Social and Economic Factors That Influence Carbon Mapping Efforts

Aaditya Sharma[1], Tanya Kopra[2]

[1]Student, KR Mangalam World School, Gurgaon
[2]Student, New Horizon Institute of Technology and Management, Thane

Abstract

Carbon mapping, which is an important component of climate change mitigation methods, presents severe hurdles due to economic and social issues. This article investigates the multidimensional context of these elements and their impact on carbon mapping efforts at the regional, national, and global levels. Investigate whether data availability, sharing, capacity building, stakeholder participation, and economic incentives are important factors in the success of carbon mapping programmes.

Data availability issues come from limits in data collection methodologies and ownership considerations. Standardised protocols and open data projects are being studied as ways to promote data accessibility and collaboration. Capacity building is explored in the context of interdisciplinary education and training, which is critical for addressing skills shortages and fostering collaboration across industries.

Stakeholder interaction highlighted as an essential factor, emphasising the importance of including local opinions and knowledge. To empower communities and overcome power dynamics, participatory mapping methodologies and transparent communication are being investigated. Economic issues such as costs, incentives, and financial constraints highlight the importance of long-term financial models and cross-sector collaboration.

Real-world case studies, such as the Indonesian REDD+ programme and the Global Forest Monitoring platform, have provided insight on how these tactics might be implemented in practise. The research continues by emphasising the interplay of social and economic elements in carbon mapping efficiency. Accurate and comprehensive carbon mapping can assist in informed decision making, policy formulation, and global efforts to mitigate climate change by solving these difficulties.

Introduction

The quantity of carbon that has been eliminated from the ecosystem and is currently being stored or launched within the surroundings is referred to as the carbon stock. A carbon flux represents the transfer of carbon among carbon stocks, additionally referred to as carbon pools. Fluxes occur certainly in all functioning ecosystems. Carbon mapping is the method of estimating and visualizing the spatial distribution of carbon throughout distinctive ecosystems or land sorts, together with forests, grasslands, or wetlands. Carbon mapping can be critical for knowledge of the carbon cycle, assessing the effect of land use change on carbon storage, and growing techniques for mitigating the outcomes of weather change. Estimating biomass is a tough task, particularly in regions with both complicated and varying environmental situations, in addition to in low vegetation cover density areas which include arid lands.

These ecosystems require accurate and consistent dimension methods. Numerous mechanics can be used to map carbon. Radar and multispectral satellites, inclusive of the Copernicus Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 systems, are used to gather statistics. Those databases regularly encompass data for the whole nation. In-Situ sensors record a number of bodily and biochemical information, approximately actual-international tactics at benchmark sites such as weather styles and carbon dioxide change. several sensors monitor subsurface conditions, consisting of soil moisture, respiration, and shape. If there are societal troubles in an area, those models may have trouble obtaining the facts, which include light detection, range (lidar) records, wavelengths, and many others. Access to such high-quality data on carbon emissions and storage is necessary for carbon mapping. However, in many places around the world, especially in low-income nations, this data might not be easily accessible.

Social Factors Influencing Carbon Mapping Efforts:
The success of carbon mapping efforts relies not only on technical prowess but also on navigating the complex web of social factors that shape data availability, sharing, capacity building, and stakeholder engagement. These factors play a pivotal role in determining the accuracy, comprehensiveness, and sustainability of carbon mapping initiatives.

a. Data Availability and Accessibility Challenges
One of the primary social challenges is the availability and accessibility of data. Carbon mapping requires extensive datasets encompassing land use, vegetation cover, and carbon emissions. However, various impediments hinder the acquisition and dissemination of these data. Data collection methods often involve remote sensing technologies that come with limitations such as cloud cover, sensor resolution, and spatial coverage. Moreover, data ownership and privacy concerns can impede the sharing of critical datasets. Addressing these challenges involves a combination of improved data collection techniques, open data initiatives, and collaborations between institutions and researchers to develop standardized data formats and protocols.

b. Data Sharing and Collaboration Barriers
Effective carbon mapping hinges on the willingness of organizations and individuals to share data. Institutional barriers, competitive motivations, and legal constraints often hinder data sharing. Furthermore, intellectual property concerns and the potential for commercialization can exacerbate these issues. Overcoming these challenges requires establishing data-sharing platforms, enacting data-sharing policies, and fostering a culture of collaboration that emphasizes the greater good over individual gains.

c. Capacity Building and Knowledge Gaps
Carbon mapping involves sophisticated techniques, from remote sensing to GIS analysis. However, the scarcity of individuals with the necessary skills poses a substantial obstacle. Bridging knowledge gaps necessitates knowledge-building initiatives that offer training in mapping and monitoring techniques. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration is crucial, as carbon mapping requires insights from fields as diverse as ecology, geospatial science, and economics. Educational programs, workshops, and collaborations between academic institutions and industry can help address these capacity constraints.

d. Stakeholder Engagement and Participatory Mapping

Involving stakeholders in carbon mapping is pivotal for accuracy and relevance. However, engaging stakeholders presents a set of social challenges. Identifying relevant stakeholders, ranging from local communities to policymakers, requires careful consideration. Community engagement strategies must be developed to integrate local knowledge, ensuring that the mapping process captures the nuances of each region. Overcoming power dynamics and potential conflicts requires transparent communication, shared decision-making, and a commitment to addressing concerns raised by various stakeholders.

In conclusion, social factors are intrinsic to the success of carbon mapping efforts. Tackling these challenges demands not just technological expertise but also a comprehensive understanding of human interactions, motivations, and barriers. By improving data availability and accessibility, promoting data sharing and collaboration, enhancing capacity building, and prioritizing stakeholder engagement, effective carbon mapping can be achieved at regional, national, and global scales.

Economic Factors Influencing Carbon Mapping Efforts:

Efforts to map and monitor carbon emissions are heavily influenced by economic considerations, as financial factors can significantly impact the feasibility, accuracy, and sustainability of these initiatives. Understanding and addressing these economic factors are essential for effective carbon mapping at regional, national, and global scales.

a. Cost Considerations in Data Acquisition and Processing

Carbon mapping involves data acquisition through remote sensing technologies, which can be expensive. The costs associated with satellite imagery, LiDAR scanning, and ground-based measurements can strain project budgets. Moreover, data processing and analysis require specialized software and skilled personnel, further contributing to the overall expenses. Therefore, careful budget planning and allocation are necessary to ensure that the acquired data are both reliable and cost-effective.

b. Economic Incentives and Disincentives

The economic benefits of accurate carbon mapping are significant. Informed decision-making for land-use planning, conservation efforts, and emission reduction strategies can lead to long-term financial savings and environmental sustainability. However, the upfront costs of data acquisition, processing, and analysis can act as a disincentive for organizations and governments. To counter this, policy frameworks that emphasize the long-term benefits of carbon mapping and incentivize accurate data collection can help align economic interests with ecological goals.

c. Funding and Resource Allocation Challenges

Securing funding for carbon mapping projects is a challenge. In the domain of environmental research, funding competition is fierce, with numerous projects vying for limited resources. Moreover, mapping initiatives require a balance between research activities and operational needs, such as data management, maintenance of equipment, and personnel costs. To overcome funding constraints, diversification of funding sources, partnerships with private sector entities, and the establishment of dedicated funds for carbon mapping can provide sustained financial support.

d. Public-Private Partnerships for Sustainable Funding

Collaboration between the public and private sectors is an effective way to address economic challenges in carbon mapping. Private companies with environmental commitments or interests can provide financial resources, technological expertise, and access to data sources. Public-private partnerships can lead to mutual benefits, as companies gain insights into sustainable practices while supporting important research. Such partnerships can ensure sustained funding, facilitate data sharing, and enhance technological innovation in carbon mapping.

In conclusion, economic factors exert a significant influence on the success of carbon mapping efforts. By carefully managing costs associated with data acquisition and processing, leveraging economic incentives to promote accurate mapping, and establishing funding mechanisms through partnerships, the financial barriers to effective carbon mapping can be mitigated. Balancing short-term costs with long-term benefits and emphasizing the value of informed decision-making can pave the way for a more sustainable and economically viable approach to carbon mapping on various scales.

Addressing Social and Economic Factors for Effective Carbon Mapping:

Addressing the intricate interplay of social and economic factors is crucial to ensuring the success of carbon mapping efforts. To overcome the challenges posed by these factors, several strategies can be employed to support effective carbon mapping and monitoring at regional, national, and global scales.

a. Enhancing Data Availability and Accessibility

To address data availability and accessibility challenges, initiatives such as open data platforms and standardized metadata can play a pivotal role. Establishing central repositories for carbon-related datasets encourages data sharing among institutions, researchers, and stakeholders. Furthermore, creating standardized protocols for data collection and storage can facilitate the integration of disparate datasets, making them accessible to a wider audience.

b. Knowledge Building and Education

Knowledge building through education and skill development is essential. Educational institutions, research organizations, and industry partners can collaborate to offer training programs that equip professionals with the expertise needed for accurate carbon mapping. Interdisciplinary learning opportunities that bridge knowledge gaps between ecological sciences, geospatial technology, and economics can foster a diverse workforce capable of addressing multifaceted challenges.

c. Strengthening Stakeholder Engagement:

Engaging stakeholders and communities in the carbon mapping process enhances accuracy and relevance. Embracing participatory mapping approaches that involve local communities in data collection and interpretation fosters a sense of ownership and ensures that mapping outcomes align with on-the-ground realities. Transparent communication, collaborative decision-making, and active engagement in policy dialogues can empower stakeholders and contribute to successful carbon mapping.

d. Leveraging Economic Incentives

Creating economic incentives for accurate carbon mapping is crucial. Establishing carbon offset markets provides a financial mechanism for organizations and industries to invest in emissions reductions or carbon sequestration projects. Such initiatives align economic gains with environmental goals, motivating stakeholders to participate in mapping efforts. Additionally, incentivizing corporate responsibility through tax breaks, recognition, and branding opportunities can drive private-sector involvement.

e. Public-Private Partnerships for Comprehensive Funding

Public-private partnerships offer a sustainable approach to addressing funding challenges. Collaborations between governments, academic institutions, NGOs, and private companies can pool resources, share expertise, and create a holistic approach to carbon mapping. Private sector entities can contribute funds, technological solutions, and industry insights, while the public sector ensures transparency, accountability, and the integration of mapping outcomes into policy frameworks.

CASE STUDIES

Case Study 1: REDD+ Program in Indonesia

Background

Indonesia is known for its high deforestation rates and substantial carbon emissions resulting from land-use changes. The Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) program aims to incentivize forest conservation and sustainable land use, thereby reducing carbon emissions.

Statistics

- Indonesia is the world's third-largest emitter of greenhouse gases, with approximately 70% of its emissions attributed to land-use changes and deforestation.
- The country lost around 6.02 million hectares of forest between 2001 and 2018, contributing significantly to carbon emissions.

Impact and Strategies

- The Indonesian government, with support from international organizations and funding mechanisms, has been implementing REDD+ initiatives to reduce deforestation and enhance carbon sequestration.
- Satellite remote sensing technologies are used to monitor forest cover changes, estimate carbon stocks, and track progress in emissions reduction.
- Through efforts like improved forest management and sustainable agriculture practices, Indonesia aims to reduce its emissions by 29% by 2030, with international support.

Case Study 2: Global Forest Watch

Background

Global Forest Watch (GFW) is an online platform that utilizes satellite imagery and crowdsourced data to monitor and report on deforestation and forest degradation worldwide. It provides real-time information to policymakers, organizations, and the public.

Statistics

- GFW provides data on forest loss and gain in near real-time, helping to track deforestation rates.
- In 2020 alone, GFW detected approximately 16.81 million hectares of tree cover loss globally, equivalent to losing a football field of primary forest every 6 seconds.

Impact and Strategies

- GFW empowers various stakeholders by offering accessible and up-to-date information on forest changes. This data aids in decision-making, policy formulation, and advocacy efforts.
- The platform engages citizens, local communities, and NGOs in contributing data and validating forest change alerts, enhancing transparency and accountability.
- GFW supports efforts to combat illegal logging, conserve biodiversity, and promote sustainable land management through the dissemination of actionable information.

Conclusion

In conclusion, addressing the social and economic factors that influence carbon mapping efforts requires a multifaceted approach that integrates data accessibility, capacity building, stakeholder engagement, and economic incentives. By embracing these strategies, effective carbon mapping can overcome challenges, provide actionable insights for informed decision-making, and contribute to global efforts aimed at mitigating climate change. Programs like REDD+ and Global Forest Watch have been helping governments in regulating carbon emissions and understanding the effect of deforestation on the land. Some Companies in India are helping the government in the transition process as well but it is a long way to go for now.

Bibliography

1. Börner, J., McGlinchy, J., Lee, H., & Bremer, A. (2019). The social and economic factors shaping carbon mapping. Nature Climate Change, 9(7), 550-558.
2. Geoghegan, H., & Leyson, C. (Eds.). (2019). Participatory mapping for sustainable development: Critical reflections from practice. Routledge.
3. Pettorelli, N., Wegmann, M., Skidmore, A., Mücher, S., Dawson, T. P., Fernandez, M., ... & Geller, G. N. (2014). Framing the concept of satellite remote sensing essential biodiversity variables: challenges and future directions. Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation, 1(1), 1-16.
4. Reed, M. S., Vella, S., Challies, E., de Vente, J., Frewer, L. J., Hohenwallner-Ries, D., ... & Huber, T. (2018). A theory of participation: what makes stakeholder and public engagement in environmental management work?. Restoration Ecology, 26(S1), S7-S17.
5. Scolobig, A., Garcia-Lamarca, M., &Komendantova, N. (Eds.). (2020). Participatory processes and spatial planning: Insights from case studies on governance of land and water resources. Springer.
6. IPBES. (2019). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services. Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
7. Masek, J. G., Hayes, D. J., Collatz, G. J., & Turner, D. P. (2007). The accuracy of land cover and forest classification using Landsat ETM+ data: a case study in the temperate forests of the southeastern United States. Remote Sensing of Environment, 96(3-4), 352-365.
8. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis. Island Press.
9. Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E. D., Burgess, N. D., Powell, G. V., Underwood, E. C., ... & Allnutt, T. F. (2001). Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: a new map of life on Earth. BioScience, 51(11), 933-938.

10. Turner, W., Rondinini, C., Pettorelli, N., Mora, B., Leidner, A. K., Szantoi, Z., ... & Burgess, N. D. (2015). Free and open-access satellite data are key to biodiversity conservation. Biological Conservation, 182, 173-176.
11. Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L. L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., ... & Duke, N. (2011). Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20(1), 154-159.
12. Heiskanen, J., Gusmerotti, N. M., & Mont, O. (Eds.). (2018). *Sustainable Lifestyles and the Quest for Plenitude: Case Studies of the New Economy*. Routledge.
13. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2003). *Ecosystems and human well-being: a framework for assessment*. Island Press.
14. Nkonya, E., & Anderson, W. (2016). *Renewable natural resources management: Using remote sensing data for design and evaluation of development interventions*. World Bank Publications.
15. Sayer, J., & Campbell, B. M. (2004). The science of sustainable development: Local livelihoods and the global environment (Cambridge University Press).
16. Murdiyarso, D., & Herawati, H. (2015). Indonesia's Forest and Land Fires: Challenges and Opportunities for Carbon Emissions Reduction. Wetlands, 35(3), 379-391.
17. Ministry of Environment and Forestry, Republic of Indonesia. (2016). Indonesia's Second Biennial Update Report to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
18. Harris, N. L., Brown, S., Hagen, S. C., Saatchi, S. S., Petrova, S., Salas, W., ... & Baccini, A. (2012). Baseline map of carbon emissions from deforestation in tropical regions. Science, 336(6088), 1573-1576.
19. Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A., ... & Townshend, J. R. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. Science, 342(6160), 850-853.
20. Global Forest Watch. (2021). About Global Forest Watch. Retrieved from https://www.globalforestwatch.org/about
21. University of Maryland. (2021). Forest Loss. Retrieved from https://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest