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ABSTRACT
This paper explores the multifaceted nature of prejudice and discrimination as significant barriers to social inclusion. Discrimination and prejudice are pervasive issues that have persisted throughout human history, influencing social dynamics, institutional structure, and individual behaviour. These phenomena are rooted in vices stereotypes and preconceived notions that lead to different shared treatment are unfair judgments based on characteristics such as race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religion, disability, socioeconomic status, discrimination, income, passes, actions or practices that systematically disadvantage certain groups of individual, denying opportunities, resources or rights available to others prejudice on the other hand, is a pre-judgment or negative attitude towards individual or group is perceived differences, these attitudes are often ingrained and can lead to stereotyping scapegoating or stigmatization, understanding the complexities of prejudice and discrimination requires disciplinary perspective. This paper is primary research aiming to study “Prejudice and Discrimination: Barriers to social inclusion”. It will aim to study how prejudice and discrimination can create barriers within society and have negative effects. It is shown that people in situations of discrimination are less likely to access social services and educational opportunities, and to participate fully in work; therefore, they are more prone to earn a lower income to cover their basic needs. One of the main barriers to these individuals is the design of the urban infrastructure, such as streets and sidewalks, and the lack of adequate urban transport, which facilitates or deters transportation. study indicates that this vulnerable group has difficulties covering expenses related to care, therapies, and treatments, so performing basic activities such as bathing, dressing, or eating is difficult for them. In addition, the findings indicate that they perceive economic insecurity. The study was conducted in Delhi, sample size was 100. The tool used was the Perceived discrimination scale.
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Chapter 1
INTRODUCTION
PREJUDICE
In contemporary society, the concepts of prejudice and discrimination continue to pose significant barriers to achieving social inclusion and fostering a sense of belonging for all individuals. Prejudice, defined as preconceived opinions or attitudes held without sufficient basis, and discrimination, the unjust or prejudicial treatment of different categories of people or things, are deeply ingrained phenomena that
permeate various aspects of social interactions, institutions, and systems. Despite advancements in civil rights movements and increased awareness of social justice issues, prejudice and discrimination persist, hindering efforts toward building inclusive communities and fostering equitable opportunities for all. This paper aims to explore the multifaceted nature of prejudice and discrimination as barriers to social inclusion. It will delve into the underlying psychological, social, and structural factors contributing to these phenomena, examining their impacts on individuals and communities. Furthermore, this paper will discuss strategies and interventions aimed at addressing prejudice and discrimination to promote social inclusion and diversity acceptance.

Prejudice and discrimination are complex social phenomena that arise from a combination of cognitive, affective, and behavioral factors. Cognitive processes, including categorization and stereotyping, play a significant role in shaping prejudiced attitudes and beliefs. People often categorize individuals into social groups based on characteristics such as race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religion, or socioeconomic status. These categories serve as cognitive shortcuts that influence how individuals perceive and interact with others.

Stereotypes, which are oversimplified beliefs about a particular group, often stem from these categorizations and contribute to the formation of prejudiced attitudes. For example, racial stereotypes depicting certain groups as lazy, dangerous, or unintelligent can lead to discriminatory behaviors such as hiring biases or unequal treatment within institutions.

Affective factors, such as emotions and attitudes, also influence prejudice and discrimination. Negative emotions such as fear, anger, or disgust toward certain groups can intensify prejudiced attitudes and lead to discriminatory behaviors. Additionally, social norms and cultural attitudes toward specific groups can shape individuals' attitudes and behaviors, either reinforcing or challenging existing prejudices.

Behavioral manifestations of prejudice and discrimination include acts of bias, exclusion, or unequal treatment directed toward individuals or groups based on their perceived membership in a particular category. These behaviors can range from overt acts of discrimination, such as hate crimes or harassment, to more subtle forms of bias, such as microaggressions or institutionalized inequalities.

The perpetuation of prejudice and discrimination has profound implications for social inclusion and the well-being of individuals and communities. At an individual level, experiencing prejudice and discrimination can lead to negative psychological outcomes such as decreased self-esteem, increased stress, anxiety, and depression. Individuals who are targets of discrimination may also internalize negative stereotypes about their group, leading to a diminished sense of belonging and identity.

Furthermore, systemic discrimination and structural inequalities contribute to disparities in access to resources, opportunities, and social mobility. Marginalized groups, including racial and ethnic minorities, LGBTQ+ individuals, people with disabilities, and religious minorities, often face barriers to educational attainment, employment opportunities, healthcare access, and housing stability due to institutionalized discrimination.

These barriers perpetuate cycles of poverty and exclusion, further exacerbating social inequalities and hindering efforts toward achieving inclusive societies. Moreover, the social isolation resulting from prejudice and discrimination can lead to segregation and polarization within communities, undermining social cohesion and collective well-being.

Efforts to address prejudice and discrimination require a multifaceted approach that addresses individual attitudes, institutional practices, and broader societal norms. Education and awareness-raising initiatives play a crucial role in challenging stereotypes, promoting empathy, and fostering intergroup understanding.
By providing opportunities for meaningful interactions across diverse groups, initiatives such as diversity training programs, intergroup dialogue, and cultural competency workshops can help reduce prejudice and promote social inclusion. Furthermore, policies and interventions aimed at combating institutionalized discrimination and promoting equity are essential for addressing systemic barriers to social inclusion. This includes implementing anti-discrimination laws, affirmative action policies, and diversity initiatives within organizations and institutions to ensure equal opportunities for all individuals, regardless of their background or identity.

Community-based approaches that foster inclusive environments and promote social cohesion are also critical for addressing prejudice and discrimination. Collaborative efforts involving community leaders, grassroots organizations, and local stakeholders can help build resilient communities that celebrate diversity and promote social justice. By creating spaces for dialogue, collective action, and mutual support, communities can work towards dismantling systemic inequalities and fostering a sense of belonging for all members.

Prejudice and discrimination continue to serve as formidable barriers to achieving social inclusion and fostering equitable societies. Rooted in cognitive, affective, and behavioral processes, these phenomena perpetuate social inequalities, marginalize marginalized groups, and undermine efforts toward building inclusive communities. Addressing prejudice and discrimination requires a comprehensive approach that encompasses education, policy interventions, and community-based initiatives aimed at challenging stereotypes, promoting equity, and fostering intergroup understanding.

By fostering empathy, promoting diversity, and advocating for systemic change, individuals, organizations, and communities can work together to create inclusive environments where all individuals feel valued, respected, and empowered to participate fully in social and economic life. Through collective action and a commitment to social justice, we can strive towards a more inclusive society where prejudice and discrimination are no longer barriers to social inclusion and belonging. The features of prejudice can include – stereotyped beliefs, negative thoughts and beliefs, and discrimination against certain members of a group. There are different types of prejudice, which are as follows:

- Racism
- Homophobia
- Ageism
- Xenophobia
- Classism
- Religious prejudice

**Effects of prejudice**

When human beings preserve prejudicial attitudes closer to others, they have a tendency to view everyone who fits into a certain organization as being "all of the identical." They paint each character who holds particular traits or ideals with a totally huge brush and fail to really observe everybody as a completely unique individual. This has a very sturdy influence on how people behave and interact with those who are unique from them. To a fundamental degree, it may stifle a prejudiced man or woman’s capability to analyze more approximately people who are exclusive from themselves. As an end result, it can additionally motivate them to miss out on relationships or conversations that have the capability to be deeply fulfilling.
Combating prejudice:
- **Educate yourself**: learn about different cultures, religions, and perspectives, reading books, watching documentaries, and engaging with diverse communities can help broaden your understanding.
- **Challenging stereotypes**: Speak when you hear, prejudiced remarks, or jokes, encourage others to question stereotypes and biases promote inclusivity, create spaces where everyone feels valued and respected, and encourage diversity in your workplace, school, or community organization.
- **Listen and empathize**: take the time to listen to people’s experiences and perspectives and empathize with their struggles.
- **Lead by example**: be mindful of your actions and attitude and treat everyone with kindness and respect, regardless of the background.
- **support anti-discrimination policies**: advocate for policies and laws that promote equality and protect the marginalized group.

**DISCRIMINATION:**
Discrimination is the unfair or prejudicial treatment of people and groups based on characteristics such as race, gender, age, or sexual orientation. Differentiation in different categories of people.
Types of discrimination include the following:
- Race, colour, sex-based discrimination
- Disability
- Age
- Religion

**Effects of discrimination:**
The effects of discrimination can cause stress, anxiety, and depression, and the study conducted by the American Psychological Association showed the connection between discrimination and stress and the impact it had on relationships, employment, and overall health. It negatively affects the overall well-being harming individuals and their performance in society the individual's ability to participate in the community or the family declines education and employment barriers get created and most importantly, discrimination connotes denial of human rights. Racial prejudice is formed by a negative attitude towards any group or a person or a member of that group and negative opinion leads to negative behavior and actions that cause discrimination.

According to the statistical result, racial profiling is experienced by at least 34% of the minority population and African Americans. About a quarter of Indians 23% say there is a lot of discrimination against women in their society and at least 16% of women reported they personally had faced it.

**Combating discrimination:**
- **Educate yourself**: learn about different cultures, religions, and perspectives, reading books, watching documentaries, and engaging with diverse communities can help broaden your understanding.
- **Challenging stereotypes**: Speak when you hear, prejudiced remarks, or jokes, encourage others to question stereotypes and biases promote inclusivity, create spaces where everyone feels valued and respected, and encourage diversity in your workplace, school, or community organization.
- **Listen and empathize**: take the time to listen to people’s experiences and perspectives and empathize with their struggles.
Lead by example: be mindful of your actions and attitude and treat everyone with kindness and respect, regardless of the background.

Chapter 2
REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Yasemin Akbaba (2023) reviewed discrimination against religious minorities, this article converted two studies of spirtual discrimination to systemist photos on the way to join the assumptions and findings of every in the context of the larger scholarship on religion and IR. What we know about non secular discrimination is alarming. With its international presence and increasing depth over the years, religious regulations have a home, even in democracies. Featured research show spiritual freedom is complicated. it is tied to home and external elements. it is also receptive to ancient and modern-day narratives. most significantly the identification of the spiritual minority seems to count number. these results advise spiritual discrimination may be a large assignment for democracies. is this a hurricane in an effort to in the end chill out or is it the Achilles heel of pluralism? destiny studies could similarly theorize and analyze both the character and reasons of non secular discrimination with a focus at the attributes of the minority beyond their association. A systemist method should ease this project by means of offering visual connections across works on faith, discrimination, freedom and on a number of other topics.

Shabneez Bhakaraully , Michel Goyer (2023) studied about workplace discrimination against LGBTQ, this research paper makes a speciality of workplace discrimination towards LGBT personnel in Mauritius – a multi-ethnic society inside the Indian Ocean. Drawing from the insights of sociological studies that spotlight how the manifestation of practices throughout settings is fashioned by the procedure via which it's far framed, the analysis illustrates the significance of the local context in accounting for the specific bureaucracy taken by LGBT place of job discrimination in Mauritius. Reflecting the significance of respect for special ethnic agencies within the stability of the Mauritian democracy, the empirical effects spotlight how instances of administrative center discrimination against LGBT employees are pervasive but framed to avoid inter-ethnic conflicts at the same time as stigmatising LGBT identities as intricate.

Jaya Addin Linando (2023) studies about discrimination against muslim minorities. This take a look at exhibits special discrimination styles in both united states sorts. In Muslim-minority countries, simplest the macro-societal degree factors are consistently linked to blatant discrimination form even as the other two stages (meso-organizational and micro-man or woman) contribute towards a combination of blatant and subtle discrimination incidents. in the meantime, Muslim-majority international locations' discrimination cases mainly occur closer to girls in diffused manners at every stage. The one-of-a-kind discrimination patterns in the us of a types also leads to other notions inclusive of the logic of in-group discrimination in the direction of Muslim ladies in Muslim-majority countries and the repositioning of gender and spiritual identities.

Aasim L. Paddela , Laila Azam (2023) found in their research that Muslim physicians regularly encounter non secular discrimination, but there are concrete methods in which fitness care workplaces can better accommodate their non secular needs and fight discrimination. to enhance staff diversity, fairness, and inclusion, instructional forums and rules that help the non secular practices of physicians want to be established.

Mubiar Agustin , Heny Djoehaeni (2021) aimed at studying stereottype and prejudice in early childhood This studies stems from the emergence of stereotyping behaviour tendencies and also prejudice in early childhood. The behaviour with stereotyping tendencies and prejudices in early youth will have a
complicated result which include violence. The purpose of this look at is to examine the signs of stereotyping behaviour and additionally prejudice in early childhood. This studies turned into conducted through the software of a case study technique via taking the concern of two early childhood with the age of five and six years who attend early adolescence training (PAUD). The effects of this have a look at suggest that there are symptoms of stereotyping behaviour as well as prejudice in early adolescence. The signs of stereotyping behaviour in early childhood may be seen from the behaviours that are on the social category’s signs consisting of ridiculing buddies who've bodily deficiencies, social identities such as searching down on buddies.

Grigoropoulous (2021) studied Greek early childhood educators' attitudes towards same-sex marriage and parenting. Participants who exhibit more hostility towards same-sex parenting were hypothesized to have a greater number of negative sentiments towards marriage. They also investigated the links between attitudes and demographic, psychological, and personality variables. To evaluate the educators' beliefs, they used two standardized scales: the attitudes towards same-sex marriage scale (ATSSM) and the BCASSF scale. The study revealed that educators who taught early childhood had a higher rate of negative attitudes towards same-sex marriage, as those who were older, more experienced, religiously committed, and did not have any contact with LGBTQ+ individuals. They also had less negative views about same parenting. Educators' attitudes towards same-sex marriage were significantly affected by normative opposition (NO – subscale of SBCASSF) and their exposure to LGBTQ+ individuals, as indicated by multiple regression analysis. The outcomes of this study may help to decrease discrimination against families with identical parents in schools and enhance social inclusion.

Tania L king et.al (2021) conducted a systematic review to investigate the impact of gender stereotypes and bias in young children aged 3-5 years, with a focus on providing context for early childhood settings. This review included some studies. We found that there were only 21 separate studies in 16 articles, indicating a lack of research in this area. Gender stereotypes and biases were operationalised in a very different way. The study revealed that young children are cognizant of gender stereotypes and can utilize them.

It was also demonstrated that the biases and stereotype can be modified. The children's gender norms and stereotype are examined in six studies. Two classroom settings were analyzed who identified variations in the establishment of gender stereotyped attitudes. In the experimental classrooms, gender salience was enhanced by physically separating boys and girls, using separate lines for each gender, employing gendered language by teachers, and organizing the classroom in different ways. Despite the gender distinction policy in all classrooms, teachers were not instructed on their behaviour in the low-quality class. The gender stereotyped attitudes did not show any significant change between the pre and posttest evaluation in the low salience classroom. The high salience classroom witnessed a rise in gender stereotyped attitudes, resulting in less positive ratings for opposite sex peers and reduced play time with their peers.

Katherine B. Coffman, Chrtnie L. Exley(2021) conducted a study on how common, employers favor to rent male over female people for male-typed responsibilities, even if the two employees have equal résumés. 2nd, and most severely, we use a manage circumstance to discover that this discrimination isn't always unique to gender. Employers are actually much less inclined to hire a employee from a set that performs worse on average, even if this institution is, as a substitute, defined by means of a nonstereotypical characteristic. in this manner, beliefs about common group differences are the key driver of discrimination in opposition to girls in our setting. We additionally report a few proof for in-
organization options that make contributions to the gender discrimination located. in the end, our layout allows us to apprehend and quantify the quantity to which image concerns mitigate discriminatory conduct.

Michelle Russen et.al (2021) studied to look at lodge managers ’perspectives at the advertising system of inn personnel primarily based at the promoted worker’s gender, their perceived organizational justice and perceived gender discrimination in opposition to girls. The moderating function of anti-male bias beliefs in the promotion technique become tested. This studies demonstrates that the organization could be perceived as fairer if it offers more opportunities to ladies, ought to create a more potent organizational subculture and higher monetary performance.

KM Saif Ur Rahman, Razib Mamun (2021) studied about age discrimination. Ageism in fitness-care offerings is a cardinal public fitness subject in geriatric health. it is crucial to know the types and quantity of discrimination experienced by means of elderly individuals at the same time as looking for fitness care. This systematic overview aimed to explore the to be had studies to identify discrimination of aged people in health-care offerings. MEDLINE via PubMed, internet of science, PsycInfo, and Cochrane Database have been searched with the aid of using a complete seek approach in September 2020 with out a hindrance inside the yr of publication and kinds of publication. Articles posted in English that specialize in disparities in health-care provider the various elderly were covered. two impartial authors screened, extracted, and assessed the fine of records the usage of the Joanna Briggs Institute critical Appraisal tool. a story synthesis was carried out observed by qualitative content analysis to become aware of topics from the extracted effects of the protected studies, primary issues of discrimination were age-associated discrimination, racial discrimination, gender discrimination, wealth-related discrimination, and technology-related discrimination. This systematic evaluation diagnosed the foremost views on disparities confronted by using the elderly in gaining access to health services.

Vegar Bjornshagen, Elisabeth Vgereninov (2021) studied about disability disadvantage, this research paper extends modern know-how by using providing the effects of a correspondence study used to measure discrimination against wheelchair users in a brand new context: the Norwegian labour market. within the test, 1,2 hundred fictitious applications with randomly assigned facts approximately the candidates ’ incapacity repute had been despatched in pairs to 600 non-public area employers with task openings. The text files poor effects of incapacity on callbacks from employers throughout various occupations. The findings endorse that discrimination in hiring techniques is a mechanism via which disability-associated inequality in employment outcomes is perpetuated.

Sally Lindsay, Kristina Fuentes and Jaclyn Dyson (2021), The objective of this review became to discover the studies and impact of place of work discrimination and ableism amongst healthcare vendors and trainees with disabilities. findings found out a crucial want for extra studies at the experiences of ableism among healthcare providers and the effect it has on their well-being, similarly efforts should discover mechanisms for together with and inviting human beings with disabilities in healthcare professions.

Kosaw, Joseph G Clark, Adrian D (2020) aimed at studying differences gay, queer and lesbian individuals face. The survey has continuously indicated that unique college-based helps are associated with a more secure and extra inclusive college weather, such as: supportive educators, LGBTQ-inclusive curriculum, inclusive and supportive rules, and supportive pupil clubs, together with gay-straight Alliances or Gender and Sexuality Alliances. the overall GLSEN 2019 country wide school climate Survey document includes facts on LGBTQ middle and excessive faculty college students' stories,
inclusive of: (a) hearing biased language, from each college students and educators; (b) reviews of harassment and attack; (three) Anti-LGBTQ discrimination; (c) consequences of an antagonistic college climate on educational outcomes and mental nicely-being; and (d) the provision and application of supportive school resources.

Van Puymbrouck, L. Friedman (2020) The aim of this study was to have a look at at companies ’specific and implicit incapacity attitudes, interactions between their attitudes, and correlates of specific and implicit bias. whilst on common, provider’s specific attitudes (M = 4. forty one) indicated little prejudice, their implicit attitudes (M = zero. fifty four) revealed they fairly preferred nondisabled humans—they had been aversive ableists. Correlates of vendors ’express and implicit attitudes also blanketed age, gender, political orientation, and having relationships with incapacity (pals, family, and being someone with incapacity). Conclusions/Implications: This examine found out that notwithstanding a majority of companies self-reporting not being biased against people with disabilities, implicitly, the overpowering majority have been biased. This have a look at’s findings may be used to better apprehend how issuer disability bias can make a contribution to inequitable health care get admission to and fitness effects for humans with disabilities.

The paper by Golombok and Grossi (2018), investigated the stories of prejudice and discrimination within the ordinary lives of five equal-intercourse couples raising children. data were collected through the use of a questionnaire and an interview and were analyzed through thematic evaluation. members attributed meanings to their experiences of being homoparental households: they assumed their social role as they controlled to expand their own conjugalty and parental projects within the battle inside a social context permeated with the aid of the hegemony of heteronormative values. The members' skilled prejudice related to their lifestyle and attained parenthood fame, tough social norms, and gender stereotypes. however, it turned into also discovered that attitudes of willingness and perseverance of their combat for his or her rights proved to be protective measures against prejudice and social intolerance. A confined number of studies have examined the unfairness and discrimination closer to equal-intercourse families in Brazil; consequently, there may be a want for extra visibility and further discussion about this phenomenon.

Jolanda Van der Noll, Vassilis Saroglou and David Latour (2018) measured discrimination as reduced willingness to help a Muslim versus non-Muslim to adopt an movement that was either neutral or probably in warfare with Western liberal values. in preference to general discrimination, the members displayed conditional, anti-Muslim discrimination: the two objectives had been handled equally whilst the motive turned into impartial, but there was much less willingness to assist the Muslim when the motive become conflicting (protesting against the scarf ban and in opposition to gay rights). but, members did reveal diffused discrimination via showing much less willingness to assist the protesting Muslim in comparison to the protesting non-Muslim target.

Ji Hye Kim, Sarah Soyeon Oh, Suk Won Bae (2018) examined the association between gender discrimination in the place of work and any pregnancy planning/childbirth enjoyed amongst working women in South Korea. 3 vital findings have been observed. First, there was a statistically sizable association between gender discrimination within the place of business and pregnancy planning.

2nd, there was also a robust statistically substantial association among gender discrimination in the place of job and childbirth. third, there was an exposure-response dating between gender discrimination and the reduced odds of being pregnant making plans among low- and medium-income organizations. However, this courting became broken in excessive income businesses, wherein women tormented by
medium degrees of gender discrimination in the place of work had greater odds of being pregnant planning than women stricken by low degrees of gender discrimination.
Sheretta T. Butter, Barnes Bridget (2018) examined diverse parental racial socialization messages as mediators among school-primarily based racial discrimination and racial identity formation over 4 years for African American boys and African American girls. Findings indicated that school-based racial discrimination changed into related to racial identity ideals. For African American boys, behavioural racial socialization messages mediated the relation among college-based racial discrimination and racial centrality over the years. Mediation additionally resulted for African American ladies but for an exclusive set of race-associated messages (poor messages and racial boundaries) and racial identity ideals.
Kristen P. Jones, Dave F. Arena and Alex P. Lindsey (2017) studied about how an abundance of empirical evidence indicates that diffused discrimination negatively affects employees and groups, possibly to an even more diploma than overt discrimination despite the fact that present research on the subject affords a strong basis from which to build understanding of diffused discrimination in organizational settings, this location of research is still developing, and many questions continue to be unanswered. consequently, our intention in this article is to, first, provide a clarifying framework via which to understand the construct of subtle discrimination. here, we argue for a multidimensional and continuous, instead of categorical, framework for discrimination.
The study by Patrick W. Corrigan and Lindsay Sheehan (2017) investigated families of people who die via suicide record public stigma that threatens their properlybeing. They indicated how a whole lot they concept gadgets represented public perspectives and behaviors in the direction of circle of relatives contributors who lost a loved one to suicide. issue analyses found out elements for stereotypes (dysfunctional, blameworthy), one issue for prejudice (fear and mistrust), and 3 elements for discrimination (exclusion, secrecy, and avoidance).

Chapter 3
Methodology
Aim
The aim of the study is to assess how prejudice and discrimination create social barriers
Objectives
1. Understanding the various forms and manifestations of prejudice and discrimination.
2. Exploring the impacts of prejudice and discrimination on marginalized individuals and communities.
3. Analyzing the underlying mechanisms that perpetuate prejudice and discrimination.
4. Investigating effective strategies and interventions for promoting social inclusion and combating
Hypothesis
There is a significant correlation between discrimination and prejudice across various dimensions (such as religion, gender, and caste).
Variables
Independent Variables: discrimination (e.g., religious discrimination, gender-based discrimination)
Societal attitudes and cultural norms
Dépénent Variables: Incidents of discrimination and prejudice (e.g., hate crimes, bullying)
Rationale of the study
It is seen that different religions, sex, gender, caste, etc. face discrimination daily, and many incidents of bullying moreover crimes have increased in the past few years, for e.g. Xenophobia, mass murders, and
heinous attacks on Asian people have increased in countries worldwide not only that gender discrimination is also a worldwide issue that many people face. The main motive was to show how prejudice and discrimination are still very prevalent and how they are increasing at an alarming rate causing harm to not only individuals but also creating social barriers for them.

**Research design:**
A questionnaire was circulated online with the help of Google Forms to collect data from individuals. It is a set of questions which consisted of close ended and open ended questions devised for the purposes of survey on which the study is conducted. Most of the questions were closed – ended questions and multiple-choice questions. All of the respondents are from Delhi. There were 20 questions in the questionnaire which included both general questions and questions specific to the topic. The questionnaire was distributed to 100 people and it took a week’s time to receive all the replies after which analysis was made based on the data collected from the respondents.

**Locale of the study:**
The study was conducted in Delhi

**Sample size:**
The sample size taken for the study was 100

**Chapter 4**
**RESULT**
The study was conducted on 100 people, results are as follows:

![Percentage of discrimination](image)

**Table No. 1: shows the percentage of discrimination and prejudice faced by individuals**

According to the response, 34.9% still face discrimination based on their gender, 21.3% and 24.4% are a victim of discrimination based on their religion and beliefs, and about 19.4% face discrimination in their work area.

Through data analysis and careful examination of collected data, it became evident that prejudice and discrimination are still present and hinder the growth of people, create social barriers, and cause negative effects on their mental, physical, and overall well-being.
Based on the statistics, it appears that a majority of respondents have been assigned a task based solely on their gender at some point in their professional or personal lives. This suggests that gender-based task assignments are not uncommon and may reflect underlying biases or stereotypes in certain environments or industries. Assigning tasks based on gender can perpetuate inequality and limit opportunities for individuals to showcase their skills and abilities irrespective of their gender identity. Additionally, respondents indicated that they have not experienced task assignments based on their gender, indicating that gender-neutral approaches to task allocation may be more prevalent in certain settings. However, it is noteworthy that 11% of respondents expressed uncertainty (maybe) regarding whether they have been assigned tasks based on their gender, suggesting a potential lack of awareness or ambiguity surrounding this issue in some cases. Overall, these findings highlight the importance of fostering inclusivity, diversity, and equal opportunities in all aspects of professional and personal life, including task assignments, to create environments where individuals are valued for their talents and contributions regardless of gender.

Based on the statistics, it appears that a significant portion of respondents would consider steering towards certain courses based on factors other than academic abilities. This suggests that factors such as personal interests, career aspirations, extracurricular activities, or external influences may influence individuals' choices regarding their academic pursuits. These factors could include societal expectations, familial pressure, or perceived career prospects associated with specific courses or fields of study. On the other hand, respondents indicated that they would not be influenced by factors other than academic abilities when selecting courses, suggesting a preference for making academic decisions based solely on merit and personal interests. However, it is noteworthy that respondents expressed uncertainty (maybe) regarding whether they would be steered towards certain courses based on factors other than academic abilities, indicating a potential willingness to consider external influences in their decision-making process. Overall, these findings reflect the complex interplay of various factors that can shape individuals' choices regarding their academic paths, highlighting the importance of providing support and guidance to ensure informed decision-making in educational pursuits.

Based on the statistics, it appears that a minority of respondents have been denied access or admission to a school based on their activity status at some point. This suggests that for some individuals, their involvement in certain activities or their status in specific groups may have influenced their eligibility or acceptance into educational institutions. This could include instances where participation in certain extracurricular activities, memberships in particular organizations, or engagement in specific social groups may have been a factor in the admission decision process. However, the majority of respondents indicated that they have not experienced denial of access or admission based on activity status, suggesting that such instances may not be widespread or prevalent in educational settings. Additionally, respondents expressed uncertainty (maybe) regarding whether they have been denied access or admission based on activity status, indicating a potential lack of clarity or awareness regarding the reasons for admission decisions in their respective cases. Overall, these findings highlight the importance of promoting inclusivity and ensuring that admission decisions are based on merit, qualifications, and suitability for academic programs, rather than factors such as activity status or social affiliations.

Based on the statistics, it appears that a minority of respondents have experienced derogatory vocabulary from others at some point. This suggests that some individuals have been subjected to offensive or disrespectful language directed towards them by others. Such derogatory vocabulary may include slurs, insults, or other forms of verbal abuse that target a person's identity, characteristics, or background. On the other hand, the majority of respondents indicated that they have not experienced derogatory
vocabulary from others, indicating that they have not been subjected to such language. Additionally, respondents expressed uncertainty (maybe) regarding whether they have experienced derogatory vocabulary from others, suggesting a potential lack of clarity or awareness regarding the nature of interactions they have encountered. Overall, these findings underscore the importance of promoting respectful and inclusive communication, as well as addressing instances of derogatory language to foster a safe and respectful environment for all individuals.

Based on the statistics, it appears that a minority of respondents have experienced bullying because of their religion at some point. This suggests that for some individuals, their religious beliefs or practices may have been targeted by others in the form of bullying, harassment, or discrimination. Religious bullying can take various forms, including verbal abuse, exclusion, intimidation, or physical violence, and can have significant negative impacts on the well-being and sense of belonging of those targeted. However, the majority of respondents indicated that they have not experienced bullying because of their religion, suggesting that such instances may not be prevalent among the surveyed population. Additionally, respondents expressed uncertainty (maybe) regarding whether they have been bullied because of their religion, indicating a potential lack of clarity or awareness regarding the nature of their experiences. Overall, these findings highlight the importance of promoting religious tolerance, respect, and inclusivity to prevent instances of religious bullying and create safe and supportive environments for individuals of all religious backgrounds, these findings highlight the diverse range of perspectives and experiences among respondents regarding their satisfaction with their current salary. Factors such as job role, industry, cost of living, and individual financial goals may influence individuals' perceptions of salary satisfaction, underscoring the importance of considering various factors when evaluating compensation packages and addressing employees' salary-related concerns. Also highlighting ,Efforts to promote gender equality, inclusivity, and diversity in the workplace are essential to address concerns related to gender discrimination and create equitable and supportive work environments for all employee ,Efforts to promote gender equality, challenge stereotypes, and create inclusive work environments are essential to ensure that individuals are not unfairly burdened or disadvantaged based on their gender in the workplace.

Chapter 5
DISCUSSION
The aim of the research was to study how discrimination and prejudice create social barriers. The sample size collected for the research was 100, and the study was conducted in Delhi. The results obtained were as follow:
According to the response, 34.9% still face discrimination based on their gender, 21.3% and 24.4% are a victim of discrimination based on their religion and beliefs, and about 19.4% face discrimination in their work area.
Through data analysis and careful examination of collected data, it became evident that prejudice and discrimination are still present and hinder the growth of people, create social barriers, and cause negative effects on their mental, physical, and overall well-being.
Prejudice and discrimination are pervasive issues that manifest in numerous facets of social life, impacting individuals on both overt and subtle levels. At the individual level, prejudice can surface in interpersonal interactions through various means, including stereotypes, microaggressions, or outright hostility based on perceived differences in race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religion, or other social categories.
These manifestations often stem from deep-seated biases ingrained within individuals or influenced by societal norms and cultural attitudes. Stereotypes, for instance, involve generalized beliefs about certain groups, which can lead individuals to make assumptions or judgments about others without considering their individuality. Microaggressions, on the other hand, are subtle verbal or nonverbal slights and insults that may be unintentional but still convey discriminatory attitudes or assumptions. These behaviors can contribute to a hostile or unwelcoming environment for marginalized groups, affecting their well-being and sense of belonging in various social settings.

Moreover, systemic inequalities within institutions can exacerbate disparities in access to essential services like healthcare. Biases held by healthcare providers may result in differential treatment or disparities in medical care for marginalized groups, leading to adverse health outcomes. Additionally, structural barriers such as lack of affordable healthcare options or unequal distribution of resources can further perpetuate health disparities among different demographic groups.

Overall, manifestations of prejudice and discrimination operate at multiple levels within society, influencing interpersonal interactions, institutional practices, and systemic inequalities. Addressing these issues requires a multifaceted approach that involves raising awareness, challenging biased attitudes and behaviors, implementing policies to promote equity and inclusion, and fostering a culture of respect and understanding across all social domains.

In addition to these examples, discriminatory policing practices highlight systemic injustices within the criminal justice system. Racial minorities, particularly Black and Hispanic individuals, are disproportionately targeted by law enforcement, leading to higher rates of incarceration and systemic disenfranchisement. Biased policing practices, such as racial profiling and excessive use of force, contribute to the overrepresentation of certain groups in the criminal justice system, perpetuating cycles of inequality and reinforcing societal divisions.

Overall, prejudice and discrimination manifest in institutional practices and policies that perpetuate systemic inequalities across various domains, including education, income distribution, and representation in positions of power. Addressing these systemic issues requires comprehensive efforts to dismantle biased structures, promote equity and inclusion, and create opportunities for marginalized groups to thrive within society.

The impacts of prejudice and discrimination are indeed far-reaching, leaving lasting effects on the psychological well-being, social opportunities, and economic outcomes of individuals and entire communities. At the individual level, the experience of prejudice and discrimination can result in a range of negative psychological consequences. Individuals who face discrimination based on factors like race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, or other social categories may grapple with heightened stress levels, increased anxiety, and depression. The constant exposure to biased attitudes and discriminatory behavior can take a toll on mental health, contributing to a sense of vulnerability and diminished overall wellbeing. Moreover, the cumulative effects of ongoing prejudice can lead to decreased self-esteem and a diminished sense of self-worth. When individuals are consistently treated unfairly or face systemic barriers, it can erode their confidence and hinder their ability to pursue personal and professional goals. This, in turn, can impact their motivation, aspirations, and overall life satisfaction.

Socially, the repercussions of prejudice and discrimination extend to limiting opportunities for meaningful social connections and community engagement. Experiencing discrimination can lead to feelings of isolation and exclusion, making it more challenging for individuals to establish supportive networks. This
lack of social connection can further exacerbate the negative psychological effects of discrimination and hinder individuals from fully participating in their communities. Economically, systemic biases and discriminatory practices can have profound consequences on the financial well-being of individuals and communities. Employment discrimination, wage gaps, and limited access to educational and career advancement opportunities can perpetuate economic inequalities. This, in turn, may contribute to the perpetuation of poverty within certain demographic groups, creating barriers to financial stability and upward mobility.

In sum, the impacts of prejudice and discrimination are multifaceted and interconnected, affecting individuals at the psychological, social, and economic levels. Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive approach that involves challenging biased attitudes, dismantling discriminatory structures, and implementing policies that promote equity and inclusion. By fostering a more just and inclusive society, it is possible to mitigate the harmful effects of prejudice and discrimination and create an environment where individuals and communities can thrive.

Additionally, prejudice and discrimination hinder innovation and creativity within communities. When individuals from diverse backgrounds are excluded or marginalized, it limits the diversity of perspectives and experiences that are essential for fostering innovation and problem-solving. By stifling the voices and contributions of marginalized groups, society misses out on potential breakthroughs and creative solutions to complex challenges.

Prejudice and discrimination undermine social cohesion and collective well-being by fostering division, mistrust, and polarization within communities. These biases hinder efforts toward building inclusive societies and impede social progress by limiting the full realization of human potential and stifling innovation and creativity. Addressing these issues requires concerted efforts to challenge biased attitudes and behaviors, promote diversity and inclusion, and foster a sense of belonging for all individuals within society. By fostering greater understanding, empathy, and respect across diverse groups, it is possible to build more inclusive and cohesive communities where all individuals can thrive.

In conclusion, the manifestations and impacts of prejudice and discrimination are indeed pervasive and multifaceted, with far-reaching consequences for individuals, communities, and society as a whole. From subtle biases in interpersonal interactions to entrenched systemic inequalities, prejudice and discrimination perpetuate social injustices, hinder opportunities for marginalized groups, and impede progress toward building truly inclusive societies.

Addressing these complex issues requires a comprehensive and concerted effort that spans education, policy interventions, and community-based initiatives. Education plays a crucial role in challenging stereotypes and fostering empathy and understanding across diverse groups. Equally important are policy interventions aimed at dismantling discriminatory structures and promoting equity in various domains, including employment, education, healthcare, and housing. Additionally, community-based initiatives that foster dialogue, promote intergroup understanding, and empower marginalized communities are essential for creating inclusive environments where all individuals feel valued and respected.

By collectively committing to social justice and taking proactive steps to challenge prejudice and discrimination, we can work toward creating a more inclusive society where every individual is empowered to fully participate in social and economic life. It is through these collective efforts that we can build a future where diversity is celebrated, equity is ensured, and all individuals have the opportunity to thrive and contribute to a better world.
Promoting social inclusion requires a multifaceted approach that addresses individual attitudes, institutional practices, and broader societal norms. Education and awareness-raising initiatives play a crucial role in challenging stereotypes, promoting empathy, and fostering intergroup understanding. By providing opportunities for meaningful interactions across diverse groups, initiatives such as diversity training programs, intergroup dialogue, and cultural competency workshops can help reduce prejudice and promote social inclusion. Furthermore, policies and interventions aimed at combating institutionalized discrimination and promoting equity are essential for addressing systemic barriers to social inclusion. This includes implementing anti-discrimination laws, affirmative action policies, and diversity initiatives within organizations and institutions to ensure equal opportunities for all individuals, regardless of their background or identity.

Community-based approaches that foster inclusive environments and promote social cohesion are also critical for addressing prejudice and discrimination. Collaborative efforts involving community leaders, grassroots organizations, and local stakeholders can help build resilient communities that celebrate diversity and promote social justice. By creating spaces for dialogue, collective action, and mutual support, communities can work towards dismantling systemic inequalities and fostering a sense of belonging for all members.

Additionally, promoting inclusive leadership and representation in decision-making processes is essential for creating inclusive environments. By ensuring diverse representation in leadership positions and decision-making bodies, organizations and institutions can better reflect the perspectives and experiences of marginalized groups and make more inclusive and equitable decisions.

Moreover, fostering allyship and solidarity among individuals and groups is crucial for promoting social inclusion. Allies play a vital role in challenging prejudice and discrimination by actively supporting and advocating for marginalized communities. By standing up against injustice, amplifying marginalized voices, and taking tangible actions to promote equity and inclusion, allies can contribute to creating more inclusive and welcoming environments for all.

In conclusion, promoting social inclusion requires a comprehensive approach that encompasses education, policy interventions, community-based initiatives, inclusive leadership, and allyship. By addressing the root causes of prejudice and discrimination and fostering environments that celebrate diversity and equity, we can work towards building more inclusive societies where all individuals feel valued, respected, and empowered to participate fully in social and economic life. Through collective action and a commitment to social justice, we can create a more just, equitable, and inclusive world for future generations.

Chapter 6
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this paper has explored the complex and multifaceted nature of prejudice and discrimination as barriers to social inclusion. From cognitive biases and institutionalized inequalities to societal norms and cultural narratives, prejudice and discrimination manifest in various forms and perpetuate systemic barriers that hinder efforts toward creating inclusive and equitable societies. Key findings highlight the pervasive impact of prejudice and discrimination on individuals, communities, and society at large. From psychological distress and social exclusion to systemic disparities in access to resources and opportunities, the consequences of prejudice and discrimination are far-reaching and
profound. Moreover, addressing these barriers requires a comprehensive approach that encompasses education, policy reforms, community-based initiatives, and economic empowerment strategies. Furthermore, the conclusion underscores the critical role of collective action in challenging prejudice and discrimination and promoting social inclusion. By fostering empathy, promoting diversity, and advocating for systemic change, individuals, organizations, and communities can work together to dismantle existing power structures and create more inclusive environments where all individuals feel valued, respected, and empowered to participate fully in social and economic life.

In addition, the conclusion emphasizes the importance of policy reform in addressing systemic inequalities and promoting social inclusion. From anti-discrimination laws and affirmative action policies to initiatives aimed at addressing economic disparities and promoting equitable access to resources, policy interventions play a crucial role in dismantling systemic barriers to social inclusion.

Lastly, the conclusion highlights the significance of grassroots initiatives and community-based approaches in fostering inclusive communities and promoting social cohesion. By creating spaces for dialogue, collaboration, and mutual support, communities can work towards dismantling prejudice and discrimination and fostering a sense of belonging for all members.

In essence, addressing prejudice and discrimination is essential for building more just, equitable, and inclusive societies. By recognizing the interconnectedness of individual attitudes, institutional practices, and broader societal norms, we can work towards creating environments where all individuals have the opportunity to thrive and contribute to the collective well-being of society. Through collective action, policy reform, and grassroots initiatives, we can strive towards a future where prejudice and discrimination are no longer barriers to social inclusion and belonging.

Limitations
1. The data might be based on a specific sample or population, which may not be representative of the broader population
2. The data might rely on self-reported experiences of discrimination, which can be influenced by individual perceptions, interpretations, and willingness to disclose sensitive information.
3. The research might focus on a narrow range of discrimination categories (culture, religion, gender, career), neglecting other important dimensions such as race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, or disability. This limited scope may fail to capture the full extent of discrimination experienced by diverse groups.
4. The findings of the research might be specific to the context in which the data was collected and may not be generalizable to other settings or populations.
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