

Unlocking Global Opportunities: Tanzania's Ratification of the Madrid Protocol for Intellectual Property Rights Enhancement

Hassan Abdulhakim Bahebe

LLM Scholar Intellectual Property Rights, Zhongnan University of Economics and Law

Abstract:

This article highlights the potential benefits as well as consequences of Tanzania ratifying the Madrid Protocol, a critical move in enhancing Intellectual Property rights protection within her borders. The Madrid Protocol is a parallel treaty to the Madrid Agreement that provides an international framework for simplified trademark filing across multiple jurisdictions. This paper examines how this strategic decision can put Tanzania on a better competitive ground in the global market and attract foreign direct investment while still protecting domestic intellectual property of local firms.

Keywords: Madrid Protocol, Intellectual Property Rights, Trademark Registration, Tanzania, Legal Harmonization.

Introduction:

Due to globalization and increased trade across national boundaries, the protection of intellectual property rights has become a crucial determinant of economic growth and attraction of overseas capital (Kihwelo, 2021). The Madrid Protocol which is an international treaty under the guardianship of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is proof of the collective efforts of the international community to simplify and harmonize the process of receiving a trademark registration across the world. Trademarks as indicators of origin and quality of products and services global trade becomes possible by trademarks because consumers trust manufacturers of the quality of displayed products and services trademark enables consumers to trust also in foreign products and services.

Upon Tanzania's ratification of this protocol, it goes beyond reaffirming the commitment to international standards but also is indicative of the strategic attempt to constitute a stronger and more competitive intellectual property environment. the move to adopt the Madrid Protocol denotes a shift away from traditional means and entering into an era of global collaboration.

This article exhibits innovation through its specialized focus on Tanzania's ratification of the Madrid Protocol, offering a unique lens into the legal and economic implications. The in-depth analysis is provided by the article and it innovatively extends beyond information presentation by proposing practical recommendations, actively engaging with potential challenges, and suggesting viable solutions.

Background of the Problem:

From the perspective of markets around the world, branding is now vital for guaranteeing a fair competitive climate and constraints against counterfeiting or piracy – crimes which can endanger

trademarks associated with brands (Mwakaje, 2023). Brands are very vital in the market trade of goods and services, shaping things like product characteristics, quality interpretation amongst others and promotional activities. Markets products type and good provide the consumers with quality and goods. Due to rapid development of international trade, while a heterogeneous brand environment involves greater risks brought about by ‘free riding’ rivals and counterfeits, it also necessitates more international brand protection (Mwakaje, 2023). The introduction of the Madrid agreement serves as an introduction to international brand protection system.

This journey started with the territorial patent convention in Paris treaty of 1883 much advantage is however put across to global brands protection. Afterwards there are the Nice Agreement of 1957 and Madrid Agreement of 1982 all discussing international registration marks. The Vienna Trademark Registration Treaty (TRT) was established in 1973, which developed the Madrid Protocol as per its adoption in 1989 and several amendments with 2006 being that of more recent changes.

The Madrid Protocol is a multinational convention concerning worldwide registration of marks. This protocol guarantees global protection of a registered trademark thereby enabling trademark owners to register a mark in several countries at once with one language and from one currency. It has been ratified by 130 countries throughout the world while Tanzania is not in the list (WIPO Website) the acceptance of Madrid protocol is a clear testimonial that it has been embraced by many countries.

With rising globalization and interdependence of economies the only way for nations trying to find their place in the global arena is to maintain and control intellectual property rights Intellectual property, patents, copyrights, and trademarks are the foundations of innovation, creativity and economic development. In light of the setting therefore, Tanzania’s choice to accede to the Madrid Protocol is indicative of an important turning point in the progressive evolution of its intellectual property ecosystem and a springboard for accessing unparalleled opportunities on the global stage. The Madrid Protocol which is an international treaty under the guardianship of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is proof of the collective efforts of the international community to simplify and harmonize the process of receiving a trademark registration across frontiers. Trademarks as indicators of origin and quality of products and services global trade becomes possible by trademarks because consumers trust manufacturers of the quality of displayed products and services trademark enables consumers to trust also in foreign products and services.

As Tanzania cracks on this protocol, it goes beyond reaffirming the commitment to international standards but also is indicative of the strategic attempt to constitute a stronger and more competitive intellectual property environment. Surrounded by the context of historical events informing developments in the intellectual property framework in Tanzania, the move to adopt the Madrid Protocol denotes a shift away from traditional means and an audacious jump into an era of global collaboration.

This Article explores the complex facets of Tanzania’s ratification of the Madrid Protocol by laying out the motives behind this move, assess the potential gains of the country and businesses that operate within, and navigate the newly-emerged challenges and opportunities due to this innovative pursuit.

Statement of the Problem:

Despite promising progresses in intellectual property protection Tanzania has made, there are still challenges such as the efficiency, international recognition, and their attraction for foreign direct investment. However, there is a legal framework that guides the trademark registration system in Tanzania; but it remains unclear whether existing processes and systems comply with established

international standards (Massawe, 2019). This variance leads to a scenario where many systems that hold better standards can run away or operate in activities meant to reach competitive positioning in international market place.

This significantly raises the issue as to what can be done by Tanzania in order to strengthen its system of trademark registration so that it acts efficiently towards protection of domestic IP rights, attracts investment and facilitates smoother international trade. Therefore, this Article seeks to resolve the following problems: What are the strengths and weaknesses of Tanzania's existing trade mark registration framework, indeed, what could be the potential benefits ratification of Madrid Protocol as a protection scheme for intellectual property right in Tanzania? And whether there are any legal consequences.

Literature Review:

The existing literatures offers insights into the importance of intellectual property rights protection, the benefits of joining international intellectual property treaties, and the specific implications of the Madrid Protocol.

Authors such as Maskus has explored the role of intellectual property rights in economic development (Maskus, 2015) Additionally, studies by Fink and Reichenmiller has focused on the impacts and challenges of joining the Madrid Protocol. (Fink & Reichenmiller, 2014)

However, it has to be noted out that there is limited research specifically addressing Tanzania's ratification of the treaty and its implications. Therefore, this Article gives a Contextual Analysis by making an overview of the intellectual property framework in Tanzania specifically.

Madrid protocol in Regulating and Protecting Trade Marks:

Madrid Protocol is the Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks, which was adopted at Madrid on June 27, 1989 (Carlisle, 1993). It is regarded as a one stop solution for trademark owners to protect their brand abroad and it is a user-friendly procedure as it will be observed here later.

The global registration of trademarks, also known as the Madrid system, operates through two international accords: The Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks (Agreement) and the Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement (protocol). Under the Madrid system, administration of the latter is done under the International Bureau of World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO).

The scope of the bureau includes regulation and publication activities which entail management of international records and issue the WIPO Gazette of International Marks. It is also worthy to note that as a member of the Paris Convention, each country has an opportunity to either join the Agreement, or the Protocol and do it optionally which means that they can choose both options. Nations that join either the Agreement or Protocol together comprise an organization called the Madrid Union.

The Madrid System provides a collective trademark rights through a centralized manner for different countries. Instead of separately registration system that will register a single brand in each country to be protected, the approach provides an opportunity free from cost effects using many countries. Sovereignty of all members is rendered intact by this system.

Requirement and procedures for Registration of Trademarks under Madrid system:

There are specific rules and procedures connected with the process of applying for registration under the Madrid System as described below.

The following are requirements for Trademarks Registration;

National or Regional Registration: This is stipulated under Article 3 of Madrid Agreement that, A mark in the case of the Madrid system has to be either registered or applied in a national office that is the native country of an applicant or some regional intellectual property offices such as EU, EUIPO and other Regional Intellectual Property Rights offices.

Applicant's Connection to member Country: the applicant should be a national of one of the countries which is a contracting member the Madrid System, or domiciled therein, or if he is not so connected with any country already party to the convention, he/she must have a real and effective industrial establishment or commercial premises maintained for some time in some member-country (EUIPO Guidelines for Examination for International Trade Marks).

Identical Mark: The international application or the registration must be either a copy being adopted by the applicant from his home or else an identical mark in which he is filing abroad.

Language of Application: According to the provision of Rule 6 (Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement, 1989) in the filling of the trademark under Madrid system there will be implementation of a full trilingual (English, French and Spanish) even in situations where an international application is governed exclusively by the Madrid Agreement.

The Procedures for Trademarks registration includes the following;

1. **File Home Application:** Start by filing a national or regional application for trademark registration in the home country or region.
2. **Submission to the International Bureau:** Once the home application is filed, submit an international application to the International Bureau of WIPO through the national or regional intellectual property office.
3. **Designate Member Countries:** Specify the member countries in which protection is sought. These are the countries where the applicant intends to extend the protection of their trademark.
4. **Examination by WIPO:** WIPO examines the application to ensure it observes their requirements. The relevant national laws form the basis of a substantive examination into each of the designated countries, by estimating on it.
5. **International Registration:** After passing the examination by WIPO the Mark will be sent to the designated country for examination and registration. When registered in a requisite country WIPO will publish in the WIPO Gazette of International Marks such registered Mark.
6. **Renewal and Management:** The Madrid System also allows for WIPO International Registration Renewal and any changes or subsequent extensions of the application to designate additional states.

Advantages of putting the Madrid protocol into practice (protocol relating to the Madrid agreement concerning the international registration of marks):

Global brand names in Tanzania strive to get proper recognition considering the current trademark registration system at national, and these have challenges of obtaining complete legal protection through full visual clarity. The current procedure is heavy-handed, confusing and costly. Significantly, the requirement of international brand protection in Tanzania needs to necessarily go through the specific registration process set out by the country.

Considering the challenges mentioned above, a proposal to underpin the Madrid Protocol has appeared; which maybe aimed at dealing with issues regarding international brand protection. The Madrid protocol comes along with many benefits, for instance; and this is evident by the fact that when using the Madrid office to process application then much can be saved with regard to agency fees and other charges (Shields, 2001).

This would then eliminate the need to appoint agents in each state as a way of overseeing registration reducing on procedure and therefore providing a cost-effective option for business based in Tanzania which are looking forward to protecting their worldwide trademarks. By ratifying the Madrid protocol, the following benefits will be enjoyed:

Simplified International Filing. The Madrid System provides the opportunity to file an international brand application in one language, with a similar payment receipt and to use on centralized system. This makes the process less complicated as compared to filing separate applications in many nations.

Cost-Effective. The Madrid System is often cost-saving approach to international trademark protection. It could be observed that through the Madrid system Applicants pay a universal set of fees as they appeal for an international application and further renewals, rather than doing so in each nation.

Centralized Management. This centralized platform allows managing and reapplying the ITRs. Top the changes, like updating ownership information or renewing the registration there is just one method.

Flexibility in Designation of Countries. Trademark owners decide where and at which of the countries they claim protection, as well in turn they will be able to add other country(s) onto their international registration if needed. This has extended that the businesses are flexible enough to formulate their international trademark strategy after some Waze hence the Madrid system simplify this designation.

Time-Saving. The Madrid Automatic System usually accelerates the registration process than filing various applications in multiple states. This system from the fact that a foreign application is anchored on an original national or regional trademark office by following the same basic registration procedures as prescribed.

Simplified Renewal Process. International registrations tare re-processed in easier manner through the Madrid System. Traders can make a single renewal application for all countries in respect of their designation trademark.

Efficient Communication. Consideration is simplified via a unified office person (the International Bureau of WIPO) which might promote communication regarding information exchange between the trademark bureau in the countries where the application has to be determined and the applicant.

Unified System for Changes. Changes like name or address can be recorded centrally under Madrid system hence convenient way of managing International registration for trademark owner.

Since the Madrid System provides numerous advantages, it is easy for corporations to go inline with their Global Trademark Strategy and learn about different needs that arise in terms of the trade protocol. By Tanzania ratifying the Madrid Protocol investors may be attracted to invest in the country as her trade mark registration system fits their international expansion strategy.

Consequences to Tanzania for Madrid protocol Ratification:

A consequent result of Tanzania's signing to Madrid Protocol is the estimated influx of applications for the registration of foreign marks whose target customer is the Tanzanian consumer market. Such growth is caused by large territorial coverage of Tanzania, inhabitants are around 67 million that appeals as good market for the above producers (Tanzania Bureau of Statistics Website). It is, therefore, predicted

that the ratification of the Madrid Protocol would improve the performance of non-tax revenue streams, and consequently, raise collections for the state treasury.

Since there are certain benefits for the government and for the foreign applicants, it is important for Tanzania to work together with its international partners on its way to ratifying the Madrid Protocol. Endorsement should not be limited to local government but also include any relevant stakeholders to ensure there is a holistic approach and, without any argumentation, coordinated approach.

Moreover, the Office of Intellectual Property Rights in Tanzania should analyze its lines of preparedness in relation to the implementation on the ground of the Madrid Protocol. In markets in other African countries, where applications for foreign brands have increased and demand for WTO guidelines is heightened, readiness implies preparing human resources to deal with this massive workload.

Specific efforts should be taken to address possible problems arising within technical limitations or caused by linguistic confinements between officers. It is important for the officers to be language inclined since if they wish to apply under the Madrid Protocol they will need to use a language that is not theirs.

In essence, the Madrid Protocol, though capable of yielding a great intellectual property (IP) revenue, and thus the potential to promote business engagement internationally for Tanzania, certainly requires a well-coordinated and prepared approach. This is to organize support in ensuring preparation of the institutions and identifying the challenges in the intellectual property rights leading to the Directorate of Intellectual property rights in terms of the language skills and the technical capabilities.

Table showing a concise overview of the challenges and opportunities to Tanzania's potential ratification of the Madrid Protocol

Category	Challenges	Opportunities
Legal Framework	Efficiencies in Existing trademark registration system	Alignment with International standards
	Compliance with Madrid Protocol Requirement	Increased global recognition and attractiveness for investments
Institutional Readiness	Capacity Building for handling foreign trademark applications	Efficient Processing of foreign author brands
Public Awareness	Lack of Awareness among businesses and Legal Practitioners	Informed stakeholders leading to increased utilization of systematic registration
Implementation strategies	Technical limitations and linguistic confinements	Improved practices for practical implementation, addressing challenges
Monitoring and Evaluation	Need for a well-coordinated approach among stakeholders	Gauging the impact on intellectual property rights, foreign direct investment, and nontax revenue

Recommendations:

Considering on the comprehensive analysis on Tanzania's potential ratification of Madrid Protocol for Intellectual property enhancement, the following are suggested: Strengthening Institutional Readiness. The Office of Intellectual Property Rights in Tanzania needs to conduct a comprehensive review of what they can do at the moment they have foreign trademarks applications. They should prepare their human capital towards additional activities that are connected with the admission of the foreign author brands in their country.

Legal Harmonization and Compliance. Tanzania should strive for ensuring the national legal framework stays in line with international requirements, particularly those established by the Madrid Protocol. This is followed by evaluation of processes and systems to ensure efficiency, international acknowledgement and adherence to the standard norms.

Collaboration with Stakeholders. Instead of deliberations within the state and its governmental agencies, the needed ratification of the aforementioned international provision by the government of Tanzania should stimulate interaction with the relevant stakeholders, such as the representatives of the part of the local business community, attorneys and other specialists of the respective industry. Distribution of partnership should also be evident from the endorsements from both local and international groups.

Public Awareness and Education. Hold awareness campaigns to inform people who will operate the businesses, entrepreneurs as well as the legal practitioners on the advancements that come along with the Madrid Protocol. This will help trade mark registration to be well understood and hence create conditions that will enable more businesses to utilize the systematic registration process.

Efficient Implementation Strategies. Improve the practices of the principles of the Madrid Protocol, regarding the use most feasible practices for its practical implementation, keeping in mind the probes discovered by the analysis. All these are included in facilitating the change process considering that it should address any possible technical limitations that may arise, promote efficient communication and offer appropriate resources to allow ease of transition.

Monitor and Evaluate Impact. In Ratifying, there is a need to Setup an Evaluation and Monitoring System to Gauge the Outcome of Madrid Protocol with respect to intellectual property rights, foreign direct investment and non-tax revenue. This will enable Tanzania to make informed decisions and remedial action as per analysis.

Through implementing these recommendations Tanzania will therefore be able to achieve various objectives that include among others, maximize on the benefits that arise from ratifying Madrid Protocol, improve intellectual property protection structure that will make it appealing to foreign investors while protecting home based business in Tanzania.

Conclusion:

Tanzania is close to a crucial step of ratifying the Madrid Protocol that would do a lot towards boosting intellectual property rights protection within its territories. The dynamics traversing this strategic decision have been analysed in this paper covering both the positive and the potential negative outcomes.

The diverse background that is marked by trade and globalisation calls for a competent structure which provides adequate protection to intellectual property as right. Traditionally, due to their immense importance in brands, trademarks were subjected to international protection and safety for the sake of balance in the industry and situations of anti-counterfeiting and piracy. In this regard, the Madrid

Protocol arises as a prominent instrument that enables easy and relatively cheap registration of trademarks in many countries.

Indeed, Tanzania with a growing economy and a large market – would significantly benefit from implementing the Madrid Protocol. The benefits indeed are diverse such as the ease of global submission and efficiency offered at reasonable costs, centralized monitoring, and effective communication that place Tanzania in a favourable position to attain foreign investment generating greater international competitiveness.

Yet issues persist that include need for legal harmonization, capacity building problems at the institutional level, as well as the importance to work effectively together with the stakeholders. It is also vital for a detailed critical remark of Tanzanian's current system of trademark registration in order to meet the international standards thus effectiveness and recognition.

The implications of ratification are far-reaching than just benefits to follow; the increase in applications to register foreign marks herald the opportunities for economic growth spurred by surge in the revenue that is non-tax and increased. In that vein, ensuring cooperation with international partners and suiting stakeholders plays a vital role to ensure a unified and holistic approach.

REFERENCE

1. Abdallah, H.T & Mawji D. Legal Challenges on The Discharging of Administrative Powers in Tanzania: A Case Study of Trade and Service Mark Office, *An Open Access Journal from the Law Brigade (Publishing) Group*
2. Adams & Adams. (2011). *Practioner's Guide to Intellectual Property Rights Law*. Cape Town: LexisNexis.
3. Carlisle E. Walters, The Madrid Protocol, 4 *Fordham Intel. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J.* 407 (1993).
4. Chang, L Comparative Study on The Trademark Renewal System Between China and Anglo-countries *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management* ISSN: 2766-824X | Vol. 12, No. 2, 2023
5. Cornish, *etal*, (2010), *Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyright, Trademarks and Allied Rights*, 7th Ed, Sweet & Maxwell, London
6. EUIPO, Guidelines for Examination of European Union (International Marks) at page 8 Accessed at https://www.euipo.europa.eu/tunnelweb/secure/webdav/guest/document_library/contentPdfs/
7. Fink, Carsten, 'Intellectual Property Rights: Economic Principles and Trade Rules', in Arvid Lukauskas, Robert M. Stern, and Gianni Zanini (eds), *Handbook of Trade Policy for Development* (Oxford, 2013; online edn, Oxford Academic, 23 Jan. 2014), <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199680405.003.0023>, accessed 2 Feb. 2024.
8. Kihwelo, P. F (2021) Intellectual property Rights (IPR) Protection in Tanzania: the nightmare and noble Dream, *the Tanzania lawyer April September page 22*
9. Maskus, K.E. 2015.' "Intellectual Property in a globalizing world: issues for Economic Research, "Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics, Taylor & Francis Journals, vol. 22(3), pages 231-250.
10. Massawe, I.M(2019) "Trade Marks Registration in Tanzania (Tanganyika and Zanzibar)"
11. Mwakaje, S. J. (2022) Intellectual Property Rights in Tanzania: An Appraisal of the Law and Developmental Issues *Tanzania Journal of Development Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2022: 111–135*

12. Selvakumar, M. (2022) Overlap of Trademarks with Other Intellectual Property Rights: The Strategies of Global Brands. *Beijing Law Review*, 13, 429-448. doi: 10.4236/blr.2022.132027
13. Shields, L.K (2001) Ireland In Madrid: A New Trade Mark System for Ireland