

Comparative Study on SIFT and SURF Algorithms

Deepthi C G¹, Keerthishree B T², Shruthi G K³

^{1,2,3}Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Adichunchanagiri Institute of Technology, Chikkamagaluru

Abstract:

There are different strategies that express the finest procedures to coordinate the image yet in authenticity, the capacity of any strategy is limited in the territory of test framework and suppositions estimated by the analysts. Shape recognition is one of the vital viewpoints in Computer Vision. From different perspective the issues of object recognition have been resolved and the variety in the recognition technique is as yet going on. Different calculations and strategies are utilized as a part of Shape Recognition and coordinating procedure. In this paper the short examination of Speeded-Up Robust Feature and Scale Invariant Feature Transform is done.

Keywords: SIFT, SURF, Shape Recognition, Feature Matching

Introduction:

Image matching is one of the significant advancement in PC vision. Keeping in mind the end goal to accomplish this shape recognition of a image is essential. The shape of an object is a regular and important characteristic of the object which has a significant capacity in object recognition. There are number of representation in information aspects and among them object recognition certainly has extensive accumulation of use in mechanical autonomy, unique finger impression examination, handwriting mapping, face recognition, remote sensors and so on. Diverse systems for 2D shape portrayal and acknowledgment have been accounted for. The helpful acknowledgment calculation ought to be not so much intricate but rather more right. The shape of the object is a gathering of pixels which makes a picture.

Literature Survey:

In this section different work that has done in the Shape recognition by using SIFT and SURF is explained.

In Evaluation of SIFT and SURF Features in the Songket Recognition[1] paper , The Songket recognition is a difficult task. The SIFT and SURF, are used as feature descriptors, and measured as potential features in pattern matching. The Songket is a unique pattern originated in Indonesia; The Songket Palembang is used in this study. One image in the Songket Palembang may has various different basic patterns. It is evaluated with the SIFT and SURF technique.

In Performance Evaluation of Feature Detection and Feature Matching for Stereo Visual Odometry Using SIFT and SURF[2] paper. Both SIFT and SURF are used for Feature Detection and Feature Matching in Stereo visual Odometry system.

In Fast and Robust Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection Using SURF and SIFT Image Features[3] paper, A method to notice Copy-Move Forgery is done to carry image forgery detection. Copy-Move is a very widespread method for image forgery; retouching tools are applied along with it which makes Copy-Move is undetectable to the naked eye. An image can be forged using Copy-Move method to duplicate or hide unwanted things. When few object is Copy-Moved with the help of geometrical and illumination change, it becomes complicated to detect that object.

Speed up Robust Feature (SURF) and Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) are invariant with respect to geometrical and illumination transform. For a assumed image, it can reliably recognize if a specific region has been duplicated, with very fast speed and high accuracy.

In Measuring the Similarity of Protein Structures Using Image Local Feature Descriptors SIFT and SURF[4] paper, This paper gives similar protein structure by using SIFT and SURF.

In An Object Tracking System Based on SIFT and SURF Feature Extraction Methods[5] paper, In this paper, An object detection and tracking system which is based on Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) and Speed Up Robust Features (SURF) feature extraction methods is proposed for moving

In Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection using SIFT, HOG and SURF Features[6] paper, Copy-Move is easy and well known technique of image tampering, where for hiding or exposing some object scene in a image, a area of the image is copied and

then pasted onto a different region in the same image. The comparison using the CMFD (Copy-Move Forgery Detection) using Image features like SIFT ,HOG and SURF and hybrid features (SURF-HOG and SIFT-HOG).

In Concealed Weapon Detection from images using SIFT and SURF[7] paper, This paper presents technique for feature extraction from images in order to identify weapons particularly various shapes of guns. Most useful algorithms SIFT and SURF are used for feature extraction which is applied on database of images of luggage. In this method, input image is divided into parts in order to identify multiple guns of same or different type objects. Monitoring system is done by sensors and wireless camera.

In Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection using SIFT, HOG and SURF Features[6] paper, Copy-Move is easy and well known technique of image tampering, where for hiding or exposing some object scene in a image, a area of the image is copied and

Sl No.	Paper Name	Author	Application area	Dataset	Result
1	valuation of SIFT and SURF Features in the Songket Recognition	Dominikus Willy, Ary Noviyanto, Aniasi Murni Arymurthy	Songket Recognition	Basic motifs of Songket Palembang. Ie, Cantik Manis, Kenango Makan	The SURF was 9 times Faster in average than SIFT in the feature extraction task and around 12 times faster in average in the matching task.

				Ulet, Nago Besaung, and Nampan Perak.	
2	Performance Evaluation of Feature Detection and Feature Matching for Stereo Visual Odometry Using SIFT and SURF	Norhayati Mohd Suaib, Mohammad Hamiruce Marhaban, M. Iqbal Saripan, and Siti Anom Ahmad	Visual Odometry	Amsterdam Hague dataset	Average Execution time of SIFT is 2.41 sec and SURF is 0.85 sec descriptors in one frame .
3	Fast and Robust Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection Using SURF and SIFT Image Features	Ramesh Chand Pandey, Sanjay Kumar Singh, K. K. Shukla and Rishabh Agrawal	Image Forgery	MICC – F220	SIFT is more distinctive than SURF but a bit slower because of the high dimensionality of the descriptor. SIFT gives highly accurate
4	Measuring the Similarity of Protein Structures Using Image Local Feature Descriptors SIFT and SURF	Morihiro Hayashida, Hitoshi Koyano, Tatsuya Akutsu	To find similar Protein structure	Chew-Kedem dataset and the Sierk-Pearson dataset	Execution time of SURF is less than SIFT and for both it is less than 40 milliseconds
5	An Object Tracking System Based on SIFT and SURF Feature Extraction Methods	Yuki Sakai, Tetsuya Oda, Makoto Ikeda and Leonard Barolli	Remote Monitoring System, Intelligent Traffic System	Few Sample Images are taken	Accuracy of keypoints that are matched with SURF is more than SIFT
6	Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection using SIFT, HOG and SURF Features	Shiv Prasad, B. Ramkumar	Image Forgery	MICC– F220	CMFD using SIFT gives Accuracy 98.64%, Precision 98.20, Recall 99.09, False

					Positive rate is 3.36 And CMFD using SURF gives Accuracy 97.27, Precision 97.27, Recall 97.27 and False Positive Rate 0
7	Concealed Weapon Detection from images using SIFT and SURF	Amanjeet Kaur, Dr. Lakhwinder Kaur	In military	Images with various shapes of gun	SIFT gives 90% efficiency in feature extraction surf also gives almost same result. But Processing speed of SURF is faster than SIFT
8	Video Stabilization for an Aerial Surveillance System Using Sift and Surf	Jagdeep Kaur, Ashok Kumar Bathla	In Aerial Surveillance System	Aerial Video in .avi format with 60 frames .	By using SURF computation was faster than SIFT
9	Signature recognition by using SIFT and SURF with SVM basic on RBF for voting online	Abdilbaree Talib Nasser, Nuran Dogru	In Signature Recognition	CASIA database	SIFT with SVM based RBF gives accuracy 98.75% and SURF with SVM based RBF gives 96.25%
10	Comparative Analysis of SIFT and SURF on KLT Tracker for UAV Applications	A.Ancy Micheal and K.Vani	unmanned aerial vehicles	Videos with Moving Object	The SIFT track the object with detection rate of 87.3% , low false positive rate of 12.6%. ,Result of SURF was similar to SIFT but it was not stable w.r.t illumination rotation variance
11	A Novel approach for Matching Composite Sketches to Mugshot Photos using the Fusion of SIFT and SURF Feature Descriptor	Kokila R, Sannidhan MS, Abhir Bhandary	In Forensic sketches	PRIP-HDC	With SURF the accuracy was 77.27% and with SIFT the accuracy was 90%.

REFERENCES

1. D.Willy,A.Noviyanto,"Evaluation of SIFT and SURF Features in the Songket Recognition,"ICAC SIS,2013
2. N.M.Suaib,M Hamiruce,"Performance Evaluation of Feature Detection and Feature Matching for Stereo Visual Odometry",IEEE Region 10 Symposium,2014
3. R.C.Pandey,S.K.Singh,"Fast and Robust Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection Using SURF and SIFT Image Features",2014
4. M.Hayashida,H.Koyana,"Measuring the Similarity of Protein Structures using Image Local Feature Descriptor SIFT and SURF",8th International Conference on Systems Biology,2014
5. Y.Sakai,T Oda,"An Object Tracking System Based on SIFT and SURF Feature Extraction Methods",18th International Conference on Network-Based Information System,2015
6. S.Prasad,B.Ramkumar, "Passive Copy-Move Forgery Detection using SIFT,HOG and SURF features",International Conference On Recent Trends In Electronics Information Communication Technology,2016
7. A.Kaur,L.Kaur,"Concealed Weapon Detection from Images using SIFT and SURF",Online International Conference on Green Engineering and Technologies,2016
8. Kokila,Sannidhan,"A Novel approach for Matching Composite Sketches to Mugshot Photos using Fusion of SIFT and SURF",2017
9. A.Ancy,"Comparative Analysis of SIFT and SURF on KLT Tracker for UAV Applications",International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing,2017
10. A.T.Nasser,"Signature recognition by using SIFT and SURF with SVM basic on RBF for Voting online,ICET,2017