

Foraging *Pteropus Medius* at Urbanized Ntr Gardens Hyderabad

Prakash Thuljapurkar

Vivek Vardhini Education Society, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

ABSTRACT

Persistence of wildlife in urban environments may be linked to opportunism and a high degree of ecological and behavioural plasticity public interest in bats has vastly outstripped scientific research about them, presenting interesting challenges and opportunities for bat biologists. Bats are adaptive in nature and find refuge in old ruins, temples, and abandoned buildings; these structures are also being replaced or renovated and thereby bats are losing favourable places for roosting. Bats are particularly susceptible to anthropogenic changes because of their low reproductive rate, longevity, and high metabolic rates. Bats (order Chiroptera) include more than 120 species are found in India. Extant species, forming the second largest mammalian order, and are unique among mammals in their evolution of powered flight. Bats are particularly susceptible to these human-induced perturbations of habitats because of their distinct life history. Being a passionate naturalist, citizen, explorer, adventurer and a wildlife photographer an attempt has been made to study Bats and its activities like roosting and foraging at local recreation park. NTR Gardens at Hyderabad Telengana state India.

Keywords: Bats, Urbanisation, Parks in Hyderabad.

Urbanisation is said to cause ecological damage, posing significant threats to global biodiversity. Bats are a highly diverse group of mammals that occur worldwide, and many species persist in cities. The fruit bats have large foraging range often ranging more than 50Km. The bats play a very important role in ecology by acting as seed dispersal's and insect control. The diet of the bats varies from fruits, flowers, nectar, insects, birds, fish and even small mammals. Bats usually consume almost one third their body weight of food. The megabats which are usually larger in size feed on fruits, flowers, pollen and nectar. Many animals, however, disappear from cities because they depend on habitat features that no longer exist (Gilbert 1989; McKinney 2002; Luniak 2004; Haupt et al. 2006;) Some species in urban areas also suffer from additional stress (Isaksson 2010), increased infection and parasitism rates (Giraudeau et al. 2014) and reductions in potential reproductive success (Chamberlain et al. 2009). Urbanisation can also trigger a change in behaviour (Ditchkoff et al. 2006; Grimm et al. 2008).



Figure 1 Ferocious behaviour of Pteropus



Figure 2 Abnormal Mating behaviour of Pteropus during day time

OBSERVATIONS

Hyderabad city is fully urbanized and provide a more thermally stable environment. The planting of attractive introduced and native plant species throughout the gardens in the city also changed the resources available to fauna, for example by providing nectar or fruits throughout the year. Urbanisation results in extreme forms of land use alteration. (Shochat et al. 2006; Grimm et al. 2008). Anthropogenic changes in urban ecosystems typically occur at rates drastically faster than long-lived organisms are capable of adapting to, and thus disrupt ecological processes that historically governed community structure some wildlife species are able to adjust to a life in urban areas. Bats likely form the most diverse group of mammals remaining in urban areas (van der Ree and McCarthy 2005; Jung and Kalko 2011). Of the studies conducted in urban landscapes to date, many show that overall bat activity and species richness are greatest in more natural areas, and decreases with increasing urban influence (Kurta and Teramino 1992; Walsh and Harris 1996; Gaisler et al. 1998; Legakis et al. 2000; Lesiński et al. 2000). However, certain bat species may better be able to adapt to urban landscapes (Avila-Flores and Fenton 2005; Duchamp and Swihart 2008).

Urbanisation can also trigger a change in behaviour. Bats are known to be nocturnal animals which are active during night times but it was observed that bats in the recreational parks were active in day light. This may be adaptation to change in habitat and influence of urbanisation impact.

It was also observed that the studies conducted in urban landscapes gardens showed overall bat activity and species richness under urban influence. In the present study it was observed that bats were active

during day time and were highly roosted and foraging on *Ficus religiosa* and on *Butea monosperma* trees of NTR gardens Hyderabad, Telangana state India. Many of them were ferocious, exhibited breeding phenomena sexual activities mating during day time. It was also observed that, in general, habitat use of bats increased in gardens and landscapes of Hyderabad. A high degree of urbanisation had a stronger positive effect on habitat use.

REFERENCES

1. Avila-Flores R, Fenton B. 2005. Use of spatial features by foraging insectivorous bats in a large urban landscape. *J Mammal* 86(6):1193–1204.
2. Chamberlain DE, Cannon AR, Toms MP, Leech DI, Hatchwell BJ, Gaston KJ. 2009. Avian productivity in urban landscapes: a review and meta-analysis. *Ibis* 151(1):1–18.
3. Ditchkoff SS, Saalfeld ST, Gibson CJ. 2006. Animal behavior in urban ecosystems: Modifications due to human-induced stress. *Urban Ecosyst.*, 9(1):5–12.
4. Duchamp J, Swihart R (2008) Shifts in bat community structure related to evolved traits and features of human-altered landscapes. *Landscape Ecol* 23(7):849.
5. Gaisler J, Zúkal J, Rehak Z, Homolka M. 1998. Habitat preference and flight activity of bats in a city. *J Zool.*, 244(3):439–445.
6. Gilbert OL. 1989. The ecology of urban habitats. Chapman & Hall, London.
7. Giraudeau M, Mousel M, Earl S, McGraw K. 2014. Parasites in the city: degree of urbanization predicts pox virus and coccidian infections in house finches (*Haemorrhous mexicanus*). *PLoS ONE* 9(2):e86747.
8. Grimm NB, Faeth SH, Golubiewski NE, Redman CL, Wu J, Bai X, Briggs JM. 2008. Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science* 319(5864):756–760.
9. Haupt M, Menzler S, Schmidt S. 2006. Flexibility of habitat use in *Eptesicus nilssonii*: does the species profit from anthropogenically altered habitats? *J Mammal* 87(2):351–361.
10. Jung K, Kalko EKV (2011) Adaptability and vulnerability of high flying Neotropical aerial insectivorous bats to urbanization. *Divers Distrib* 17(2):262–274.
11. Kurta A, Teramino JA. 1992. Bat community structure in an urban park. *Ecography* 15:257–261.
12. Legakis A, Papdimitriou C, Gaetglic M, Lazaris D. 2000. Survey of bats of the Athens metropolitan area. *Myotis* 38:41–46.
13. Lesiński G, Eb Fuszara, Kowalski M. 2000. Foraging areas and relative density of bats (Chiroptera) in differently human transformed landscapes. *Z Säugetierkunde* 65: 129–137.
14. Luniak M, (2004) Synurbanization—adaptation of animal wildlife to urban development. In: Shaw WW, Harris LK, VanDruff L (eds) *Proceedings 4th international urban wildlife symposium*. University of Arizona, Tucson, USA, pp 50–55.
15. McKinney ML. 2002. Urbanization, biodiversity, and conservation. *Bioscience* 52(10):883–890.
16. Shochat E, Warren PS, Faeth SH, McIntyre NE, Hope D. 2006. From patterns to emerging processes in mechanistic urban ecology. *Trends EcolEvol* 21(4):186–191.
17. Van der Ree R, McCarthy MA. 2005. Inferring persistence of indigenous mammals in response to urbanisation. *Animal Conservation* 8(3):309–319.