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Abstract:
This study examines performance appraisal methods and job satisfaction in public and private sector banks with the primary objective of improving organizational performance. The findings emphasize the significance of fair and transparent appraisal systems in fostering positive work environments and improving organizational effectiveness in the banking sector. Insights and recommendations help strategically implement employee-centric practices to enhance job satisfaction and overall performance.
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1. Introduction:
In the banking industry, performance appraisal is critical because it ensures that male or female employees' satisfaction is consistent with organizational objectives, fostering elevated organizational effectiveness and assisting the body of employees in exercising their part in carrying out the financial institution's strategic desires¹. Effective performance appraisal practices within banking and financial institutions can assist in growing, rewarding, preserving, and motivating productive employees (N. Hasnain, 2014).

Performance control techniques, ongoing Feedback, purpose alignment, and a tradition of transparency encourage engagement, delight, and retention. Job satisfaction is of paramount importance in the banking sector because it contributes to accelerated productivity. Satisfaction with the bank, and organizational performance and reduces non-appearance (Jain, 2014).

Measuring employee satisfaction inside the banking and financial services enterprise is essential, and gear, which includes engagement surveys, live interviews, exit surveys, one-on-one conferences, and upward evaluations, are potent ways to advantage insights to improve workplace satisfaction and employee engagement (Khan, 2012).

There can be differences between public and personal sector banks regarding overall performance appraisal techniques and job satisfaction. Regarding overall performance appraisal strategies, both sectors also use comparable techniques with Management by Objectives (M.B.O.), 360-degree Feedback, and Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scale (BARS). However, private sector banks are mentioned for using 360-
degree performance appraisal feedback to measure employee performance, even as public area banks region emphasize the evaluation middle technique (Poonam, 2024). Conversely, studies have proven that employees in public-sector banks have better job satisfaction than those in private-sector banks.

A complete evaluation of overall performance appraisal techniques and job satisfaction in public and private sector banks is crucial because, as research indicates, there are variations in organizational dedication, activity insecurity, and job satisfaction among the two sectors. Understanding the factors contributing to process delight and organizational dedication is imperative for fostering a conducive working environment and improving employees' overall performance and well-being in India's public and private sector banks.

2. Objectives of the study:
   a. To Examine the performance appraisal methods utilized in public and private sector banks.
   b. To Identify any differences in job satisfaction levels between employees in India's public and private sector banks.
   c. To Investigate the effectiveness of performance appraisal methods in enhancing job satisfaction among bank employees.
   d. To Analyze the factors influencing job satisfaction in the context of performance appraisal practices.
   e. To Provide insights and recommendations for improving performance appraisal practices in the banking sector, enhancing job satisfaction and overall organizational performance.

3. Research Methodology:
The study "Performance Appraisal Methods and Job Satisfaction in Public and Private Sector Banks: A Comprehensive Analysis" relies on secondary data, including literature evaluations, research reports, and academic journals. Data is collected from online databases and scholarly sources to discover commonplace subjects and findings. The technique involves a descriptive evaluation of appraisal techniques, a comparative analysis among public and private banks, and a thematic analysis of task delight impacts. By synthesizing present research, the study aims to offer radical expertise on the connection between performance appraisal practices and job satisfaction in the banking sector.

4. Performance Appraisal Methods in Public Sector Banks:
In public sector banks, generally utilized overall performance appraisal methods encompass Management by using Objectives (M.B.O.), 360-degree Feedback, Assessment Centre Method, Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scale (BARS), Psychological Appraisals, and Human-Resource (Cost) Accounting Method (Manjula Pattnaik, 2011). M.B.O. focuses on aligning organizational targets with individual desires at the same time as 360-degree Feedback gathered from managers, peers, and subordinates (Fatema, 2014). The Assessment Centre Method entails social-simulation sporting activities to expect destiny job performance, while BARS compares employee performance with unique behavioral examples² Psychological value determinations analyze an employee's destiny, overall performance, and trends, and the Human-Resource (Cost) Accounting Method evaluates performance primarily based on the financial benefits to the banks.

² http://www.allbankingsolutions.com/Banking-Tutor/HRD/Performance-Appraisal-overview.htm
a. Performance Appraisal Methods in Private Sector Banks:
The usually applied performance appraisal methods in non-public sector banks are much like the ones in public sector banks, along with Management by Objectives (M.B.O.), 360-degree Feedback, Assessment Centre Method, Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scale (BARS), Psychological Appraisals, and Human-Resource (Cost) Accounting Method. (Fatema, 2014). The Management by Objectives (M.B.O.) technique includes managers and personnel figuring out, planning, and communicating targets simultaneously, as the 360-degree Feedback method collects input from diverse assets to offer complete remarks. Private sector banks also mentioned utilizing 360-degree comments to measure worker performance³.

b. Comparison of Performance Appraisal Methods:
While the performance appraisal techniques used in public and private sector banks are primarily comparable, there are a few fantastic variations. In public quarter banks, the emphasis is regularly on the Assessment Centre Method and 360-degree Feedback, whereas private sector banks tend to utilize more 360-degree Feedback. Additionally, research has shown that private sector banks are likelier to apply peer opinions of their performance appraisal manner. In contrast, public sector banks tend to have extra lenient peer reviews.

In conclusion, A comprehensive analysis of performance appraisal methods and job satisfaction in public and private sector banks is critical because of the variations in organizational commitment, task insecurity, and level of job satisfaction between these two sectors (Mishra, 2020).

- The overall performance appraisal system in private sector banks is commonly perceived as more transparent, with clear performance criteria and everyday remarks. In contrast, public sector banks are frequently criticized for lack of transparency and bias (Anupriya, 2019), (P, 2023).
- Private-sector banks use a broader range of appraisal strategies, including 360-degree Feedback and MBO, while public-sector banks generally depend on traditional rating scales (Aishwarya, 2020).
- Promotions in private-region banks are more closely related to overall performance, capability, and future growth potentialities, while public-sector banks emphasize seniority and educational qualifications (Nishath Anjum, 2021).
- Employees in private-sector banks have a more favorable impression of the performance appraisal system, highlighting its role in promoting motivation and efficiency. Still, public-sector bank employees are concerned about the rigidity and lack of Feedback (Anupriya, 2019).

5. Differences in Job Satisfaction Levels between Public and Private Sector Banks:
Existing studies and surveys have revealed differences in job satisfaction levels between employees in public and private sector banks.

a. Job Satisfaction in Public Sector Banks:
Factors contributing to higher job satisfaction levels in public sector banks include:
- Nature of the job and qualification (Santosh Aralikatti, 2022).
- Job security, clear career progression, and a more structured appraisal process (Santosh Aralikatti, 2022), (Vijayakiruthika, 2016) and (Ms. Paveena, 2021)
- Salary satisfaction, increments, and job security (Santosh Aralikatti, 2022) and (Sumithra, 2024).

³ https://shorturl.at/qrFN7
Studies have shown that public-sector bank employees tend to have higher overall job satisfaction than private-sector banks (Vijayakiruthika, 2016) and (Santosh Aralikatti, 2022).

b. Job Satisfaction in Private Sector Banks:
In private-sector banks, the key factors influencing job satisfaction include:

- Salary structure, management policy, and job environment (V. R. Devi, 2013), (K. Sowmya, 2011) and (Dr. C. K. Gomathy, 2022).
- Organizational factors like work-life balance, ability to utilize skills, and growth possibilities (K.W.S.N. Kumari).
- Compensation, working conditions, and promotional opportunities (V. R. Devi, 2013) (Devi, 2013) and (Obulesu Varikunta1, 2019).

However, private sector banks are often associated with higher levels of job insecurity and a more competitive performance-based culture, which can negatively impact job satisfaction.

c. Comparison of the Level of Job Satisfaction in Private and Public Sector Banks:
The evaluation highlights that public sector banks are related to higher degrees of job satisfaction due to factors like job safety, career Progress development, and a more structured appraisal process. (Vijayakiruthika, 2016), (Ms. Paveena, 2021). In Assessment, private sector banks focus more on factors like the working environment, management policy, and salary structure because these have been shown to motivate employees more than other factors.

It indicates that the differences in the level of job satisfaction between public and private sector bank employees are influenced by a combination of organizational and subjective factors.

d. Job Satisfaction in Public Sector Banks:
Employees in public-sector banks are often more satisfied with their jobs than employees in the private sector. Several reasons contribute to this, including:

Public sector banks offer more job stability, better welfare schemes, and benefits, contributing significantly to employee satisfaction. They also provide a better working environment and facilities and more significant opportunities for vertical growth and career progression, all of which contribute to higher levels of job satisfaction (Dhavad, 2023)

e. Job Satisfaction in Private Sector Banks:
In contrast, private-sector bank employees tend to be less satisfied with their jobs than public sector counterparts. It can be attributed to the following factors:

Private sector banks experience lower job satisfaction among personnel because of higher job insecurity from layoffs and short turnover, fewer welfare schemes and benefits, restricted possibilities for professional advancement, and a less favorable healthy environment than public sector banks (Deepti Sinha, 2013).

Employees in public-sector banks are often more satisfied with their jobs than employees in the private sector.

6. Effectiveness of Performance Appraisal Methods in Enhancing Job Satisfaction among Bank Employees
Academic research has notably studied the effectiveness of overall performance appraisal strategies in enhancing employee satisfaction among banks and financial institutions employees. According to research, the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems for employee performance indicated that a
scientific technique such as performance appraisal is necessary for raising the overall performance tiers of employees, leading to multiplied motivation and morale (Raja, 2016) (Cherono, 2013). Another study assessed the extent of adopting 360-degree performance appraisal via commercial banks in Kenya and found a superb impact on employee job satisfaction. The use of 360-degree performance comments allows for a comprehensive evaluation of employee performance from multiple assets, which contributes to increased job satisfaction (Abdelwahhab Allozi, 2015). (Panchal, 2020).

Furthermore, a look at overall performance appraisal and its effect on financial institution personnel's motivation highlighted the importance of a thorough technique for assessing employee performance and the vital position that overall performance appraisal performs in boosting motivation. Understanding the number of factors that affect process satisfaction in overall performance appraisal processes in banking can be crucial to knowledge of employee motivation and the general fulfillment of an employer. Research on how value determinations affect employee activity satisfaction and how employee performance influences activity satisfaction shows how significant value determinations affect employee job satisfaction.

7. **Recommendations for improving performance appraisal practices:**
Better performance appraisal can make bank employees happier at their jobs. Plus, the bank itself might do better. Studies show that when banks evaluate their employees' performance, it can lead to promotions. It can make employees feel happy. Those employees could do even better at their jobs.

a. It is recommended that organizations in the banking sector focus on improving the financial compensation system and recognition and reward practices to increase employee satisfaction and motivation.

b. Additionally, using information technology to minimize adverse effects, such as technostress, can improve job satisfaction.

c. The study also emphasizes the significance of corporate governance components such as board size, audit committee independence, and board meeting frequency on organizational performance in the banking sector.

d. Organizational culture has been identified as a critical factor influencing performance. Hence, it is essential to manage it effectively to enhance overall organizational performance across the sector.

e. Moreover, the significance of workforce variety, including age, gender, and ethnicity, in improving organizational performance has been highlighted.

f. Therefore, banks must attempt to create a numerous and inclusive work environment to force overall performance and job satisfaction.

g. Additionally, the empirical study's findings emphasize the advantageous impact of overall performance appraisal systems on employee engagement, contributing to overall organizational performance in the banking sector.

h. Banks should be required to improve how they pay and recognize- staff. It can boost staff happiness and energy.

i. Using Information Technology to minimize adverse effects such as technostress can improve job satisfaction.

j. The study also highlights the impact of corporate governance on banking industry performance, including board size, audit committee independence, and board meeting frequency.
k. The bank should establish a diverse, inclusive work environment to promote performance and job satisfaction.

8. Conclusion:
To summarise, enhancing job satisfaction and overall performance in banking requires strategically implementing performance appraisal systems emphasizing employee engagement, empowerment, organizational culture, and diversity. Because of the differences in organizational commitment and job satisfaction between public and private sector banks, analyzing performance appraisal methods and understanding the relationship between job satisfaction and appraisal techniques is imperative. Implementing fair and transparent systems in both sectors can encourage work environments, boost engagement, and improve organizational performance.
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