

# Exploring the Keys to Successful Cross-Cultural Partnerships: Uncovering the Essential Components that Enhance or Hinder Fruitful Joint Ventures and Collaborations Between Entrepreneurs from the UK and India

Gayatri Panda

Themis Technologies, UK

## ABSTRACT

In the past few years, there has been a big rise in the number of relationships and agreements between businesses in India and the UK. But the results of these cross-cultural projects are very different. Some are huge successes, while others have a hard time. The purpose of this study paper is to find the cultural factors that affect the behaviour and productivity of business ties between the UK and India in a good or bad way. The first part of the paper sheds light on well-known cultural aspects theories, like Hofstede's model, to look at and compare the core values of Indian and UK cultures. It is made clear how the two countries' cultural values are very different. A qualitative exploratory research methodology was employed. Secondary research was conducted analysing scholarly literature on cross-cultural management, cultural dimensions theories, and case studies of real-life UK-India partnerships. What should be done is suggested based on the results. To have long-lasting partnerships with people from other countries, they need to learn about each other's cultures by getting to know each other, being open and flexible, and always learning. The study's result is that companies can do well in different countries by overcoming the challenges that come with their differences and working to be culturally competent.

**Keywords:** Cultural intelligence, Cross-cultural partnerships, Flexibility, Cultural intelligence, Cultural competence, Transparency, Joint Venture

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, commercial deals and relationships between owners in the UK and India have seen a noticeable increase. Among other things, this growth is due to the fact that both countries have strong historical and cultural ties and can share knowledge and grow their businesses in ways that are good for both. Some of these deals with other countries have been very successful, while others could have been better (Manhas and Kumar, 2022). Many joint businesses do well and grow slowly, but some have issues that no one saw coming, making it tough for everyone to work together. It is crucial to figure out why some business partnerships between people in the UK and India work and others need to (Xu et al., 2019). What makes these business ties work or not work? They need to learn more about how culture affects people to find out.

Many ideas explain that when two people from different backgrounds work together, trust and relationships can be affected by the different ways they communicate, make choices, and balance work and life. This study paper aims to look into what makes relationships between businesses from the UK and India work well across cultures. In this paper, the research looks at real-life partnership cases and discusses relevant cultural theories. The main idea is that the cultural mindsets, behaviours, and ways of doing things that the working partners use have a big impact on the outcome of UK-India business ventures, whether they are successful or unsuccessful. Finding these important cultural aspects could be very helpful for building long-lasting relationships between countries.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Factors Enhancing Partnership Success

Building trust and acceptance through creative means are two of the most important things that keep business partnerships between people from different countries going strong. Understanding cultural differences is essential for building good business relationships. These differences should be taken into account when societies work together economically. When people work together, trust is important, but how it grows can be affected by regional differences (Granovetter, 2017). Indians respect relationships with other people and slowly gain trust over having many contacts. Britons, on the other hand, might believe that trust is something that everyone has from the start. Partners need to understand these different points of view in order to trust each other (Lozano and Escrich, 2017). Indians feel more at ease when they can hang out with friends and family outside of work daily.

On the other hand, Britons still like clear deals. Another important thing for success is good conversation since how people discuss each other varies from culture to culture. Indians are more subtle in their speech and put a lot of value on it, while Britons are more likely to be straight (Ting-Toomey and Dorjee, 2018). Partners should really learn how the other person works. Indians think that the best ways to start a useful chat are with nice questions and small discussions about relationships. It is important for Britons to set clear goals and due dates from the start. Messages sent in different ways can be wrong, but they can be avoided by regularly discussing comments and messages that need to be clarified (Warrick, 2017). When shared leadership is culturally open, harmony grows. Indians like it when everyone agrees on a decision and speaks up so that different points of view are considered.

On the contrary, Britons commonly believe that only one boss is responsible for everything. When Indians work in groups, they gain power, and when Britons can make their own decisions, trust stays high. Keuzes and Posner (2023) say that changing chair jobs on a regular basis between people from different countries helps keep things fair and lets everyone get used to new ways of doing things. Last but not least, it is easier for everyone to work together when they know that different work cultures see things differently. Long-term deals and stable relationships with coworkers are more important to Indians than relationships. On the other hand, goals are more critical to Britons than relationships. Seeing these small things can help us understand each other better. Having open hours can help Indians meet their family needs, and balancing work and personal life helps keep morale high (Schermerhorn Jr. et al., 2020). Indian people are sure of their relationships because they do planned group activities that help them learn more about each other.

### 2.2 Factors Preventing the Success of Partnerships

Being open to and aware of different points of view can help cross-cultural relationships, but not being aware of them can make life less enjoyable. Many worst things can happen when businesses in the UK and India work together if they are not careful. Making an effort to understand the social values of both

sides is very important. People may make wrong assumptions that cause problems if they do not know how social factors affect what they do and how they want to achieve it. If Indian allies in Britain do not understand why they want to make a deal, they may think that talks are taking too long (Argade et al., 2021). Indians who do not know how British people who were free used to think might also think that some choices were made without much thought. People can get into fights if they do not take the time to learn about other countries. Group work is also harmed by being strict and not thinking about how comfortable different people are.

Views on rigid work styles that do not allow for alternatives slow down progress. Indians care a lot about safety, so they might not want to question strict British rules to keep a straight face. However, if partners do not adapt to the needs of different regions, they might lose their support over time. By forcing structures on other people without first discussing them, they miss out on useful information and end up separating partners who are used to being involved (Mattessich and Johnson, 2018). Norms keep things going easily, but making everyone follow the same model needs to take into account different cultures. Everyone needs to be involved in making decisions from the top down to make it easier for people to work together (Klein, 2017). Indians like to be a part of most things that help people get along. That being said, faith is lost when British leaders decide things without consulting other leaders first. At first, if goals are the same, ignoring cultural needs for acceptance will make people angry in the long run. People who are not linked to each other lose the will to do what they are told. This goes against what Indians want, which is to rely on each other, and it stops independent Brits from taking the lead (Warrick, 2017). People also miscommunicate when they do not know about different ways to understand each other. Indians who are trying to get to know each other think that straight British questions are rude. For the same reason, it is hard for Britons to understand Indian messages that are too vague.

When people look at vague or quiet words through the lens of their own society, they can get them wrong. When partners think they are similar, they do not discuss how they are different in a polite way, this leads to more doubt. Discussing what is said and how it is understood on a daily basis can help one become more interculturally competent. However, avoiding differences can lead to fights when anger builds up over a series of similar events (Prizant and Fields-Meyer, 2022).

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

A qualitative exploratory research methodology was employed. Secondary research was conducted by analysing scholarly literature on cross-cultural management, cultural dimensions theories, and case studies of real-life UK-India partnerships. Data was systematically reviewed to identify patterns around cultural factors enhancing or hindering cross-border business relationships (Sherif, 2018). Findings from this review were synthesised to present best practices for managing cultural differences successfully.

### **4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **4.1 India and the UK: Cultural Dimensions Theory Differences**

Researchers who study different country cultures look for the traits, values, and views that people from those countries share. This helps them understand how they act and think. There are many well-known ideas that try to explain and group differences between countries into familiar groups. A Dutch social scientist named Geert Hofstede created one of the most studied and widely used models of cultural factors (Minkov, 2018; Sent and Kroese, 2022). More than 116,000 IBM workers in more than 70 countries filled out a value poll, which Hofstede used to develop his theory about cultural features (Hofstede, 2011). Six

value-based factors were found in the study that can be used to compare and judge the values of different countries.

Hofstede named these six dimensions: lack of power, independence vs. unity, manhood vs. gender, avoiding uncertainty, long-term direction, and excess vs. control (Milosevic, 2019). Any one of these measurements can be used to compare one part of a culture to another. How can we find power distance? It is the space between society's important people and everyone else. This shows how people with less power share power in an unfair way. How can we think of individualism and unity as two sides of the same coin? They show if people follow their own goals or the goals of a group and if they fit into social structures that are loose or tight. Ideas about what makes someone male or female are different (Demmler et al., 2018). This can use the idea of excess versus control to find out how people feel about meeting basic needs like having fun and enjoying life (Andrijauskienė and Dumčiuvienė, 2017). Hofstede looked at the data and saw that India and the UK scored very differently on some aspects of culture.



**Figure 1: UK and India Cultural Dimensions Comparison**

Source: Hofstede Insights, 2024

With 77 points, India did better on the power gap than the UK, which got 35 points. This means Indians are more willing for power to be shared more evenly and for society to be more organised. India also scored much lower than the UK on independence (48 vs. 89), which shows that the society there is more focused on groups and collectivism (Blau and Kahn, 2017). The UK had a higher enjoyment score (69) than India (56), which means that people there are less limited in their ability to satisfy their basic and normal urges to enjoy life. Long-term direction and doubt avoidance were two other variables that showed big differences (Sacristán-Navarro et al., 2022). With a score of 51, India showed a more realistic and future-focused view, while the UK's score of 25 showed a society that was more focused on short-term results. India also did better than the UK in avoiding doubt (40 vs. 35), which shows a stronger need for

rules, order, and reliability (Ruiz and García, 2019). These numbers show that the two societies have different cultural value goals. They also have different points of view, communication styles, work attitudes, and chosen behaviours. Newer cultural theories that look at more cultural factors have been built on Hofstede's model.

#### **4.2 Effects of Culture on Joint Ventures and Collaborations between Entrepreneurs from the UK and India**

This part will show how culture affects relationships through a case study of partnerships between UK and Indian entrepreneurs. When an Indian IT services company and a British software startup worked together, they became friends. During the first meeting, the officials have to deal with cultural gaps in how they discuss with each other and make decisions (Gronwald, 2017). To better understand each other, they organised meetings to share information based on their cultural beliefs and had open conversations about how the two sides had different standards. Through the random meetings that led to personal ties, trust has been built. It was shared leadership, and decisions were made by agreement based on the shared points of view of both willing parties (Hsu et al., 2017). Cultural learning workshops held on a regular basis helped the business stay alert and aware as it grew. So, the partnership has gone on for more than 10 years, and it has always been successful because everyone has worked to understand each other and respect each other's cultures.

A British energy company and an Indian engineering firm tried to work together but failed because they do not understand each other's cultures. This showed how important cultural competence is when working with people from other cultures. While the British team was mostly focused on the work, they forgot to make an emotional connection between themselves and their partners (Bhatia and Priya, 2018). Strategies and methods were made from the top down to fit with the European plan. No time was spent getting to know the local community or reaching an agreement. Non-verbal signs and hints in the interactions were misread due to lack knowledge of cultural communication carriers (Oggiano and Adriani, 2023). During the process, there were many disagreements and angers. The Indian parties were the most impacted because they thought their concerns were not being heard (Malovana and Podolkova, 2020). There was no room for evaluating the social and cultural factors that shaped the relationship, so the arguments kept happening. After three fruitless years, the couple broke up.

Another example shows that the group was able to get along with the Lenape for a long time because they knew how to adapt to different cultures. A local British college and an Indian school tried to work together on education, but their first meeting broke down because the two schools have different ideas about how to teach. As a result, using cultural factor models as a way to become more aware leads to better communication and engagement, which are linked to designing the learning process to fit different diverse views (Altbach et al., 2019). The relationship grew by pointing out flaws and looking for ways to keep going by changing the structure for the future. Because of this teamwork, the knowledge sharing process that has been going on for more than 15 years has helped thousands of students on both sides, who are grateful for the success they attribute to respecting and embracing differences (Rose, 2021).

Managing foreign partnerships well depends on knowing about different cultures. Ignoring social and cultural norms can stop people from working together, which can also end the partnership (Mayfield, 2020). Sticking to a deep understanding of places other than their own helps they show off their unique skills when they have to break down cultural obstacles. Because of this, cultural linking can only work if people can work together better by using their different skills and knowledge.

## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

People who use the cross-cultural method should know about it and be able to discuss it with people from other countries. British and Indian businesses can both be successful if they learn more about and respect each other's cultures and make sure they are always learning. Giving an organisation cultural direction would carry its weight (Chick, G., 2018). One way to think about how views are changed is to discuss cultural factors models. People from different backgrounds can get to know each other better by discussing how they learned to socialise and the habits they have. This helps set rules and keeps problems from happening later because of ideas that weren't thought through.

In the years to come, cultural understanding will still be very important because people learn about other cultures all the time. Regular training and meetings should be set up to discuss how cultures are alike and different. A lot of people who know a lot about both groups should be asked to help with open discussion. They should have set times to discuss about what they learned so that as their relationships grow, they can still learn about other cultures. People should not just learn something once; they should do it with each other all the time (Azevedo and Shane, 2019). It is just as important to slowly boost the confidence by making friends. Plans for regular events that are not related to work that partners can enjoy together and get to know each other better should be made outside of work. Partners can connect with people on more than just a job-related level through art, music, cultural events, and fun trips. When they carefully invest in other people over time, they build trust and comfort. This makes relationships stronger even when things go wrong. Realising that everyone is the same, even if they look different, builds confidence, which is important for getting things done.

It is easier for people to work together when they feel like they can be open and their ideas are being heard. Leaders should be respectfully curious and listen to other people to understand their points of view instead of just saying their own. Friends and partners should be honest about their flaws and strengths, and they should not get annoyed when they make a mistake. People who feel safe discussing their private lives are more likely to help make the world a better place for everyone (Mattessich and Johnson, 2018). There is no winner that takes away from the others. They can stay useful by making choices as a group and going over set outlines on a regular basis. Partner discussions can help people agree in writing on rules, budgets, goals, and methods that take a lot of different factors into account.

## 6. Conclusion

This study looked at the cultural differences between the UK and India that affect how well their businesses work together. Different ways of organising, thinking, and acting can help or hurt working with people from other cultures. It looked at relevant ideas about cultural aspects, drew attention to important cultural differences between the UK and India, and looked at case studies of projects that worked and projects that did not. The numbers make it clear that countries need to know about other societies in order to get along with each other. Different tastes make projects better, so people are more likely to work together if they accept and understand each other. When working on business projects with people from different cultures, it is important to be willing to learn new ways to do things. People are inspired when methods are open and friendly and different wants and points of view are taken into account. But mistakes would not hurt relationships if trust is built slowly through kindness and honesty.

## 7. References

1. Altbach, P.G., Reisberg, L. and Rumbley, L.E., 2019. Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution. Brill.
2. Hofstede Insights, 2024. Country comparison tool (no date) Hofstede Insights. Available at: <https://www.hofstede-insights.com/country-comparison-tool?countries=india%2Cunited%2Bkingdom> (Accessed: 9 March 2024).
3. Argade, P., Salignac, F. and Barkemeyer, R., 2021. Opportunity identification for sustainable entrepreneurship: Exploring the interplay of individual and context level factors in India. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), pp.3528-3551.
4. Azevedo, A. and Shane, M.J., 2019. A new training program in developing cultural intelligence can also improve innovative work behavior and resilience: A longitudinal pilot study of graduate students and professional employees. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 17(3), p.100303.
5. Beugelsdijk, S., Kostova, T. and Roth, K., 2017. An overview of Hofstede-inspired country-level culture research in international business since 2006. *Journal of International business studies*, 48, pp.30-47.
6. Bhatia, S. and Priya, K.R., 2018. Decolonising culture: Euro-American psychology and the shaping of neoliberal selves in India. *Theory & Psychology*, 28(5), pp.645-668.
7. Blau, F.D. and Kahn, L.M., 2017. The gender wage gap: Extent, trends, and explanations. *Journal of economic literature*, 55(3), pp.789-865.
8. Carruthers, S., Kinnaird, E., Rudra, A., Smith, P., Allison, C., Auyeung, B., Chakrabarti, B., Wakabayashi, A., Baron-Cohen, S., Bakolis, I. and Hoekstra, R.A., 2018. A cross-cultural study of autistic traits across India, Japan and the UK. *Molecular autism*, 9, pp.1-10.
9. Chick, G., 2018. Corporate emotional intelligence: Being human in a corporate world. Critical Publishing.
10. Demmler, M., Ortiz, R. and Solís, A.U., 2018. Comparing corporate cultural profiles using the cultural dimensions of Hofstede. *Journal of Business and Management Sciences*, 6(2), pp.28-35.
11. Granovetter, M., 2017. *Society and economy: Framework and principles*. Harvard University Press.
12. Gronwald, K.D., 2017. *Global communication and collaboration. Global Project Management, Global Sourcing, Cross-Cultural Competencies*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany.
13. Hsu, J.S.C., Li, Y. and Sun, H., 2017. Exploring the interaction between vertical and shared leadership in information systems development projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(8), pp.1557-1572.
14. Klein, G.A., 2017. *Sources of power: How people make decisions*. MIT press.
15. Kouzes, J.M. and Posner, B.Z., 2023. *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organisations*. John Wiley & Sons.
16. Krishnan, S.R., 2023. Decision-making processes of public sector accounting reforms in India—Institutional perspectives. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 39(1), pp.167-194.
17. Lozano, J.F. and Escrich, T., 2017. Cultural diversity in business: A critical reflection on the ideology of tolerance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 142, pp.679-696.
18. Malovana, N.V. and Podolkova, S.V., 2020. *Cross-Cultural Communication*.
19. Manhas, P.S. and Kumar, T., 2022. CROSS-CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN TOURISM BUSINESS OPERATIONS: FINDING SPACE FOR STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP AMONGST THE NATIONS (INDIA-EU). *Consortium Journal of Hospitality & Tourism*, 24(1).

20. Mattessich, P.W. and Johnson, K.M., 2018. Collaboration: What makes it work.
21. Mattessich, P.W. and Johnson, K.M., 2018. Collaboration: What makes it work.
22. Mayfield, V., 2020. Cultural competence now: 56 exercises to help educators understand and challenge bias, racism, and privilege. ASCD.
23. Miller, W.R., 2018. Listening well: The art of empathic understanding. Wipf and Stock Publishers.
24. Milosevic, D., 2019. A comparison of Hofstede's cultural dimensions: Italy, Germany, and Serbia. *The Economic and Management of Natural Resources*.
25. Minkov, M., 2018. A revision of Hofstede's model of national culture: Old evidence and new data from 56 countries. *Cross Cultural & Strategic Management*, 25(2), pp.231-256.
26. Oggiano, M. and Adriani, W., 2023. Misunderstandings About Non-Verbal Communication: Pitfalls and Opportunities of Body Language. Springer Nature.
27. Prizant, B.M. and Fields-Meyer, T., 2022. Uniquely human: Updated and expanded: A different way of seeing autism. Simon and Schuster.
28. Puthusserry, P., Child, J. and Khan, Z., 2020. Social capital development through the stages of internationalisation: Relations between British and Indian SMEs. *Global Strategy Journal*, 10(2), pp.282-308.
29. Rose, J., 2021. The intellectual life of the British working classes. Yale University Press.
30. Ruiz, B. and García, J.A., 2019. Modelling customer-based bank reputation: the moderating role of uncertainty avoidance. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 37(1), pp.340-361.
31. Sacristán-Navarro, M., Cabeza-García, L., Basco, R. and Gomez-Anson, S., 2022. It's all about culture! Institutional context and ownership concentration across Europe. *European Management Journal*, 40(2), pp.194-207.
32. Schermerhorn Jr, J.R., Bachrach, D.G. and Wright, B., 2020. Management. John Wiley & Sons.
33. Sent, E.M. and Kroese, A.L., 2022. Commemorating Geert Hofstede, a pioneer in the study of culture and institutions. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 18(1), pp.15-27.
34. Tang, K.N., 2019. Leadership and Change management. Springer.
35. Ting-Toomey, S. and Dorjee, T., 2018. Communicating across cultures. Guilford Publications.
36. Warrick, D.D., 2017. What leaders need to know about organisational culture. *Business Horizons*, 60(3), pp.395-404.
37. Warrick, D.D., 2017. What leaders need to know about organisational culture. *Business Horizons*, 60(3), pp.395-404.
38. Xu, K., Drennan, J. and Mathews, S., 2019. Immigrant entrepreneurs and their cross-cultural capabilities: A study of Chinese immigrant entrepreneurs in Australia. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 17, pp.520-557.
39. Χαϊράλλα, Γ., 2020. A study of cultural values of Cypriot and other countries' workers and their impact on management.
40. Andrijauskienė, M. and Dumčiuvienė, D., 2017, October. Hofstede's cultural dimensions and national innovation level. In *DIEM: Dubrovnik International Economic Meeting (Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 189-205)*. Sveučilište u Dubrovniku.
41. Sherif, V., 2018, March. Evaluating preexisting qualitative research data for secondary analysis. In *Forum qualitative sozialforschung/forum: Qualitative social research (Vol. 19, No. 2)*.