

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Study of Penalties Levied on Various Banks Operating in Co-Operative Sector by Reserve Bank of India

Gunjan Milind Chaudhari

Assistant Professor, K.C.E.S.'s College of Engineering & Management

Abstract:

This study contains analysis of various reasons of penalties levied by Reserve Bank of India on various banks operating in Co-operative sector in India. The analysis is based on unique data base of 100 financial penalties imposed during January 2023 to Aug. 2023 on almost 100 banks operating like PSU banks Small banks, Private Sector Banks or Co-operative banks.

It is evident from the data that how co-operative banking institutions neglected required due diligence on various statutory or regulatory guidelines and attracted penalties from RBIs. We also demonstrate the various reasons behind the imposition of penalties to the co-operative banking sector.

Keywords: Penalties, RBI

Introduction:

RBI is Central Bank of India. With all other works it is also working as a regulator of Banking Industry and as every regulators likes to have compliant entities (to whom it regulates), RBI also like to have compliant banking industry in India.

Every regulator is drawing their own lines of regulations on the basis of not only local conditions but considering global scenarios, also not only on the basis of actual instances happened around India or on global scenarios but also foreseeing different possibilities. After the instance of Yes Bank, PMC bank, RBI had initiated many steps to enhance security of the banking industry by adding some additional regulation on the entire banking field.

RBI is publishing the details of penalties levied by it, as and when it penalized some Bank on the basis of its / NABARD's audit observations of various Bank's yearly audits, special or snap audits.

It is an indicator for entire Banking fraternity that what are the reasons for which RBI has penalized the Banks and also gives a chance to banking fraternity to initiate appropriate measures to avoid recurrence of such reasons in their institutions.

All banking fraternity (inclusive of those penalized) is able to instigate proper mechanism to contain the risk of penalty in the areas in which RBI penalized some bank. Its true here that "Prevention is better than cure" though the banks which was already penalized has to go for cure

However, despite the brighter points discussed above, there is darker side to it as the Co- Operative Banking sector feels that they are penalized by RBI largely, as no. of co-operative banks appearing in the lists is high vis-à-vis other banks (nationalized, PVT. Sector, small finance and Indian arms of foreign banks).



Review of Literature:

Banking regulation and supervision differ between jurisdictions. Previous research such as **Barth et al** (2013) has documented the difficulty in measuring and comparing these differences. In the process of banking regulation, the emphasis is on ensuring that banks comply with existing laws. Supervision, on the other hand, is the regular examination of these regulated banks and its effectiveness is relative to the powers it receives through regulation

Bank regulation and supervision are important because they impact a bank's risk-taking appetite. A number of studies have examined the relationship between supervisory intervention and supervisory performance. **Berger et al. (2000)** showed that supervisory performance is improved in cases of intense bank supervision that encourages collecting more precise information about bank performance.

Delis and Staikouras (2011) studied the impact of supervision on risk taking and concluded that there is an impact when the supervision is intense, However. **Barth et al (2008)** examine a sample of banks operating in over 100 countries and conclude that there is no systemic relationship with a greater level of supervisory authority and bank stability and performance. This suggests that country-specific characteristics have an impact on the effectiveness of the supervisory authority.

Scopes of the Study:

The study has the following scope:

- The study could suggest measures for the co-operative banks to avoid future penalties.
- The study may help the government in creating & implementing new strategies to control future penalties.

Objectives of the Study:

- To study of various reasons behind the penalties imposed by RBI on various banks operating in Co-Operative sector in India.
- To help the Co-operative banks & government for creating new strategies or policies to control the penalties.

Research Methodology:

The study is conducted to not only study reasons of penalties to co-operative banks by RBI but to find root cause of the issues. While doing the study only secondary data has been taken. The secondary data has been taken from RBI notification various reports, working papers & government publications.

Sr. No.	Reason	Bank penalised	Amount in Rs-lakh/s
	Failed to undertake customer	Indian Bank	55.00
	due diligence measures as	The Urban Co-operative Bank	
	mandated while opening an	Limited, Rourkela	
1	account in the name of a sole		
1	proprietary firm or obtaining		
	requisite documents of		
	business and not ensuing		
	nature of business		6.00

Penalized Banks



1	the bank failed to make	Janata Sahalzani Danle I ta	13.00
	payment of applicable interest	Janata Sahakari Bank Ltd., Pune	13.00
	on balance amounts lying in	The Harij Nagrik Sahakari	3.00
2	the current accounts of	Bank Ltd., Harij	5.00
	deceased individual depositors	Dank Ltd., Harry	
	/ sole proprietorship concerns,		
		The Trichur Urban Co-	2.00
	sanction of gold loans in	operative Bank Ltd., Thrissur,	
3	breach of the cap under bullet	Kerala	
	repayment scheme	The Chiplun Urban Co-	2.00
		operative Bank Ltd	
	not adhere to instructions	The Urban Co-operative Bank	6.00
	pertaining to customer	Limited, Rourkela	
	identification when it allowed		
4	transactions in accounts		
	without confirming local		
	address of constituents		
	not adhere to instructions	The Urban Co-operative Bank	6.00
5	pertaining to issue of cheque	Limited, Rourkela	
	books		
		The Waghodia Urban Co-	5.00
		operative Bank Ltd.	
	not paid interest on matured	Lalbaug Co-operative Bank	5.00
	fixed deposits / OVERDUE	Ltd., Vadodara	
	RECURRING DEPOSITS	Rajkot Nagarik Sahakari Bank	13.00
6	from the date of maturity till	Ltd., Rajkot	
U	the date of its repayment at the	Maninagar Co-operative Bank	1.00
	rate applicable to saving	Ltd., Ahmedabad,	
	deposits or the contracted rate	The Sarvodaya Sahakari Bank	6.00
	of interest whichever is lower	Limited, Modasa, Gujarat	
		Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd.,	2.00
		Babra, Gujarat	
	the bank had failed to pay	The Waghodia Urban Co-	5.00
	eligible interest at the time of	operative Bank Ltd.	
	repayment (i) on term deposits	Rajkot Nagarik Sahakari Bank	13.00
7	which matured on a Sunday /	Ltd., Rajkot	
	holiday / non-business		
	working day, and paid on the		
	succeeding working days,		222.00
0	it offered interest on deposits	Indian Overseas Bank	222.00
8	of non-individual constituents		
	at rates applicable to senior /		



	super senior citizens, in certain		
	instances		
	Falled to produce of KYC	Panihati Co-operative Bank	2.50
9	documents for several	Ltd.	
	accounts		
		The Karnataka State Co-	
		operative Apex Bank Ltd.,	
		Bengaluru	23.23
		Bombay Mercantile Co-	
		operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai	13.00
		Ambarnath Jaihind Co-	
		operative Bank Ltd.,	
		Ambarnath	2.00
		Dhule and Nandurbar Jilha	
		Sarkari Nokaranchi Sahakari	
		Bank Ltd., Dhule	1.00
		Nagrik Sahakari Bank	
		Maryadit, Raipur,	
	Not having or conducting	Chhattisgarh	4.50
		The Kanyakumari District	
		Central Co-operative Bank	
		Ltd., Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu	7.50
10	periodic review of risk	Bajirao Appa Sahakari Bank	
10	categarisation	Ltd., Ankalkhop	2.00
	categorisation	Shri Laxmi Sahakari Bank	
		Ltd., Mhaisal	1.00
		Jowai Cooperative Urban	
		Bank Limited, Jowai,	
		Meghalaya	6.00
		Solapur Siddheshwar Sahakari	1.50
		Bank Limited	
		The Berhampur Co-operative	1.00
		Urban Bank Ltd., Odisha	
		Khatra People's Co-operative	0.05
		Bank Ltd., Khatra, West	
		Bengal	
		Mizoram Urban Cooperative	1.00
		Development Bank Limited,	
		Aizawl	
		Shreeji Bhatia Cooperative	0.20
		Bank Ltd., Mumbai	



		Mangal Co-operative Bank	1.00
		Limited, Mumbai	
		The Islampur Urban Co-	1.00
		operative Bank Limited,	
		Islampur	
		The Mahabaleshwar Urban	2.00
		Co-operative Bank Limited.	
		Bally Cooperative Bank Ltd.,	1.00
		Howrah,	
		The Aska Co-operative Central	0.50
		Bank Ltd.,	
		The Nabapalli Co-operative	2.50
		Bank Ltd., West Bengal	
		Walchand Nagar Sahakari	4.00
		Bank Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra	
		Ratnagiri Urban Co-operative	2.00
		Bank Ltd.	
		The Midnapore People's Co-	2.00
		operative Bank Ltd	
		The Sahayadri Sahakari Bank	6.00
		Limited, Mumbai	
		Dhule and Nandurbar Jilha	1.00
		Sarkari Nokaranchi Sahakari	
		Bank Ltd., Dhule	
	not carried out periodic	Bajirao Appa Sahakari Bank	2.00
	updation of KYC of its	Ltd., Ankalkhop	
11	customers as per risk	The Sahayadri Sahakari Bank	6.00
	categorisation	Limited, Mumbai	
		The Jawhar Urban Co-	1.00
		operative Bank Limited,	
		Palghar	
		The Kanyakumari District	7.50
		Central Co-operative Bank	
		Ltd., Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu	
		Standard Chartered Bank-	30.00
	the bank did not allot or	India	
12	allotted multiple customer	The Berhampur Co-operative	1.00
	identification code to some of	Urban Bank Ltd., Odisha	
	its individual customers.	The Uttarpara Co-operative	2.50
		Bank Ltd., West Bengal	
		The Gadhinglaj Urban Co-	3.00
		The Gaunnigha Groan CO-	5.00



13	levied penal charges for non- maintenance of minimum balances in inoperative accounts	Uttar Pradesh Co-operative Bank Limited, Lucknow	28.00
		Vita Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd Mangal Co-operative Bank Limited, Mumbai	1.50 1.00
14	not conducted annual review of inoperative/dormant accounts	The Mahabaleshwar Urban Co-operative Bank Limited.	2.00
		The National Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai,	1.00
		The Sahayadri Sahakari Bank Limited, Mumbai	6.00
	opened several savings	Indian Bank	162.00
	accounts in the names of	The Kalyan Janata Sahakari	4.50
	customers not eligible to	Bank Limited, Kalyan	
	maintain savings deposit		
15	account/ ineligible institutions. the bank had opened savings	SBPP Co-operative Bank	13.00
15	deposit accounts of trusts,	Limited, Killa Pardi, Gujarat	13.00
	whose entire income was not	Elinited, Itina Farai, Sujarat	
	exempt from payment of		
	income-tax under the Income		
	Tax Act, 1961		
	failed to ensure that customers	Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited	395.00
16	are not contacted after 7 pm		
	and before 7 am		
		The Karnataka State Co- operative Apex Bank Ltd., Bengaluru	23.23
17	Failure to submit data to CIC on regular basis/ or not	Nagrik Sahakari Bank Maryadit, Raipur, Chhattisgarh	4.50
	submitting at all / no integrity or quality of data is not upto the mark	The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Limited	173.75
		The Bihar State Co-operative Bank Limited, Patna	60.20
		Jammu and Kashmir Bank Limited	250.00
18	Sanctioning / renewal of loans to directors or relative of	Baran Nagrik Sahkari Bank Ltd., Baran, Rajsthan	2.00



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

directors ot to the firms whre	The Sutex Co-operative Bank	10.00
directors or their relatives are	Ltd., Surat (Gujarat)	
propritor/partner or directors.	Ranuj Nagarik Sahakari Bank	1.00
	Ltd., Patan (Gujarat)	
	Devika Urban Co-operative	3.00
	Bank Ltd., Udhampur	
	The Viramgam Mercantile Co-	5.00
	operative Bank Ltd	
	The Waghodia Urban Co-	5.00
	operative Bank Ltd.	
	The Co-operative Bank of	3.50
	Mehsana Ltd	
	HCBL Co-operative Bank	1100
	Ltd., Lucknow	
	Bassein Catholic Co-operative	25.00
	Bank Ltd., Vasai,	
	Saraswat Co-operative Bank	23.00
	Limited, Mumbai	
	Dhanera Mercantile Co-	6.50
	operative Bank Ltd., Dhanera,	
	Gujarat	
	The Janata Co-operative Bank	3.50
	Ltd., Godhra,	
	The Sarvodaya Sahakari Bank	6.00
	Limited, Modasa, Gujarat	
	The Karnavati Co-operative	1.50
	Bank Ltd., Ahmedabad	
	Makarpura Industrial Estate	2.00
	Co-operative Bank Ltd., Dist.	
	Vadodara	
	Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd.,	2.00
	Babra, Gujarat	
	The Sevalia Urban Co-	0.50
	operative Bank Ltd., Sevalia,	
	dist. Kheda, Gujarat	
	ICICI Bank Ltd.	1219.00
sanctioned loans and advances	Nagrik Sahakari Bank	4.50
in violation of the Operational	Maryadit, Raipur,	
Instruction	Chhattisgarh	145.00
(1) it sanctioned a term loan to	Bank of Maharashtra	145.00
a Corporation (i) in lieu of or	Jammu and Kashmir Bank	250.00
to substitute budgetary	Limited	

19

20



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • I

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

	resources envisaged for	Indian Bank	162.00
	certain projects; (ii) without	Union Bank of India	100.00
	undertaking due diligence on		
	the viability and bankability of		
	the projects to ensure that		
	revenue streams from the		
	projects were sufficient to take		
	care of the debt servicing		
	obligations; and (iii) the		
	repayment/servicing of which		
	was made out of budgetary		
	resources,		
	the bank had sanctioned loans	Vishwanathrao Patil Murgud	1.00
	to its nominal members for	Sahakari Bank Ltd., Murgud,	
21	purpose other than the		
	prescribed under aforesaid		
	directions		
	the bank had failed to comply	Vidyasagar Central Co-	1.50
	with RBI directions limiting	operative Bank Limited,	
	the quantum of housing loan	Midnapore	
22	that can be granted to an		
	individual borrower by a		
	District Central Co-operative		
	Bank.		
	levied interest from	Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited	395.00
	disbursement due date instead		
23	of the actual date of		
20	disbursement, contrary to the		
	terms & conditions of		
	sanction,		
	levied foreclosure charges	Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited	395.00
	despite there being no clause in		
24	the loan agreement for levy of		
	prepayment penalty on loans		
	recalled/foreclosure initiated		
	by the bank		221.00
	there was significant	Indian Overseas Bank	221.00
25	divergence between the NPAs,		
	as reported by it and as		
	assessed by the inspection		1.00
26	the bank was collecting fixed	Suvarnayug Sahakari Bank	1.00
	penal charge for shortfall in	Limited., Pune	



	maintenance of minimum	Krishna Sahakari Bank Ltd.,	1.00
	balance in saving bank	Satara	1.00
	accounts, instead of	The National Cooperative	1.00
	proportionate to the extent of	Bank Ltd., Mumbai	1.00
	shortfall, without giving notice	Kokan Mercantile Co-	1.00
	to the effect that in the event of	operative Bank Limited.,	1.00
	minimum balance not being	Mumbai	
	restored in the account within	The Chiplun Urban Co-	2.00
	a month from the date of	operative Bank Ltd	2.00
	notice, penal charges will be	The Kolhapur Urban Co-	1.00
	applicable	operative Bank Ltd	1.00
	upplicable	The Municipal Co-operative	1.00
		Bank Ltd., Mumbai	1.00
		Shree Warana Sahakari Bank	1.00
			1.00
		Ltd., Kolhapur The Kelven Japate Schekeri	4.50
		The Kalyan Janata Sahakari Bank Limited, Kalyan	4.30
	it failed to implement control	Indian Overseas Bank	220.00
	measures for ATMs relating to	Bank of Maharashtra	145.00
	end-to-end encryption of	Daily of Manarashu'a	145.00
27	communication between the		
21	ATM terminal/PC and the		
	ATM Switch, within the		
	timelines prescribed		
	not provided a direct link for	The Co-operative Bank of	10.00
	lodging the complaints with	Rajkot Ltd., Rajkot	
	specific option to report		
28	unauthorised electronic		
	transactions on home page of		
	its website		
	not provided 24x7 access	The Co-operative Bank of	11.00
	through multiple channels for	Rajkot Ltd., Rajkot	
	reporting unauthorised		
29	electronic transactions that		
	had taken place and/ or loss or		
	theft of payment instrument		
	such as card, etc.,		
	not enabled customers to	The Co-operative Bank of	12.00
30	instantly respond by "Reply"	Rajkot Ltd., Rajkot	
50	to the SMS and e-mail alert		
	sent by the bank		
31	used abridged form of its name	The Co-operative Bank of	13.00
~1	in social media posts on	Rajkot Ltd., Rajkot	



	multiple occasions wherein the full name of bank as appearing in the banking license was not displayed prominently and also the font size used for full name was smaller than the one used for abbreviated name		
32	the bank had failed to put in place certain mandated controls which led to the cyber	Andhra Pradesh Mahesh Co- operative Urban Bank Ltd., Hyderabad	65.00
	security incident	Dombivli Nagari Sahakari Bank Limited, Dombivli	50.00
33	the bank had not credited interest to inoperative saving bank accounts	The Baramati Sahakari Bank Ltd	2.00
34	failed to carry out annual review / due diligence of the service provider,	Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited	395.00
		The Karnataka State Co- operative Apex Bank Ltd., Bengaluru	23.23
25	Not put in to use any robust software as a part of effective	Dhule and Nandurbar Jilha Sarkari Nokaranchi Sahakari Bank Ltd., Dhule	1.00
35	identification and reporting of suspicious transactions	The Bihar State Co-operative Bank Limited, Patna	60.20
		Uttar Pradesh Co-operative Bank Limited, Lucknow	28.00
		Walchand Nagar Sahakari Bank Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra	4.00
		BombayMercantileCo-operativeBank Ltd., MumbaiTheTamilNaduStateApex	13.00 16.00
	No transfer or late transfer of	Co-operative Bank Limited, Chennai (The Bantra Co-operative Bank	0.30
36	eligible amount to DEAF	Ltd., Howrah, West Bengal	0.50
		The Jamnagar District Co- operative Bank Limited	4.10
		Bhilai Nagarik Sahakari Bank Maryadit, Bhilai (Chhattisgarh)	1.25



1	1	The Kennelson District	7.50
		The Kanyakumari District	7.50
		Central Co-operative Bank	
		Ltd., Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu	2.00
		Telangana State Cooperative	2.00
		Apex Bank Limited,	
		Hyderabad	4.50
		Vita Urban Co-operative Bank	1.50
		Ltd	
		Vita Urban Co-operative Bank	1.50
		Ltd., Vita,	
		The Islampur Urban Co-	2.00
		operative Bank Limited,	
		Islampur	
		The State Transport Co-	2.00
		operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai	
		Punjab & Sind Bank	100.00
		The Gadhinglaj Urban Co-	3.00
		operative Bank Ltd.	
		The Sahayadri Sahakari Bank	6.00
		Limited, Mumbai	
		Makarpura Industrial Estate	2.00
		Co-operative Bank Ltd., Dist.	
		Vadodara	
		Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd.,	2.00
		Babra, Gujarat	
		The Tamil Nadu State Apex	16.00
		Co-operative Bank Limited,	
		Chennai (
		The Co-operative Bank of	10.00
	not reported fraud case or not	Rajkot Ltd., Rajkot	
27	reporting of fraud cases to	The Gandevi People's Co-	2.00
37	RBI within prescribed	operative Bank Ltd., Navsari	
	timeline.	The Karnavati Co-operative	1.50
		Bank Ltd., Ahmedabad	
		Janata Urban Co-operative	1.00
		Bank Limited, Wai,	
		ICICI Bank Ltd.	1219.00
	not to submit statutory returns	Telangana State Cooperative	2.00
38	within prescribed timelines	Apex Bank Limited,	
		Hyderabad	
L			



I			
20	submit off-site surveillance	0 1	2.00
39	system returns within	Apex Bank Limited,	
	prescribed timelines;	Hyderabad	
	not constituted a Customer	U 1	2.00
	Service Committee of the	Apex Bank Limited,	
40	Board, put in place a	Hyderabad	
	Customer Grievance		
	Redressal Policy duly		
	approved by the Board		
		The Bantra Co-operative Bank	0.30
		Ltd., Howrah, West Bengal	
		Nagrik Sahakari Bank	4.50
		Maryadit, Raipur,	
		Chhattisgarh	
		The Sutex Co-operative Bank	10.00
		Ltd., Surat (Gujarat)	
		Bajirao Appa Sahakari Bank	2.00
		Ltd., Ankalkhop	
		Jowai Cooperative Urban	6.00
		Bank Limited, Jowai,	
		Meghalaya (
		Panihati Co-operative Bank	2.50
		Ltd.	
	the bank had breached inter-	The Berhampur Co-operative	1.00
41	bank, gross as well as	Urban Bank Ltd., Odisha	
41	counterparty exposure limits	The Uttarpara Co-operative	2.50
	or any of these limits	Bank Ltd., West Bengal	
		Ujjain Nagarik Sahakari Bank	1.00
		Maryadit, Ujjain	
		Shri Vinayak Sahakari Bank	1.50
		Ltd, Ahmedabad	
		The Tapindu Urban Co-	1.00
		operative Bank Limited, Patna	
		The Nabapalli Co-operative	2.50
		Bank Ltd., West Bengal	
		The Shibpur Co-operative	0.10
		Bank Ltd., West Bengal	
		The Midnapore People's Co-	2.00
		operative Bank Ltd	
		The Municipal Co-operative	1.00
		Bank Ltd., Mumbai	
L	1	,	1



1	I	The Becharaji Nagarik	2.00
		Sahakari Bank Ltd., Dist.	2.00
		Mehsana	
		The Viramgam Mercantile Co-	5.00
		operative Bank Ltd	5.00
		Lalbaug Co-operative Bank	5.00
		Ltd., Vadodara	5.00
		The Co-operative Bank of	3.50
		Mehsana Ltd	
		The Harij Nagrik Sahakari	3.00
		Bank Ltd., Harij,	
		The Citizens' Co-operative	6.00
		Bank Ltd., Jammu	
		Dhanera Mercantile Co-	6.50
		operative Bank Ltd., Dhanera,	
		Gujarat	
		Maninagar Co-operative Bank	1.00
		Ltd., Ahmedabad,	
		The Sarvodaya Sahakari Bank	6.00
		Limited, Modasa, Gujarat	
		The Gandevi People's Co-	2.00
		operative Bank Ltd., Navsari	
		The Santragachi Co-operative	1.00
		Bank Ltd., West Bengal	
		Gujarat Mercantile Co-	4.50
		operative Bank Ltd.,	
		Ahmedabad	
		Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd.,	2.00
		Babra, Gujarat	220.00
	it failed to make minimum	Indian Overseas Bank	220.00
42	mandatory transfer of a sum		
42	equivalent to 25 per cent of its		
	disclosed profit for the year 2020-21 to its reserve fund		
		The Harij Nagrik Sahakari	3.00
	failed to maintain minimum	Bank Ltd., Harij,	5.00
43	Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR) for	Gujarat Mercantile Co-	4.50
	few days	operative Bank Ltd.,	
		Ahmedabad	
	made donation to a trust	The Janata Co-operative Bank	3.50
44	where one of the directors of	Ltd., Godhra,	
	the bank was a trustee		
L	l		1



1	1		
		National Urban Co-operative	10.00
		Bank Limited, Pratapgarh (UP)	
		Sawantwadi Urban Co-	3.00
		operative Bank Ltd	
		Mizoram Urban Cooperative	0.20
		Development Bank Limited,	
	Not following SAF guidelines	Aizawl	
	such as sanction of fresh loan	Shreeji Bhatia Cooperative	1.00
	and advances despite	Bank Ltd., Mumbai	
	directions to stop sanction	The Mahabaleshwar Urban	2.00
15	/renewal / disbursal of loans	Co-operative Bank Limited.	
45	and advances, offered interest	The Dahanu Road Janata	1.00
	rates on deposits at rate more	Cooperative Bank Ltd.,	
	than those offered by the State	Palghar	
	Bank of India, giving	The Viramgam Mercantile Co-	5.00
	donations etc.	operative Bank Ltd	
		HCBL Co-operative Bank	11.00
		Ltd., Lucknow	
		The Citizens' Co-operative	6.00
		Bank Ltd., Jammu	
		The Sahayadri Sahakari Bank	6.00
		Limited, Mumbai	
	created financial/non-financial	Jammu and Kashmir Bank	250.00
	messages in SWIFT without	Limited	
46	first ensuring that the		
	underlying transactions have		
	been duly reflected in the CBS		
	allowed operations and not	Indian Bank	162.00
	closed several accounts opened		
	using OTP based e-KYC in		
0	non-face-to-face mode, even		
	after expiry of one year		
	without conducting customer		
	due diligence procedure,		
	(i) Not obtained annual		
	declaration in Form B from		
	one of its major shareholders,		
s48	within one month of the close	DDI Domis Lingitzal	64.00
	of the three financial years	RBL Bank Limited	64.00
	ending on March 31, 2018,		
	March 31, 2019 and March 31,		
	2020,		
L	,		



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

	Not furnish certificates to RBI regarding continuance of the 'fit and proper' status of one of its major shoreholdows by the		
	its major shareholders, by the end of September of the said		
	three financial years.		
49	marketed and engaged in the	ICICI Bank Ltd.	1219.00
	sale of non-financial product		
50	the bank had levied penal	Axis Bank Ltd.	30.00
	charges in certain accounts for		
	late payment of credit card		
	dues though the customers had		
	paid the dues by the due date,		
	through third party platforms.		
	In furtherance to the same		

Conclusion & Recommendation

Though data shows tilt against co-operative banking sector, one should not forget that since nationalization of many banks and establishment of RBI as regulator of Banking industry, nationalized / public sector banks are under their control and from years practicing on various regulatory guidelines, statutory guidelines and having much better international and national exposure, due to which their non-compliance to various regulatory or statutory guidelines is less.

Though Private sector and Small finance banks are new generation or new entrant in the field, these banks are under control of RBI since their inception. And mostly these banks are technology driven and having banking expertise, who are well-known to various regulatory stipulations, regulations as well as statutory guidelines applicable to their functional areas in Banking.

Over the year's public sector nationalized banks have laid downs their operational manuals sing various **SOP** (**standard operating procedures**) and staff is not only guided by such SOP manuals but also expected to follow the same and any failure in following SOP standard operational manual which may create an issue, results into staff accountability and other Pvt Sector banks, small finance bank's which are new generation banks are copying the module followed by public sector/ nationalized banks

As a result, these banks are comparatively less in appearance in penal list. Another dark side is that these banks are having monetary power to have best technology, best infrastructure as well as to hire sufficient and knowledgeable staff. In contrast banks operating in co-operative sector lagging behind in introducing suitable technology, which is off course costly for them, they have their own restrictions in hiring sufficient and knowledgeable staff. As most of the co-operative banks are working with very less no. of branches, having less money power. There is no comparison of co-operative banks with other banks like PSU, small banks, Private Sector banks or Indian arms of foreign banks.

Due to their (PSU, Private Sector, small banks or Indian arms of foreign banks) size, capital, reserve these banks are less in number where penalty is levied for exposure norms etc. Also, name of such banks is not



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

appearing for penalty to director related loans or loan to parties where directors are guarantor as director board is not located from specific areas/ group/ community etc. which is rampant in co-operative banks and these directors are well versed with such norms

Though co-operative banks are also in market from many years few may be from century or near century, the banks from the co-operative sector was brought under RBI's control recently by amending the Banking Regulations Act 1949 on 29 Sept. 2020 which made applicable retrospectively from 29 June 2020. As such Co-operative banks which are not well versed with RBI's controlling guidelines are suddenly burdened by this change. Till that, expertise of co- operative bankers is according to local needs, as per co-operative act, rules and regulations brought by NABARD and they are newer to RBI's guidelines as such changing the system abruptly is somewhat challengeable as customer dominance of co-operative banking is from semi urban and rural areas where changing customer's mind-set is of customers is itself is a challenge. However, prior to that major challenge is changing mind-set of existing staff, board of directors whereas finding new experience staff is another challenge Changing of customer's mind-set and changing the overall scenario which is going from years together create a fear in minds of co-operative banks of losing customers base which was established on the basis of years' practices

This create a gap in co-operative bank's fraternity's mind-set and RBI's expectations from Banking sector and now due to which they faced issues in complying with RBI's rules and regulations

Difference is due to RBI is controlling bank of banking sector, having knowledge of not only national economics but also international economies RBI also able to brought international best banking practices in Indian Banking Sector Also RBI learnt a lot from failure of various banks in past and brought various measures in implementation to prevent recurrences of such instances. And as co-operative banks brought under RBI control recently, they are not well versed with RBI rules and regulations, RBI's expectations and having dual control of co-operative act and RBI regulations resultant into dual path for co-operative banks.

Also the changes in all aspect of banking need retention of existing business, satisfying the existing customers, adoption of new technologies and over all educating not only director board, bank staff but also customers which required adequate resources, funds etc. Structure of many co-operative banks is a big stumble as its controllers are in hands of directors, dominantly from one community, one group, in some cases though bank is having board of directors, it's a one person oriented or driven as such big customers are also from specific community, group or many are indirectly related with directors by virtue of local ambience etc.

Perusal of RBI penalty list enumerated main factors for penalties which are as under and which give picture that up to what extend RBI is penalizing the banks of what the reason of such penalty it gives reflection of spectrum of issues as well as PAN India picture of banking system and penalty levied by RBI

By Banking Regulation Act, 1949 RBI is vested with various controlling and supervising powers and RBI is expected to utilize the same in judicially. Before penalizing any bank RBI is issuing notice to the bank narrating the instances of non-compliances noticed by it or NABARD in their audit of that bank After



notice that particular bank is having a chance to represent personally. through their functionaries and documentary submission is also permissible. If RBI is not satisfied by that banks personal appearance and documentary submission, then & then only RBI is levying penalty.

The list shows different quantum of penalties for same reason. It may conclude that the difference is due to no of instances, gravity of instances which differ from bank to bank It may also be noted that by virtue of penalty, financial position of that bank cannot be judge. Whenever RBI feels that some bank's financial position is not good then it asks the bank to stop its operation or some extreme cases cancelling the license also

Now, what are the reasons for such penalties True the main reason is non-complying with RBI's rules and regulations and guidelines

Now, if we try to locate the exact reason why banks are failing to comply with RBI's rules and regulations or guidelines, then we find out major reason which is nothing but "Not able to determined own risk appetite and Compliance failure".

Risk: In general, when we look at the term Risk in banking it is nothing but a " potential loss to bank due to the occurrence of particular event". Such events not only considered as probability of advances turned into NPA, cash theft or burglary in branch, ATM or when cash-in- transit, frauds but now a day it has additions like data theft, cyber-attack, suspicious transactions, utilization of bank channels for terror funding etc. And as years goes and technology upgradation newer and newer aspects are coming into light. Looking at the known risk prone areas and probabilities of potential loss to bank due to occurrence of any unwanted event risk has to determined. However, risk is not only generated through known areas but may come from new and still unknown areas also, however, bank should look at the probabilities and logic to determine such risk also. One such risk which is unexpected and may unknown to any establishments is RBI penalty itself as it is a not only financial effect but have a reputational effect and in some cases existence may affected.

Compliance risk, Operational risk, credit risk, market risk, country risk, systemic risk reputational loss risk is few type of risks appearing in banking. However, main ingredients of risks are Inherent risk and control risk. Any function in banking is having inherent risk and when we put controls to mitigate the same and still having some residual risk, along with a control risk which may arise due to failure of control applied. Not assessing own risk appetite comes in the picture when banks are penalized for exposure limits or some credit lacunas or any simple avoidable reasons.

RBI have issued preventive guidelines like to what extent of capital/ NDTL one bank can finance to individual borrower or to a group firm Here RBI is guiding the banks about their own risk appetite and tried to reduce the exposure arithmetically on the basis of either Capital or NDTL, so to know own risk appetite bank can derive its financial limit to individual borrower or group concern. This is one example of mitigation of risk



RBI also restricted the banks in exposure level in unsecured loans along with permissible repayment period in case of unsecured loans also. Again it is a risk appetite defined by RBI. Where as in case of failure in various areas of lending exposures, exposure limits must be first to considered then risk rating, availability of securities etc. should be looked into

This is applicable in non-fund based exposure like BGs/ LCs also where certain guidelines are also laid down to avoid any unforeseen future or in banking parlance contingent liability

Non classification of NPA (non-performing asset) is also have a financial impact on any banking institutes as NPA is not only restrict booking of applied but un recovered interest/ income along with provision is required from profit earned. As such correct NPA classification is must.

Though above examples are from asset side there are few risk which any banker need to understand and coming from liability side. For example: Concentration risk of deposits which involves not only concentration of large value deposits, concentration of high interest rate deposits, majority of deposits maturing on certain date or in certain period. Another example is offering high rate of interest to attract deposits and at the same time allowing interest rate deviation to exiting borrow to sustain the business, both things leading to risk.

Another **major risk is asset-liability mismatch** i.e. loans repayment and deposit maturity period and amount mismatch for which RBI is stipulating maintenance of CRR (Credit reserve ratio) and SLR (Statutory liquidity ratio).

From the above few examples one can understood Risk involved in banking and with a changing time risk is dynamic and changing at very high fast.

Compliance: In simple language compliance is nothing but to comply with all prudential expectations, various rules and regulations which are brought out by any applicable law of country, various regulators and statutory expectations. As such Compliance risk is "the risk of legal or regulatory sanction, material financial loss or loss of reputation a bank may suffer as a result of its failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules and code of conduct etc., applicable to its activity and banking industry, as a whole.

While compliance risk is arising out of non-compliance of applicable laws of country, various regulatory norms, statutory rules, regulations and expectations few other risk like credit risk country risk, systemic risk etc. are also arise due to non-compliance of some safeguards applicable to that specific areas.

As such risks and compliance is going hand to hand and may summaries as failure in any compliance can turned into risk or risk is arising from non-compliance also

And if there is Compliance failure in any bank result is increasing not only risk in the areas where compliance is failed but increasing risk of financial loss due to penalty, it may have levied by RBI which is not only financial loss but also business risk and reputational risk which is inherent conclusion of RBI penalty. So Compliance is major function to contain RBI penalty



Compliance is the major issue with majority of Co-operative banks, which lacks somewhere into compliance function.

There may be some reason which was not came into the picture off-late but there is possibility of such instances happening and which can be treated as non-compliance by RBI. For example, on RBI has penalized Nutan Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd., Ahmedabad for Rs 26 lakhs Among other reason one reason for penalty is issuance of debit cards to CC customers. Till the date that bank has not realized that issuance of debit card to CC account holder is one of the non-compliance and in recent past no other bank was penalized for this reason. Looking at the scenario two different views are coming forward

- 1. Other Bank's realized that issuance of debit card to CC account holder is deviation to RBI guidelines.
- 2. Functionaries of Nutan Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd., Ahmedabad may of the opinion that their action of issuance of debit cards to CC account holder is correct as no bank is yet penalized by RBI for such reason till date.

The overall opinion of co-operative fraternities is that without having separate compliance function in place, they are complying with the various regulatory and statutory rule and regulations till few years back However, though it is true, it is piece meal approach and for which every functionary should be aware of regulatory as well as statutory rules and regulations in letter and spirit not only theoretically. Banking is the area which can be run on the basis of various regulatory and statutory guidelines, rules & regulations along with knowledge of industry and not on the basis of logic which is mixed with paltry knowledge of industry. Moreover, it should not have piece and meal approach but should have dedicated approach to become compliant. It's an ongoing process and no one should show laxity of approach when we are, looking at the compliance.

Interest Payment Overdue: Another reason which largely appeared in the list of failure of interest payment in case of overdue deposits and non-payment of interest in individual proprietorship current account where account holder/ proprietor died though these are reason at large in penalty to many banks, it is still happening showing that bankers are not vigil enough to such simple guidelines. There may be lacunae in software system utilized by banks but shows compliancy of the staff while routing such transactions and rechecking the same

Another major reason found is failure of **maintenance of CRR and SLR**. Many banks faced the heat of penalties for such simple reason Again it's a human ignorance or human error.

Bibliography

- 1. Acharya, V.V., Engle, R., Richardson, M., 2012. Capital Shortfall: A new approach to ranking and regulating systemic risks. The American Economic Review 102 (3), 59–64.
- 2. Acharya, V.V., Pedersen, L.H., Philippon, T., Richardson, M.P., 2010. Measuring systemic risk. Working Paper. New York University.
- 3. Bank for International Settlements, 2012. Core principles for effective banking supervision. ISBN 92-9131-146-4.
- 4. Bank for International Settlements, 2013. Global systemically important banks: Assessment methodology and the additional loss absorbency requirement. ISBN 92-9131-947-3



- 5. Köster, H., Pelster, M., 2017. Financial penalties and bank performance. Journal of Banking & Finance, in press
- 6. Murphy, D.L., Shrieves, R.E., Tibbs, S.L., 2009. Understanding the penalties associated with corporate misconduct: An empirical examination of earnings and risk. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis 44 (1), 55–83.
- 7. Sedunov, J., 2016. What is the systemic risk exposure of financial institutions? Journal of Financial Stability 24, 71–87.
- 8. Weiß, G.N.F., Neumann, S., Bostandzic, D., 2014a. Systemic risk and bank consolidation:International evidence. Journal of Banking & Finance 40, 165–181.