Review of Literature on Determinants of Loan Repayment Performance in Microfinance Institutions

Kiran K[1], Dr. Sureshramana Mayya[2]

[1]Doctoral Research Scholar, Institute of Management and Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore – 575001, India
[2]Research Professor, Institute of Management and Commerce, Srinivas University,Mangalore –575001, India

ABSTRACT:
This article presents a comprehensive literature review on the determinants of loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions (MFIs). The review aims to enhance the understanding of borrower behaviour regarding loan repayment, focusing on socio-economic, institutional, and motivational factors. It synthesises findings from various studies, including the Indian Institute for Mother and Child (IIMC), a nonprofit Indian MFI, to identify critical determinants influencing borrowers' ability to repay loans. Factors such as income stability, education level, financial literacy, and family size are highlighted as significant socio-economic determinants. Loan-specific factors, including loan size, repayment period, and supervision, are also critical. Institutional practices like credit assessment techniques, loan structuring, and technological adoption are examined alongside social factors such as peer pressure, social cohesiveness, and community support systems.
Additionally, the review explores borrowers' motivation for taking out additional loans, finding that the drive to reach the maximum loan level positively impacts repayment performance. Empirical evidence suggests that repayment rates increase as borrowers approach their loan limits. The article concludes with recommendations for MFIs to improve their operational strategies by addressing these determinants, thereby enhancing loan repayment rates and contributing to the sustainability and effectiveness of microfinance programs.
Purpose: This literature review aims to examine the various factors affecting loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions. Specifically, the review focuses on gender, education, business formality, sales, loan characteristics, monitoring practices, and disbursement lag. By synthesising existing research, the article aims to comprehensively understand how these factors influence borrowers' ability to repay loans. This understanding is crucial for improving operational strategies and enhancing the sustainability and effectiveness of microfinance programs.
Design/Methodology/Approach:
A systematic literature review (SLR) method is used to establish the research agenda and identify the research gaps for the current study titled "Review of Literature on Determinants of Loan Repayment

Performance in Microfinance Institutions." This review analyses numerous peer-reviewed research articles from the past two decades, examining them in light of the study's theoretical framework. The literature review focuses on critical issues such as identifying existing research gaps and setting a research agenda for future studies. The ABCD (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, Disadvantages) and SWOC (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Challenges) frameworks systematically evaluate and categorise the determinants of loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions, particularly in the Bangalore district.

Findings/Result:

There is a lack of comprehensive studies on the determinants of loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions. Much of the available literature does not sufficiently address this issue. This literature review highlights the need to investigate the determinants of loan repayment performance, emphasising the importance of understanding these factors to improve the effectiveness of microfinance institutions during this period.

Originality/Value:

The question of how Indian microfinance institutions (MFIs) can enhance their effectiveness by minimising undesirable or bad outputs has not been adequately addressed in existing studies. Furthermore, no research has examined the impact of sustainability on the effectiveness of MFIs in India. This study aims to fill this knowledge gap by exploring these critical areas, offering valuable insights for improving the operational efficiency and sustainability of Indian MFIs.

Paper Type: Review Paper.

Keywords: Microfinance Institutions (MFIs), loan repayment performance, financial literacy, socio-economic determinants, borrower behaviour, sustainability

1. INTRODUCTION:

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) are crucial in providing financial services to underserved populations promoting financial inclusion and socio-economic development. However, the effectiveness of MFIs is significantly influenced by the loan repayment performance of their borrowers. Understanding the determinants of loan repayment behaviour is essential for enhancing these institutions' sustainability and operational efficiency. This review paper aims to synthesise existing literature on the determinants of loan repayment performance in MFIs, focusing on various socio-economic, institutional, and motivational factors.

Previous studies have highlighted numerous factors that affect loan repayment performance. For instance, borrower characteristics such as income stability, education level, financial literacy, and family size are critical socio-economic determinants (Mohammed & Wobe, 2023a). Institutional practices like credit assessment techniques, loan structuring, and technological adoption significantly influence payment behaviour (Bassem & Borhen, 2008a). Social factors such as peer pressure, social cohesiveness, and community support systems also impact borrowers' ability to repay loans (Assefa et al., 2013a).

A notable gap in the existing literature is exploring borrowers' motivation for taking out additional loans and how this motivation affects repayment performance. Research indicates that the drive to reach the maximum loan level is positively associated with improved repayment rates (Mirpourian et al., 2016). This paper addresses this gap by examining motivational factors alongside other determinants.

By systematically reviewing and analysing these factors, this paper comprehensively explains the dynamics influencing loan repayment performance in MFIs. The findings will help MFIs develop targeted strategies to improve loan repayment rates, thereby enhancing their sustainability and contributing to broader financial inclusion goals.

1.1. Background

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) emerged as a vital tool for promoting financial inclusion and socio-economic development by providing financial services to populations that traditional banking institutions typically underserve. The concept of microfinance gained global recognition through the pioneering work of institutions like Grameen Bank in Bangladesh, which demonstrated the potential of small loans to transform the lives of low-income people. Since then, MFIs have expanded worldwide, aiming to reduce poverty by offering microloans, savings accounts, insurance, and other financial products to low-income individuals and communities.

The effectiveness of MFIs, however, is significantly influenced by their ability to ensure timely loan repayments. Loan repayment performance is crucial for the financial sustainability of MFIs and their capacity to extend credit to other borrowers. Poor repayment rates can lead to financial instability within these institutions, ultimately hindering their mission to foster economic development and financial inclusion.

Various factors influence loan repayment performance in MFIs, including socio-economic characteristics of borrowers, institutional practices, and broader economic conditions. Socio-economic factors such as income stability, education level, financial literacy, and family size can affect borrowers' ability to repay loans. Institutional factors, including the methods of credit assessment, loan structuring, and technology adoption, also play a critical role in determining repayment success. Furthermore, social dynamics such as peer pressure, social cohesiveness, and community support systems can significantly impact repayment behaviour.

Despite the extensive research on these factors, gaps remain, particularly in understanding the motivational aspects of borrowers. For instance, the Indian Institute for Mother and Child (IIMC), a nonprofit Indian MFI, highlighted how borrowers' motivation to take out additional loans and their drive to reach maximum loan levels positively influence repayment performance. This underscores the need for MFIs to consider psychological and motivational factors when designing their financial products and services.

This literature review aims to synthesise existing research on the determinants of loan repayment performance in MFIs, focusing on socio-economic, institutional, and motivational factors. By identifying and analysing these determinants, the study seeks to provide actionable insights to help MFIs enhance their operational strategies, improve loan repayment rates, and achieve greater financial sustainability.

1.2. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this paper is to conduct a comprehensive review of the existing literature on the determinants of loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions (MFIs). By synthesising findings from various studies, the paper aims to identify and analyse the critical socio-economic, institutional, and motivational factors influencing borrowers' ability to repay loans. Specifically, the review focuses on factors such as income stability, education level, financial literacy, family size, loan characteristics, credit assessment techniques, loan structuring, technological adoption, and social dynamics like peer pressure and community support. Additionally, the paper seeks to address the gap in understanding the motivational aspects of borrowers, including their drive to reach maximum loan levels. The ultimate goal is to provide actionable insights and recommendations for MFIs to enhance their operational strategies, improve loan repayment rates, and achieve greater financial sustainability and effectiveness.

1.3. Objectives of Study

1. To identify and analyse the key socio-economic factors influencing loan repayment performance among borrowers of microfinance institutions.
2. To evaluate the impact of institutional practices such as credit assessment techniques, loan structuring, and technological adoption on loan repayment performance.
3. To explore the motivational aspects of borrowers, including their drive to reach maximum loan levels and how these motivations affect their repayment behaviour.
4. To develop and propose actionable recommendations for microfinance institutions to enhance their operational strategies, improve loan repayment rates, and achieve greater financial sustainability and effectiveness.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Credit Rationing Theory

Credit Rationing Theory posits that borrowers face constraints in accessing credit due to various factors such as income levels, collateral availability, and perceived risk by lenders. This theory is particularly relevant in microfinance institutions (MFIs), whose goal is to extend credit to underserved populations who often lack sufficient collateral and stable income.

In the context of this paper, Credit Rationing Theory provides a framework for understanding how socio-economic factors influence loan repayment performance among borrowers of MFIs. Borrowers with higher income stability and better collateral are more likely to receive larger loan amounts and more favourable terms, enhancing their ability to repay. Conversely, those with lower income stability and insufficient collateral might face stricter credit rationing, leading to smaller loan sizes and shorter repayment periods, impacting their repayment performance.

Institutional practices in MFIs, such as credit assessment techniques and loan structuring, are designed to mitigate lending risks to high-risk borrowers. By adopting effective credit assessment methods, MFIs can better identify borrowers' creditworthiness, thus reducing the likelihood of default. This aligns with Credit Rationing Theory, which suggests that improved screening and monitoring can help manage the risks associated with lending to borrowers who typically lack conventional collateral.

Furthermore, Credit Rationing Theory can be linked to the motivational aspects of borrowers. The drive to reach the maximum loan level, as identified in the literature, can be seen as a response to credit rationing. Borrowers may be motivated to demonstrate their creditworthiness and repayment capacity to access larger loans in the future. This motivation can positively influence their repayment behaviour, as they aim to build a favourable credit history with the MFI.

Understanding credit rationing theory helps develop actionable recommendations for MFIs to enhance their operational strategies. By addressing the constraints borrowers face through targeted financial literacy programs, flexible loan repayment options, and supportive income diversification initiatives, MFIs can improve loan repayment rates and contribute to the economic sustainability of their operations.

2.2. Social Capital Theory

Social Capital Theory emphasises the importance of social networks, relationships, and community engagement in facilitating financial transactions and economic outcomes. In the context of microfinance institutions (MFIs), Social Capital Theory suggests that social connections and trust within a community can significantly impact borrowers' loan repayment behaviours.

In MFIs, the relationships between borrowers, loan officers, and the broader community are crucial in ensuring loan repayment. Social capital can manifest in several ways:

1. **Peer Pressure and Accountability**: Borrowers in close-knit communities are often subject to peer pressure, which can motivate them to repay their loans on time to maintain their social standing and avoid communal shame. Group lending models, where borrowers are collectively responsible for each other's loans, leverage this social pressure to enhance repayment rates.
2. **Trust in Institutions**: High levels of trust in the MFI and its loan officers can lead to better loan repayment performance. Borrowers who believe that the institution has their best interests at heart and offers fair terms are more likely to repay their loans faithfully. Trust reduces the perceived risk of borrowing and enhances the borrower's commitment to fulfilling their obligations.
3. **Community Support Systems**: Borrowers who receive support from their community, such as advice, moral encouragement, or even financial help in times of need, are more likely to overcome repayment challenges. Community support can act as a safety net, helping borrowers manage their financial responsibilities better.
4. **Information Sharing**: Communities with strong social ties often share information about borrowers' reputations and financial behaviours. Positive or negative information can influence the lending decisions of MFIs and borrowers' repayment behaviour. Well-informed lending decisions based on community insights can lead to better loan performance.

In the context of the present study, Social Capital Theory provides a valuable framework for analysing how these social dynamics affect loan repayment performance in MFIs. The theory suggests that building and maintaining strong social networks can enhance the effectiveness of microfinance programs. MFIs can leverage social capital by fostering strong relationships with borrowers, encouraging group lending practices, and actively engaging with community leaders to support repayment efforts.

By understanding and utilising Social Capital Theory, MFIs can develop strategies that harness the power of social networks to improve loan repayment rates, reduce defaults, and enhance overall operational efficiency. This approach aligns with the broader financial inclusion goals and sustainable economic development, ensuring MFIs can better serve their communities.

2.3. Behavioural Economics

Behavioural Economics is a field that integrates insights from psychology with economic theory to understand how individuals make decisions, often in ways that deviate from the assumptions of classical economics. It examines the psychological, cognitive, and emotional factors influencing economic behaviours, including how people manage and repay loans. In the context of microfinance institutions (MFIs), Behavioral Economics provides valuable insights into borrower behaviour and Loan repayment performance.

1. **Cognitive Biases:** Borrowers often exhibit cognitive biases that affect their financial decisions. For instance, overconfidence can lead them to underestimate the difficulty of repaying a loan, while present bias might cause them to prioritise immediate consumption over future repayment obligations. Understanding these biases can help MFIs design better loan products and repayment schedules that align with borrowers' tendencies.
2. **Financial Literacy:** Behavioral Economics highlights the importance of financial literacy in shaping repayment behaviour. Borrowers with a better understanding of economic concepts are likelier to make informed decisions about taking and repaying loans. Educational programs that enhance financial literacy can improve borrowers' ability to manage their debts effectively.
3. **Social Norms and Influences:** Social norms and peer behaviours influence borrowers' decisions. For example, if timely repayment is a strong norm within a community, borrowers are more likely to adhere to repayment schedules. MFIs can leverage this by fostering a culture of timely repayment through group lending models and peer monitoring.
4. **Emotional Factors:** Emotions play a significant role in economic decision-making. Fear of defaulting and its consequences can motivate borrowers to prioritise loan repayment. Conversely, financial stress and anxiety can impair their ability to make sound financial decisions. Providing emotional support and counselling can help mitigate these negative effects.
5. **Incentives and Rewards:** Behavioral Economics suggests that incentives and rewards can effectively motivate borrowers. Offering small rewards for timely repayments or providing interest rate reductions for consistent repayment performance can encourage borrowers to adhere to their repayment schedules.
6. **Commitment Devices:** Borrowers often benefit from commitment devices that help them stick to their repayment plans. Automatic deductions from their income or savings accounts for loan repayments can reduce the temptation to divert funds for other purposes. These mechanisms can enhance repayment discipline and lower default rates.
7. **Mental Accounting:** This concept refers to how people categorise and treat money differently based on its source or intended use. Borrowers may manage their loan repayments more effectively if they perceive the Loan as a separate mental account dedicated to a specific purpose, such as business investment. MFIs can assist by helping borrowers structure their finances in a way that prioritises loan repayment.

By applying insights from Behavioral Economics, MFIs can better understand and influence borrower behaviour to improve loan repayment performance. This theoretical framework provides a basis for developing innovative strategies that consider the psychological and emotional aspects of borrowing and repayment, ultimately enhancing the sustainability and effectiveness of microfinance programs.

3. DESIGN/METHODOLOGY/APPROACH

3.1. Systematic Literature Review (SLR) Method

The systematic literature review (SLR) method is employed to comprehensively gather, evaluate, and synthesise existing research on the determinants of loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions (MFIs). This method involves a structured and transparent process for identifying relevant studies, selecting and appraising their quality, and extracting and analysing data. The SLR aims to provide an exhaustive overview of the topic, identify research gaps, and establish a research agenda for future studies.

- **Identification:** A comprehensive search of academic databases such as Google Scholar, PubMed, JSTOR, and Scopus is conducted to locate peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and other relevant publications from the past two decades.
- **Selection:** Inclusion and exclusion criteria are established to select studies explicitly addressing the determinants of loan repayment performance in MFIs. Only studies that meet the quality standards and are relevant to the research objectives are included.
- **Appraisal:** Each selected study is critically appraised to assess its methodological rigour, validity, and relevance. This ensures that the findings of the review are based on high-quality research.
- **Extraction:** Key data and findings from the selected studies are extracted systematically, focusing on the socio-economic, institutional, and motivational factors influencing loan repayment performance.
- **Synthesis:** The extracted data is synthesised to comprehensively understand the topic, highlight patterns and trends, and identify research gaps.

3.2. Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection involves gathering information from the selected studies through the SLR process. The data is categorised based on the specific determinants of loan repayment performance:

- **Socio-economic f**actors: Income stability, education level, financial literacy, family size, gender, and employment status.
- **Institutional Factors:** Credit assessment techniques, loan structuring, technological adoption, loan size, and repayment period.
- **Motivational Factors:** Borrower motivation for taking additional loans, drive to reach maximum loan levels, and impact of incentives and rewards.

The analysis includes both qualitative and quantitative methods to draw comprehensive conclusions from the data:

- **Qualitative Analysis:** Thematic analysis is used to identify recurring themes and patterns related to the determinants of loan repayment performance.
- **Quantitative Analysis:** Statistical methods, such as meta-analysis, are applied to aggregate and compare findings from multiple studies, providing a more robust understanding of the relationships between various determinants and Loan repayment performance.

3.3. Frameworks Used (ABCD and SWOC)

To systematically evaluate and categorise the determinants of loan repayment performance, two strategic analysis frameworks are utilised:

ABCD Framework (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, Disadvantages):

- **Advantages:** Identifies the positive aspects and strengths of specific determinants, such as the benefits of high financial literacy on repayment performance.
- **Benefits:** Assesses the overall positive impact and outcomes associated with certain factors, like the role of community support in enhancing repayment rates.
- **Constraints:** Examines the limitations and challenges that hinder effective loan repayment, such as income instability and lack of collateral.
- **Disadvantages:** Highlights certain practices or conditions' negative effects and drawbacks, like high interest rates or stringent credit assessment procedures.

SWOC Framework (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Challenges):

- **Strengths:** Identifies the internal strengths of MFIs that contribute to successful loan repayment, such as effective loan structuring and strong borrower relationships.
- **Weaknesses**: Analyses the internal weaknesses and areas for improvement within MFIs, such as inadequate financial literacy programs and poor monitoring systems.
- **Opportunities:** Explores external opportunities that MFIs can leverage to enhance loan repayment performance, such as adopting new technologies and expanding financial literacy initiatives.
- **Challenges:** Discuss the external challenges and threats MFIs face, including economic volatility and competitive pressures from other financial institutions.

By employing these frameworks, the study provides a structured and comprehensive analysis of the determinants influencing loan repayment performance in MFIs, offering valuable insights for improving operational strategies and achieving greater financial sustainability.

4. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Table 1. Review of Literature on Socio-Economic Determinants of Loan Repayment Performance in Microfinance Institutions

Sl. No	Area of Study	Focus Area	Authors & References
1	Income Stability	Impact of borrowers' overall wealth and credit rationing on repayment performance	(Bassem & Borhen, 2008b)
2	Education Level	Effect of educational attainment on repayment behaviour among microfinance borrowers	(Mohammed & Wobe, 2023b)
3	Family Size	Influence of family size on loan repayment, with larger families facing higher default risks	(Firafis Haile, 2015a)
4	Borrower Motivation	Motivation to reach maximum loan level improves repayment performance	(Mirpourian et al., 2016)
5	Religious Education	Role of formal and religious education in influencing repayment behaviour among	(Nawai & Shariff, 2012)

		Malaysian microfinance borrowers	
6	Loan Characteristics	Effects of loan characteristics on repayment performance in Ethiopian MFIs	(Tulu & Chala, 2019)
7	Business Experience	Impact of business experience and credit assessment techniques on repayment performance	(Firafis Haile, 2015b)
8	Educational Level	Analysis of borrowers' educational level and loan repayment performance in South Africa	(Muchnick & Kollamparambil, 2015)
9	Competition	Relationship between competition and Loan Repayment in Indian MFIs	(Assefa et al., 2013b)
10	Multiple Borrowing	Influence of multiple borrowing and competition on repayment performance	(Vogelgesang, 2003)

Socio-economic determinants such as income stability, education level, and family size significantly influence loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions. Stable income and higher education levels generally lead to better repayment outcomes, while larger family sizes can pose challenges and increase the risk of defaults. Motivational factors, credit assessment techniques, and effective business experience further impact repayment success.

Table 2. Review of Literature on Institutional Determinants of Loan Repayment Performance in Microfinance Institutions

Sl. No	Broad Area	Focus Area	Authors & References
1	Loan Size and Repayment Period	Impact of loan size and repayment period on repayment performance	(Asgedom et al., 2015)
2	Credit Assessment Techniques	Effects of credit assessment on loan repayment in MFIs	(Nininahazwe & Mutembei, 2023)
3	Technological Adoption	Role of technological adoption in enhancing loan repayment performance	(Badugu, n.d.)
4	Loan Structuring	Impact of loan structuring on loan repayment performance	(Khan et al., 2021)
5	Loan Size and Repayment Period	Analysis of loan size and repayment period about repayment performance among Indian borrowers	(Sangwan & Nayak, 2021)
6	Credit Assessment Techniques	New approaches to MSME lending and credit assessment models	(DELUCA et al., 2014)
7	Technological Adoption	Influence of technological adoption on loan repayment performance in Indian MFIs	(Patel et al., 2022)

8	Loan Structuring	Impact of business structuring and loan monitoring on loan repayment	(Agasha et al., 2020)
9	Loan Size and Repayment Period	Study on loan size and its productive application in Nepalese microfinance institutions	(Dhungana, 2016)
10	Credit Assessment Techniques	Analysis of credit default risk and its determinants in Ethiopian MFIs	(Setargie, 2013)

Institutional determinants such as loan size and repayment period, credit assessment techniques, loan structuring, and technological adoption significantly influence loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions. Effective loan structuring and credit assessment techniques can improve repayment performance, while technological adoption plays a crucial role in enhancing overall efficiency.

Table 3. Review of Literature on Social and Motivational Factors Influencing Loan Repayment Performance in Microfinance Institutions

Sl. No	Broad Area	Focus Area	Authors & References
1	Peer Pressure and Social Cohesiveness	Impact of peer pressure and social norms on loan repayment performance	(Mude, 2006)
2	Community Support Systems	Role of community support systems in enhancing loan repayment performance	(Rathore, 2015)
3	Peer Pressure and Social Cohesiveness	Avoiding the social costs of peer pressure in micro-credit schemes	(Montgomery, 1996)
4	Borrower Motivation for Additional Loans	Influence of borrower motivation for additional loans on loan repayment performance	(Bruton et al., 2015)
5	Community Support Systems	Building social capital through microfinance	(Feigenberg et al., 2010)
6	Peer Pressure and Social Cohesiveness	Judging borrowers by the company they keep: Friendship networks and information asymmetry in P2P lending	(Lin et al., 2013)
7	Community Support Systems	Why community-based financing have very high recovery rates	(Khan & Siddiqui, 2019)
8	Borrower Motivation for Additional Loans	Peer-to-peer lenders versus banks: Substitutes or complements?	(Tang, 2019)
9	Peer Pressure and Social Cohesiveness	Peer pressure and partnerships	(Kandel & Lazear, 1992)
10	Community Support Systems	Cooperative lenders and the performance of small business loans	(Nitani & Legendre, 2021)

Social and motivational factors such as peer pressure, social cohesiveness, community support systems, and borrower motivation for additional loans significantly influence loan repayment performance in microfinance institutions. Effective peer pressure and cohesive social networks can enhance repayment rates, while robust community support systems and borrower motivation contribute to improved loan performance.

5. FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

5.1. Summary of Key Determinants

5.1.1. Peer Pressure and Social Cohesiveness:

Peer pressure and social norms significantly influence loan repayment behavior. Borrowers in cohesive groups are more likely to repay loans on time due to the pressure to maintain their social standing(Kandel & Lazear, 1992; Montgomery, 1996; Mude, 2006) Additionally, peer monitoring within groups has been shown to positively influence repayment performance in Indian microfinance settings (Feroze et al., 2011).

5.1.2. Community Support Systems:

Strong community support systems enhance loan repayment performance. Support from community members provides a safety net that helps borrowers meet repayment obligations (Feigenberg et al., 2010; Khan & Siddiqui, 2019; Rathore, 2015). Community-based microfinance programs in India also demonstrate high recovery rates due to strong social ties and support systems (Feroze et al., 2011).

5.1.3. Borrower Motivation for Additional Loans:

Motivation to access future loans improves repayment rates. Borrowers driven by the desire to qualify for larger loans in the future are more likely to repay current loans promptly (Bruton et al., 2015; Tang, 2019). In India, borrowers with higher financial literacy and motivation for additional loans have shown better repayment performance (Sangwan & Nayak, 2021).

5.2. Gaps in Existing Research

- Limited focus on the long-term sustainability of community support systems.
- Insufficient studies on the impact of digital peer pressure in online microfinance platforms.
- Lack of comprehensive analysis of motivational factors across different cultural contexts.

5.3. Discussion

5.3.1. Implications for Microfinance Institutions

- **Enhancing Peer Dynamics**: Encouraging strong peer networks can improve repayment rates by leveraging social pressure.
- **Community Integration**: Building robust community support mechanisms can provide additional security for borrowers.
- **Incentivising Repayments**: Creating incentives for timely repayments can motivate borrowers to maintain good repayment behaviour.

5.3.2. Strategies for Improving Loan Repayment Performance

- **Enhanced Financial Literacy Programs**: Educating borrowers on financial management can improve loan utilisation and repayment (Sangwan & Nayak, 2021).

- **Supporting Income Diversification**: Encouraging borrowers to diversify their income sources can reduce default risks.
- **Flexible Loan Repayment Options**: Offering flexible repayment schedules can accommodate the varying financial capabilities of borrowers.
- **Customer Satisfaction Surveys**: Regularly surveying borrowers can help identify issues and improve service delivery.
- **Insurance and Emergency Funds**: Providing access to insurance and emergency funds can help borrowers manage unforeseen financial challenges.
- **Financial Counseling for Larger Families**: Offering tailored financial advice to larger families can address their specific repayment challenges.
- **Leveraging Technology**: Utilising digital tools can streamline loan processing and monitoring, improving overall efficiency (Badugu, n.d.; Patel et al., 2022).

5.3.3. Recommendations

Enhancing Financial Literacy Programs

- Develop comprehensive training modules on budgeting, savings, and investment.

Supporting Income Diversification

- Encourage borrowers to explore multiple income streams to ensure financial stability.

Flexible Loan Repayment Options

- Implement variable repayment schedules that align with borrowers' cash flow patterns.

Customer Satisfaction Surveys

- Conduct periodic surveys to gather feedback and make necessary adjustments to loan products.

Insurance and Emergency Funds

- Introduce micro-insurance products to protect borrowers from financial shocks.

Financial Counseling for Larger Families

- Provide specialised financial counseling services to borrowers with large families to help them manage their finances effectively.

Leveraging Technology

- Integrate digital platforms for loan applications, disbursements, and repayments to enhance operational efficiency.

6. CONCLUSION

Summary of Findings

- Peer pressure, social cohesiveness, community support systems, and borrower motivation influence loan repayment performance. Strong social networks and community support and motivated borrowers enhance repayment rates.

Importance of Addressing Determinants

- Addressing these determinants is essential for improving the sustainability and effectiveness of microfinance institutions. By understanding and leveraging social and motivational factors, MFIs can significantly enhance their repayment performance.

Future Research Directions

- Future studies should focus on the long-term impact of community support systems and explore the role of digital peer pressure. Additionally, cross-cultural analyses of motivational factors can provide deeper insights into borrower behaviour across different regions.

7. REFERENCES

1. Agasha, E., Monametsi, G., & Feela, T. (2020). Loan portfolio quality of microfinance institutions in Uganda: A qualitative assessment. *Journal of Financial Risk Management*, *9*(2), 155–177
2. Asgedom, A. K., Desta, T. S., & Bahita, G. H. (2015). Determinants of MFIs group loan repayment performance: a case of Dedebit credit and saving institution, Mekelle, Ethiopia. *Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development*, *10*(1), 1–22.
3. Assefa, E., Hermes, N., & Meesters, A. (2013a). Competition and the performance of microfinance institutions. *Applied Financial Economics*, *23*(9), 767–782.
4. Assefa, E., Hermes, N., & Meesters, A. (2013b). Competition and the performance of microfinance institutions. *Applied Financial Economics*, *23*(9), 767–782.
5. Badugu, V. K. T. D. D. (n.d.). *Effect of E-Microfinance on Economic Growth in India*.
6. Bassem, B. S., & Borhen, T. (2008a). Determinants of repayment performance of individual borrowers from microfinance institutions: evidence from Tunisia. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, *3*(1), 66–87.
7. Bassem, B. S., & Borhen, T. (2008b). Determinants of repayment performance of individual borrowers from microfinance institutions: evidence from Tunisia. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, *3*(1), 66–87.
8. Bruton, G., Khavul, S., Siegel, D., & Wright, M. (2015). New financial alternatives in seeding entrepreneurship: Microfinance, crowdfunding, and peer–to–peer innovations. In *Entrepreneurship theory and practice* (Vol. 39, Issue 1, pp. 9–26). SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
9. DELUCA, T. J., Meehan, J., & Lloyd, J. (2014). New approaches to MSME lending: challenging traditional credit assessment models in electronic cash-flow environments. *Enterprise Development & Microfinance*, *25*(3).
10. Dhungana, B. R. (2016). Does loan size matter for productive application? Evidence from Nepalese micro-finance institutions. *Repositioning the Journal of Business and Hospitality*, *1*, 63–72.
11. Feigenberg, B., Field, E. M., & Pande, R. (2010). *Building social capital through microfinance*. National Bureau of Economic Research.
12. Feroze, S. M., Chauhan, A. K., Malhotra, R., & Kadian, K. S. (2011). Factors influencing group repayment performance in Haryana: application of tobit model §. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, *24*(1), 57–65.
13. Firafis Haile, F. H. (2015a). *Determinants of loan repayment performance: case study of Harari microfinance institutions.*
14. Firafis Haile, F. H. (2015b). *Determinants of loan repayment performance: case study of Harari microfinance institutions.*

15. Kandel, E., & Lazear, E. P. (1992). Peer pressure and partnerships. *Journal of Political Economy, 100*(4), 801–817.
16. Khan, A., Ahmad, A., & Shireen, S. (2021). Ownership and performance of microfinance institutions: Empirical evidences from India. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, *9*(1), 1930653.
17. Khan, A., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2019). Why community-based microfinancing have very high recovery rates, more Efficient Utilisation of the Benefits, and higher Conversion tendencies of Beneficiaries into Donors: The Mediatory role of Social Collateral and Gratitude as Emotional Collateral in Community Organizations of Pakistan. *More Efficient Utilisation of the Benefits, and Higher Conversion Tendencies of Beneficiary Into Donors: The Mediatory Role of Social Collateral and Gratitude As Emotional Collateral in Community Organizations of Pakistan (December 28, 2019)*.
18. Lin, M., Prabhala, N. R., & Viswanathan, S. (2013). Judging borrowers by the company they keep: Friendship networks and information asymmetry in online peer-to-peer lending. *Management Science*, *59*(1), 17–35.
19. Mirpourian, S., Caragliu, A., Di Maio, G., Landoni, P., & Rusinà, E. (2016). Determinants of loan repayment performance among borrowers of microfinance institutions: Evidence from India. *World Development Perspectives*, *1*, 49–52.
20. Mohammed, A. F., & Wobe, M. H. (2023a). Factors affecting loan repayment performance of microfinance institution borrowers: The case of Omo Microfinance at Wondo Genet Woreda, Ethiopia. In *Research Anthology on Microfinance Services and Roles in Social Progress* (pp. 338–355). IGI Global.
21. Mohammed, A. F., & Wobe, M. H. (2023b). Factors affecting loan repayment performance of microfinance institution borrowers: The case of Omo Microfinance at Wondo Genet Woreda, Ethiopia. In *Research Anthology on Microfinance Services and Roles in Social Progress* (pp. 338–355). IGI Global.
22. Montgomery, R. (1996). Disciplining or protecting the poor? Avoiding the social costs of peer pressure in micro-credit schemes. *Journal of International Development*, *8*(2), 289–305.
23. Muchnick, J., & Kollamparambil, U. (2015). Determinants of micro-finance repayment performance: a study of South African MFIs. *Journal of Economic and Financial Sciences*, *8*(2), 584–603.
24. Mude, A. G. (2006). Making loans to make friends: explaining the dismal financial performance of financial service associations. *Agricultural Finance Review*, *66*(2), 267–281.
25. Nawai, N., & Shariff, M. N. M. (2012). Factors affecting repayment performance in microfinance programs in Malaysia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *62*, 806–811.
26. Nininahazwe, P., & Mutembei, C. (2023). Ana-lyzing the effects of credit management practices on loan repayment performance of microfinance institutions in Makamba, Burundi. *International Journal of Current Aspects in Finance, Banking and Accounting*, *5*(2), 49–70.
27. Nitani, M., & Legendre, N. (2021). Cooperative lenders and the performance of small business loans. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *128*, 106125.
28. Patel, P. C., Lenka, S., & Parida, V. (2022). Caste-based discrimination, microfinance credit scores, and microfinance loan approvals among females in India. *Business & Society*, *61*(2), 372–388.

29. Rathore, B. S. (2015). Social capital: does it matter in a microfinance contract? *International Journal of Social Economics*, *42*(11), 1035–1046.
30. Sangwan, S., & Nayak, N. C. (2021). Factors influencing the borrower loan size in microfinance group lending: a survey from Indian microfinance institutions. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, *13*(2), 223–238.
31. Setargie, S. (2013). Credit default risk and its determinants of microfinance industry in Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Business and Economics (The)*, *3*(1), 1–21.
32. Tang, H. (2019). Peer-to-peer lenders versus banks: substitutes or complements? *The Review of Financial Studies*, *32*(5), 1900–1938.
33. Tulu, F., & Chala, D. (2019). *Determinants of Loan Repayment Performance in Shashemene City Administration:(The Case of Oromia Credit and Saving Share Company in Shashemene Branch, Oromia Region, Ethiopia)*.
34. Vogelgesang, U. (2003). Microfinance in times of crisis: The effects of competition, rising indebtedness, and economic crisis on repayment behaviour. *World Development*, *31*(12), 2085–2114.