Brave New World: Navigating New Paradigms in the Educational Landscape for the Evolving Learner in a Rapidly Changing Era

Hadiye Kucukkaragoz[1], Rusen Meylani[2]

1Associate Professor, Istanbul Aydin University
[2]Assistant Professor, Istanbul Aydin University

ABSTRACT

This literature review explores the significant transformations in the educational landscape prompted by technological advancements and changing learner profiles in the digital era. The review examines the evolution of learner profiles, highlighting the shift towards multisensory learning, digital fluency, and a preference for interactive, personalized learning experiences. It delves into integrating innovative technologies such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and blockchain in education and discusses their implications for teaching and learning. The review also considers the impact of neuroscience on educational practices, emphasizing the importance of understanding brain functioning and neuroplasticity in developing effective teaching strategies. The unprecedented shift to online and blended learning models, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, is analyzed for its long-term effects on educational delivery and pedagogy. Additionally, the review addresses the growing emphasis on interdisciplinary learning, sustainability, mental health, and well-being within educational curricula. The implications of these transformative trends for educators, policymakers, and learners are discussed, highlighting the need for adaptive and responsive approaches to education in the face of rapid technological and societal changes. The review concludes with reflections on the future trajectory of education, anticipating continued technical integration, evolving pedagogical theories, and an increased focus on preparing learners for global challenges and lifelong learning.

Keywords: Digital Learning, Educational Technology, Learner Profiles, Multisensory Learning, Virtual Reality in Education, Augmented Reality, Blockchain, Neuroscience in Education, Neuroplasticity, Online Learning, Blended Learning, COVID-19 and Education, Interdisciplinary Learning, Sustainability in Education, Mental Health in Education, Educational Policy, Future of Education, Pedagogical Innovations.

INTRODUCTION

The education landscape has undergone a profound transformation after the digital revolution. This shift has not only redefined the tools and methods of teaching but has also brought about a significant change in the learner's profile. The rapid advancement of technology, coupled with the increasing accessibility of digital resources, has ushered in a new era of learning characterized by flexibility, interactivity, and a departure from traditional pedagogical approaches (Bates, 2019).

Blended learning, which integrates face-to-face and online instruction, has become increasingly prevalent in course delivery (Dziuban et al., 2018). This evolution in educational delivery modes necessitates adaptation by learners, educators, and institutions (Davis et al., 2021). Furthermore, there is a growing emphasis on Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education, with an increasing focus on collaboration in research (Li et al., 2020). Additionally, emerging technologies such as augmented reality are gaining traction in science education, reflecting the evolving nature of educational tools and resources (Arici et al., 2019).

Moreover, the evolution of learning strategies is influenced by the changing dynamics of the educational environment. The shift towards personalized and universal design for learning reflects the need to cater to diverse learner needs and preferences (Davis et al., 2021). Furthermore, evolving e-learning ontologies are proposed to enrich the knowledge base of learning environments, highlighting the importance of adapting to the evolving digital landscape (Mikroyannidis, 2011). Additionally, evidence indicates an overall decline in trends in youth physical activity, emphasizing the need for evolving strategies to promote physical education and active lifestyles among learners (Gortmaker et al., 2012).

The evolution of social learning and cultural variation also plays a significant role in shaping the educational landscape. The evolution of social learning strategies and the impact of conformity on cultural transmission underscore the dynamic nature of learning behaviors and their adaptation to changing social contexts (Efferson et al., 2016; Kendal et al., 2009). Furthermore, the evolution of interactional competence and socio-interactional ties in language learning reflects the evolving nature of communicative skills and the integration of technology in language education (Malabarba, 2022).

The educational landscape is experiencing a paradigm shift driven by technological advancements, changing learner needs, and evolving pedagogical approaches. The integration of blended learning, emphasis on STEM education, adoption of emerging technologies, and the evolution of learning strategies all reflect the dynamic nature of the educational landscape and the need for continuous adaptation to meet the evolving needs of learners.

The Evolution of Educational Paradigms

The shift from traditional to digital education has been marked by integrating technology in classrooms, adopting online learning platforms, and focusing on student-centered learning methodologies. As Bates (2019) argues, this evolution reflects a broader shift in societal values and needs, where education must cater to a digitally fluent population. Furthermore, the rise of big data and analytics has offered educators unprecedented insights into student learning patterns, enabling more personalized and adaptive learning experiences (Siemens & Gasevic, 2020).

The Digital Transformation's Impact

The digital transformation in education extends beyond mere technological integration. It signifies a paradigm shift in how education is perceived, delivered, and consumed. Selwyn (2017, 2021) notes that this transformation is about digitalization and rethinking educational practices to align with the realities of the 21st century. This includes addressing issues of digital equity and accessibility, ensuring that all learners have equal opportunities to benefit from digital learning (Wang et al., 2019).

Characterizing the Modern Learner

Today's learners are markedly different from those of previous generations. They are digital natives,

accustomed to the fast pace of information exchange and interactive learning environments (Prensky, 2001). Their learning preferences lean towards visual and multimedia content, collaborative learning spaces, and real-time feedback (Bennett et al., 2008). This shift necessitates reevaluating traditional teaching methods, which often fail to effectively engage modern learners (Kirschner & De Bruyckere, 2017).

Study Objectives and Scope

This study aims to explore these transformative trends in education, focusing on how the digital era has reshaped both the learner's profile and educational methodologies. It seeks to identify the emerging needs of modern learners and the pedagogical approaches that best cater to them. Additionally, the study examines the implications of this transformation for educators, policymakers, and educational institutions. Doing so contributes to the ongoing discourse on how education evolves to meet the rapidly changing world's demands.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The transformation of the educational landscape in the digital era is a multifaceted phenomenon, encompassing the evolution of learner profiles, the adoption of new technologies, and the reimagining of traditional educational practices. This literature review delves into these aspects, drawing on various scholarly sources to provide a comprehensive overview.

Evolution of Learner Profiles: A Global Perspective

The profile of the modern learner is distinctly different from traditional paradigms. Digital natives, a term coined by Prensky (2001), are characterized by their familiarity with technology from a young age. This familiarity influences their learning preferences, which lean towards interactive, technology-driven methods (Bennett et al., 2008). However, it is crucial to recognize that this characterization does not apply universally. Margaryan et al. (2011) caution against overgeneralizing the attributes of digital natives, noting significant variability in technological proficiency among different populations.

Contemporary vs. Traditional Learner Characteristics

Comparing contemporary learner characteristics with traditional profiles reveals a shift towards a preference for visual learning, collaborative environments, and practical, real-world applications of knowledge (Kirschner & De Bruyckere, 2017). This shift challenges the conventional lecture-based, teacher-centered education model, which is often less engaging and ineffective for modern learners (Mayer, 2017).

Educational Methodologies: Traditional Versus Modern

The evolution of educational methodologies in response to these changing learner profiles is well documented. Active learning strategies, such as problem-based learning and collaborative projects, are increasingly favored over passive learning methods (Freeman et al., 2014). These strategies align with the needs of contemporary learners, emphasizing engagement, critical thinking, and practical application.

Bridging the Gap: Aligning Current Practices with Modern Learner Needs

Despite the clear need for educational reform, a gap remains between current practices and the requirements of modern learners. Ertmer and Ottenbreit-Leftwich (2010) highlight that while educators recognize the importance of integrating technology into teaching, many need more skills or support to do so effectively. This gap underscores the need for professional development and systemic changes in educational institutions to foster environments conducive to modern learning.

The Digital Divide in Education

Finally, the issue of the digital divide must be addressed. While advances in technology have the potential to enhance learning, they also risk exacerbating inequalities if not accessible to all learners. Warschauer (2003) discusses how differences in access to technology and digital literacy lead to significant disparities in educational outcomes. Therefore, addressing the digital divide is crucial in ensuring equitable educational opportunities.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to investigate the evolving paradigms in the educational landscape and the characteristics of the modern learner. This approach is particularly suited for synthesizing a wide range of research findings and perspectives to provide an in-depth understanding of complex subjects like educational transformation in the digital era.

Research Design Framework

The literature review methodology adopted in this study follows the guidelines Randolph (2009) outlined, emphasizing a systematic, transparent, and replicable approach. This involves a structured process for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing relevant scholarly articles and publications. The framework by Cooper (1988) guides the classification and organization of literature, focusing on the characteristics of the learner and educational methodologies and addressing the impact of technological advancements.

Theoretical Underpinnings

The theoretical foundation for this review is grounded in the constructivist learning theory, which posits that learners construct knowledge through experiences and interactions with their environment (Piaget, 1976). This theory is relevant for understanding modern education's shift towards student-centered learning approaches.

Study Design Justification

A literature review methodology is chosen for its suitability in exploring and synthesizing a broad array of existing literature to create a comprehensive overview of the topic (Jesson et al., 2011). This method allows for examining educational research trends, patterns, and emerging themes, providing a holistic understanding of the subject matter.

Data Collection and Analysis Techniques

The data collection process involves a systematic search of academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, ERIC, and Google Scholar, adhering to the guidelines by Hart (1998) for conducting

academic literature searches. Keywords related to digital learning, modern learners, and educational methodologies are used. The inclusion criteria include peer-reviewed articles, books, and conference papers published in the last two decades to ensure relevance and timeliness.

Analysis of the collected literature follows thematic analysis principles described by Braun and Clarke (2006), focusing on identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns and themes within the data. This approach facilitates a detailed exploration of the interplay between technology, learner profiles, and educational practices.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Multisensory Learning

Multisensory learning is an educational approach that engages multiple sensory modalities simultaneously during the learning process. This approach is grounded in the understanding that individuals process information through various senses – primarily visual, auditory, tactile, and kinesthetic. The fundamental premise of multisensory learning is that involving multiple senses enhances memory and learning efficiency, as it caters to diverse learning styles and increases engagement (Baines, 2018).

- **Theoretical Foundations:** The roots of multisensory learning are found in several educational and psychological theories. One critical theory is Howard Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences, which posits that individuals have different intelligences, including linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, bodily-kinesthetic, and more (Gardner, 1983). Another significant theoretical underpinning is the VARK model, which categorizes learners based on their sensory preferences: Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic (Fleming, 2001).

- **Research Evidence:** Research in neuroscience and cognitive psychology supports the efficacy of multisensory learning. Studies have shown that engaging multiple senses leads to better information retention and understanding. For example, Shams and Seitz (2008) demonstrated that multisensory learning enhances perceptual learning. Mayer's research on multimedia learning suggests that people learn more effectively from words and pictures than from words alone (Mayer, 2009).

- **Applications in Education:** Multisensory learning has been applied across various educational contexts, from early childhood to higher education. Elementary education often involves hands-on activities, visual aids, and auditory instruction. In language education, this approach is evident in methods that combine visual, auditory, and tactile elements, like using physical objects or actions to teach vocabulary. In special education, particularly for students with learning disabilities, multisensory techniques are crucial for accommodating diverse learning needs (Rose & Meyer, 2002).

- **Challenges and Considerations:** Implementing multisensory learning requires thoughtful planning and resources. Educators must be trained to integrate multiple senses into their teaching strategies effectively. Additionally, there is a need for classroom environments and educational materials that support multisensory learning. Addressing individual differences in sensory processing and preferences is also challenging, as not all students benefit equally from the same multisensory approaches.

Technological Innovations in Education

Technological advancements have significantly influenced educational practices and learning environments. Integrating technologies such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and blockchain into education has opened new avenues for interactive and immersive learning experiences.

- **Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) in Learning:** VR and AR technologies have been increasingly adopted in educational settings, offering novel ways to engage students and enhance learning. According to Radianti et al. (2020), VR provides immersive environments that simulate real-life scenarios, making it a powerful tool for experiential learning. AR, on the other hand, overlays digital information onto the physical world, offering an interactive experience that enriches traditional learning materials (Billinghurst & Duenser, 2012).
- **Blockchain Technology's Educational Implications:** Blockchain technology in education promises to revolutionize certification, record-keeping, and content distribution. Sharples and Domingue (2016) discuss how blockchain provides a secure and transparent way to manage educational records, potentially transforming the administration of qualifications and credentials.

Neuroscience and Cognitive Development

Recent advances in neuroscience have profound implications for understanding how learning occurs. Insights into brain functioning and cognitive development have begun to inform educational practices.

- **Brain Research in Learning Strategies:** Neuroscientific research has identified key strategies that align with how the brain learns best. For instance, Sousa (2006) highlights the importance of understanding brain plasticity in developing effective teaching methods that cater to diverse learning needs.
- **Neuroplasticity and Education:** Neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to reorganize itself by forming new neural connections, has significant educational implications. Zull (2002) emphasizes how understanding neuroplasticity guides educators in designing learning experiences that align with the brain's natural learning processes.

Paradigm Shifts in Teaching and Learning

The shift towards student-centered learning paradigms reflects an evolving understanding of effective teaching practices. This shift emphasizes active engagement, personalized learning, and developing critical thinking skills (Barr & Tagg, 1995).

- **Evolving Teacher Training and Professional Development:** Teacher training and professional development have also evolved in response to these paradigm shifts. Darling-Hammond et al. (2017) advocate for professional development that equips teachers with the skills and knowledge necessary to implement innovative teaching practices effectively.
- **Competency-Based Education and its Implementation:** Competency-based education (CBE), which focuses on mastering skills and knowledge at one's own pace, has become an alternative to traditional time-based educational models. Sturgis and Patrick (2010) discuss the implementation of CBE, highlighting its potential to personalize learning and ensure mastery of competencies.

The Ethical Landscape of Modern Education

The integration of technology and innovative practices in education raises critical ethical considerations. Ethical issues range from data privacy and security in online learning environments to equitable access

to technological resources.

- **Societal Impacts of Educational Trends:** Educational trends have broad societal impacts, influencing workforce development, economic growth, and social equity. Hall and McGinty (2018) argue that educational reforms and trends often reflect and shape societal values, highlighting the responsibility of educational institutions to consider their broader social implications.
- **Ethical Considerations in Technological Integration:** Technological integration in education, while beneficial, poses ethical challenges. Selwyn (2017, 2021) discusses issues such as digital surveillance, data privacy, and the digital divide, emphasizing the need for ethical frameworks to guide the use of technology in educational settings.

Sustainability and Environmental Consciousness

Sustainability and environmental consciousness are increasingly integral to modern education. The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) underscore the role of education in promoting sustainable practices and awareness (United Nations, 2015).

- **Embedding Sustainability in Curricula:** Incorporating sustainability into curricula involves teaching concepts like environmental stewardship, sustainable development, and social responsibility. Sterling (2010) highlights the importance of embedding sustainability in education, transforming curricula to foster a sustainable mindset among learners.
- **Case Studies on Eco-Friendly Educational Practices:** Case studies of eco-friendly educational practices demonstrate the practical application of sustainability in education. These include green school initiatives, outdoor education programs, and integrating sustainability topics into various subjects (Henderson & Tilbury, 2004).

Mental Health and Student Well-being

Students' mental health and well-being have become critical concerns in the modern educational landscape. Eisenberg et al. (2013) note the increasing prevalence of mental health issues among students, stressing the importance of addressing these needs within educational environments.

- **Addressing Psychological Needs in Education:** Educational institutions play a crucial role in addressing the psychological needs of students. This includes providing mental health support, fostering a supportive learning environment, and integrating social-emotional learning into curricula (Durlak et al., 2011).
- **Wellness-Oriented Teaching Approaches:** Wellness-oriented teaching approaches focus on the holistic development of students, encompassing mental, emotional, and physical well-being. Such approaches advocate for mindfulness practices, stress management techniques, and promoting healthy lifestyles in education (Conley, 2015).

Pandemic-Era Educational Transformations

The COVID-19 pandemic has catalyzed significant transformations in the educational landscape. The abrupt shift to remote learning and the subsequent adaptations have prompted a reevaluation of teaching and learning methods worldwide.

- **Long-Term Impacts of COVID-19 on Education:** The long-term impacts of the pandemic on education are multifaceted. Bao (2020) notes that the rapid adoption of online learning could have lasting effects on educational delivery modes. Furthermore, disruptions caused by the pandemic have

highlighted the need for greater flexibility and resilience in educational systems (Marinoni et al., 2020).

- **Post-Pandemic Adaptations and Innovations:** Post-pandemic educational institutions have adopted various innovations to enhance learning experiences. These include blended learning models, increased use of educational technologies, and a greater focus on student well-being and mental health support (Schleicher, 2020).

Embracing Interdisciplinary Learning

Interdisciplinary learning has gained increased attention to prepare students for complex real-world problems. This approach integrates knowledge and methods from different disciplines, fostering a more comprehensive understanding of complex issues (Jacobs, 2013).

- **Case Studies of Interdisciplinary Education:** Case studies in interdisciplinary education demonstrate its effectiveness in enhancing critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Examples include integrated STEM (Science et al.) programs and liberal arts-technology combinations, which encourage holistic thinking (Beane, 1997).
- **Preparing Students for Complex Problem-Solving:** Preparing students for complex problem-solving in today's interconnected world requires a shift from traditional subject-specific education to a more integrated approach. This includes teaching strategies that emphasize critical thinking, collaboration, and the application of knowledge in diverse contexts (Trilling & Fadel, 2009).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This section discusses the anticipated trends in education, the evolving role of technology and pedagogy, the implications for policy, and emerging areas of research that are likely to shape the future of education.

A Shift Toward Multisensory Learning

Future research in this area could explore the long-term effects of multisensory learning on various educational outcomes and identify best practices for its implementation. Moreover, with the advancement of technology, there is potential for exploring how digital and virtual reality tools enhance multisensory learning experiences.

Anticipating Educational Trends

The future of education is expected to be significantly influenced by ongoing technological advancements and evolving pedagogical theories. Trends such as personalized learning, increased use of artificial intelligence in educational settings, and the continued growth of online and blended learning models will likely dominate (Brown, 2020).

Technological Advancements and Pedagogical Changes

Technological advancements are anticipated to drive pedagogical changes, emphasizing student-centered and adaptive learning experiences. Technologies like AI, machine learning, and analytics will be crucial in personalizing education and providing insights into learning processes (Weller, 2020).

Policy Implications and Recommendations

The evolving educational landscape will require responsive and forward-thinking policies. Policymakers must consider issues like digital equity, data privacy, and integrating emerging technologies in educational settings (Williamson et al., 2017).

The Role of Educators and Policymakers

Educators and policymakers must collaborate closely to ensure that educational reforms and technological integrations are effectively implemented and align with the broader educational goals. This includes continuous professional development for educators and informed policy-making (Darling-Hammond, 2017).

Collaborative Approaches to Education Reform

Collaborative approaches involving educators, policymakers, technologists, and learners will drive successful education reforms. Such collaboration ensures that educational technologies and practices are pedagogically sound and meet the diverse needs of learners (Greenhow et al., 2019).

Policy Development for Future Education

Future policy development must be flexible and adaptive, capable of responding to rapid changes in technology and society. This includes policies that support innovation in education while ensuring equitable access and high-quality learning experiences for all students (Zhao, 2020).

Emerging Research Areas in Education

Emerging research areas in education will likely focus on the long-term impacts of pandemic-era learning, the effectiveness of new technologies in education, and the intersection of cognitive science and knowledge. The research will also explore technology's societal, ethical, and educational implications (Selwyn, 2017, 2021).

Innovations in Research Methodologies

Innovations in research methodologies are expected, particularly in harnessing data analytics, AI, and machine learning to analyze educational trends and outcomes. Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches, mixed methods research will become increasingly important (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

Prospective Areas for Academic Inquiry

Prospective areas for academic inquiry include the role of education in addressing global challenges such as climate change and inequality, integrating 21st-century skills into curricula, and developing new models of educational leadership and governance (Fullan, 2019).

CONCLUSION

This literature review has explored modern education's dynamic and rapidly evolving landscape, examining the shifts in learner profiles, technological innovations, and pedagogical approaches. The concluding section recapitulates the principal discoveries, discusses their implications for various educational stakeholders, and reflects on the future trajectory of education.

Recapitulation of Principal Discoveries

The review highlights significant shifts in learner profiles towards a preference for digital, interactive, and personalized learning experiences in addition to multisensory learning. Multisensory learning represents a significant shift in educational paradigms, moving from traditional lecture-based instruction to a more holistic and inclusive approach. By engaging multiple senses, there is the potential to accommodate diverse learning styles, improve retention and understanding, and make learning more engaging and effective. On the other hand, technological innovations such as VR, AR, and blockchain are reshaping educational practices, offering new avenues for engagement and learning. Additionally, insights from neuroscience are informing more effective teaching strategies, emphasizing the importance of neuroplasticity and cognitive development in learning. The pandemic has accelerated the adoption of online and blended learning models, demonstrating the need for flexibility and resilience in education. Furthermore, the review underscores the growing emphasis on interdisciplinary learning, sustainability, mental health, and well-being in educational curricula.

Implications for Educational Stakeholders

These findings have profound implications for educators, policymakers, and learners. Educators must adapt to these changes by embracing new technologies and pedagogies, engaging in continuous professional development, and fostering inclusive and supportive learning environments. Policymakers are tasked with formulating responsive and inclusive policies that address the digital divide, ensure data privacy, and support innovative educational practices. Conversely, learners must navigate this transformed educational landscape, developing critical thinking, problem-solving, and lifelong learning skills.

Reflections on the Trajectory of Future Education

The education trajectory will likely be characterized by continual technological advancements and evolving pedagogical theories. Education will increasingly become personalized, flexible, and integrated with technology, requiring ongoing adaptation from all stakeholders. The role of education in addressing global challenges and promoting sustainability will become more prominent. Education will be crucial in shaping a resilient, informed, and interconnected global society as it evolves.

References

1. Arici, F., Yıldırım, P., Caliklar, S., & Yılmaz, R. (2019). Research trends in using augmented reality in science education: content and bibliometric mapping analysis. Computers & Education, 142, 103647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103647
2. Baines, L. (2018). *The teachers' guide to multisensory learning: Improving literacy by engaging the senses*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
3. Bao, W. (2020). COVID-19 and online teaching in higher education: A case study of Peking University. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2(2), 113–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.191
4. Barr, R. B., & Tagg, J. (1995). From teaching to learning – A new paradigm for undergraduate education. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 27(6), 12–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/00091383.1995.10544672

5. Bates, A. W. (2019). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Tony Bates Associates Ltd.

6. Beane, J. A. (1997). Curriculum integration and the disciplines of knowledge. *Phi Delta Kappan*, *78*(8), 616–622.

7. Bennett, S., Maton, K., & Kervin, L. (2008). The "digital natives" debate: A critical review of the evidence. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *39*(5), 775–786. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2007.00793.x

8. Billinghurst, M., & Duenser, A. (2012). Augmented reality in the classroom. *Computer*, *45*(7), 56–63. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2012.111

9. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

10. Brown, M. (2020). *The future of learning: How emerging technologies are changing education*. Syngress Publishing.

11. Conley, C. S. (2015). *SEL in higher education. The Handbook of Social and Emotional Learning: Research and Practice* (pp. 197–212).

12. Cooper, H. M. (1988). Organizing knowledge syntheses: A taxonomy of literature reviews. *Knowledge in Society*, 1(1), 104–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03177550

13. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *We are designing and conducting mixed-methods research*. Sage Publications.

14. Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What we learn from international practice? *European Journal of Teacher Education*, *40*(3), 291–309. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1315399

15. Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.

16. Davis, D., McLaughlin, M., & Anderson, K. (2021). Universal design for learning. Nurse Educator, 47(3), 133-138. https://doi.org/10.1097/nne.0000000000001116

17. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, *82*(1), 405–432. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x

18. Dziuban, C., Graham, C., Moskal, P., Norberg, A., & Sicilia, N. (2018). Blended learning: the new normal and emerging technologies. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0087-5

19. Efferson, C., Lalive, R., Cacault, M., & Kistler, D. (2016). The evolution of facultative conformity based on similarity. Plos One, 11(12), e0168551. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168551

20. Eisenberg, D., Hunt, J., & Speer, N. (2013). Mental health in American colleges and universities: Variation across student subgroups and campuses. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *201*(1), 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0b013e31827ab077

21. Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *42*(3), 255–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551

22. Fleming, N. D. (2001). *Teaching and learning styles: VARK strategies*. N. D. Fleming.

23. Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *111*(23), 8410–8415. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111

24. Fullan, M. (2019). *Nuance: Why some leaders succeed and others fail*. Corwin Press.

25. Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Basic Books.

26. Gortmaker, S., Lee, R., Cradock, A., Sobol, A., Duncan, D., & Wang, Y. (2012). Disparities in youth physical activity in the united states. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 44(5), 888-893. https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e31823fb254

27. Greenhow, C., Galvin, S. M., & Staudt Willet, K. B. (2019). What should be the role of computer science in computer science education? *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *35*(6), 1078–1083.

28. Hall, D., & McGinty, R. (2018). *The changing nature of educational policy: Perspectives from the UK*. Routledge.

29. Hart, C. (1998). *Doing a literature review: Releasing the social science research imagination*. SAGE.

30. Henderson, K., & Tilbury, D. (2004). Whole-school approaches to sustainability: An international review of sustainable school programs. *Australian Journal of Environmental Education*, *20*(1), 1–17.

31. Jacobs, H. H. (2013). *Mastering global literacy*. Solution Tree Press.

32. Jesson, J., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2011). *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*. SAGE.

33. Kendal, J., Giraldeau, L., & Laland, K. (2009). The evolution of social learning rules: payoff-biased and frequency-dependent biased transmission. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 260(2), 210-219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.05.029

34. Kirschner, P. A., & De Bruyckere, P. (2017). The myths of the digital native and the multitasker. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *67*, 135–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.06.001

35. Li, Y., Wang, K., Xiao, Y., Froyd, J., & Nite, S. (2020). Research and trends in stem education: a systematic analysis of publicly funded projects. International Journal of Stem Education, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00213-8

36. Malabarba, T. (2022). Requesting on whatsapp: the interplay of interactional competence and deontics in english as an additional language. Tesol in Context, 30(2). https://doi.org/10.21153/tesol2022vol30no2art1516

37. Margaryan, A., Littlejohn, A., & Vojt, G. (2011). Are digital natives a myth or a reality? University students' use of digital technologies. *Computers and Education*, *56*(2), 429–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.09.004

38. Marinoni, G., Van't Land, H., & Jensen, T. (2020). *The impact of COVID-19 on higher education around the world*. IAU Global Survey Report. International Association of Universities.

39. Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421(02)80005-6

40. Mayer, R. E. (2017). Using multimedia for e-learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *33*(5), 403–423. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12197

41. Mikroyannidis, A. (2011). Evolving e-learning ontologies for personal and cloud learning environments.. https://doi.org/10.1109/sitis.2011.72

42. Piaget, J. (1976). Piaget's theory. In B. Inhelder, H. H. Chipman, & C. Zwingmann (Eds.), *Piaget and his school: A reader in developmental psychology* (pp. 11–23). Springer-Verlag.

43. Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. On the horizon, *9*(5), 1–6.

44. Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers and Education*, *147*, 103778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778

45. Randolph, J. J. (2009). *A guide to writing the* [Unpublished dissertation] literature review. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation, 14(13), 1–13.

46. Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). *Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal learning design*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

47. Schleicher, A. (2020). *The impact of COVID-19 on education: Insights from Education at a Glance 2020*. OECD.

48. Selwyn, N. (2017). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury Academic.

49. Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury Academic.

50. Shams, L., & Seitz, A. R. (2008). Benefits of multisensory learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *12*(11), 411–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.07.006

51. Sharples, M., & Domingue, J. (2016). The blockchain and kudos: A distributed system for the educational record, reputation and reward. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Technology Enhanced Learning* (pp. 490–496). Springer.

52. Siemens, G., & Gasevic, D. (2020). The future of learning analytics. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, *7*(2), 119–125.

53. Sousa, D. A. (2006). *How the brain learns*. Corwin Press.

54. Sterling, S. (2010). Learning for resilience, or the resilient learner? Towards a necessary reconciliation in a paradigm of sustainable education. *Environmental Education Research*, *16*(5–6), 511–528. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2010.505427

55. Sturgis, C., & Patrick, S. (2010). *When success is the only option: Designing competency-based pathways for subsequent generation learning*. National Center for Competency-Based Learning.

56. Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st-century skills: Learning for life in our times*. Jossey-Bass.

57. United Nations. (2015). *Sustainable development goals*. United Nations https://doi.org/10.18356/a3d84b2d-en

58. Wang, Y., Chen, N. S., & Anderson, T. (2019). Theories and practice of learning analytics and educational big data. *Educational Technology and Society*, *22*(3), 25–36.

59. Warschauer, M. (2003). *Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide*. MIT Press.

60. Weller, M. (2020). *Twenty-five years of ed tech*. Athabasca University Press.

61. Williamson, B., Eynon, R., & Potter, J. (2017). *Education, technology, and society: Future directions*. Routledge.

62. Zhao, Y. (2020). *What works may hurt side effects in education*. Teacher's College Press.

63. Zull, J. E. (2002). *The art of changing the brain: Enriching teaching practice by exploring the biology of learning*. Stylus Publishing, LLC.