

# Construction of a Tool to Measure Self Perception of Higher Secondary School Students

**Dr. G. krishna**

Assistant Professor Sri Vidhyodhaya College of Education, Villupuram

## Abstract

In the present study Self Perception scale has been constructed and standardized for the Higher Secondary School students. This scale consists of 70 statements. The simple random sample technique was used for this study. The sample consists of 100 higher secondary school students randomly selected from the Villupuram District. The 't' value used to standardized the tool and finally 53 statements were retained for the final study.

**Keywords:** Self Perception, Higher Secondary School students.

## Introduction

One of the objectives of the present investigation, if many be recalled, is to study the Higher Secondary School students self perception and also to study if there is any significant difference between the selected pairs of sub-samples in respect of their self perception. As there is no suitable tool available for the purpose, the investigator has constructed and validated one in order to realize his objectives.

The Self perception scale is a 5 point scale of 'strongly agree', 'agree', 'undecided', 'disagree', 'strongly disagree'. As many as 70 statement have been collected from the following source like higher secondary school students.

## Pilot study

This Scale of 70 statements intended for the pilot study was administered to the sample, as many as 100 higher secondary school students studying in the Villupuram district. Then their responses have been scored carefully and the marks secured by all the students have been arranged in the descending order from the highest scores to lowest scores, then they were subjected to item analysis.

## Item Analysis

The next step in the standardization of self perception scale (SPS) after pilot study is to find out the 't' value of each statement which forms the basis for item selection in order to buildup the final scale.

The Likert-type scale calls for a graded response to each statement on a five point scale ranging from 'Strongly Agree (SA)', 'Agree(A)', 'undecided(UD)', 'Disagree(DA)' and 'strongly Disagree (SDA)'. The different points on the scale are assigned arbitrary weights, for example 5,4,3,2 and 1 in the order of response for the positive statements.(37 items) The scoring scheme is reversed for the negative (33 items). The Scoring key given in below Table – 1.

**Table – 1 Scoring Key of the Scale according to the nature Items**

Nature of the Items	Strongly Agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>Positive:</b> 1,4,5,6,9,10,11,13,14, 16,17,18,20,24,27,30, 31,32,33,37,38,39,40, 41,44,46,49,50,51,52, 55,58,59,60,62,67,70	5	4	3	2	1
<b>Negative:</b> 2,3,7,8,12,15,19,21,22, 23,25,26,28,29,34,35, 36,42,43,45,47,48,53, 54,56,57,61,63,64,65, 66,68,69	1	2	3	4	5

**Item selection**

To select the items to form the final draft of the self perception scale, the difficulty index of the each item was analyzed. According to Edwards (1957), “the value of ‘t’ is a measure of the extent to which a given item differentiates between the high and low groups. If the ‘t’ value is equal to or greater than 1.96, it indicates that the average response of the high and low groups to a statements differs significantly, provided there are 27 or more subjects in the high group and also in the low group”.

The ‘t’ value for all the 70 items of the SPS were obtained to select the items for the final draft. Out of 73 items, 53 items were found to be selected as having ‘t’ value more than 1. 96. They are given in Table -2.

**Table – 2 List of items selected for the final draft of the SPS based on their ‘t’ value between upper and lower group**

Item No	‘t’ value	Remarks	Item No. in the final draft of SPS
1.	2.12	Selected	1
2.	4.74	Selected	2
3.	0.74	Not Selected	-
4.	3.44	Selected	3
5.	1.99	Selected	4
6.	3.95	Selected	5
7.	3.61	Selected	6
8.	3.20	Selected	7
9.	2.67	Selected	8
10.	2.20	Selected	9
11.	2.37	Selected	10
12.	1.48	Not Selected	-
13.	5.03	Selected	11

14.	2.00	Selected	12
15.	0.36	Not Selected	-
16.	3.94	Selected	13
17.	6.04	Selected	14
18.	4.49	Selected	15
19.	3.48	Selected	16
20.	5.40	Selected	17
21.	3.13	Selected	18
22.	5.66	Selected	19
23.	3.67	Selected	20
24.	4.34	Selected	21
25.	1.18	Not Selected	-
26.	3.13	Selected	22
27.	1.91	Not Selected	-
28.	0.42	Not Selected	-
29.	2.52	Selected	23
30.	2.63	Selected	24
31.	3.05	Selected	25
32.	4.67	Selected	26
33.	2.32	Selected	27
34.	1.77	Not Selected	-
35.	3.31	Selected	28
36.	3.42	Selected	29
37.	3.25	Selected	30
38.	6.62	Selected	31
39.	3.44	Selected	32
40.	5.86	Selected	33
41.	0.95	Not Selected	-
42.	3.09	Selected	34
43.	3.06	Selected	35
44.	2.11	Selected	36
45.	1.23	Not Selected	-
46.	2.79	Selected	37
47.	3.81	Selected	38
48.	2.41	Selected	39
49.	3.50	Selected	40
50.	2.33	Selected	41
51.	1.72	Not Selected	-
52.	3.98	Selected	42
53.	2.88	Selected	43
54.	1.64	Not Selected	-
55.	4.17	Selected	44

56.	1.46	Not Selected	-
57.	0.44	Not Selected	-
58.	0.00	Not Selected	-
59.	4.65	Selected	45
60.	0.10	Not Selected	-
61.	3.38	Selected	46
62.	1.22	Not Selected	-
63.	2.45	Selected	47
64.	4.26	Selected	48
65.	4.35	Selected	49
66.	3.25	Selected	50
67.	3.70	Selected	51
68.	2.88	Selected	52
69.	0.92	Not Selected	-
70.	4.17	Selected	53

### Reliability

In order to establish the reliability of Self perception scale, the odd – even method was used. The reliability of Self perception scale was found to be 0.84. Hence, self perception scale was considered as reliable.

### Validity

The index of validity which is the square root of the reliability was found to be 0.92. Hence self perception scale selected for the study was considered to be highly valid.

### Conclusion

The investigator is hopeful that this scale would be helpful to measure the level of self perception higher secondary school students. Hence this tool will be very useful for the investigator to measure to what extent the level of self perception is in the higher secondary school in the students.

### Reference:

1. Garrett, H.E. (1973) Statistics in Psychology and Education, Bombay, Vakils, preffer and Simons Pvt. Ltd.
2. Guilford J.P. and Benjamin Fruchter (1973) Fundamental Statistics in Psychology and Education, New York, Mc. Graw Hill Book company.
3. Kothari, C.R. (2000) Research Methodology, Methods and practice Techniques Wishwa Publications, New Delhi.
4. Minas, C. (Feb. 2000) Stress at work. s Sociological Perspective: The Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology, 37(1), 119.