International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The Role of Monetary Incentives for Enhancing Employee Performance with Special Reference to Selected Financial Institutions in the Bhilai Region

Dr. Rincey B Abraham[1], Dr. Sunita Kshatriya[2]

[1,2]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, St.Thomas College, Bhilai

Abstract
Employee motivation in a competitive workplace is greatly aided by monetary incentives, sometimes known as financial rewards for performance. The economic research claims that cash rewards are a very popular way for organizations and businesses to improve employee performance.
Utilizing a normative survey method, the current investigation was conducted. The technique of stratified random sampling was employed. A sample of 123 employees from the Bhilai region was chosen by taking into account different strata of gender, location, and institution type. According to the study's findings, financial incentives have a good impact on employee performance, but employees in the financial institution industry place a higher importance on non-financial rewards. The study's findings about employees' preferences for monetary incentives will help employers and HR managers in the financial institution sector design incentive programs that will motivate workers and improve performance. The study's findings will also be helpful to future researchers who want to understand how different incentives affect employees' performance.

Keywords: Monetary Incentive, Employee Performance, Financial Institutions.

INTRODUCTION

In any type of organization, whether it is in the production or service sectors, incentives are the primary motivator. Any employee's efficiency can increase thanks to it, and it can also inspire workers to offer their all to the company by working harder and more accurately. These ideas of "incentive," "reward," and "recognition" can be effectively applied to any industry, including the production and service industries as well as banking. As a result, incentives can be divided into two main categories:

- Monitory Incentives, Non-Monitory incentives

Types of Monetary Incentives:

The three primary sorts of Monetary Incentives are clarified in this part:

- Base pay.
- Variable pay.
- Indirect Monetary Incentives

"The yearly pay which remains same throughout the year" is known as base pay. Gross pay is another term that can be used to refer to annual base salary. Conversely, variable pay, also known as motives, is earned again at each execution phase and is linked to the point of execution or results achieved. It can be evaluated in terms of individual, collective, or authoritative performance. Fringe benefits are an example of an indirect financial incentive.

Incentive compensation that varies Variable pay is the next part that makes up pay. "Variable pay is earned back at each execution phase and is tied to the stage of execution or consequences reached. It can be considered by individual, collective, or authoritative execution." Execution is rewarded by transient motivators during a period of twelve months or less. On the other hand, long-term incentives compensate performance for a duration greater than a year and usually involve investment prospects, restricted stock, and offers for execution.

Fringe Benefits: Fringe advantages can be enjoyed alone or with loved ones and include paid holidays, vacations, business cars, auto mobile facilities, and other perks. All things considered, an association's ability to effectively develop and employ its human resources determines its competence and production. Globalization caused profound changes in the type and intensity of rivalry. The process of liberalization and globalization lifted national borders, paving the way for international competition. Every association must therefore be prepared to face opposition on a worldwide scale. The increased degree of worker execution ensures efficient utilization of resources and avoidance of waste. The organization raises the bar for performance in order to ensure spectacular performance and stand out on a worldwide scale.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The primary areas to concentrate on are financial reward analysis, the significance of financial reward and monetary incentive, and the process of improving employee performance through monetary reward in the cutthroat corporate market chain. Finding the financial incentive system is the study's goal (Wilson et al. 2018). This field of analysis research went into great detail about the advantages of rewards for employees as well as the significance of financial incentives for improving employee performance. The study's goal is to critically examine the below point of financial motivation.

The connection between employee performance and monitory incentives The international economy and the global business environment, which are both predicated on intense market rivalry and worker productivity. According to the economic survey, financial incentives or awards can encourage workers to meet company objectives. Incentives and prizes are fostering a strong bond between the company platform and employees in a large corporate setting. The positive correlation between employee performance and monetary incentives fosters a strong corporate relationship. The value of financial incentives in raising employee performance A responsible effort to improve employee performance in the global commercial market is led by the financial incentive system. Employee happiness and motivation at work are increased by rewards. A monetary incentive helps track achievement and pave the way for future job opportunities.

Literature Review

Rajesh.E et.al(2022) This study paper presents a critical thematic analysis of the use of monetary incentives to improve employee performance. This report outlines the purpose of the research paper, which is to gain an understanding of the monetary incentive system in the context of a competitive business market. It also explains the key duties of the incentive process, which include enhancing employee performance and increasing business productivity through financial rewards. Additionally, the process of analysing the positive effects of employee incentive methods in the business market is discussed, along with the importance of authentic data and information related to business improvement and future opportunities to provide a safe environment for employees. This analytical research concentrates on all those areas to make clear how important it is to offer employee incentives in order to attain the highest levels of performance and productivity inside the company.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

The following hypotheses were formulated

1. There is significant positive relationship between Monetary Incentives and Employee Performance.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To find out the relationship between Monetary Incentives and Employee Performance.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The only area where the global financial sector differs from one another is in the quality of its services. Over the past twenty years, the financial services industry has seen difficulties in both surviving and meeting consumer expectations worldwide. Because of the current state of the market, the financial sector's role in closing the gap between means and aims has become critical, making sector research imperative. As the most important and active sector of the economy, it presents numerous challenges to employers and employees alike. Since the financial industry involves the handling of public funds, which makes their work more demanding, employers are faced with the challenge of how to get the best performance out of their staff members while also preparing them for the daily pressures of meeting deadlines. As a result, incentives are needed to motivate staff members to perform better at work. The city of Bhilai has been selected for the study, and it is specifically referenced in the paper.

METHODOLOGY IN BRIEF

In the present investigation, normative survey method was employed. Stratified random sampling technique was used., A sample of 123 Employees by considering different strata gender, locale, type of institution from Bhilai region were selected as sample.

1.1 DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF THE STUDY

The Role of Monterey Incentives for Enhancing Employee Performance in the Bhilai Region

The Role of Monterey Incentives on Employee Performance scores were collected and calculated the measures of central tendency, dispersion, skewness and kurtosis. The details are given in table 1

TABLE 1 Results of measures of central tendency, measures of dispersion, skewness, and kurtosis score of The Role of Monterey Incentives **for Enhancing** Employee Performance for the **total sample**

Variables	N	M	SD	Sk	Ku
Employee Performance	123	69.34	9.41	-0.319	0.362
Monetary Incentives	123	81.91	8.42	-1.83	6.19

The arithmetic mean for employee performance is displayed in the table. Standard deviation is 9.41 and sample mean is 69.41. Employee Performance has a maximum score of 100 and a minimum score of 20. This demonstrates that the performance of the employee is good.
The arithmetic mean for monitory incentives is displayed in the table. Standard deviation is 8.42 and sample mean is 81.91. Employee Performance has a maximum score of 100 and a minimum score of 20. This demonstrates that the monitory incentives are good.

1.2 The relationship between Monetary Incentives and Employee Performance.

The calculation of coefficient of correlation is done by Karl Pearson's product moment method and it is tested for significance by finding t-value.
The relationship between Monetary Incentives and Employee Performance is studied by computing 'r' and tested for significance using t- value. Table 2 contains the details.

TABLE 2 Result of test of Significance of 'r' between the relationship between Monetary Incentives and Employee Performance.

N	Df	r	t
123	121	0.623**	11.04**

****P<0.01**

The obtained value of 'r' is 0.623, which means there is positive relationship between Monitory Incentives and Employee Performance.
The calculated t-value is 11.04 and the table value for t- test for degrees of freedom 121 is 1.96.at 0.051evel and 2.58 at 0.0l level. It is clear that the calculated t- value is greater than both the table values. That means 'r' is positive and significant at 0.01 level (t=11.04; P<0.01). Thus, it can be inferred that there is significant relationship between the Monetary Incentives and Employee Performance.

For statistical analysis this hypothesis is changed in to null hypothesis form. Therefore, the null hypothesis is stated as **"There is no significant positive relationship between Monitory Incentives and Employee Performance."** The null hypothesis is rejected by the support of the following findings of the study.
By the support of the following findings of the study. Based on total sample (r = 0.623, t = 11.04, P<0.01).
The null hypothesis is rejected.
Hence Hypothesis 1 is accepted.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

Current studies have revealed fascinating details regarding the impact of different types of financial incentives on worker productivity. The majority of respondents were found to be extremely happy with the medical insurance that their institutions offered. A resounding majority of responders concurred that receiving additional funding from their institutions improves their performance. The majority of the institutions expressed satisfaction with the quantity and frequency of bonuses received.
A sizeable portion of respondents expressed satisfaction with the employee welfare resources made available to them. It was shown that almost half of the respondents were happy with the auto mobile service their institutions offered. Nearly 74% of the participants thought housing benefits were very successful.

Money is the ultimate truth, according to research statistics on the subject. It is the source of all happiness and sadness. The best justifications for the aforementioned universal truth can be found in all of the concluding research findings. Workers who are compensated well outperform those who are recognized for their achievements. Financial bonuses are more motivating to respondents than opportunities for career progress. More people are motivated to achieve better by increments than by accountability.

REFERENCES

1. Armstong, Michael, (1999). A Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice, (Seventh ed.). Great Britan: Kogan Page Ltd.
2. Burgess S., Ratto M., (n.d.), The Role of Incentives in the Public Sector: Issues and Evidence, retrieved January 11 2014 from http://oxrep.oxfordjournals. org/ content/32/2.toc.
3. Kothari C. R. (2011). Research Methodology, New Delhi, New Age international Publication.
4. Schuler R.S., & Jackson S. E. (1996), Human Resource Management: Positioning for the 21st Century, (Sixth ed.). New York: West Publishing Company.
5. Sekaran U. (2003). Research Methods for Business a Skill Building Approach(fourth ed.). New York: John Wiley.