Accounting Standards in India: Operational Issues

Dr. Surendra Kumar[1], Dr. Suresh Kumar Sharma[2]

[1]Associate Professor Department of ABST, Tagore PG College Gudha Gorji, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan
[2]Associate Professor Department of ABST, Seth R.N Ruia Govt. College Ramgarh Shekhawati, Sikar, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Accounting standards were primarily developed to provide more transparency in business operations and to ensure accurate reporting that supports wise decision-making. Together with these things, accounting ideas, techniques, and principles changed. Accountability standards are really more than just a framework; they are fundamentally similar to legislation. In order to resolve disputes between parties with disparate interests, accounting standards are also crucial. An essential mediator in settling conflicting interests is accounting standards. Accounting standards are regarded with legitimacy by many interest groups. They work to guarantee that readers of financial statements are provided with accurate information about the company. Malignancy of this includes the many instances of business scams in which investors were conned out of crores of rupees, levies were fined, and embezzlement was permitted. Because of all of this, changes to the standards were necessary to make them more informative and tone-regulating. Amending related legislation was required by these revisions. A thorough knowledge of whether the account is in line with materiality, transparency, complete exposure, and operational support is required. From a business standpoint, accounting standards are evaluated for their efficacy in promoting transparency via exposure and accuracy in reporting. In order to assist stakeholders' decision-making and provide functional support for the firm, corporate financial statements (profit and loss account and balance sheet) needed to be made visible and efficient. The present research looks at the accounting standard revisions from the perspectives of company registers, cost accountants, and chartered accountants. Professionals, the experimenter examines their viewpoints about the efficacy of accounting standard change. This makes the research relevant.

Keywords: Accounting, Standards, Stakeholders, Transparency, Information, Effectiveness, Reforms, Standards

Introduction

Account is said to be the "language of business" as it is designed to communicate financial data to various company stakeholders. The controller's or account body's procedures that must be followed in the preparation and contribution of financial statements are known as accounting standards. Under standardized exposure principles, comparable standards must transmit knowledge that is encyclopedically intelligible. Accounting standards are the controller/account bodies' declarations of law of practice that must be followed in the preparation and interpretation of financial statements. Accounting standards, to put it in layman's words, are the written papers covering different areas of contribution and exposure of account agreements that are published by expert institutions or nonsupervisory agencies. The phrase "account standard" is described as a set of principles that guide current account practice and serve as a guide for determining how complicated agreements should be handled, according the Farlex free wordbook. The degree to which information counting aids stakeholders in their decision-making process is how its usefulness is evaluated. Financial statement interpretation based on Disclosure, Materiality, Correctness, and Accuracy provides insight into how well accounting rules support functional perspectives. This gives accounting standards legitimacy, and the research looks at how well sound accounting practices—which have undergone periodic reform—make this feasible. The foundation of such an analysis is the opinions of experts in accounting, going, and business administration. ICAI started the process of gradually adopting IFRS in 2012. Our goal was to bring our accounting standards into line with international ethics. However, there were a number of obstacles to implementing the same, and relinquishment took longer than expected. There were problems with reporting frequency, inconsistent changes, and the inability to clearly define the notions of complete exposure.

Development in Accounting

The field of accounting is very ancient and was well-known in ancient Egypt, Greece, and Rome. Tables and scrolls that have remained to this day demonstrate that the main focus of the account was stewardship records, or the documentation of a master's different transactions and transfers. The unwavering aggregation of corporate information and statistics endured for many decades. Counting did not take on a more active part in business and lucrative endeavor until the end of the 20th century. Since there have also been drastic changes, further development will undoubtedly be necessary in this era of rapid technological progress. Even while it's still required for counting to provide a precise record of a company's commercial sale, it's now acknowledged that an account may also be a really invaluable tool for operations. A well-constructed accounting system is capable of much more than just providing stewardship records. Various techniques have been devised to regulate expenses and set fair benchmarks for transactions, profit, and product performance, among other aspects. Naturally, the shift in counting from a passive to an active function has been followed by an increase in the position of individuals in charge of maintaining the company records. The evolution of the corporate sector itself has greatly increased the necessity for accountants, as opposed to bookkeepers. Businesses now are bigger and more sophisticated, and international rivalry has intensified. Effectiveness has created a need for more accurate and recent counting data, which has reduced accountants' responsibilities.

Meaning and Purpose of Accounting Standards

Accounting is similar to a language in which some rules are absolute and others are not. Divergent views exist over how to register a specific sale in a number of different sectors. However, it is expected that a cunning accountant would be able to distinguish between "bad" and "good" account practices. The account rules are subject to constant evolution in line with the demands of the times, much like languages. It could be necessary to amend certain already accepted regulations to reflect the evolving nature of the corporate environment. The terms "principles" refer to the norms and regulations of accounting. "A general law or rule as a companion to action, a settled ground or base of conduct or practice" is what is meant to be conveyed by the word "principle." Consequently, an accounting theory is only an aid to action. The specifics of how each business event should be documented are not specified by accounting principles. Account practice involves a variety of issues that vary from business to business. These variations are unavoidable as various types of businesses cannot be governed by the same set of regulations. An accountant is allowed to document a certain incident according to his own judgement. You must utilise this flexibility within the parameters of "generally accepted account principles." If the account statement stoner is unfamiliar with the surroundings, he could not understand the exact significance of a certain item. As one example, the word "cost" has several meanings. An automobile's "cost" is its purchase price less the residual value, plus the cost of any significant repairs. Until the vehicle is sold, the precise cost cannot be determined. Because counting statements need to be established every time, it is not feasible to remain for an extended amount of time in order to notice the cost. An accountant is forced to act based on estimations in such a scenario. He needs to assess how long the car should last and how much its residual value may be. The accuracy of the "cost" number will be based on how reliable the accountant's estimate is. These approximations are confidential and may vary from individual to individual. However, it is also possible to reach a consensus over the definition of the word "cost."

Financial Reporting

All companies must annually prepare their financial statements and provide them to the many entities that are connected to the business. Users of accounts that are similar to shareholders include owners, executives, members or shareholders of the business, bankers, regulatory bodies, creditors, investors, and other people. The council has made it clear that, in situations where such statements are statutorily needed to be verified in compliance with any law, all mandatory AS are to be applied to the general-purpose financial accounts of the current organizations. The Institute is in charge of publishing accounting standards, which are meant to be used in the preparation of general-purpose financial statements that are made available to the public by similar marketable, artificial, or business enterprises. This should be stressed. The Institute has the right to change these standards at any moment, and its members' attesting functions will still apply. The Statement of Profit and Loss and Balance Distance are only two instances of what are known as "General Purpose Financial Statements." For the benefit of shareholders, members, creditors, employees, and the public at large, Vibhu Sharad Pathak: Operational Issues of Accounting Standards in India 127 and other statements and explanatory notes that form the function thereof are issued. It is also made very clear that when an account standard becomes required, it means that members of the Institute will have to verify whether the Accounting Standard is followed when financial statements submitted for their inspection comply with it. It will be their duty to provide the necessary disclosures in their examination reports in the event that there is any departure from the Accounting Standard. This is to make sure that any such variances are known to other users of financial statements. In general purpose financial statements that comply with accounting standards, an organization's financial situation, financial performance, and cash overflows should be fairly reflected. This information will be useful to the owners, creditors, workers, judges, investors, and regulators, among other people, in forming and assessing their decisions on the allocation of profitable but finite resources. The general-purpose financial statements of pots and other organizations should be more similar to each other than they would be if they did not adhere to accounting standards. This makes it easier for other consumers of the financial statements, such as investors, to compare the businesses more successfully. Furthermore, financial statements provide an organization's management and board of directors a method of proving their responsibility to those who give the organization funding. One aspect of financial reporting that is particularly pertinent to public sector organizations and the realities of private sector not-for-profit organizations is the disclosure of information for the purpose of responsibility. It is imperative to bear in mind throughout the examination of the financial accounts that they do not furnish an all-encompassing and precise depiction of the association's financial standing. The remarks attached to the financial statements and the important account programs linked to the statements provide a very clear picture of the financial status. It is possible to comprehend the exact position and account treatment of the many details provided in the financial statements via them. The disclosure of similar key account programs is both necessary and mandated as a consequence of the requirements of AS-1 and the related Disclosure obligations set out in various accounting standards. Given the current state of affairs, it is plausible that word will spread that publicly listed companies will have to republish their financial statements should their adjudicator offer a negative comment—a.k.a. examination qualifications. The chief financial or executive officer of a company will cover the cost of the new exam in a circumstance comparable to this one. A suggestion provided by the Commission on exposures and accounting standards states that stock exchanges should have the authority to "prima facie" act on any "material" qualification made by an adjudicator in order to require a translation of the company's accounts. Unlike the current procedure, which involves noting a company's positive reflections, this would allow adjudicators the last say on a company's financial accounts. Businesses filing their periodic reports will need to provide a statement in front of the exchange in compliance with the terms of the offer. Details on any relevant examination requirements will be included in this statement. Additionally, the stock exchange has the right to ask the adjudicator and the business for additional remarks.

Reporting Requirements as per Accounting Standards

Accounting standards that have been produced by the ICAI have been accorded legal status as a result of the Companies Act of 2013, which was approved in 2013. This legislation was passed in 2013. The upshot of this is that each and every corporation is expected to conform to the accounting standards, and statutory adjudicators are obligated to report on whether or not the accounting standards have been the basis for their decisions. In accordance with the regulations titled "Preparation of Financial Statements and Auditor's Report of Insurance Companies Regulations, 2000," the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA) mandates that insurance companies adhere to the accounting standards that have been established by the Insurance Corporation of India (ICAI). These standards were established in 2000. The fact that this is an extra point of interest is something that need to be taken into account. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Reserve Bank of India are both responsible for ensuring that they are in compliance with the accounting standards that are frequently released by the Central Accounting Institution (ICAI). This is an additional point of interest that should be taken into consideration. When it comes to defining specific concepts, both General Accounting Principles (GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) supply a substantial amount of information; nevertheless, the amount of information that each of these standards gives is significantly different from one another. As a consequence of this, the limitations and regulations become more open to interpretation, which in turn makes it more difficult to grasp them in their entirety. In addition, the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) were developed in the year 2001 by a group of accountants who are collectively known as the International Accounting Standards Organization (IASB). These accountants came together to produce the IFRS. Initially, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), which was the organization that was responsible for their creation, was the one that was responsible for producing the principles that were essentially a continuation of the International Accounting Standards. Despite the fact that both generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and International Financial Reporting

Standards (IFRS) include hypotheticals, rules, and constraints, the latter are somewhat more preliminary and subject to interpretation than the former. This is because the former are more set-in stone. In order to be able to embrace these new concepts, people who have had very little to no previous experience interacting with international organizations will be need to invest a large amount of time and money. This is due to the fact that the implementation of these novel ideas will need financial expenditure. Additionally, in addition to the fact that businesses and organizations will be required to provide their employees with training, there will be a need for adjustments to be made to the classes that are provided at the advanced level of education. It will be required for educational institutions and colleges to make improvements to the educational programs that they provide in order to guarantee that the knowledge that they transmit to students is not only reliable but also based on the most recent information available. Therefore, it is of the utmost importance for them to recognize and support the global standards in order for them to have a more successful experience during their postgraduate years. On the other hand, if sodalities and universities decide not to take this step, it has the potential to have a big influence on the constituents who have just graduated from the council.

Chartered accountants' role in putting accounting standards into practice

Chartered Accountants play a crucial role in establishing and maintaining accounting standards. They are engaged in the development of accounting standards from the initial formulation stage to the final implementation stage. When creating accounting standards, the Accounting Standards Board (ASB) seeks input from practicing Chartered Accountants (i.e. adjudicators). The adjudicators manipulate and promote businesses to violate accounting rules while compiling financial accounts within their scope of review. The adjudicators assist the firms by understanding their challenges when they have difficulties in complying with accounting standards. The Institute members provide their feedback to the Institute on complicated accounting rules or necessary modifications. The ASB also has a method to review and modify accounting rules accordingly. When the mandatory accounting standards are implemented, it is the responsibility of the adjudicators to ensure that the relevant accounting standards are followed in the preparation and submission of financial accounts. He is obligated to fulfill his responsibility while performing his attesting role, and if an adjudicator observes that a business being inspected has not adhered to an accounting norm. Adjudicators may exhibit considerable laxity in fulfilling their obligations while reporting on compliance with accounting rules.

Conclusion

According to the primary check, it has been established that the request controller, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), and the Indian Competition and Investment Authority (ICAI) have had a significant impact on the financial statements in terms of exposure requirements, investors' protection, cash inflow statement, compliance with preemption law, checked financial statements, exposure of material developments, and hand stock option plan, among other impacts. The repliers have also refocused on some of the disparities that exist between the guidelines of SEBI and the clauses of IFRS and IAS. This is an additional point that has been established. Investor protection, cash inflow statement, exposure requirements, contribution of financial statements, and preemption legislation are some of the topics that are covered in this section. As a result, it is recommended that the relevant authorities conduct a thorough investigation into the discrepancies in order to protect the interests of all of the other parties involved. Given that the research has shown that there is a major disagreement in account practices, the reason for this is due to certain important hurdles. According to the replies of accountants, the first problem is related to the original accounting standards, and the second challenge is related to government initiatives that are adopted by major firms. Additionally, the major problem is set up to be the difference in the objectives of the financial statement. The taxes authorities and the suppliers of supporting installations made up the next chain in the Adjustment process. Moreover, replier's view currency fluctuation to be a hurdle that they must overcome. These difficulties should thus be treated carefully and evaluated in the same priority in order to bring about harmony and consistency in the procedures of accounting.

References

1. Ahadiat, N., & Stewart, B. R. (1992). "International geographic segment reporting standards, a case for the Harmonization of accounting and reporting practices." The International Journal of Accounting, 27.
2. Bandyopadhyay, S. P., Hanna, J. D., & Richardson, G. (1994). "Capital market effects of American–Canadian GAAP differences." Journal of Accounting Research, 32.
3. Chandler, R. A. (1992). "The international Harmonization of accounting: In search of influence." The International Journal of Accounting, 27.
4. Dopunik, T. S. (1987). "Evidence of international Harmonization of financial reporting." The International Journal of Accounting, Fall, 47–67.
5. Ghosh, T. P. (2008) "Accounting Standards and Corporate Accounting Practices", Taxmann
a. Allied Services (P) Ltd.
6. Kishore, Ravi M. (2007) "Advanced Accounting with Accounting Standards", Taxmann Allied Services (P) Ltd.
7. Lowe, H. D. (1967). "Accounting aid for developing countries." The Accounting Review, April, 42
8. Morris, R. D., & Parker, R. H. (1999). "International harmony measures of accounting policy: comparative statistical properties." Accounting and Business Research, 29(1), 73– 86.
9. Nobes, C. W. (1998). "The future shape of Harmonization: Some responses." European Accounting Review, 7(2), 323–330.
10. Rawat, D.S. (2005) "Students' Guide to Accounting Standards", Taxmann Allied Services (P) Ltd.
11. Srivastava, R. K. & Singh Rakesh (2006). "The Harmonization Advantage" The Management Accountant, February. pp. 101-103.