

Inverse Doubly Connected Domination in the Lexicographic Product of Two Graphs

Khaty M. Cruz¹, Enrico L. Enriquez², Katrina B. Fuentes³,
Grace M. Estrada⁴, Marie Cris A. Bulay-og⁵

¹MS Math, Department of Computer, Information Sciences, and Mathematics, School of Arts and Sciences, University of San Carlos, 6000 Cebu City, Philippines

²Full Professor, Department of Computer, Information Sciences, and Mathematics, School of Arts and Sciences, University of San Carlos, 6000 Cebu City, Philippines

^{3,4}Associate Professor, Department of Computer, Information Sciences, and Mathematics, School of Arts and Sciences, University of San Carlos, 6000 Cebu City, Philippines

⁵Assistant Professor, Mathematics and Statistics Programs, University of the Philippines Cebu, 6000 Cebu City, Philippines

Abstract

Let G be a nontrivial connected graph. A dominating set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called a doubly connected dominating set of G if both $\langle D \rangle$ and $\langle V(G) \setminus D \rangle$ are connected. Let D be a minimum connected dominating set of G . If $S \subseteq V(G) \setminus D$ is a connected dominating set of G , then S is called an inverse doubly connected dominating set of G with respect to D . Furthermore, the inverse doubly connected domination number, denoted by $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an inverse doubly connected dominating set of G . An inverse doubly connected dominating set of cardinalities $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G)$ is called γ_{cc}^{-1} -set. In this paper, we characterized the inverse doubly connected domination in the lexicographic product of two graphs and give some important results.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69

Keywords: dominating, doubly connected, inverse, lexicographic

1. Introduction

The graphs G considered here are simple, finite, nontrivial, undirected and without isolated vertices. Domination in graph was introduced by Claude Berge in 1958 and Oystein Ore in 1962 [1]. Following an article [2] by Ernie Cockayne and Stephen Hedetniemi in 1977, the domination in graphs became an area of study by many researchers. A subset S of $V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of G if for every $v \in V(G) \setminus S$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $xv \in E(G)$, that is, $N[S] = V(G)$. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a dominating set of G . Some studies on domination in graphs were found in the paper [3-24].

One variant of domination is the doubly connected domination in graphs. A dominating set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called a doubly connected dominating set of G if both $\langle S \rangle$ and $\langle V(G) \setminus S \rangle$ are connected. The minimum cardinality of a doubly connected dominating set of G , denoted by $\gamma_{cc}(G)$, is called *doubly connected*

domination number of G . A doubly connected dominating set of cardinalities $\gamma_{cc}(G)$ is called a γ_{cc} -set of G . Doubly connected domination in graphs is found in the papers [25-30].

The inverse domination in a graph was first found in the paper of Kulli [31] and studied in [32-38]. If D is a minimum dominating set in G , then a dominating set $S \subseteq V(G) \setminus D$ is called an inverse dominating set with respect to D . The inverse domination number, denoted by, $\gamma^{-1}(G)$ of G is the order of an inverse dominating set with minimum cardinality.

A dominating set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called a doubly connected dominating set of G if both $\langle D \rangle$ and $\langle V(G) \setminus D \rangle$ are connected. Let D be a minimum connected dominating set of G . If $S \subseteq V(G) \setminus D$ is a connected dominating set of G , then S is called an inverse doubly connected dominating set of G with respect to D . Furthermore, the inverse doubly connected domination number, denoted by $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an inverse doubly connected dominating set of G . An inverse doubly connected dominating set of cardinalities $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G)$ is called γ_{cc}^{-1} -set. This paper is an extension of [39], hence, the researchers' characterized the inverse doubly connected domination in the lexicographic product of two graphs and give some important results.

For the general terminology in graph theory, readers may refer to [40]. A graph G is a pair $(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ is a finite nonempty set called the vertex-set of G and $E(G)$ is a set of unordered pairs $\{u, v\}$ (or simply uv) of distinct elements from $V(G)$ called the edge-set of G . The elements of $V(G)$ are called vertices and the cardinality $|V(G)|$ of $V(G)$ is the order of G . The elements of $E(G)$ are called edges and the cardinality $|E(G)|$ of $E(G)$ is the size of G . If $|V(G)| = 1$, then G is called a trivial graph. If $E(G) = \emptyset$, then G is called an empty graph. The open neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the set $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. The elements of $N_G(v)$ are called neighbors of v . The closed neighborhood of $v \in V(G)$ is the set $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. If $X \subseteq V(G)$, the open neighborhood of X in G is the set $N_G(X) = \cup_{v \in X} N_G(v)$. The closed neighborhood of X in G is the set $N_G[X] = \cup_{v \in X} N_G[v] = N_G(X) \cup X$. When no confusion arises, $N_G[x]$ [resp. $N_G(x)$] will be denote by $N[x]$ [resp. $N(x)$].

2. Results

Definition 2.1 A dominating set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called a doubly connected dominating set of G if both $\langle D \rangle$ and $\langle V(G) \setminus D \rangle$ are connected. Let D be a minimum doubly connected dominating set of G . If $S \subseteq V(G) \setminus D$ is a doubly connected dominating set of G , then S is called an inverse doubly connected dominating set of G with respect to D . Furthermore, the inverse doubly connected domination number, denoted by $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an inverse doubly connected dominating set of G . An inverse doubly connected dominating set of cardinalities $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G)$ is called γ_{cc}^{-1} -set.

Definition 2.2 The lexicographic products of two graphs G and H is the graph $G[H]$ with vertex set $V(G[H]) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and edge set $E(G[H])$ satisfying the following condition: $(x, u)(y, v) \in E(G[H])$ if and only if either $xy \in E(G)$ or $x = y$ and $uv \in E(H)$.

Proposition 2.3 Let $G = P_m = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$, $m \geq 2$ and $H = P_n = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$, $n \geq 2$. If S' is a connected dominating set of G and $\emptyset \neq S'' \subset V(H)$, then $S = S' \times S''$ is a connected dominating set of $G[H]$.

Proof. Suppose that S' is a connected dominating set of G and $\emptyset \neq S'' \subset V(H)$. If $S'' = \{u''\}$, then $S = S' \times S'' = \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}\} \times \{u''\} = \{(v_2, u''), \dots, (v_{m-1}, u'')\}$ implies $\langle S \rangle$ is connected. Let $(v, u) \in V(G[H]) \setminus S$. Then

$$V \in (G[H]) \setminus S = V(G[H]) \setminus \{(v_2, u''), \dots, (v_{m-1}, u'')\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{(v_1, u''), (v_m, u'')\} \cup \{V(G) \times V(H) \setminus \{u''\}\} \\
 &= \{(v_1, u''), (v_m, u'')\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j) : i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n - 1, \\
 &\quad \text{and } u_j \neq u''\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

There exists $(v', u'') \in S$ such that,

Case 1. If $(v, u) \in \{(v_1, u''), (v_m, u'')\}$, then $(v, u)(v', u'') = (v_1, u'')(v_2, u'') \in E(G[H])$, or $(v, u)(v', u'') = (v_m, u'')(v_{m-1}, u'') \in E(G[H])$.

Case 2. If $(v, u) \in \{V(G) \times (V(H) \setminus \{u''\})\}$, then $(v, u)(v', u'') = (v_i, u_j)(v_k, u'') \in E(G[H])$ where $v_k \in S'$, $i \in \{k - 1, k + 1\}$, and $u_j \neq u''$.

In either case, $S' \times S''$ is a connected dominating set of $G[H]$. By using similar arguments, if $\{u''\} \subset S'' \subset V(H)$, then $S = S' \times S''$ is a connected dominating set of $G[H]$. ■

The following result is the characterization of an inverse doubly connected dominating set in lexicographic product of two graphs.

Theorem 2.4 Let $G = P_m = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$, $m \geq 2$, and $H = P_n = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$, $n \geq 2$. Then $S \subseteq V(G[H]) \setminus D$ is an inverse doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$ with respect to a minimum doubly connected dominating set D of $G[H]$, if S' is a connected dominating set of G , $\emptyset \neq S'' \subset V(H)$, and $S = S' \times S''$.

Proof. Let $G = P_m = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$, $m \geq 2$ and $H = P_n = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $D = \{(v_i, u_1) : i = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}$. Then $D = D' \times D''$ where $D' = \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}\} \subset V(G)$ and $D'' = \{u_1\} \subset V(H)$. This implies that D' is a connected dominating set of G and $D'' \subset V(H)$. By Proposition 2.3, D is a connected dominating set of $G[H]$. Let $D \setminus \{(v, u_1)\}$ for any $v \in D'$. If $v \in \{v_2, v_{m-1}\}$, then D is not a dominating set of $G[H]$. If $v \notin \{v_2, v_{m-1}\}$, then $\langle D \rangle$ is not connected. This implies that D is a minimum connected dominating set of $G[H]$.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(G[H]) \setminus D &= V(G[H]) \setminus \{(v_2, u_1), \dots, (v_{m-1}, u_1)\} \\
 &= [V(G \setminus D') \times \{u_1\}] \cup [V(G) \times (V(H) \setminus \{u_1\})] \\
 &= [\{v_1, v_m\} \times \{u_1\}] \cup [V(G) \times \{u_2, \dots, u_n\}] \\
 &= \{(v_1, u_1), (v_m, u_1)\} \cup \{(v_1, u_2), \dots, (v_1, u_n), \dots, (v_m, u_2), \dots, (v_m, u_n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\langle V(G) \times \{u_2, \dots, u_n\} \rangle$ is connected. Since $(v_1, u_1)(v_1, u_2) \in E(G[H])$ and $(v_m, u_1)(v_m, u_2) \in E(G[H])$, it follows that $V(G[H]) \setminus D$ is connected. Hence, D is a minimum doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$.

If $S = S' \times S''$, where S' is a connected dominating set of G , and $\emptyset \neq S'' \subset V(H)$, S is a connected dominating set of $G[H]$ by Proposition 2.3. consider the following cases.

Case 1. If $S'' = \{u''\}$, then $S = S' \times S'' = \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}\} \times \{u''\} = \{(v_2, u''), \dots, (v_{m-1}, u'')\}$.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(G[H]) \setminus S &= V(G[H]) \setminus \{(v_2, u''), \dots, (v_{m-1}, u'')\} \\
 &= [(V(G) \setminus S') \times \{u''\}] \cup [V(G) \times (V(H) \setminus \{u''\})] \\
 &= [\{v_1, v_m\} \times \{u''\}] \cup [V(G) \times \{u_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{u''\}] \\
 &= \{(v_1, u''), (v_m, u'')\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j) : i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{(v_i, u'')\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\langle V(G) \times \{u_i, \dots, u_n\} \setminus \{u''\} \rangle$ is connected in $G[H]$. Let $u'' = u_k$ for some positive integer k . Since $(v_1, u_{k-1})(v_m, u'')$, $(v_m, u'')(v_m, u_{k+1}) \in E(G[H])$, it follows that $\langle V(G[H]) \setminus S \rangle$ is connected. Hence, S is a doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$. If $u_1 \neq u''$, then

$$D \cap S = (D' \times \{u_1\}) \cap (S' \times \{u''\}) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $S \subseteq V(G[H]) \setminus D$ is an inverse dominating set of G . Accordingly, $S \subseteq V(G[H]) \setminus D$ is an inverse doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$ with respect to a minimum doubly connected dominating set D of $G[H]$.

Case 2. If $\{u''\} \subset S'' \subset V(H)$, then using the similar arguments in Case 1, $S \subseteq V(G[H]) \setminus D$ is an inverse doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$ with respect to a minimum doubly connected dominating set D of $G[H]$. ■

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.5 Let $G = P_m = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$, $m \geq 2$ and $H = P_n = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$, $n \geq 2$. Then $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G[H]) = m - 2$.

Proof. Suppose that S' is a connected dominating set of G , $\emptyset \neq S'' \subset V(H)$, and $S = S' \times S''$. By Theorem 2.4, S is an inverse doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$ with respect to a minimum doubly connected dominating set D . Thus, $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G[H]) \leq |S| = |S' \times S''|$. If $S'' = \{u''\}$, then

$$S = S' \times S'' = \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}\} \times \{u''\} = \{(v_2, u''), \dots, (v_{m-1}, u'')\}, \text{ that is,}$$

$$|S| = |S' \times S''| = |\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}\}| \cdot |\{u''\}| = ((m - 1) - 1) \cdot 1 = m - 2.$$

Thus, $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G[H]) \leq m - 2$. In the proof of Theorem 2.4, $D = \{(v_i, u_1) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}$ is a minimum doubly connected dominating set of $G[H]$. Thus,

$$m - 2 = |D| = \gamma_{cc}(G[H]) \leq \gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G[H]) \leq m - 2,$$

implies that $\gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G[H]) = m - 2$. ■

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a new parameter of domination in graphs - the inverse doubly connected domination in graphs. The inverse doubly connected domination in the lexicographic products of two graphs was characterized. The exact inverse doubly connected domination number resulting from the lexicographic product of two graphs was computed. This study will pave the way to new researches such as bounds and other binary operations of two connected graphs. Other parameters involving inverse doubly connected domination in graphs and its bounds may also be explored.

4. Acknowledgement

This research is funded by the Department of Science and Technology-Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (DOST-ASTHRDP).

References

1. O. Ore, *Theory of Graphs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1962.
2. E.J. Cockayne, and S.T. Hedetnieme, *Towards a theory of domination in graphs*, Networks, (1977) 247-261.

3. N.A. Goles, E.L. Enriquez, C.M. Loquias, G.M. Estrada, R.C. Alota. *z-Domination in Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 5(11), 2018, pp 7-12.
4. E.L. Enriquez, V.V. Fernandez, J.N. Ravina, *Outer-clique Domination in the Corona and Cartesian Product of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 5(8), 2018, pp 1-7.
5. E.L. Enriquez, G.M. Estrada, V.V. Fernandez, C.M. Loquias, A.D. Ngujo, *Clique Doubly Connected Domination in the Corona and Cartesian Product of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 6(9), 2019, pp 1-5.
6. E.L. Enriquez, G.M. Estrada, C.M. Loquias, *Weakly Convex Doubly Connected Domination in the Join and Corona of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 5(6), 2018, pp 1-6.
7. J.A. Dayap, E.L. Enriquez, *Outer-convex Domination in Graphs in the Composition and Cartesian Product of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 6(3), 2019, pp 34-42.
8. D.P. Salve, E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse Perfect Domination in the Composition and Cartesian Product of Graphs*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 12(1), 2016, pp 1-10.
9. E.L. Enriquez, B.P. Fedellaga, C.M. Loquias, G.M. Estrada, M.L. Baterna, *Super Connected Domination in Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 6(8), 2019, pp 1-7.
10. E.L. Enriquez, *On Restrained Clique Domination in Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, Vol. 4, 2017, no. 12, 73-77.
11. E.L. Enriquez, *Super Restrained Domination in the Corona of Graphs*, International Journal of Latest Engineering Research and Applications, Vol. 3, 2018, no. 5, 1-6.
12. T.J. Punzalan, and E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse Restrained Domination in Graphs*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 3, 2016, pp 1-6.
13. R.C. Alota, and E.L. Enriquez, *On Disjoint Restrained Domination in Graphs*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 12, 2016, no.3 pp 2385-2394.
14. E.L. Enriquez, and S.R. Canoy, Jr., *On a Variant of Convex Domination in a Graph*. International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 9, 2015, no. 32, 1585-1592.
15. E.L. Enriquez, E.S. Enriquez. *Convex Secure Domination in the Join and Cartesian Product of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 6(5), 2019, pp 1-7.
16. E.L. Enriquez, and S.R. Canoy, Jr., *Secure Convex Domination in a Graph*, International Journal of Mathematics Analysis, Vol. 9, 2015, no. 7, 317-325.
17. C.M. Loquias, and E.L. Enriquez, *On Secure Convex and Restrained Convex Domination in Graphs*. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 11, 2016, no. 7, 4707-4710.
18. E.L. Enriquez, and S.R. Canoy, Jr., *Restrained Convex Dominating sets in the Corona and the Products of Graphs*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 9, 2015, no. 78, 3867-3873.
19. E.L. Enriquez, *Secure Restrained Convex Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, Vol. 8, 2017, no, 7, 1-5.
20. M.P. Baldado, Jr. and E.L. Enriquez, *Super Secure Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-8(12), 2017, pp. 145-149.
21. E.M. Kiunisala and E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse Secure Restrained Domination in the Join and Corona of Graphs*, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 11, 2016, no. 9, 6676-6679.
22. SP.G. Cajigas, E.L. Enriquez, K.E. Belleza, G.M. Estrada, C.M. Loquias, *Disjoint Restrained Domination in the Join and Corona of Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(12), 2021, pp 57-61.

23. H.L.M. Maravillas E.L. Enriquez, *Secure Super Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(8), 2021, pp 38-44.
24. J.A. Ortega, E.L. Enriquez, *Super Inverse Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(7), 2021, pp 135-140.
25. J. Cyman, M. Lemanska, J. Raczek, *On the Doubly Connected Domination Number of a Graph*, Cent. Eur. J. Math, 4, 2006, pp 34-45.
26. R.T. Aunzo, E.L. Enriquez, *Convex Doubly Connected Domination in Graphs*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 9(135), 2015, pp 6723-6734.
27. E.L. Enriquez, *Convex Doubly Connected Domination in Graphs Under Some Binary Operations*, Ansari Journal of Ultra Scientist of Engineering and Management, 1(1), 2017, pp 13-18.
28. E.L. Enriquez, A.D Ngujo, *Clique doubly connected domination in the join and lexicographic product of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 12(05), 2022, 2050066.
29. E.L. Enriquez, G.M. Estrada, C.M. Loquias, R.N. Hinoguin, *Weakly Convex Doubly Connected Domination in the Join and Corona of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 5(6), 2018, pp. 1-6.
30. E.L. Enriquez, G.M. Estrada, V.V. Fernandez, C.M. Loquias, A.D Ngujo, *Clique Doubly Connected Domination in the Corona and Cartesian Product of Graphs*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 6(9), 2019, pp 1-5.
31. V.R. Kulli and S.C. Sigarkanti, *Inverse domination in graphs*, Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters, 14(1991) 473-475.
32. Cristina S Castañares, Enrico L Enriquez *Inverse Perfect Secure Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(8), 2022, pp 150-156.
33. Hanna Rachelle A Gohil, Enrico L Enriquez *Inverse Perfect Restrained Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(8), 2022, pp 164-170.
34. T.J. Punzalan and E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse Restrained domination in graphs*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 12, No. 3(2016), pp. 2001-2009.
35. E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse fair domination in the join and corona of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 16(01), 2024, pp 2350003.
36. E.M. Kiunisala, and E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse Secure Restrained Domination in the Join and Corona of Graphs*, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 11, 2016, no. 9, 6676-6679.
37. D.P. Salve and E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse perfect domination in graphs*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 12, No. 1(2016) 1-10.
38. J.A. Ortega, E.L. Enriquez, *Super Inverse Domination in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(7), 2021, pp 135-140.
39. K.M. Cruz, E.L. Enriquez, *Inverse Doubly Connected Domination in the Join and Cartesian Product of Two Graphs*, International Journal of Latest Engineering Research and Applications (IJLERA), 9(12), 2024, pp 20-25.
40. G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, *A First Course in Graph Theory*. Dover Publication, Inc., New York, 2012.

