | 84 |
| Non- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vegetarian | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meal time | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Regular | 8 | 20 | 81 | 13 | 11 | 88.9 | 62.5 | 64.3 | 72.2 | 73.3 | 66.5 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| Irregular | 1 | 12 | 45 | 5 | 4 | 11.1 | 37.5 | 35.7 | 27.8 | 26.7 | 33.5 | 11 | 39 |



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

| Interest in Healthy | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----|-----|----|----|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|
| eating | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 0 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| No | 9 | 30 | 120 | 18 | 14 | 100 | 93.8 | 95.2 | 100 | 93.3 | 95.5 | 84 | 94 |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*}Ow- over weight, Row-risk of overweight, N- normal, W - wasted, SW- sever wasted

Meal pattern of subjects

Table 4 shows the details of meal pattern of subjects like number of meals in a day, main meal consumed and daily consumption of breakfast.

Table 4 Meal pattern

N = 200

| Criteria | | F | requen | су | | | | Percent | | | Total | Chi | P |
|-----------------|---|----|--------|----|----|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | | T | ı | | | ı | | 1 | % | squ | val |
| | О | RO | N | W | S | OW | RO | N | W | SW | | are | ue |
| | W | W | | | W | | W | | | | | | |
| Number of | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| meals | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 21. | 0.0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 3 | 98 | 38 |
| 3 | 5 | 14 | 58 | 2 | 7 | 55.6 | 43.8 | 46 | 11.1 | 46.7 | 43 | | * |
| 4 | 3 | 14 | 64 | 15 | 7 | 33.3 | 43.8 | 50.8 | 83.3 | 46.7 | 51.5 | | |
| Main meal | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| consumed | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Breakfast | 1 | 17 | 65 | 11 | 6 | 11.1 | 53.1 | 51.6 | 61.1 | 40 | 50 | 13. | 0.0 |
| Lunch | 3 | 11 | 42 | 5 | 7 | 33.3 | 34.4 | 33.3 | 27.8 | 46.7 | 34 | 97 | 82 |
| Dinner | 5 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 55.6 | 12.5 | 15.1 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 16 | | |
| Daily Breakfast | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| consumption | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 1 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 20 | 13 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| Yes | 8 | 28 | 108 | 18 | 12 | 88.9 | 87.5 | 85.7 | 100 | 80 | 87 | 59 | 69 |

^{*}Ow- over weight, Row-risk of overweight, N- normal, W - wasted, SW- sever wasted

It is evident that 51.5 percent of total subjects consume meals 4 times a day and 43 percent consume 3 times a day and 3.0 and 2.5 percent consume twice and once a day respectively. In overweight samples 55.6 percent take meals 3 times in a day and 33.3 percent take meals 4 times in day. P value is less than 0.05, significant.

Main meals consumed by 50 percent of subjects are breakfast, 34 percent lunch and 16 percent consume dinner. In overweight subjects dinner is the main meal for 55.6 percent and only 11.1 percent choose breakfast as main meal.

^{12.5} percent of the subjects are vegetarians and 87.5 percent are non-vegetarians. Out of the overweight subjects 88.9 percent are non-vegetarians and 11.1 percent are vegetarians. Most of the risk of overweight samples are non-vegetarians.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Food frequency table

Frequency of different food items by samples are highlighted in the table 5.

Table 5 Food frequency

N=200

| Food items | 3 | | Frequency | of food consumpt | ion | Total | Chi | P |
|--------------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | | Daily | Weekly | Twice in a week | Fortnightly | | square | value |
| Cereals | N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | | |
| | % | 100.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0 | | |
| Pulses | N | 79 | 76 | 21 | 24 | 200 | | |
| | % | 39.5 | 38.0% | 10.5% | 12.0% | 100.0 | | |
| Fruits | N | 41 | 95 | 40 | 24 | 200 | | |
| | % | 20.5 | 47.5% | 20.0% | 12.0% | 100.0 | | |
| Green leafy | N | 49 | 91 | 33 | 23 | 196 | | |
| vegetables | % | 25.0 | 46.4% | 16.8% | 11.7% | 100.0 | | |
| Root and | N | 36 | 83 | 45 | 30 | 194 | | |
| tubers | % | 18.6 | 42.8% | 23.2% | 15.5% | 100.0 | | |
| Other | N | 81 | 76 | 25 | 15 | 197 | | |
| vegetables | % | 41.1 | 38.6% | 12.7% | 7.6% | 100.0 | | |
| Meat and | N | 24 | 103 | 42 | 11 | 180 | 607.0 | 0.000 |
| poultry | % | 13.3 | 57.2% | 23.3% | 6.1% | 100.0 | 697.9 16 | 0.000 |
| Milk & milk | N | 50 | 93 | 23 | 23 | 189 | 10 | |
| products | % | 26.5 | 49.2% | 12.2% | 12.2% | 100.0 | | |
| Fish | N | 69 | 68 | 35 | 13 | 185 | | |
| | % | 37.3 | 36.8% | 18.9% | 7.0% | 100.0 | | |
| Fat and oils | N | 34 | 83 | 43 | 28 | 188 | | |
| | % | 18.1 | 44.1% | 22.9% | 14.9% | 100.0 | | |
| Preserved | N | 29 | 80 | 50 | 37 | 196 | | |
| foods | % | 14.8 | 40.8% | 25.5% | 18.9% | 100.0 | | |
| Junk food | N | 15 | 75 | 51 | 56 | 197 | | |
| | % | 7.6 | 38.1% | 25.9% | 28.4% | 100.0 | | |
| Bakery | N | 56 | 71 | 42 | 24 | 193 | | |
| products | % | 29.0 | 36.8% | 21.8% | 12.4% | 100.0 | | |

The above table shows the frequency of food intake of the samples. 100 percent of the samples take cereals daily. 39.5 percent consume pulses daily and 38 percent weekly. Milk and milk products, meat and poultry consumed are weekly by 57.2 and 49.2 percent respectively. 7.6 percent of samples take junk food daily and 38.1 percent weekly. 29 percent of the samples consume bakery products daily. P value of food frequency is 0.000, and is therefore significant.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Preference of protein foods

The given table describes the protein food preference of the subjects.

Table 6 Preference of protein food

N = 200

| Criteria | Number* | Percentage |
|----------|---------|------------|
| Red meat | 25 | 12.5 |
| Poultry | 41 | 20.5 |
| Fish | 49 | 24.5 |
| Egg | 19 | 9.5 |
| Milk | 43 | 21.5 |
| Pulses | 49 | 24.5 |

^{*}Multiple response

The most preferred protein foods among samples are fish and pulses. 21.6 percent of subjects prefer fish and pulses. 19percent prefer milk and 18.14 percent prefer poultry. Least preferred food is egg (8.04 percent).

Fast food consumption

Table 7 shows the fast-food consumption of samples.

Table 7 Fast food consumption

N=200

| Criteria | | Freq | uenc | У | | | F | Percent | | | Total |
|------------------|----|------|------|----|----|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
| | OW | ROW | N | W | SW | OW | ROW | N | W | SW | % |
| Prefer fast food | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 0 | 8 | 33 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 26.2 | 38.9 | 6.7 | 24.5 |
| Yes | 9 | 24 | 93 | 11 | 14 | 100 | 75 | 73.8 | 61.1 | 93.3 | 75.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Most likely food | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Homely food | 5 | 21 | 92 | 16 | 11 | 55.6 | 65.6 | 73 | 88.8 | 73.3 | 72.5 |
| Fast food | 4 | 11 | 34 | 2 | 4 | 44.4 | 34.4 | 27 | 11.1 | 26.7 | 27.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meals from | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outside | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Never | 6 | 17 | 71 | 14 | 11 | 66.7 | 53.1 | 56.3 | 77.8 | 73.3 | 59.5 |
| 1-2 times | 2 | 15 | 51 | 4 | 4 | 22.2 | 46.9 | 40.5 | 22.2 | 26.7 | 38 |
| 3-4 times | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 |

^{*}Ow- over weight, Row-risk of overweight, N- normal, W - wasted, SW- sever wasted



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The above table shows that 75.5 percent of subjects prefer fast food and 24.5 percent do not prefer fast food. 100 percent of the overweight samples like fast food and 75 percent of the risk of overweight subjects like fast food. And also, 93.3 percent of severely wasted subjects also like fast food.

72.5 percent of total subjects like homely food more than fast food but 27.5 percent like fast food more. Homely food is the most likely food of the 55.6 percent overweight subjects.

Consumption of processed food

Table 8 reveals the consumption of bakery products and soft drinks.

Table 8 Consumption of processed food

N = 200

| Criteria | | Free | quenc | У | | | I | Percent | | | Total |
|----------------------|-----|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| | OW | ROW | N | W | SW | OW | ROW | N | W | SW | % |
| Like bakery products | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 13.3 | 11 |
| | 8 | 28 | 112 | 17 | 13 | 88.9 | 87.5 | 88.9 | 94.4 | 86.7 | 89 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consume soft drinks | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No Yes | 1 8 | 7 25 | 52 74 | 6 12 | 4 11 | 11.1 88.9 | 21.9 78.1 | 41.3 58.7 | 33.3 66.7 | 26.7 73.3 | 35 65 |

^{*}Ow- over weight, Row-risk of overweight, N- normal, W - wasted, SW- sever wasted

It is evident from Table 11, 89 percent of total subjects like bakery products and only 11 percent did not like. 88.9 percent of overweight subjects and 87.5 percent risk of overweight subjects also like bakery products. 94.4 percent of total wasted children like fast food. 65 percent subject consume soft drink while 35 percent do not consume. 88.9 percent consume of overweight subjects soft drink and 78.1 percent risk of overweight subjects consume soft drinks.

CONCLUSION

The study reveals that prevalence of childhood obesity in Anakkayam Panchayath is less. There are no obese samples in the study area and only few overweight and risk of overweight samples are there. Meal time is regular for most of samples and daily breakfast consumption helps to prevent obesity. Moderate physical activity, normal sleep pattern and reduced screen time also reduces chances of obesity. Among overweight samples consumption of processed food and fast food, reduced consumption of fruits and vegetables, less importance to breakfast, decreased time for meal consumption, reduced physical activities and increased sedentary lifestyle by screen use and mobile games favor the weight gain. Thus, the present study highlights the need for efforts to prevent childhood obesity with targeted programmes towards overweight children.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, A.D., Solorzano, C.M., McCartney, C.R. (2014). Childhood obesity and its impact on the development of adolescent PCOS. *Semin Reprod Med*, 32, 202-213.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- 2. Bleich, S.N., Segal, J., Wu, Y., Wilson, R., Wang, Y. (2013). Systematic Review of Community-Based Childhood Obesity Prevention Studies. *Pediatrics*, 132, 201.
- 3. Hurst, Y., Fukuda, H. (2018). Effects of changes in eating speed on obesity in patients with diabetes: a secondary analysis of longitudinal health check-up data. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019589
- 4. Ickes, M.J., McMullen, J., Haider, T., Sharma, M. (2014). Global school-based childhood obesity interventions: a review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 11, 8940–61.
- 5. Lobstein, T., Baur, L., Uauy, R. (2014). Obesity in children and young people: a crisis in public health". *Obes Rev.* Vol 5, 4–104.
- Mahmood, L., Flores-Barrantes, P., Moreno, L.A., Manios, Y., Gonzalez-Gil, E.M. (2021). The Influence of Parental Dietary Behaviors and Practices on Children's Eating Habits. *Nutrients*, Vol 13, 1138.
- 7. Pérez-Morales, E., Bacardí-Gascón, M., Jiménez-Cruz, A. (2013). Sugar-sweetened beverage intake before 6 years of age and weight or BMI status among older children; systematic review of prospective studies. *Nutr Hosp*, Vol 28, 47–51.
- 8. Smith, J.D., Montaño, Z., Dishion, T.J., Shaw, D.S., Wilson, M.N. (2015). Preventing weight gain and obesity: Indirect effects of a family-based intervention in early childhood. *Prevention Science*, Vol 16, 408–19.
- 9. Sorof, J.M., Lai, D., Turner, J., Poffenbarger, T., Portman, R.J. (2020). Overweight, Ethnicity, and the Prevalence of Hypertension in School-Aged Children. (Vol 113.
- 10. Story, M., Kaphingst, K.M., Robinson-O'Brien, R., Glanz, K. (2010). Creating healthy food and eating environments: Policy and environmental approaches. *Annu. Rev. Public Health.*, Vol 29, 253–272.
- 11. Sung-Chan, P., Sung, Y.W., Zhao, X., Brownson, R.C. (2013). Family-based models for childhood-obesity intervention: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Obesity Reviews*, Vol 14, 265–78.
- 12. Symonds, M.E., Mendez, M.A., Meltzer, H.M., Koletzko, B., Godfrey, K., Forsyth, S., (2013). Early life nutritional programming of obesity: mother-child cohort studies. *Ann Nutr Metab*, Vol 62, 137–45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000345598 PMID:23392264
- 13. Taquari, R. (2014). Childhood obesity and its cardiovascular implications: a current view. Vol 31, 1–5.
- 14. Taylor, E.D., Theim, K,R,, Mirch, M.C. (2016). Orthopedic complications of overweight in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*, Vol 117, 2167-2174.