

Effect of Viable Mutants in M₂ Generation of Urdbean *Vigna Mungo* (L.) Hepper Through Induced Mutation in Variety ADT 3 and Co 6

S. Anandhi Lavanya¹, C. Vanniarajan², J. Souframanien³

¹Associate Professor, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai - 600073

²Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, 625104

³Nuclear Agriculture and Biotechnology Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India

Abstract:

Mutations were induced in Black gram (*Vigna Mungo* (L.) Hepper) varieties namely ADT 3 and Co- 6. Seeds treated with gamma rays doses at 200, 300 and 400 Gy and Electron beam viz., 200, 300 and 400 Gy and combined treatment (Electron beam + gamma rays) 200+200, 300+300 and 400 +400 Gy. Different types of Macro mutants and micro mutants were screened in M₂ generation. The frequency and the spectrum of the viable mutants were estimated in M₂ generation both in M₁ plants basis and M₂ seedling basis. The highest frequency of viable mutants was recorded in ADT 3. The highest number of mutants was recorded in electron beam followed by Gamma rays and combined treatment (Electron beam + gamma rays). The present study reveals good scope for isolation of suitable morphological traits mutants induced may be useful in breeding programmes for the improvement of blackgram with agronomically desirable character which force is utilized in future breeding programme.

Keywords: Blackgram, Gamma rays, Electron beam, Viable mutants

Introduction

Black gram is an important kharif crop in India grown on about 2.7 lakh hectares. The seeds are mostly consumed by the people owing to its high protein content (Akhaury, 1991). The natural productivity of black gram is only 480 kg/ha (Chaturvedi and Ali, 2002). This low yield may be due to non availability of high yielding and disease resistant varieties. Natural variability is an essential pre-requisite for any successful breeding programme. Mutation breeding is a supplementary breeding programme to identify the mutants with high yield potential, early maturity, disease and pests resistance (Singh, 1981). Mutation breeding requires handling of more population as chances of induction and detection of mutation in a particular gene is rare. This increases the cost of breeding and create the selection procedure time consuming and unvarying.

The mutagens are gamma rays, electron beam and combined treatment (Electron beam + gamma rays) belong to three non- identical categories. Therefore, study were undertaken to study the comparative mutagenicity of these mutagens under similar treatment conditions. Chlorophyll mutations

although not useful for plant breeding purpose, may be used to evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of mutagens in order to choose suitable mutagen at appropriate concentration so as to use them in applied mutagenesis programme. In the present study, the effect of gamma rays, electron beam and combined treatment (Electron beam + Gamma rays) employed singly or in combinations was studied on frequency and spectrum of viable macro mutations in M_2 generation in urdbean.

Materials and Methods

The dried seeds of the blackgram varieties ADT 3 and CO 6 were exposed with gamma cell and exposed to gamma irradiation of 2000 curie ^{60}Co gamma source for suitable time at 25C by moving down the cylindrical gasket carrying the seeds at the Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Kharghar, Navi Mumbai, India at 200, 300 and 400 Gy dose and electron beam at 200, 300 and 400gy and combination treatment (Electronbeam and gamma ray) at 200 , 300, and 400 gy .for each treatment , well filled 500 seeds with the moisture content of Beam Centre, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Kharghar, Navi Mumbai, India. The seeds of ADT 3 and Co 6 were irradiated apply 10 MeV electron beam from electron accelerator facility at Electron Beam Centre, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Kharghar, Navi Mumbai, India.

For sowing of M_2 generations, the seeds of randomly evaluated plants of M_1 generation were space planted in the field in two replications. M_2 generation was selected for lethal chlorophyll mutations during the first four weeks, after germination. Whereas, viable macro mutants were scored throughout the crop duration. The population was screened for viable macro mutations according to the procedure given by Gustafsson (1947) with appropriate modifications.

Viable mutants

The frequency and spectrum of non - identical types of viable mutants were achieved at various developmental stages of M_2 plants particularly from flowering to maturity period. These mutants were classified as deviation from the normal looking plants and taking into consideration of the most conspicuous characters namely, stature, duration, leaf shape, pod size etc. The frequency and spectrum of viable mutants were calculated on M_2 seedling basis.

Non-viable mutants

The non-viable mutations were scored on M_2 plants from the 50% flowering stage to maturity. Sterile and non flowering mutants were identified in M_2 generation. The scores for chlorophyll, viable and non-viable mutations were considered together for estimating the total mutation frequency.

Results and Discussion

The viable mutants were scored in M_2 generation based on their phenotypic expression on different characters. They were categorized into several groups as stature, duration, leaf, pod and seed. The mutant frequency computed in the segregating families and the spectrum of viable mutants in M_2 generation are presented in Table 1 and 2.

The viable mutants were scored in M_2 generation based on their phenotypic changes in quality attributes. The viable mutants were grouped based on variability in plant height, leaf modification, podding habit, branching habit, inflorescence modification, seed and other characters in ADT 3 and CO 6. A total of 156 mutants in ADT 3 and 106 mutants in CO 6 from gamma irradiated population, 213

mutants in ADT 3 and 139 mutants in CO 6 from electron beam treated and 139 mutants in ADT 3 and 88 mutants in CO 6 from combined treatment (electron beam + gamma rays) populations were identified. Similar result of comparison of genotypes in inducing viable mutations was reported by Thanga Hemavathy and Balaji (2007), Senapati *et al.* (2008) and Yogalakshmi (2013), Loyavar Ramchander *et al.* (2016) in black gram; Dhanavel *et al.* (2012) Girija and Dhanavel (2009), Ashok Kumar, *et al.* (2009) in cowpea.

Viable macro mutations with changes in attributes like stature mutants namely dwarf, tall, trailing, spreading and duration mutants like early and late mutants were observed in both the varieties *viz.*, ADT 3 and CO 6.

The plant stature such as tall mutants with range from 50.40 to 63.60 cm height were found in ADT 3 and tall mutants with range from 52.50 to 61.2 cm in CO 6. Similar mutants were obtained by Juliet Hepziba and Subramanian (2002), Senapati *et al.* (2008), Kumar *et al.* (2009), Arulbalachandran and Mullainathan (2009), Makeen *et al.*, (2013) and Senbagam (2014) in blackgram.

Frequency of non-viable mutants was lower when expressed on M₂ seedlings basis. In the treated populations, higher doses produced high number of non-viable mutants. In the present investigation, different type of sterile mutants *viz.*, completely sterile and semi sterile mutants were identified. Similar types of mutants had been reported by Ranjan Tah (2006) in greengram, Deepalakshmi and Anandakumar (2004), Arulbalachandran and Mullainathan (2009), Usharani and Anandakumar (2015), Surendar (2014) in blackgram.

Conclusion

In Morphological, mutants are viable and useful to breeding approach to obtain suitable ideotype in greengram. The two methods, combination of recombination and mutagenesis and among the mutagens, electron beam irradiation can be used to create a large variations for quantitative trait. The various induced morphological mutants isolated in the present study may be used in the genetic studies as well as in the improvement of grain yield and quality.

Table 1. Frequency of viable and non-viable mutation in M₂ generation- ADT 3

S.No	Mutants	Frequency			Percentage		
		Gamma rays	Electron beam	Electron beam + Gamma rays	Gamma rays	Electron beam	Electron beam + Gamma rays
1. Cotyledonary abnormalities (Viable mutants)							
A	One leaf cotyledon	1	2	2	0.64	0.94	1.44
B	Tricotyledon	2	2	1	1.28	0.94	0.72
2. Stature mutants							
A	Tall type	9	13	7	5.77	6.10	5.04
B	Dwarf type	10	15	11	6.41	7.04	7.91
C	Spreading type	6	7	6	3.85	3.29	4.32
D	Trailing type	7	9	8	4.49	4.23	5.76
E	Bushy	19	22	16	11.54	10.33	11.51

F	Determinate	8	16	9	5.13	6.57	6.47
G	Open	5	9	7	3.21	4.23	5.04
H	Twinning	9	17	5	5.77	7.98	3.60
3. Duration mutants							
A	Early type	13	16	11	8.33	7.51	7.91
B	Late type	7	5	8	4.49	2.35	5.76
C	Synchronized maturity	14	13	9	7.05	6.10	6.47
4. Leaf mutant							
A	Wrinkled leaf	2	1	3	1.28	0.47	2.16
B	Narrow leaf mutant	3	2	1	1.92	0.94	0.72
C	Broad leaf mutant	4	5	3	2.56	2.35	2.16
D	Tetra leaves	2	4	2	1.28	1.88	1.44
E	Anthocyanin pigment leaves	4	6	1	2.56	2.82	0.72
5. Pod mutants							
A	Lengthy pod mutant	7	9	8	4.49	4.23	5.76
B	Profuse pods per cluster	2	3	2	1.28	1.41	1.44
C	Brown colour hairs on pod	3	2	1	1.92	0.94	0.72
D	Top podding mutant	17	29	15	10.90	12.68	10.79
6. High yield mutant		4	6	3	2.56	2.82	2.16
7. Chimeric mutant		1	2	0	0.64	0.94	0.00
8. Sterile mutant (Non Viable)		1	2	0	0.64	1.28	0.00
Total		161	215	139			

Table 2. Frequency of viable and non-viable mutation in M₂ generation- CO 6

S.No	Mutants	Frequency			Percentage		
		Gamma rays	Electron beam	Electron beam + Gamma rays	Gamma rays	Electron beam	Electron beam + Gamma rays
1. Cotyledonary abnormalities (Viable mutants)							
A	One leaf cotyledon	1	2	2	0.94	1.38	2.27
B	Tricotyledon	1	1	1	0.94	0.69	1.14
2. Stature mutants							
A	Tall type	7	10	5	6.60	6.90	5.68
B	Dwarf type	8	11	7	7.55	7.59	7.95
C	Spreading type	5	6	5	4.72	4.14	5.68
D	Trailing type	3	5	2	2.83	3.45	2.27
E	Bushy	12	14	11	11.32	9.66	12.50

F	Determinate	8	11	7	7.55	7.59	7.95
G	Open	5	7	6	4.72	4.83	6.82
H	Twinning	7	9	4	6.60	6.21	4.55
3. Duration mutants							
A	Early type	11	12	9	10.38	8.28	10.23
B	Late type	4	7	5	3.77	4.83	5.68
C	Synchronized maturity	6	7	4	5.66	4.83	4.55
4. Leaf mutant							
A	Wrinkled leaf	1	1	1	0.94	0.69	1.14
B	Narrow leaf mutant	2	2	1	1.89	1.38	1.14
C	Broad leaf mutant	2	3	1	1.89	2.07	1.14
D	Tetra leaves	2	3	1	1.89	2.07	1.14
E	Anthocyanin pigment leaves	1	1	1	0.94	0.69	1.14
5. Pod mutants							
A	Lengthy pod mutant	2	5	1	1.89	3.45	1.14
B	Profuse pods per cluster	1	2	1	0.94	1.38	1.14
C	Brown colour hairs on pod	1	1	1	0.94	0.69	1.14
D	Top podding mutant	13	19	10	12.26	13.10	11.36
6. High yield mutant		2	4	1	1.89	2.76	1.14
7. Chimeric mutant		1	1	1	0.94	0.69	1.14
8. Sterile mutant (Non Viable)		0	1	0	0.00	0.69	0.00
Total		106	145	88			

References

1. Akhaury, S.B, 1991. Effect of gamma irradiation on the improvement of mungbean and blackgram. Genetic improvement of pulse crops. Book traders publication. Hyderabad., 2: 77-98
2. Arulbalachandran, D. and L. Mullainathan. 2009. Chlorophyll and morphological mutants of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) derived by gamma rays and EMS. **J. Phytol.**, **1**: 236-241.
3. Ashok Kumar, V., R. Usha Kumari, R. Amutha, T. Siva Kumar, S. Juliet Hepziba and C.R. Ananda Kumar. 2009. Effect of chemical mutagen on the expression of characters in arid legume pulse – cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. **Res. J. Agril. and Biol. Sci.**, **5**: 1115-1120.
4. Chaturvedi. S.K and Ali, Masood., 2002. Survey of Indian agriculture 2002. Pp 63-70.
5. Deepalakshmi, A.J. and C.R. Ananda Kumar. 2004b. Frequency and spectrum of viable mutants in M₂ generation of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). **Legume Res.**, **27**: 201-204.
6. Dhanavel, D., S. Gnanamurthy and M. Girija. 2012. Effect of gamma rays on induced chromosomal variation in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). **Int. J. Curr. Sci.**, 245-250.

7. Girija, M. and D. Dhanvel. 2009. Mutagenic Effectiveness and Efficiency of Gamma rays, EMS and their combined treatments in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). **Global J. Mol. Sci.**, **4**: 68-75.
8. Juliet Hepziba, S. and M. Subramanian. 2002. Induced macromutants in M₃ and M₄ generations of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). **Crop Res.**, **24**: 63-66.
9. Loyavar Ramchander, N. Shunmugavalli and Muthuswamy .2016. Spectrum of viable mutants in M₂ generation of blackgram, *Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper through induced mutation. **SADHNA.**, **6**: 24.30
10. Kumar, A., S. Saini, A. Prakash and B.N. Johri. 2009. Influence of cultivation practices on phenotypic and genotypic diversity of antagonistic rhizobacteria isolated from soybean (*Glycine max* L.). In: Abstracts, 1st Asian PGPR Congress for Sustainable Agriculture, Hyderabad.
11. Makeen, K., B.G. Suresh, G.R. Lavanya and A. Kumari. 2013. Study of chlorophyll and macromutations induced by gamma rays and sodium azide in urd bean (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). **Afr. J. Agric. Res.**, **8**: 5958-5961.
12. Ranjan Tah, P. 2006. Studies on gamma ray induced mutations in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). **Asian J. Plant Sci.**, **5**: 61-70.
13. Thanga Hemavathy A and K. Balaji K.2007. Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of gamma rays in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) in M₂ generation. **Asian J. Bio Sci.**, **2**: 110-112.
14. Senbagam, A. 2014. Induced mutagenesis for gamma rays, EMS and recurrent doses in M₂ and M₃ generation of blackgram (*Vigna Mungo* (L.)Hepper). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore.
15. Senapati, N., R.C. Misra and K.C. Muduli. 2008. Induced macro mutation in balckgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper).**Legume Res.**, **31**: 243-248.
16. Singh D.P., 1981. Breeding for resistance to diseases in green gram and blackgram.*Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **59**: 1-10
17. Surendar, R. 2014. Induced mutagenesis in blackgram (*Vigan mungo* (L.) Hepper) for indentification of determinate types, Ph.D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Un Pub.
18. Usharani.K.S and C. R. Ananda Kumar. 2015b. Mutagenic effects of gamma rays and EMS on frequency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutations in Urdbean (*Vigna mungo* (L.)Hepper). **Indian J. Sci. Technol.**, **8**: 927-933
19. Yogalakshmi, G. 2013. Induced mutagenesis in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] for identification of new plant type. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, AC& RI., Madurai.