International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# Revolutionizing Research Methodologies: The Emergence of Research 5.0 through AI, Automation, and Blockchain

**Rachid Ejjami**

Doctoral Candidate, Ecole des Ponts Paris Tech, Business School, France

**Abstract**

This integrative literature review (ILR) explores the significant impact of incorporating artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and blockchain technology into research methodologies, collectively known as Research 5.0. The study addresses the shortcomings of traditional research methods, which need help managing the complexities and demands of modern scientific inquiry, thereby affecting the reliability and efficiency of research across various fields. The ILR aims to critically assess how these advanced technologies can enhance research processes, guided by a conceptual framework centered on AI, automation, and blockchain. The research method involved a comprehensive literature review and the analysis of qualitative data to identify patterns, challenges, and opportunities for implementing these technologies. The findings reveal that while AI significantly improves research efficiency and accuracy, it also introduces challenges such as algorithmic bias and transparency issues, which can be mitigated through Research 5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) framework and comprehensive researcher training. Automation enhances consistency but risks reducing human oversight, necessitating hybrid systems that blend human expertise with automated precision. Blockchain strengthens data integrity and transparency yet faces complexity and energy consumption challenges, underscoring the need for scalable and sustainable solutions. The study concludes that while Research 5.0 technologies offer substantial potential, their successful integration requires careful consideration of ethical, technical, and operational challenges. Future research should focus on developing transparent AI systems, hybrid automation models that retain human judgment, and scalable blockchain solutions to advance research methodologies effectively.

**Keywords:** Research 5.0, Artificial intelligence, Automation in technology, Blockchain, Research methodologies, Data integrity, Research 5.0 explainable AI, Hybrid systems

## Introduction

The evolution of research paradigms has been driven by continuous technological innovations prompted by the need to address increasingly complex societal and scientific challenges. Traditionally, research paradigms have focused on enhancing data collection and analysis techniques, with the primary goal of improving the research process's accuracy, reliability, and efficiency through more refined methodologies and tools [1]. However, the growing demands on researchers now call for more comprehensive and advanced approaches that integrate cutting-edge technologies and interdisciplinary methods to address increasingly complex and multifaceted scientific challenges. Research 5.0 has

emerged as a transformative paradigm aiming to revolutionize the research process by integrating cutting-edge technologies such as AI, automation, blockchain, and other digital tools [2]. This paradigm represents a significant leap forward, fundamentally changing how research is conducted to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and transparency and foster innovation across various types of research.

Research 5.0 plays a crucial role in its application across various research domains, with each domain benefiting uniquely from integrating these advanced technologies. In fundamental (pure) research, AI can analyze vast datasets, identify patterns, and generate new hypotheses, thereby driving groundbreaking theoretical advancements [3]. Automation streamlines experimental procedures, allowing researchers to focus more on theory development rather than the logistical aspects of research. Research 5.0 leverages advanced technologies in applied research to effectively translate theoretical knowledge into practical solutions [4]. AI aids in designing and testing new applications on research methodologies by automating experimental designs, optimizing data collection processes, and simulating research scenarios to refine methods and improve the reliability of outcomes. At the same time, blockchain technology ensures the transparency and integrity of research outcomes, providing a secure foundation for implementing these applications in real-world scenarios [5].

Exploratory research can significantly benefit from the integration of Research 5.0, as AI-powered tools can efficiently identify emerging trends and areas of interest within large datasets, enhancing the focus and effectiveness of the exploration process while uncovering insights that might be missed through traditional methods [6]. Automation further enhances this process by speeding up data collection and preliminary analysis, allowing researchers to quickly narrow their focus and delve deeper into promising areas of inquiry. Descriptive research also sees significant advantages, with AI uncovering correlations and trends that might not be easily detected through manual analysis [7]. Automation supports this by efficiently collecting extensive data from diverse sources, ensuring a thorough research process.

Moreover, in explanatory research, AI’s ability to simulate complex cause-and-effect relationships gives researchers a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms that drive observed phenomena [8]. That makes AI an invaluable tool for testing and refining hypotheses, as it allows researchers to analyze complex datasets, identify patterns, and simulates various scenarios with high precision, leading to more accurate and insightful conclusions about the underlying mechanisms of the phenomena under study. AI's ability to manage vast datasets and discover patterns may improve the evaluation of complex causal links between research and its outcomes [9]. Implementing blockchain technology in this context further strengthens the evaluation process by ensuring the integrity and transparency of data, which are crucial for establishing the trustworthiness of research findings [10].

Quantitative research significantly benefits from AI's ability to manage large datasets, perform complex statistical analyses, and develop predictive models [11]. Automation complements this by streamlining data collection through sensors, online surveys, and other digital methods, reducing the likelihood of human error and enhancing overall efficiency. In qualitative research, AI tools like natural language processing (NLP) are used to analyze interview transcripts, focus group discussions, and other qualitative data, helping to identify themes and patterns [12]. Automation further supports this process by organizing and coding the data, leading to a more systematic and efficient analysis. Mixed-methods research combines AI and automation's strengths to seamlessly integrate qualitative and quantitative data, resulting in a comprehensive study that bridges different data types and provides deeper insights [13].

From an approach-based perspective, experimental research significantly benefits from automation, enhancing precision and consistency. Automation allows for precise control over experimental settings and ensures effective management of variables across repeated trials [14]. AI's real-time analysis capabilities enable the dynamic adjustment of variables, optimizing outcomes as experiments progress. In correlational research, AI's ability to analyze extensive datasets surpasses traditional methods, uncovering correlations that might otherwise go undetected using conventional techniques [15]. Longitudinal research is also improved by automation's ability to consistently collect data over extended periods without human intervention, while AI monitors temporal changes and predicts future trends based on historical data [16].

In cross-sectional research, AI quickly identifies patterns and anomalies in data collected from various sources [17]. Automation facilitates the simultaneous collection of diverse data points from multiple populations. In case study research, AI enhances analysis by efficiently processing large amounts of contextual data, providing deep insights essential for understanding complex case dynamics [18]. Additionally, AI enhances the dynamism and responsiveness of action research by offering real-time feedback and suggestions, thereby improving the effectiveness of the planning, acting, observing, and reflecting cycle.

The application of Research 5.0 technologies, such as AI and digital tools, has significantly enhanced ethnographic research [19]. These advanced technologies streamline the analysis of cultural and behavioral data, allowing researchers to efficiently identify patterns and themes that would have been challenging to detect manually. The integration of Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) adds a new dimension to this field, enabling researchers to create immersive simulations of cultural environments that offer deeper and more nuanced insights into the dynamics within the communities being studied [20]. VR allows researchers to fully immerse themselves in and interact with recreated cultural settings, enhancing their understanding of these contexts. Meanwhile, AR overlays digital information onto real-world environments, enriching the exploration of cultural phenomena. These technologies democratize ethnographic research by making it more accessible and fostering collaboration. They enable the creation of virtual field sites that can be shared with scholars, students, and the public, leading to a more comprehensive and inclusive exploration of cultural diversity [21].

Research 5.0 fundamentally transforms research methodologies by redefining the role of timing [22]. Integrating real-time data analysis and predictive modeling enhances the immediacy and accuracy of research insights. In prospective research, AI analyzes current data to generate predictions about future scenarios, guiding the research process toward more informed conclusions [23]. Automation supports this process by continuously monitoring and adjusting variables and optimizing future outcomes. In retrospective research, AI’s ability to analyze past data deepens the understanding of historical events by uncovering patterns and cause-and-effect relationships [24]. Concurrently, blockchain technology ensures the integrity and immutability of historical data, thereby reinforcing the accuracy and reliability of research findings [25].

AI's ability to simulate complex theoretical models has significantly advanced data analysis, allowing theories to be tested in virtual environments before being applied in real-world scenarios [26]. Automation further enhances this process by handling repetitive tasks, enabling researchers to focus on the more creative aspects of theoretical exploration. Empirical research also benefits from these innovations, as AI enhances data collection and analysis, resulting in more accurate and reliable findings [27]. Automation speeds up the empirical testing process, enabling faster iteration and improvement of

research methodologies. This combination of AI and automation streamlines the research workflow. It improves the precision of experimental designs and the robustness of empirical results, ultimately driving more effective and innovative solutions to complex research problems [28].

Controlled research benefits from AI's ability to optimize variable management in experimental setups, ensuring precision and reducing human error [29]. Automation maintains consistent conditions across multiple experiments, enhancing the reproducibility of research outcomes. In uncontrolled research, AI's capacity to analyze uncontrolled variables allows researchers to identify patterns and understand complex data, while digital tools assist in monitoring and documenting uncontrolled research environments [30]. This combination of AI and automation improves the accuracy and reliability of research findings and facilitates a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics within uncontrolled settings, leading to more nuanced insights and robust conclusions.

Research 5.0 also impacts the research scale, whether focused on micro or macro levels. In micro research, AI provides detailed analysis at a small scale, uncovering insights that broader methodologies might miss [31]. Automation handles complex data collection and processing tasks, enabling researchers to focus on specific details. In macro research, AI is utilized to manage and analyze large-scale datasets, revealing trends and patterns at a broader level [32]. Automation supports this by efficiently gathering data from large populations or extensive geographic areas, ensuring comprehensive coverage. Together, these technologies enhance the depth and breadth of research, allowing for more nuanced understanding and more extensive analysis across various scales [33].

Research 5.0 has a profound impact on research guided by philosophical methodologies. In positivist research, AI provides objective, data-driven insights, reinforcing the positivist focus on observable and quantifiable phenomena, while automation ensures consistency in data collection and analysis [34]. Interpretive research benefits from AI technologies like natural language processing (NLP), which assist in analyzing subjective experiences and interpretations, offering more profound insights into human behavior [35]. Automation supports this process by organizing and coding qualitative data, enhancing the systematic nature of the interpretivist approach. In critical research, AI examines power dynamics and inequalities within datasets, uncovering systemic issues [36]. Additionally, digital tools facilitate participatory research, empowering marginalized voices and fostering inclusivity.

Research 5.0 technology significantly enhances certain research forms, such as meta-analyses and systematic reviews [37]. AI efficiently interprets and evaluates data from multiple studies, identifying overarching patterns and trends. Automation supports this process by streamlining the collection and organization of data from various sources. Blockchain technology ensures transparency and reduces bias in the review process, thereby increasing the credibility of the findings [38]. Integrative reviews benefit from AI's ability to synthesize findings from different approaches, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of a research topic [39]. Automation aids in the seamless integration of qualitative and quantitative data.

In phenomenological research, AI analyzes qualitative data and uncovers common themes and experiences among participants, thereby enhancing the phenomenological approach [40]. With its efficiency in organizing and coding data, automation further supports this by streamlining the research process and saving time. In grounded theory research, AI is crucial in pattern recognition and theory generation based on data [41]. Automation facilitates iterative data collection and analysis, enabling more dynamic and responsive theory development. Together, these technologies refine the processes of

identifying core themes and constructing grounded theories, making research more effective and adaptable to emerging insights.

Research 5.0 represents a significant leap forward in the research paradigm, offering innovative methods to enhance research efficiency, accuracy, and transparency across various fields. By integrating AI, automation, blockchain, and other digital tools into traditional research methodologies, Research 5.0 has the potential to revolutionize the research landscape, enabling it to address the complex challenges of the modern world more effectively [42]. However, successfully implementing Research 5.0 requires careful consideration of ethical issues and a commitment to continuous innovation and adaptation. To fully realize the potential of Research 5.0, it is essential to balance technological advancements with ethical research practices [43]. This approach will advance scientific knowledge and contribute to creating a more equitable, inclusive, and sustainable global society.

**Background**

The evolution of research methodology has been a dynamic and continuous process driven by the need to address increasingly complex scientific, social, and technological challenges. Historically, research paradigms have transitioned from foundational models such as positivism and interpretivism to more comprehensive approaches that recognize the value of integrating diverse perspectives and methods [44]. These shifts have been prompted by the limitations of traditional techniques in effectively tackling the multifaceted nature of real-world problems, necessitating the development of more inclusive and flexible research frameworks. Within this evolving landscape, Research 5.0 has emerged as a groundbreaking approach that integrates advanced technologies like AI, automation, blockchain, and other cutting-edge tools into every phase of the research process, representing a significant leap forward in research methodology [45].

Research 5.0 builds upon the advancements of earlier paradigms, particularly those that emphasized interdisciplinary collaboration and the integration of diverse research methods. What sets Research 5.0 apart is its focus on embedding digital technology throughout the entire research process, fundamentally transforming how research is conceived, executed, and applied [46]. This integration goes beyond mere enhancements; it represents a profound shift that delivers unprecedented levels of accuracy, efficiency, and scalability, previously unattainable capabilities. As a result, Research 5.0 is uniquely equipped to address the complex and interconnected challenges of the modern world [47]. The problem lies in the increasing inadequacy of traditional research methodologies in addressing these modern scientific challenges, necessitating the integration of advanced technologies like AI, automation, and blockchain within the Research 5.0 paradigm. However, this paradigm currently lacks comprehensive implementation and governance frameworks, particularly regarding ethical considerations and the preservation of human elements in research.

The emergence of AI has profoundly influenced Research 5.0, fundamentally transforming how data is analyzed and interpreted across various fields [48]. AI is a powerful tool, enhancing researchers' ability to manage large and complex datasets, identify patterns, and generate insights that traditional methods might overlook. AI-powered algorithms can efficiently process vast amounts of data, uncovering correlations, patterns, and anomalies that form the foundation for new ideas and theories [49]. This capability is particularly beneficial in fields such as healthcare, environmental research, and economics, where the scale and complexity of data can be overwhelming. By streamlining data processing, AI

allows researchers to focus on more creative and interpretative tasks, accelerating the pace of discovery and innovation [50].

Automation and robotics are vital elements of Research 5.0, as they enhance the consistency and reliability of experimental research [51]. Traditional research methods have often involved labor-intensive and repetitive tasks that are prone to human error. Automation addresses these challenges by optimizing workflows, ensuring that experiments are conducted under uniform conditions, and capturing data with the highest precision [52]. Robotics can streamline laboratory processes, such as sample preparation and data collection, reducing variability and improving the repeatability of results [53]. This level of experimental precision is particularly critical in fields like chemistry, biology, and engineering. Moreover, automation enables researchers to conduct large-scale experiments that would be impractical or impossible with manual methods, thereby expanding the scope and scale of scientific inquiry [54].

Blockchain and other digital ledger technologies are crucial in enhancing transparency and accountability in the research process [55]. A persistent challenge in research is maintaining data integrity and ensuring the reproducibility of results. In recent years, data manipulation, selective reporting, and other unethical practices have undermined the credibility of scientific research [56]. Blockchain technology addresses these issues by providing a secure and transparent platform for managing research data, intellectual property, and collaborative efforts [57]. By creating immutable records of data provenance and research outcomes, blockchain ensures the complete traceability and verifiability of the research process, which is essential for maintaining the credibility and trustworthiness of scientific findings. Transparency is especially vital in collaborative environments where multiple stakeholders are involved in data collection and analysis, making blockchain an invaluable tool for safeguarding the integrity of scientific inquiry [58].

However, integrating these advanced technologies into research also brings significant ethical challenges. AI, automation, and blockchain use introduce new concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential misuse of research findings. AI algorithms, often trained on large datasets that may contain inherent biases, can inadvertently reinforce or even amplify existing prejudices, raising questions about the fairness and objectivity of AI-generated research outcomes [59]. Additionally, the automation of research processes may reduce human oversight, increasing the risk of errors or unethical practices going unnoticed [39]. While blockchain offers enhanced security and transparency, it also presents challenges related to data governance and intellectual property management in a decentralized environment [57]. These ethical considerations must be carefully addressed to ensure that the benefits of Research 5.0 are realized without compromising the integrity and fairness of the research process. The purpose of this paper is to explore and critically analyze the implementation of Research 5.0 by integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into traditional research methodologies, providing a framework for their application across various research types while addressing the ethical challenges and implications for the future of scientific inquiry.

The global implementation of Research 5.0 technologies is underway, with numerous sectors and organizations recognizing their transformative potential. Research 5.0 is being applied in medicine, environmental science, and social policy to address critical global challenges like climate change, public health, economic development, and social equity [1]. AI is used to model the spread of diseases, predict the impacts of climate change, and develop personalized medical treatments. Simultaneously, blockchain technology ensures the integrity of clinical trial data and protects patient privacy [60]. These examples

demonstrate the ability of Research 5.0 to drive innovation and enhance decision-making across multiple disciplines, highlighting its crucial role in addressing the complex issues facing society today.

While Research 5.0 holds the potential to drive significant advancements, its implementation comes with challenges. Integrating modern technologies into the research process requires substantial investments in infrastructure, training, and education [61]. Researchers need specialized skills and deep knowledge to effectively use these new tools, necessitating a reevaluation of research education. That includes developing curricula incorporating advanced technologies, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and cultivating continuous learning and adaptability. Additionally, the successful implementation of Research 5.0 depends on creating supportive policies and institutional frameworks that encourage innovation while maintaining ethical standards [28].

Furthermore, beyond the practical challenges, Research 5.0 raises more profound philosophical questions about the impact of these technologies on the very essence of research [14]. As automation and data-driven approaches become more prevalent, there is a risk of diminishing the critical human elements of creativity, intuition, and critical thinking [4]. That raises important questions about the role of the researcher within the Research 5.0 paradigm and how to ensure that technology enhances, rather than replaces, the human aspects of research. It underscores the need for a balanced approach that integrates traditional research methods with advanced technologies, ensuring that the research process remains comprehensive, inclusive, and attuned to the complexities of the world we seek to understand.

This study is significant for several reasons. First, it addresses the urgent need for innovative research methodologies that meet the demands of contemporary scientific inquiry, such as handling large datasets, rapid and accurate analysis, and the growing emphasis on transparency and reproducibility. The study raises awareness within the academic and scientific communities about the appropriate integration of new technologies into research processes, offering practical insights into their application across various sectors. Additionally, the study is essential for exploring the ethical challenges associated with Research 5.0, including concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for technology to overshadow the human elements of research. Finally, this study is valuable to policymakers and organizations as it provides a framework for regulating these emerging technologies, ensuring they are used to advance scientific knowledge while upholding ethical standards.

The main research question addressed by this paper is: How can the integration of AI, automation, and blockchain in the Research 5.0 paradigm effectively address the limitations of traditional research methodologies while maintaining ethical integrity and preserving the human elements of scientific inquiry?

## Theoretical Framework

This study investigates the integration of advanced technologies within the Research 5.0 framework, which is structured around three key concepts: AI, automation, and blockchain. It focuses on their transformative potential across various research fields. These technologies are essential for addressing the growing complexities of contemporary scientific inquiry by enhancing the efficiency, accuracy, and ethical integrity of research processes [51;60]. AI has revolutionized traditional research methodologies by enabling the analysis of large datasets, uncovering patterns, and generating novel insights. AI applications in research are diverse, ranging from automating labor-intensive tasks such as literature reviews and predictive modeling to conducting complex data analyses [61]. These capabilities significantly accelerate the research process while improving the reliability and comprehensiveness of

the findings. The importance of AI in this context goes beyond speed and scale, as it allows for in-depth analysis that can reveal correlations and trends that might otherwise be overlooked in traditional research methods [49].

Automation enhances AI by systematically managing the repetitive and time-consuming tasks inherent in many research methodologies [53]. This approach ensures that experiments are conducted under consistent conditions, which are vital for maintaining accuracy and reproducibility—core tenets of scientific research. The role of automation in research extends beyond mere task execution; it enables extensive experimentation and continuous data monitoring, allowing researchers to conduct more thorough and detailed studies than those possible through manual methods [14]. Precision in experimental conditions is especially critical in fields like biology, chemistry, and engineering, where it directly influences the validity of research outcomes. By reducing human error and increasing the scalability of research activities, automation boosts the efficiency and reliability of the research process, ultimately leading to more robust scientific findings [19].

Blockchain technology enhances transparency and accountability in the research process by providing a secure and decentralized platform for managing research data and intellectual property [57]. In an environment where the credibility of scientific research is often questioned due to issues like data manipulation and biased reporting, blockchain offers a solution that ensures the traceability and verifiability of all research activities. This technology is particularly beneficial in collaborative research settings, where maintaining trust and transparency among various stakeholders is crucial [5]. Blockchain ensures the integrity of scientific outputs by creating immutable records of data provenance and research findings, thereby fostering a culture of transparency and accountability. In a research landscape where replicability and data validation are increasingly under scrutiny, blockchain plays a vital role in safeguarding the authenticity of research data, ensuring that scientific advancements are built on a foundation of trust and reliability [58].

The study is grounded in a theoretical framework integrating complexity theory, systems theory, ethical frameworks in technology, and innovation diffusion theory. Complexity theory is particularly relevant as it provides valuable insights into the interactions between AI, automation, and blockchain within the complex ecosystems that define modern research environments [62]. This theory highlights these technologies' interconnected and dynamic nature, emphasizing how their integration can be optimized to enhance research outcomes. By understanding the intricate relationships within research processes, researchers can effectively leverage these technologies to address the multifaceted challenges of contemporary scientific inquiry. Systems Theory offers a holistic view of the research process, considering it an interconnected system where integrating advanced technologies can systematically improve efficiency, accuracy, and scalability [63]. This perspective is crucial for exploring the potential applications of AI, automation, and blockchain across various research domains to create a cohesive and effective research process.

Considering the ethical implications of integrating modern technologies, ethical frameworks in technology are essential for establishing a solid theoretical foundation [64]. Deontological ethics and utilitarianism are key frameworks for assessing the ethical consequences of using AI, automation, and blockchain in research. Deontological ethics emphasizes the importance of adhering to ethical principles and ensuring these technologies' responsible and transparent implementation [65]. That is particularly vital in research contexts where risks such as data privacy breaches and algorithmic bias pose significant challenges. Utilitarianism, which focuses on maximizing overall happiness or well-being for the most

significant number of people, offers a valuable lens for evaluating the broader societal impacts of these technologies [66]. By incorporating these ethical frameworks, the study ensures that the integration of advanced technologies into research enhances outcomes and aligns with broader social values and ethical standards.

Innovation diffusion theory is crucial for understanding AI, automation, and blockchain adoption and implementation in research settings. This theory examines the various factors influencing the uptake of these technologies, including perceived benefits, compatibility with existing research methods, and the impact of societal and institutional norms [67]. Innovation diffusion theory provides valuable insights into the challenges and barriers that may hinder the widespread adoption of these technologies [68]. It also offers strategies for overcoming resistance and facilitating their integration into mainstream research practices. By applying this theory, practical approaches can be developed to promote the broad acceptance and use of AI, automation, and blockchain technologies, ensuring their transformative potential is fully realized within the research community.

The study's theoretical framework integrates complexity theory, systems theory, ethical frameworks in technology, and innovation diffusion theory to develop a comprehensive understanding of how AI, automation, and blockchain can be effectively incorporated into the Research 5.0 paradigm. This multidisciplinary approach is essential for evaluating emerging technologies' benefits, challenges, and ethical implications, offering valuable insights into their potential to revolutionize research methodologies and enhance scientific knowledge in a responsible and impactful way. The study seeks to bridge the gap between technological innovation and ethical research practices to ensure that the adoption of Research 5.0 technologies contributes positively to the advancement of scientific inquiry.

**Research Method and Design**

This integrative literature review (ILR) seeks to synthesize theoretical and empirical research to comprehensively understand how modern technologies, including AI, automation, and blockchain, are integrated into the Research 5.0 paradigm. The ILR approach allows for an in-depth analysis of how these technologies are transforming research practices across various fields, focusing on their impact on efficiency, accuracy, and ethical considerations [69]. The primary objective of this ILR is to consolidate findings from multiple studies, theories, and perspectives to develop a robust conceptual framework that can guide future research in this emerging domain. The review draws from a wide range of sources, including peer-reviewed articles, books, conference papers, reports, and reputable online publications, to identify patterns, common themes, and significant gaps in the literature [70]. Ultimately, it aims to provide a nuanced understanding of how AI, automation, and blockchain are shaping the landscape of scientific inquiry within the Research 5.0 framework.

The methodology employed in this ILR is particularly well-suited for exploring the complexities of integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into research processes. The researchers began by identifying key advancements and emerging trends related to these technologies, with a focus on their potential to fundamentally transform traditional research methods [71]. The ILR approach emphasizes a meticulous and systematic process for collecting and analyzing data, ensuring that the review is both comprehensive and unbiased. This ILR method includes specific sampling criteria to ensure that the selected literature accurately represents the current state of knowledge in the field, with particular attention to sources that address the implications of these technologies for research practices, ethical considerations, and future developments [72].

The structured data collection phase of the ILR is aligned with the study's core objectives, enabling researchers to critically evaluate the quality and relevance of the selected studies. Data collection should be systematic and comprehensive, ensuring all relevant literature is thoroughly reviewed to provide a solid foundation for analysis and synthesis [73]. This approach is essential for constructing a coherent and comprehensive narrative that deepens our understanding of the impact of AI, automation, and blockchain within the Research 5.0 paradigm. By adopting this methodology, the review not only provides a thorough examination of the literature but also contributes to the development of a more nuanced understanding of how these technologies are reshaping the landscape of scientific inquiry.

The ILR approach effectively synthesizes diverse perspectives and research findings from various academic and industry sources [74]. It is particularly well-suited for studying the integration of AI, automation, and blockchain into research processes. These technologies are inherently interdisciplinary, and the ILR provides a comprehensive view of their adoption and integration by drawing on insights from various fields, including technology, ethics, and research methodologies. The goal is to analyze patterns, identify barriers, and highlight opportunities related to adopting these technologies, ultimately offering a detailed understanding of how they can enhance research outcomes and address the challenges of modern scientific inquiry.

The primary objective of this ILR is to investigate the key factors influencing the successful integration of AI, automation, and blockchain into research practices while also exploring specific use cases, ethical considerations, and the potential impact of these technologies on research methodologies. By thoroughly analyzing and synthesizing existing literature, the ILR aims to identify recurring themes, emerging trends, and knowledge gaps essential for advancing our understanding of Research 5.0 [75]. The integrative approach allows for comparing diverse theories and evidence, fostering a holistic comprehension of the issues [76]. Carefully selected criteria guide the review, considering the central research question, stakeholders, technologies, and desired outcomes. This methodology supports the development of a robust theoretical foundation and analytical framework, crucial for guiding future research in the evolving landscape of Research 5.0.

The ILR's methodological framework follows a systematic and comprehensive approach, encompassing five key stages: 1) defining the problem, 2) gathering data, 3) assessing the data, 4) analyzing and interpreting the data, and 5) presenting the findings [77]. This review began by clearly articulating the study's objectives, scope, and focus, explicitly targeting the integration of AI, automation, and blockchain into research methodologies and identifying the main challenges and opportunities associated with this integration. Relevant keywords and phrases, such as "Artificial Intelligence," "Automation," "Blockchain," and "Research 5.0," were carefully selected and combined using logical operators to create complete search strings. These search queries were then used to extensively explore scholarly databases, journals, digital libraries, and repositories. The data collection process was meticulously aligned with the study's central research question, ensuring the systematic and comprehensive capture of relevant material.

After gathering the data, the selected literature was meticulously examined and categorized based on themes, methodologies, key findings, challenges, and opportunities for implementing AI, automation, and blockchain in research. This analysis identified patterns and insights crucial for understanding the current state of Research 5.0 and its implications for the future of scientific inquiry. The final phase of the ILR involved synthesizing the findings to provide a comprehensive overview of the current role of these technologies within the research landscape. A thorough citation search was conducted in both

directions to ensure the review's completeness. Detailed documentation of the search process was maintained to ensure the integrity and replicability of the ILR.

A key concern for the credibility of this ILR is the potential for discrepancies between the selected studies and the broader framework of Research 5.0. To mitigate this risk, several strategies were implemented: 1) a comprehensive data collection approach, 2) detailed documentation of data sources, including publication years and keywords used, and 3) careful consideration of selection bias during the literature evaluation process [78]. The search strategy covered a wide range of scholarly databases and search engines, including Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus, ensuring that the literature reviewed was both extensive and representative of the most relevant research in the field. Search terms were deliberately combined to cover a broad spectrum of literature, and additional targeted searches were conducted in specialized databases to focus on specific aspects of AI, automation, and blockchain within the context of research 5.0.

In instances where recent research specifically focused on integrating these technologies within the Research 5.0 paradigm was lacking, the review utilized related literature to provide context and insights. The ILR approach was chosen for its ability to synthesize information from various sources, allowing for a deep understanding of the complex aspects of AI, automation, and blockchain integration in research. The ILR method provides a comprehensive and detailed analysis of patterns, trends, and gaps in the existing literature [79], making it particularly well-suited for examining the intricate dynamics of these technologies in the context of Research 5.0. This methodology ensures that the findings of this study contribute significantly and meaningfully to the ongoing discourse about the future of research and the role of advanced technologies in shaping scientific inquiry.

Tables 1, 2, and 3 provide a concise summary and ranking of the selected articles based on their citation counts. This ranking gives readers an understanding of the relative influence and significance (as indicated by rank) of the arguments presented in the current literature concerning integrating AI, automation, and blockchain technologies within the Research 5.0 paradigm. These tables are valuable tools for assessing the importance and credibility of the contributions made by various studies, allowing readers to gauge the impact of each article in shaping the discourse on new technologies in research methodology. The rankings highlight the significance of each study in the field, helping readers identify which works have had the most substantial impact on the ongoing conversation about the transformative potential of Research 5.0 technologies.

**Table 1: Representative Literature on the Integration of AI in Research 5.0 Selected for Review**

| Rank | Title | Year | Author(s) | Type of Document | Citations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Machine learning and deep learning frameworks and libraries for large-scale data mining: a survey | 2019 | Nguyen, Dlugolinsky, Bobák, Tran, García, Heredia, Malík, & Hluchý | Article | 800 |
| 2 | Artificial intelligence: a powerful paradigm for scientific research | 2021 | Xu, Liu, Cao, Huang, Liu, Qian, Liu, Wu, Dong, Qiu, Qiu, Hua, | Article | 684 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Su, Xu, Han, Cao, Liu, Fu, Yin, Liu, Roepman, Dietmann, Virta, Kengara, Huang, Zhang, Zhang, Zhao, Dai, Yang, Lan, Luo, Huang, Liu, Qian, An, Liu, Zhang, He, Cong, Liu, Zhang, Wang, Lu, Cai, Lewis, Tiedje, & Zhang | | |
| 3 | Artificial intelligence capability: conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance | 2021 | Mikalef & Gupta | Article | 552 |
| 4 | Artificial intelligence in innovation research: a systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions | 2023 | Mariani, Machado, Magrelli, & Dwivedi | Article | 154 |
| 5 | Artificial intelligence and the conduct of literature reviews | 2022 | Wagner, Lukyanenko, & Paré | Article | 140 |
| 6 | Toward a sociology of artificial intelligence: a call for research on inequalities and structural change | 2021 | Joyce, Smith-Doerr, Alegria, Bell, Cruz, Hoffman, Noble, & Shestakofsky | Article | 140 |
| 7 | The emergent role of artificial intelligence, natural learning processing, and large language models in higher education and research | 2023 | Alqahtani, Badreldin, & Alrashed, Alshaya, Alghamdi, Bin Saleh, Alowais, Alshaya, Rahman, Alyami, & Albekairy | Article | 133 |
| 8 | Evolution of artificial intelligence research in technological forecasting and social change: research topics, trends, and future directions | 2023 | Dwivedi, Sharma, Rana, Giannakis, Goel, & Dutot | Article | 90 |
| 9 | Using artificial intelligence methods for systematic review in health | 2022 | Blaizot, Veettil, Saidoung, Moren | Article | 74 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | sciences: a systematic review | | o-Garcia, Wiratunga, Aceves-Martins, Lai, & Chaiyakunapruk | | |
| 10 | Industry 5.0–past, present, and near future | 2023 | Barata & Kayser | Article | 71 |
| 11 | Exploring the use of artificial intelligence for qualitative data analysis: the case of ChatGPT | 2023 | Morgan | Article | 50 |
| 12 | May the force of text data analysis be with you: unleashing the power of generative AI for social psychology research | 2023 | Salah, Al Halbusi, & Abdelfattah | Article | 46 |
| 13 | Toward autonomous laboratories: convergence of artificial intelligence and experimental automation | 2023 | Xie, Sattari, Zhang, & Lin | Article | 41 |
| 14 | AI for development: Implications for theory and practice | 2022 | Bjola | Article | 29 |
| 15 | Efficient, explicatory, and equitable: why qualitative researchers should embrace ai, but cautiously | 2023 | Anis & French | Article | 25 |
| 16 | Artificial intelligence in fine arts: a systematic review of empirical research | 2023 | Oksanen, Cvetkovic, Akin, & Latikka | Article | 24 |
| 17 | An emergent grounded theory of AI-driven digital transformation: Canadian SMEs' perspectives | 2023 | Taherizadeh & Beaudry | Article | 19 |
| 18 | Artificialintelligence (AI) literacy questionnaire with confirmatory factor analysis | 2023 | Ng, Wu, Chu, & Leung | Conference Paper | 14 |
| 19 | Machine and deep learning for longitudinal biomedical data: a review of methods and applications | 2023 | Cascarano, Mur-Petit, Hernandez-Gonzalez, Camacho, Eadie, Gkontra, Chadeau-Hyam, Vitrià, & Lekadir | Article | 13 |
| 20 | The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in qualitative research for theory development | 2023 | Christou | Article | 12 |
| 21 | Artificial intelligence augmented | 2024 | Hitch | Article | 8 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | qualitative analysis: the way of the future? | | | | |
| 22 | A phenomenological perspective on AI ethical failures: the case of facial recognition technology | 2023 | Wen & Holweg | Article | 7 |
| 23 | The ethics of using artificial intelligence in scientific research: new guidance needed for a new tool | 2024 | Resnik & Hosseini | Preprint | 5 |
| 24 | Balancing innovation and integrity: the role of ai in research and scientific writing | 2023 | BaHammam | Article | 5 |
| 25 | Revolutionizing Moroccan education with AI: a path to customized learning | 2024 | Ejjami | Article | 2 |
| 26 | AI and its implications for research in higher education: a critical dialogue | 2024 | Butson & Spronken-Smith | Article | 2 |
| 27 | Structured validation of AI-based systems by virtual testing in simulated test scenarios | 2023 | Dahmen, Osterloh, & Roßmann | Article | 1 |
| 28 | Transforming education in the digital age: a comprehensive study on the effectiveness of online learning | 2023 | Singh, Gupta, Jain, Vashishth, & Sharma | Article | 1 |

**Table 2: Representative Literature on the Role of Automation in Research 5.0 Selected for Review**

| Rank | Title | Year | Author(s) | Type of Document | Citations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The strategic impacts of Intelligent Automation for knowledge and service work: an interdisciplinary review | 2020 | Coombs, Hislop, Taneva, & Barnard | Article | 255 |
| 2 | Artificial intelligence in innovation research: a systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions | 2023 | Mariani, Machado, Magrelli, & Dwivedi | Article | 154 |
| 3 | Automation in the life science research laboratory | 2020 | Holland & Davies | Article | 116 |
| 4 | Industry 5.0–past, present, and near future | 2023 | Barata & Kayser | Article | 71 |
| 5 | Exploring the use of artificial | 2023 | Morgan | Article | 50 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | intelligence for qualitative data analysis: The case of ChatGPT | | | | |
| 6 | Artificial intelligence to automate the systematic review of scientific literature | 2023 | de la Torre-López, Ramírez, & Romero | Article | 42 |
| 7 | Toward autonomous laboratories: Convergence of artificial intelligence and experimental automation | 2023 | Xie, Sattari, Zhang, & Lin | Article | 41 |
| 8 | Using artificial intelligence in academic writing and research: An essential productivity tool | 2024 | Khalifa & Albadawy | Article | 15 |
| 9 | Towards robotic laboratory automation plug & play: The "LAPP" framework | 2022 | Wolf, Wolton, Trapl, Janda, Romeder-Finger, Gattering, Farcet, Galambos, & Széll | Preprint | 13 |
| 10 | A review of AI applications in human sciences research | 2023 | Chapinal-Heras & Díaz-Sánchez | Article | 6 |
| 11 | Balancing innovation and integrity: the role of ai in research and scientific writing | 2023 | BaHammam | Article | 5 |
| 12 | Adaptive automation: status of research and future challenge | 2024 | Bernabei & Costantino | Article | 3 |

**Table 3: Representative Literature on the Application of Blockchain in Research 5.0 Selected for Review**

| Rank | Title | Year | Author(s) | Type of Document | Citations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | A comprehensive review of blockchain technology: Underlying principles and historical background with future challenges | 2023 | Tripathi, Ahad, & Casalino | Article | 410 |
| 2 | A review of blockchain technology applications for financial services | 2022 | Javaid, Haleem, Singh, Suman, & Khan | Article | 187 |
| 3 | Artificial intelligence in innovation research: a systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions | 2023 | Mariani, Machado, Magrelli, & Dwivedi | Article | 154 |
| 4 | The transparency challenge of blockchain in organizations | 2022 | Sedlmeir, Lautenschlager, Fridgen, | Article | 89 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | & Urbach | | |
| 5 | Industry 5.0–past, present, and near future | 2023 | Barata & Kayser | Article | 71 |
| 6 | Artificial intelligence models for blockchain-based intelligent networks systems: Concepts, methodologies, tools, and applications | 2023 | Dash, Parida, Sahu, & Khalaf | Chapter | 14 |
| 7 | Recent advances in blockchain technology: Prospects, applications and constraints in the minerals industry | 2024 | Onifade, Adebisi, & Zvarivadza | Article | 7 |
| 8 | Blockchain Technology and its Use Along the Scientific Research Workflow: a IUPAC White Paper Coming Soon | 2024 | Lawlor, Chalk, Frey, Hayashi, Kochalko, Shute, & Sopek | Article | 0 |
| 9 | How are texts analyzed in blockchain research? a systematic literature review | 2024 | Zhuo, Irresberger, & Bostandzic | Article | 0 |
| 10 | Leveraging blockchain technology for data integrity in clinical trials | 2023 | Ethan & Alexander | Article | 0 |

**Findings of the Study**

**Enhanced Research Efficiency and Accuracy through AI Integration**

Integrating AI into research methodologies marks a significant leap forward in pursuing enhanced efficiency and precision in scientific inquiry. AI's ability to rapidly and accurately process large datasets transforms the research landscape, particularly in fields where managing complex and voluminous data is a primary challenge [11]. Traditional research methods, which depend on human data processing, need to be revised to meet modern scientific investigation demands. AI addresses these limitations by automating complex data analysis tasks, enabling researchers to uncover patterns and insights that would otherwise remain hidden. This automation accelerates the research process and improves the reliability of findings by minimizing human error and introducing a level of consistency that manual approaches need help to achieve. However, while the benefits of AI in boosting research productivity are clear, a key challenge lies in ensuring that the outputs generated by AI systems are not only accurate but also ethically sound and understandable to human researchers [64]. The potential for algorithmic bias and the opacity of AI-driven results necessitate careful consideration of how AI tools are designed, trained, and implemented in research contexts.

Furthermore, AI's role in enhancing research accuracy is vital in predictive modeling and hypothesis testing. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, researchers can develop models that highly accurately predict outcomes based on existing data [51]. These models can be continuously refined as new data becomes available, leading to progressively more precise predictions and insights. This iterative model refinement process is a powerful tool for advancing scientific knowledge, especially in fields like genomics, climate science, and epidemiology, where predictive accuracy is crucial. However,

reliance on AI-driven models introduces new challenges, particularly the "black box" problem, where researchers cannot easily interpret the internal workings of AI models [50]. This lack of transparency can undermine trust in AI-generated research findings, especially in critical areas such as healthcare and public policy, where the implications of research are far-reaching. Therefore, while AI has the potential to enhance research accuracy significantly, it also necessitates the development of additional tools and frameworks that ensure transparency, interpretability, and ethical accountability in AI-driven research [19].

The current body of literature highlights the profound impact of AI on research methodologies, particularly in enhancing efficiency and precision. AI's ability to manage extensive datasets and perform complex statistical analyses has revolutionized research, leading to faster and more accurate conclusions across various fields [49]. For instance, healthcare research has benefited from AI's capacity to analyze vast amounts of patient data, leading to earlier disease diagnosis, personalized treatment plans, and improved patient outcomes. In environmental research, AI models have been used to predict climate change patterns with unprecedented accuracy, facilitating better-informed decision-making and policy formulation. These examples underscore AI's critical role in advancing research precision, demonstrating that AI is not merely a data analysis tool but a pivotal catalyst for innovation and discovery in modern science [23]. However, the literature also underscores the challenges associated with AI integration, particularly the ethical implications of relying on algorithmic decision-making in research. Recurring concerns about algorithmic bias and the interpretability of AI models highlight the need for ongoing research into developing and applying AI tools that uphold scientific integrity and ethical responsibility [24].

Moreover, the analysis of existing studies reveals a consensus on the need for greater transparency and accountability in AI-driven research. While AI enhances research productivity by automating routine tasks and accelerating data analysis, the opaque nature of many AI systems poses a significant challenge [2]. The difficulty in understanding how AI models arrive at specific conclusions can lead to a lack of trust in the results, especially in fields where the research has far-reaching implications for public policy or human welfare. To address these challenges, the literature advocates for the development of explainable AI (XAI) approaches, which aim to make AI models more transparent and interpretable for human researchers [6]. Additionally, there is a growing emphasis on the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in the development and implementation of AI tools. This collaborative approach, which brings together experts from various fields, ensures that the ethical, technical, and practical aspects of AI integration are thoroughly considered. It is seen as essential for fully harnessing AI's potential in research while minimizing the associated risks, ultimately leading to more robust, reliable, and ethically sound research outcomes [7].

Within the framework of Research 5.0, incorporating artificial intelligence into research methodology offers a significant chance to improve efficiency and accuracy. Nevertheless, incorporating AI presents obstacles that must be resolved to harness its capabilities thoroughly. Algorithmic bias is a significant risk that can distort outcomes if AI models are not meticulously constructed and trained [64]. In order to reduce this danger, it is crucial to incorporate inherent bias detection models into AI systems and guarantee that models are trained using diverse datasets to prevent biases that originate from limited or unrepresentative data. This strategy will ensure the preservation of the authenticity of research conclusions, guaranteeing that AI-driven findings are both precise and morally justifiable. Furthermore, the lack of transparency in AI-generated outcomes, commonly known as the "black box" issue, is a

substantial obstacle [17]. To tackle this issue, it is essential to create Research 5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) frameworks. These frameworks would facilitate AI systems delivering explicit and comprehensible justifications for their decision-making procedures, fostering transparency and responsibility in AI-powered research.

Another crucial element of AI integration in Research 5.0 is the intricacy associated with predictive modeling and the difficulties of effortlessly integrating AI into current research operations. In order to surmount these obstacles, it is crucial to cultivate cooperation between experts in artificial intelligence and researchers. This partnership guarantees that artificial intelligence technologies are customized to address the distinct requirements of many research fields, enabling seamless and efficient integration [43]. Moreover, to ensure that researchers can effectively manage and utilize AI technologies in Research 5.0, it is essential to mandate comprehensive AI training certification from reputable companies or institutions in the field. This need will provide researchers with the necessary abilities to effectively navigate the intricacies of AI, hence improving the overall efficiency and accuracy of research procedures in Research 5.0.

**Automation's Role in Streamlining Research Methodologies**

Automation is crucial in optimizing research procedures, significantly enhancing the efficiency and consistency of research processes. By automating repetitive and labor-intensive tasks, researchers can significantly reduce the time and effort required to conduct experiments, collect data, and perform analyses [4]. Automation tools, such as robotic systems and software automation platforms, ensure these tasks are executed with exceptional accuracy and consistency, thereby minimizing human errors and variability. Consistency in experimental conditions is vital in research, as it directly impacts the reliability and reproducibility of results [28]. However, while automation improves operational efficiency, it raises concerns about the potential reduction of human oversight in critical aspects of the research process. The challenge lies in the risk of overlooking the nuances of human judgment and expertise due to over-reliance on automated systems [39]. Therefore, it is essential to carefully manage the integration of automation into research procedures to ensure that it enhances rather than replaces the critical cognitive skills of human researchers.

Moreover, automation extends beyond task execution to include the optimization of research workflows. Advanced automation systems can dynamically adjust experimental parameters in real time based on incoming data, thereby improving the adaptability and responsiveness of research procedures [54]. This capability is precious in biotechnology and materials science, where experimental conditions often need continuous adjustment to achieve optimal outcomes. Additionally, the literature underscores that automation facilitates the execution of large-scale studies and high-throughput experiments that would be impractical or impossible to conduct manually [53]. These technological advancements allow researchers to explore broader and more complex research questions, driving innovation and discovery at an unprecedented pace. However, the increasing complexity of automated systems also introduces challenges related to their maintenance, calibration, and validation, requiring specialized skills and infrastructure. Integrating human expertise into the automation process is crucial to ensure the accuracy and reliability of automated systems, continuous monitoring and updating are necessary to balance machine efficiency and human oversight [52].

The analysis of current literature underscores the significant impact that automation has on optimizing research procedures, highlighting its potential benefits and associated challenges. Numerous studies

have documented how automation significantly reduces the time required for data collection, analysis, and experimental processes, leading to substantial gains in overall research efficiency [30]. For example, automated sequencing technologies in genomics have revolutionized the efficiency and accuracy of genetic data analysis, enabling researchers to conduct large-scale studies that were previously unimaginable. Similarly, automated synthesis machines facilitate the rapid and precise production of complex compounds in chemical research, thereby accelerating the exploration and development of new materials and potential drug candidates [67]. These examples illustrate the profound effect of automation on advancing scientific research, notably by expanding the scope and scale of investigations. However, the literature also emphasizes the importance of carefully assessing automation's drawbacks and potential risks, particularly in ensuring that these technologies complement human expertise rather than replace it [59].

Further analysis of the literature reveals a broad consensus on the need for a balanced approach to automation in research processes. Scholars emphasize that while automation enhances efficiency and consistency, it also requires robust monitoring and validation systems to mitigate the risks of over-reliance on automated tools [47]. There is a growing recognition of the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in the development and implementation of automation technologies, ensuring that these tools are integrated into research workflows in ways that preserve the integrity and creativity of the research process. Moreover, the literature highlights the critical role of training and education in equipping researchers with the necessary skills to effectively manage and utilize automated systems [36]. As research processes become increasingly automated, the roles of researchers are evolving, requiring a blend of technical expertise, critical thinking, and ethical awareness. This shift underscores the ongoing need for continuous learning and adaptation within the research community, ensuring that the benefits of automation are fully realized without compromising the quality and rigor of scientific inquiry. Embracing this need for continuous learning and adaptation is crucial in the dynamic field of scientific research.

Automation is a vital component of Research 5.0, significantly enhancing the efficiency and consistency of research processes. However, technological progress in research also introduces challenges, particularly the potential reduction of human oversight in critical decision-making areas [7]. To address this issue, it is essential to implement hybrid systems that combine the expertise of human researchers with the precision and speed of automated technologies. By integrating human judgment with machine efficiency, these systems ensure that important decisions are made effectively. Investing in a comprehensive audit methodology tailored to Research 5.0 is crucial. This approach enables continuous monitoring and fine-tuning of automation processes, maintaining a balance between human intuition and automated accuracy, thereby preserving the cognitive input of researchers while benefiting from the advantages of automation.

Another significant challenge in Research 5.0 is the risk of over-reliance on automated systems, which could lead to the undervaluation of essential human judgment. To mitigate this, researchers must undergo extensive training and education in automation technologies, ensuring they are well-equipped to effectively manage and apply these tools [50]. Certification in automation technology should be a prerequisite for researcher validation, underscoring the importance of human expertise in the automated research environment. Furthermore, the complexity of automated systems necessitates a collaborative approach involving close cooperation between automation technology experts and specialists in specific research domains. This collaboration ensures the seamless integration of automation tools into research

workflows, maintaining operational integrity and enhancing overall research outcomes [33]. It also fosters a sense of inclusion and teamwork among all involved, making everyone feel integral to the research process.

**Blockchain's Contribution to Data Integrity and Transparency**

Blockchain technology, a critical tool for enhancing the reliability and transparency of research methodologies, also presents challenges in its integration [57]. Its immutable nature ensures data integrity, making it impossible to alter or tamper with recorded data. This robust protection against data manipulation and fraud is particularly crucial in fields where data quality and authenticity are paramount, such as clinical trials, environmental studies, and social sciences. The creation of a decentralized and secure ledger by blockchain allows researchers to maintain a transparent and verifiable record of all data transactions, accessible to any interested party at any time [5]. This high level of transparency not only bolsters trust in the research process but also enhances the replicability of scientific studies, a cornerstone of credible research. However, the integration of blockchain into research methodologies is not without its challenges. The complexity of blockchain systems, along with the significant computational costs and energy consumption associated with their operation, poses practical barriers to widespread adoption [38]. Additionally, the decentralized nature of blockchain, while advantageous for transparency, can complicate data management and accountability, particularly in collaborative research environments involving multiple stakeholders.

Blockchain technology, beyond its role in data integrity, also plays a crucial role in managing intellectual property and facilitating open science. It provides a transparent and secure platform for recording intellectual property claims, ensuring that researchers receive appropriate recognition for their contributions and reducing the potential for disputes over authorship and ownership [58]. This is particularly important in transdisciplinary research, where ideas and data are frequently shared across various teams and institutions. Furthermore, blockchain supports the principles of open science by enabling the transparent and secure sharing of research data and findings with the broader scientific community. Researchers can use blockchain to publish their data openly and verifiably, ensuring that others can access, verify, and build upon their work without concerns about data manipulation or misrepresentation [42]. However, the use of blockchain in these contexts raises ethical and logistical questions. For example, the immutability of blockchain records means that once errors or sensitive information are recorded, they cannot be easily corrected or removed, which could have significant implications for privacy and data protection [60]. Additionally, integrating blockchain technology into existing research infrastructures requires considerable technical expertise and resources, which may be beyond the reach of smaller institutions or researchers in developing regions.

The analysis of existing literature underscores the transformative impact of blockchain technology on the reliability and transparency of research methodologies. Numerous studies highlight blockchain's ability to provide a secure and immutable record of data transactions, which is essential for ensuring the trustworthiness and replicability of scientific research [25]. For instance, research in the healthcare sector demonstrates that blockchain technology can effectively manage patient data and clinical trial results, safeguarding data integrity and facilitating future verification. In environmental research, blockchain has been employed to track and verify data on carbon emissions and other environmental metrics, creating a transparent and verifiable record that can be audited by regulators and other stakeholders. These examples illustrate the critical role of blockchain in enhancing data reliability across

various research fields, suggesting that it is not merely a data management tool but a foundational technology for ensuring the credibility of research outcomes [10]. However, the literature also points to challenges associated with incorporating blockchain into research methodologies, particularly regarding scalability and the potential for increased energy consumption, which may limit its broader adoption [26].

Further analysis reveals a growing consensus on the need for a balanced approach when integrating blockchain technology into research practices. While blockchain offers significant advantages in terms of data integrity and transparency, its successful implementation requires careful consideration of both technological and ethical implications [74]. Experts argue that to fully leverage the benefits of blockchain, there must be a concerted effort to develop structured frameworks and guidelines that specifically address issues related to data governance, privacy, and the environmental impact of blockchain systems. Additionally, there is an increasing recognition of the need for multidisciplinary collaboration in the development and deployment of blockchain technologies, ensuring that the diverse needs and perspectives of different research communities are adequately addressed. The literature also emphasizes the importance of education and capacity building, particularly in equipping researchers with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively integrate blockchain into their work [55]. As blockchain technology continues to evolve, ongoing research and innovation are essential to overcoming these challenges, ensuring that blockchain can be incorporated into research methodologies in a way that enhances the reliability and accessibility of scientific data.

To effectively leverage blockchain technology in Research 5.0, addressing the inherent challenges is crucial for ensuring data integrity and transparency. One of the primary obstacles is the complexity of blockchain systems, which can hinder widespread adoption among researchers [5]. Developing user-friendly interfaces and tools is essential to simplify blockchain integration into research workflows, making the technology more accessible to a broader range of researchers. Additionally, the high computational costs and energy consumption associated with blockchain operations can be mitigated by investing in green energy solutions, which would minimize the environmental impact of the technology. By focusing on the development of scalable blockchain solutions, the technology can be adapted to handle the growing volume of research data without compromising performance, thus ensuring its sustainability in the long term [55].

Moreover, the decentralized nature of blockchain offers significant benefits for transparency, but it also introduces challenges related to data management and accountability [58]. To address these challenges, it is crucial to establish clear roles, responsibilities, and protocols for data management. This ensures that the benefits of decentralization are realized without undermining the integrity of research processes. Additionally, creating side chains where updates or corrections can be securely recorded can address the issue of blockchain's immutability, thereby maintaining the accuracy and reliability of research data. To further enhance the effective use of blockchain in Research 5.0, investing in capacity building and education is critical. Researchers should be required to obtain certification from a recognized blockchain organization, ensuring they have the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively use the technology. Furthermore, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration between researchers and qualified technologists should be mandated as a condition for validating research, promoting a holistic approach that integrates technological expertise with academic rigor.

**Critique of the Extant Literature to Identify the Future of Practice and Policy**

Integrating advanced technologies such as AI, automation, and blockchain into research methodologies—coined as Research 5.0—represents a significant evolution in scientific inquiries. As outlined in this paper, this paradigm shift promises enhanced efficiency, accuracy, and transparency across various research domains, addressing the limitations of traditional methodologies. However, a critical review of the existing literature reveals both the transformative potential of these technologies and the challenges they pose. While AI, automation, and blockchain are poised to revolutionize research practices, their integration requires careful consideration of ethical, technical, and operational factors to ensure these advancements genuinely enhance the research process without compromising its integrity [60].

AI's role in improving research efficiency and accuracy is well-documented. The literature consistently highlights AI's capacity to manage large datasets, identify patterns, and generate predictive models, significantly accelerating research processes [2]. That is particularly evident in fields such as genomics, where AI enables the analysis of complex genetic data, leading to discoveries at a pace previously unattainable. However, the literature also underscores significant concerns regarding the opacity of AI systems, commonly referred to as the "black box" problem [80]. This issue raises questions about the interpretability and trustworthiness of AI-generated results, especially in high-stakes research areas like healthcare and public policy. Addressing these concerns requires developing Research 5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) frameworks that enhance transparency and ensure that AI-driven research findings are understandable and ethically sound.

Automation is critical in streamlining research methodologies by reducing the time and effort required for data collection, analysis, and experimentation. The literature highlights how automation enhances consistency and precision in experimental conditions, leading to more reliable and reproducible research outcomes [12]. For example, in chemical and biological research, automation allows for the precise control of experimental variables, reducing human error and enabling large-scale studies that would be unfeasible manually. However, there is a growing recognition of the need to balance automation with human oversight [59]. More reliance on automated systems may lead to the undervaluation of human judgment and the potential for overlooking critical insights that only a human researcher can provide. To mitigate these risks, the literature advocates for hybrid systems that combine the strengths of automation with the cognitive abilities of human researchers, ensuring that automation enhances rather than replaces human expertise [53].

Blockchain technology offers significant advantages in ensuring data integrity and transparency in research. Its decentralized and immutable nature provides a robust platform for managing research data, safeguarding against manipulation, and ensuring the verifiability of research findings [42]. That is particularly important in collaborative research environments where multiple stakeholders are involved, and the integrity of the data is paramount. However, the literature also identifies challenges associated with blockchain systems' complexity and energy consumption, which may hinder their broader adoption [10]. To fully realize the potential of blockchain in research, it is essential to develop scalable and energy-efficient blockchain solutions and user-friendly interfaces that make the technology accessible to researchers across disciplines. Additionally, the literature emphasizes the need for clear protocols and guidelines to manage the decentralized nature of blockchain, ensuring that data management and accountability are maintained [38].

Emerging from this study is the recognition that integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into research 5.0 practices—while transformative—requires a multidisciplinary approach to address the ethical, technical, and operational challenges. There is a consensus in the literature that successfully implementing such technologies depends on fostering collaboration between technologists, researchers, and ethicists to develop tools and frameworks that enhance research outcomes without compromising ethical standards [19]. Moreover, this paper calls for significant investment in education and capacity building to equip researchers with the necessary skills to use these technologies effectively. Certification and continuous training in AI, automation, and blockchain are suggested as prerequisites for validating research, ensuring that researchers are competent in navigating the complexities of these advanced tools.
While this integrative literature review highlights the transformative potential of Research 5.0, it also underscores the need for careful implementation and governance frameworks to address ethical and technical challenges. The future of AI-powered research practice and policy will likely be shaped by how effectively these challenges are addressed [50]. By developing scalable, transparent, and user-friendly technological solutions and ensuring that researchers are adequately trained and equipped to use them, Research 5.0 can fulfill its promise of revolutionizing scientific inquiry. The new knowledge generated from this review suggests that successfully integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into research practices will require a holistic approach that balances technological innovation with ethical integrity and human oversight, ultimately leading to more robust, reliable, and ethically sound research outcomes.

**Discussion and Implications of the Integrative Literature Review**

This ILR on the transformative potential of Research 5.0 technologies—AI, automation, and blockchain—highlights significant advancements in research methodologies while exposing critical challenges that require careful consideration. The findings of this ILR align well with existing research and theory, demonstrating that these technologies can revolutionize the research landscape by enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and transparency. However, the paper also reveals potential discrepancies and unexpected results that merit further discussion, particularly regarding the ethical implications and practical challenges of integrating these technologies into research practices.
One of the most consistent themes in this ILR is the recognition that AI, when appropriately applied, significantly enhances research efficiency and accuracy. That is particularly evident in fields that involve large datasets, such as genomics and climate science, where AI's ability to process and analyze data rapidly can lead to faster and more precise conclusions. However, this study also identifies the "black box" problem, where the inner workings of AI models are often opaque, posing challenges to the interpretability and trustworthiness of AI-driven research outcomes. This issue is well-documented in existing literature, and this review suggests that developing Research5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) frameworks is crucial to addressing these concerns [35]. The alignment of these findings with existing research underscores the importance of transparency and interpretability in AI applications, which are essential for ensuring that AI-driven research outcomes are reliable and ethically sound.
In contrast, the discussion around automation in research reveals a more nuanced picture. While automation is widely recognized for its ability to streamline research processes and reduce human error, the review also highlights concerns about the potential reduction of human oversight in critical decision-making areas [54]. This concern is less prominently discussed in existing literature, suggesting that the impact of automation on human cognition and expertise in research contexts may be underexplored. This

ILR's findings indicate a need for hybrid systems that integrate human judgment with automated processes, ensuring that automation enhances rather than replaces human cognitive skills. This divergence from existing literature points to a potential gap in current research, highlighting the need for more studies that explore the balance between automation and human expertise in research methodologies.

As discussed in this paper, Blockchain technology presents a clear opportunity to enhance data integrity and transparency in research. The findings are consistent with existing research, which emphasizes blockchain's role in creating immutable and transparent records of data transactions, thereby safeguarding against data manipulation and enhancing the replicability of scientific studies. However, the study also points to practical challenges, such as the complexity and energy consumption associated with blockchain systems, which may limit their broader adoption. These challenges are the complexity and energy consumption associated with blockchain systems well-documented in the literature [5], yet this ILR suggests that developing scalable and energy-efficient blockchain solutions could mitigate these issues, making blockchain a more viable option for widespread use in research. This alignment with existing research reinforces the importance of ongoing innovation in blockchain technology to fully realize its potential in enhancing research methodologies.

The interpretation of these findings must consider several factors influencing their applicability and relevance. For instance, the extent to which AI, automation, and blockchain can be effectively integrated into research practices depends on various factors, including the availability of resources, technical expertise, and institutional support [48]. These factors could influence the success of implementing Research 5.0 technologies, particularly in resource-constrained environments or institutions lacking the necessary infrastructure. Additionally, ethical considerations, such as data privacy and algorithmic bias, play a significant role in shaping the interpretation of these findings. Addressing these concerns requires a holistic approach that combines technological innovation with ethical oversight, ensuring that the benefits of Research 5.0 technologies are realized without compromising the integrity of the research process.

The findings of this ILR contribute new knowledge to the existing literature by providing a comprehensive analysis of the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into research methodologies. These findings address the study problem by offering insights into how these technologies can overcome the limitations of traditional research methods, thereby enhancing the efficiency, accuracy, and transparency of scientific inquiry. Additionally, the paper contributes to advancing research practices by identifying areas where further innovation and development are needed, particularly in developing Research 5.0 Explainable AI frameworks, hybrid automation systems, and scalable blockchain solutions. This new knowledge is crucial for guiding future research and policy-making, ensuring that the integration of Research 5.0 technologies is effective and ethically responsible.

The business and managerial implications of this ILR study are significant, particularly for organizations and institutions that rely on research and development (R&D) to drive innovation. Adopting Research 5.0 technologies can improve research productivity, accuracy, and data integrity, which are critical for maintaining a competitive edge in a rapidly evolving technological landscape. For businesses, investing in AI, automation, and blockchain technologies could streamline R&D processes, reduce costs, and accelerate time-to-market for new products and services. However, the study also highlights the

importance of ensuring that these technologies are implemented in a way that preserves human expertise and ethical standards, which is crucial for maintaining trust and credibility in the eyes of stakeholders.

Moreover, the new knowledge resulting from this ILR study contributes to promoting positive social change, particularly by aligning with several of the United Nations' 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). For example, integrating AI, automation, and blockchain in research practices supports SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) by fostering innovation and building resilient research infrastructures. Additionally, the focus on ethical considerations and transparency aligns with SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions) by promoting the integrity and accountability of research processes. Furthermore, the potential of these technologies to accelerate research in critical areas, such as healthcare and environmental science, supports SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being) and SDG 13 (Climate Action), respectively. By advancing these goals, the findings of this ILR study contribute to creating a more equitable, sustainable, and inclusive global society.

The discussion and implications of this ILR study highlight the transformative potential of Research 5.0 technologies while also underscoring the challenges and ethical considerations that must be addressed to ensure their successful integration into research practices. The findings contribute to advancing research methodologies by identifying critical areas for innovation and development, particularly in creating explainable AI frameworks, hybrid automation systems, and scalable blockchain solutions. These advancements have significant business and managerial implications, offering tangible improvements in research productivity and data integrity. The study's alignment with the United Nations' SDGs underscores its contribution to promoting positive social change, demonstrating how Research 5.0 technologies can be harnessed to create a more sustainable and inclusive future. While the findings offer valuable insights, it is essential to approach their application with caution, ensuring that the benefits of these technologies are realized without compromising the integrity and ethical standards of scientific inquiry.

**Future Recommendations for Practice and Policy**

This integrative literature review (ILR) reveals several critical recommendations for enhancing research practices under the Research 5.0 paradigm, focusing on AI, automation, and blockchain. It identifies the urgent need for transparency and interpretability in AI-driven research to address the "black box" problem. It is recommended that research institutions and policymakers prioritize the development of Research 5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) frameworks to ensure AI models are transparent and trustworthy. Such frameworks will bridge the gap between AI-generated insights and human understanding, enhancing AI-driven research's reliability and ethical integrity. That will build trust in AI-generated findings and ensure that AI tools are used responsibly across various research disciplines.

While automation has significantly improved research efficiency, it also presents the risk of reducing human oversight in decision-making [28]. The ILR emphasizes the importance of preserving human cognition in research processes, recommending the incorporation of hybrid automation systems. These systems, which combine the strengths of automation with human cognitive abilities, should be designed to integrate human judgment into automated processes. This approach ensures that automation complements rather than replaces human decision-making, mitigating the risk of over-reliance on automation. Moreover, it underscores the value of human cognition in research processes, leading to more nuanced and contextually relevant research outcomes.

Blockchain technology offers significant advantages in ensuring data integrity and transparency, but its adoption is often hindered by complexity, scalability, and energy consumption concerns [57]. Based on the findings of this ILR, it is recommended that research institutions and policymakers invest in developing scalable and energy-efficient blockchain solutions that are tailored to the specific needs of research environments. These solutions should focus on reducing the computational costs associated with blockchain operations while maintaining the security and transparency benefits that make blockchain a valuable tool in research. Addressing these challenges will make blockchain more accessible to a broader range of researchers, fostering more widespread adoption of this technology in the academic and scientific communities [25].

Integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into research methodologies requires a high level of technical expertise [80]. To ensure that researchers are adequately prepared to leverage these technologies effectively, it is recommended that research institutions implement comprehensive training and certification programs focused on AI, automation, and blockchain. These programs should be designed to equip researchers with the necessary skills to navigate the complexities of these technologies, ensuring they can apply them ethically and effectively in their work. This investment in education will enhance researchers' capabilities and ensure that these advanced technologies are integrated into research practices in a manner that upholds the highest ethical standards.

Given the ethical challenges associated with AI, automation, and blockchain, it is crucial to establish comprehensive ethical guidelines and governance frameworks that address these issues within the Research 5.0 paradigm. These frameworks should include clear protocols for managing data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential misuse of research findings. The findings of this ILR indicate that without such guidelines, adopting these technologies could lead to ethical breaches that undermine research integrity. Establishing robust ethical frameworks will help safeguard research outcomes' credibility and societal impact while fostering public trust in scientific advancements [64].

Integrating AI, automation, and blockchain into research practices requires collaboration between researchers, technologists, and ethicists [4]. Research institutions should actively promote interdisciplinary collaboration to ensure that these technologies are implemented in a way that meets the diverse needs of different research fields. Such collaboration is essential for addressing the technical, ethical, and operational challenges associated with Research 5.0 technologies. Fostering these cross-disciplinary partnerships will ensure that the implementation of these technologies is both innovative and aligned with the broader goals of the research community [27].

Given the limitations of this ILR, particularly in terms of the scope of literature reviewed and the evolving nature of Research 5.0 technologies, future research should focus on several key areas. First, more empirical studies are needed to explore the practical implementation of Research 5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) frameworks in various research contexts. Additionally, future research should investigate the impact of hybrid automation systems on research efficiency and accuracy, focusing on how these systems can be optimized to balance human and machine contributions effectively. This focus on empirical evidence will help refine theoretical models and ensure they are grounded in real-world applications, enhancing their relevance and effectiveness [61].

Furthermore, research is needed to examine the scalability and sustainability of blockchain technology in research environments, particularly in terms of energy consumption and operational costs. Such studies help identify the most viable blockchain solutions for different research contexts, ensuring blockchain can be widely and effectively adopted. Finally, future research should explore the development and

implementation of ethical guidelines and governance frameworks for Research 5.0 technologies. This research will be crucial in creating scalable, sustainable, and ethically sound solutions that can seamlessly integrate into diverse research environments, thereby promoting long-term sustainability and ethical integrity.

The next logical step in this line of research is to conduct field studies and pilot projects that test the implementation of the recommendations outlined above. These studies should focus on real-world applications of Research 5.0 Explainable AI, hybrid automation systems, and blockchain solutions in various research domains. By gathering empirical data on the effectiveness of these technologies in practice, researchers can refine and improve upon the theoretical frameworks and recommendations proposed in this ILR. Additionally, future studies should explore the long-term implications of integrating Research 5.0 technologies, particularly their impact on research culture, ethics, and societal outcomes. This ongoing research will play a pivotal role in shaping the future landscape of scientific inquiry, ensuring that it evolves in a direction that is both innovative and aligned with the values of the research community.

**Conclusions**

This ILR explored the transformative potential of integrating advanced technologies—AI, automation, and blockchain—into research methodologies, collectively known as Research 5.0. The primary problem addressed in this study was the increasing inadequacy of traditional research methodologies in handling the complexities of modern scientific challenges, necessitating the adoption of more sophisticated tools and approaches. The purpose of this study was to critically analyze the implementation of Research 5.0 by integrating these technologies into existing research practices while addressing the associated ethical challenges. The significance of this research lies in its potential to revolutionize scientific inquiry by enhancing the efficiency, accuracy, and transparency of research processes, thus paving the way for more innovative and reliable scientific outcomes.

The findings of this ILR support the conclusion that AI, automation, and blockchain hold immense potential for transforming research methodologies. AI's ability to process large datasets and generate predictive models can significantly enhance research efficiency and accuracy, particularly in data-intensive fields such as genomics and climate science. However, the study also highlighted the "black box" problem, emphasizing the need for Research 5.0 Explainable AI (RXAI) frameworks to ensure that AI-generated insights are interpretable and trustworthy. Similarly, automation was found to be highly effective in streamlining research processes and reducing human error, but it also raised concerns about the potential loss of human oversight. The study concluded that hybrid automation systems, which integrate human expertise with automated processes, are essential for maintaining the balance between efficiency and human cognition.

Blockchain technology was identified as a powerful tool for ensuring data integrity and transparency in research [42]. This study concluded that blockchain's immutable and decentralized nature makes it an ideal solution for managing research data, particularly in collaborative environments where data security and verifiability are paramount. However, the findings also revealed challenges related to the complexity, scalability, and energy consumption of blockchain systems, suggesting that future research and development should focus on creating more accessible and sustainable blockchain solutions. These conclusions underscore the importance of ongoing innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration to fully realize the potential of blockchain in enhancing research methodologies.

The study's findings also led to the conclusion that education and training are critical components in successfully implementing Research 5.0 technologies. Researchers must be equipped with the necessary skills to utilize AI, automation, and blockchain effectively, ensuring that these technologies are applied ethically and efficiently. The study recommended that research institutions develop comprehensive training and certification programs to prepare researchers for the complexities of these technologies. Additionally, the study emphasized the need for robust ethical guidelines and governance frameworks to address the ethical challenges associated with integrating these technologies into research practices, ensuring that the benefits of Research 5.0 are realized without compromising research integrity.

In conclusion, this ILR has provided valuable insights into the potential and challenges of Research 5.0 technologies, offering a roadmap for their effective integration into research methodologies. The study's findings highlight the need for a balanced approach that combines technological innovation with ethical oversight and human expertise. As research methodologies evolve to meet the demands of an increasingly complex scientific landscape, the successful adoption of AI, automation, and blockchain will be crucial in driving scientific progress [6]. This study concludes with a strong message: The future of research lies in seamlessly integrating advanced technologies with ethical and human-centered approaches, ensuring that scientific inquiry remains innovative and responsible. Embracing Research 5.0 unlocks new possibilities for discovery and innovation, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable, inclusive, and equitable world.

**References**

1. Rahman MM, Navigating the landscape of research paradigms: an overview and critique, Int J Educ Stud, 2023, 6(1), 1-18, doi: 10.53935/2641533x.v6i1.252
2. Mariani MM, Machado I, Magrelli V, Dwivedi YK, Artificial intelligence in innovation research: a systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions, Technovation, 2023, 122, 102623, doi: 10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102623
3. Xu Y, Liu X, Cao X, Huang C, Liu E, Qian S, et al, Artificial intelligence: a powerful paradigm for scientific research, The Innovation, 2021, 2(4), 100179, doi: 10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100179
4. Pal S. A paradigm shift in research: exploring the intersection of artificial intelligence and research methodology, IJIRMPS, 2023, 11(3), doi: 10.37082/IJIRMPS.v11.i3.230125
5. Lawlor B, Chalk S, Frey J, Hayashi K, Kochalko D, Shute R, Sopek M, Blockchain technology and its use along the scientific research workflow: a IUPAC white paper coming soon, Chem Int, 2024, 46(3), 12-17, doi: 10.1515/ci-2024-0303
6. Khalifa M, Albadawy M, Using artificial intelligence in academic writing and research: an essential productivity tool, Comput Methods Programs Biomed Update, 2024, 5, 100145, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpbup.2024.100145
7. Chapinal-Heras D, Díaz-Sánchez C, A review of AI applications in human sciences research, Digit Appl Archaeol Cult Herit, 2023, 30, doi: 10.1016/j.daach.2023.e00288
8. Salah M, Al Halbusi H, Abdelfattah F, May the force of text data analysis be with you: unleashing the power of generative AI for social psychology research, Comput Hum Behav Artif Hum, 2023,1(2),100006, doi: 10.1016/j.chbah.2023.100006
9. Reed MS, Ferré M, Martin-Ortega J, Blanche R, Lawford-Rolfe R, Dallimer M, Holden J, Evaluating impact from research: a methodological framework, Res Policy, 2021, 50(4), 104147, doi: 10.1016/j.respol.2020.104147

10. Dash S, Parida P, Sahu G, Khalaf O, Artificial intelligence models for blockchain-based intelligent networks systems: concepts, methodologies, tools, and applications, In: Tyagi AK, editor, Handbook of research on quantum computing for smart environments, Hershey, PA, IGI Global, 2023, p. 343-363, doi: 10.4018/978-1-6684-6697-1.ch019
11. Ng DTK, Wu W, Leung JKL, Chu SKW, Artificial intelligence (AI) literacy questionnaire with confirmatory factor analysis, In: 2023 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT), 2023 Jul 10-13, Orem, UT, USA, IEEE, 2023, p. 233-235, doi: 10.1109/ICALT58122.2023.00074
12. Christou PA, The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in qualitative research for theory development, Qual Rep, 2023 Sep 17, doi: 10.46743/2160-3715/2023.6536
13. Morgan DL, Exploring the use of artificial intelligence for qualitative data analysis: the case of ChatGPT, Int J Qual Methods, 2023, 22, doi: 10.1177/16094069231211248
14. Holland I, Davies J, Automation in the life science research laboratory, Front Bioeng Biotechnol, 2020 Nov 13, 8, 571777, doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.571777
15. Anis S, French JA, Efficient, explicatory, and equitable: why qualitative researchers should embrace AI, but cautiously, Bus Soc, 2023, 62(6), 1139-1144, doi: 10.1177/00076503231163286
16. Cascarano A, Mur-Petit J, Hernández-González J, Camacho M, Eadie N, Gkontra P, et al, Machine and deep learning for longitudinal biomedical data: a review of methods and applications, Artif Intell Rev, 2023 Aug 5, 56, 1-61, doi: 10.1007/s10462-023-10561-w
17. Wagner G, Lukyanenko R, Paré G, Artificial intelligence and the conduct of literature reviews, J Inf Technol, 2022, 37(2), 209-226, doi: 10.1177/02683962211048201
18. Resnik DB, Hosseini M, The ethics of using artificial intelligence in scientific research: new guidance needed for a new tool, AI Ethics, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s43681-024-00493-8
19. Chubb J, Cowling P, Reed D, Speeding up to keep up: exploring the use of AI in the research process, AI Soc, 2022, 37, 1439-1457, doi: 10.1007/s00146-021-01259-0
20. Al-Ansi AM, Jaboob M, Garad A, Al-Ansi A, Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education, Soc Sci Humanit Open, 2023, 8(1), 100532, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100532
21. Zhao X, Ren Y, Cheah KSL, Leading virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) in education: bibliometric and content analysis from the Web of Science (2018–2022), Sage Open, 2023, 13(3), doi: 10.1177/21582440231190821
22. Crnobrnja J, Stefanovic D, Romero D, Softic S, Marjanovic U, Digital transformation towards Industry 5.0: a systematic literature review, In: Alfnes E, Romsdal A, Strandhagen JO, von Cieminski G, Romero D, editors, Advances in production management systems, Production management systems for responsible manufacturing, service, and logistics futures, APMS 2023, IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, vol 689, Cham, Springer, 2023, doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-43662-8_20
23. Dwivedi YK, Sharma A, Rana NP, Giannakis M, Goel P, Dutot V, Evolution of artificial intelligence research in Technological Forecasting and Social Change: research topics, trends, and future directions, Technol Forecast Soc Change, 2023, 192, 122579, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122579
24. Youvan D, Redefining research: the impact of artificial intelligence on academic writing and theoretical exploration, 2024 Apr 30, doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.34835.69927

25. Zhuo X, Irresberger F, Bostandzic D, How are texts analyzed in blockchain research? A systematic literature review, Financ Innov, 2024,10, 60, doi: 10.1186/s40854-023-00501-6
26. Dahmen U, Osterloh T, Roßmann J, Structured validation of AI-based systems by virtual testing in simulated test scenarios, Appl Intell, 2023, 53, 18910-18924, doi: 10.1007/s10489-023-04475-x
27. Oksanen A, Cvetkovic A, Akin N, Latikka R, Bergdahl J, Chen Y, Savela N, Artificial intelligence in fine arts: a systematic review of empirical research, Comput Hum Behav Artif Hum, 2023, 1(2), 100004, doi: 10.1016/j.chbah.2023.100004
28. Xie Y, Sattari K, Zhang C, Lin J, Toward autonomous laboratories: convergence of artificial intelligence and experimental automation, Prog Mater Sci, 2023,132,101043, doi: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2022.101043
29. Pagliaro A, Sangiorgi P, AI in experiments: present status and future prospects, Appl Sci, 2023,13(18), 10415, doi: 10.3390/app131810415
30. Mikalef P, Gupta M, Artificial intelligence capability: conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance, Information & Management, Volume 58, Issue 3, 2021, 103434, ISSN 0378-7206, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2021.103434
31. Ejjami R, Revolutionizing Moroccan education with AI: a path to customized learning, IJFMR, 2024, 6(3), doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19462
32. Nguyen G, Dlugolinsky S, Bobak M, Tran V, Lopez Garcia A, Heredia I, et al, Machine learning and deep learning frameworks and libraries for large-scale data mining: a survey, Artif Intell Rev, 2019 Jun, 52, 77-124, doi: 10.1007/s10462-018-09679-z
33. Bahammam A, Balancing innovation and integrity: the role of AI in research and scientific writing, Nat Sci Sleep, 2023 Dec 28, 15, 1153-1156, doi: 10.2147/NSS.S455765
34. Hitch D, Artificial intelligence augmented qualitative analysis: the way of the future? Qual Health Res, 2024, 34(7), 595-606, doi: 10.1177/10497323231217392
35. Alqahtani T, Badreldin HA, Alrashed M, Alshaya AI, Alghamdi SS, bin Saleh K, et al, The emergent role of artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and large language models in higher education and research, Res Social Adm Pharm, 2023, 19(8), 1236-1242, doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2023.05.016
36. Joyce K, Smith-Doerr L, Alegria S, Bell S, Cruz T, Hoffman SG, et al, Toward a sociology of artificial intelligence: a call for research on inequalities and structural change, Socius, 2021, 7, doi: 10.1177/2378023121999581
37. Maddikunta PKR, Pham QV, Prabadevi B, Deepa N, Dev K, Gadekallu TR, et al, Industry 5.0: a survey on enabling technologies and potential applications, J Ind Inf Integr, 2022, 26, 100257, doi: 10.1016/j.jii.2021.100257
38. Tripathi G, Ahad MA, Casalino G, A comprehensive review of blockchain technology: underlying principles and historical background with future challenges, Decis Anal J, 2023, 9, 100344, doi: 10.1016/j.dajour.2023.100344
39. Blaizot A, Veettil SK, Saidoung P, Moreno-Garcia CF, Wiratunga N, Aceves-Martins M, et al, Using artificial intelligence methods for systematic review in health sciences: a systematic review, Res Synth Methods, 2022 May, 13(3), 353-362, Epub 2022 Feb 28, PMID: 35174972, doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1553

40. Wen Y, Holweg M, A phenomenological perspective on AI ethical failures: the case of facial recognition technology, AI Soc, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s00146-023-01648-7
41. Taherizadeh A, Beaudry C, An emergent grounded theory of AI-driven digital transformation: canadian SMEs' perspectives, Ind Innov, 2023, 30(9), 1244-1273, doi: 10.1080/13662716.2023.2242285.
42. Bhumichai D, Smiliotopoulos C, Benton R, Kambourakis G, Damopoulos D, The convergence of artificial intelligence and blockchain: the state of play and the road ahead, Information, 2024, 15(5), 268, doi: 10.3390/info15050268
43. Hastuti R, Syafruddin, Ethical considerations in the age of artificial intelligence: balancing innovation and social values, West Sci Soc Humanit Stud, 2023, 1(02), 76-87, doi: 10.58812/wsshs.v1i02.191
44. Keong Y, Md Husin M, Kamarudin S, Understanding research paradigms: a scientific guide, J Contemp Issues Bus Gov, 2023, 27, 2021, doi: 10.47750/cibg.2021.27.02.588
45. Barata J, Kayser I, Industry 5.0 – Past, present, and near future, Procedia Comput Sci, 2023, 219, 778-788, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.351
46. Ben Youssef A, Mejri I, Linking digital technologies to sustainability through Industry 5.0: a bibliometric analysis, Sustainability, 2023, 15(9), 7465, doi: 10.3390/su15097465
47. Gill SS, Wu H, Patros P, Ottaviani C, Arora P, Casamayor Pujol V, et al. Modern computing: vision and challenges, Telemat Inform Rep, 2024, 13, 100116, doi: 10.1016/j.teler.2024.100116
48. Singh B, Gupta VK, Jain A, Vashishth T, Sharma S, Transforming education in the digital age: a comprehensive study on the effectiveness of online learning, IJSREM, 2023, 7, 1-11, doi: 10.55041/IJSREM24405
49. Bjola C, AI for development: implications for theory and practice, Oxford Dev Stud, 2021, 50(1), 78-90, doi: 10.1080/13600818.2021.1960960
50. Butson R, Spronken-Smith R, AI and its implications for research in higher education: a critical dialogue, High Educ Res Dev, 2024, 43(3), 563-577, doi: 10.1080/07294360.2023.2280200
51. Bernabei M, Costantino F, Adaptive automation: Status of research and future challenges, Robot Comput Integr Manuf, 2024, 88, 102724, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2024.102724
52. Coombs C, Hislop D, Taneva SK, Barnard S, The strategic impacts of intelligent automation for knowledge and service work: an interdisciplinary review, J Strateg Inf Syst, 2020, 29(4), 101600, doi: 10.1016/j.jsis.2020.101600
53. Wolf Á, Wolton D, Trapl J, Janda J, Romeder-Finger S, Gatternig T, et al, Towards robotic laboratory automation plug & play: the "LAPP" framework, SLAS Technol, 2022, 27(1), 18-25, doi: 10.1016/j.slast.2021.11.003
54. de la Torre-López J, Ramírez A, Romero JR, Artificial intelligence to automate the systematic review of scientific literature, Computing, 2023, 105, 2171-2194, doi: 10.1007/s00607-023-01181-x
55. Onifade M, Adebisi JA, Zvarivadza T, Recent advances in blockchain technology: prospects, applications and constraints in the minerals industry, Int J Min Reclam Environ, 2024, 38(7), 497-533, doi: 10.1080/17480930.2024.2319453
56. Haven T, van Woudenberg R, Explanations of research misconduct, and how they hang together, J Gen Philos Sci, 2021, 52, 543-561, doi: 10.1007/s10838-021-09555-5

57. Javaid M, Haleem A, Singh RP, Suman R, Khan S, A review of blockchain technology applications for financial services, BenchCouncil Trans Benchmarks Stand Eval, 2022, 2(3), 100073, doi: 10.1016/j.tbench.2022.100073
58. Sedlmeir J, Lautenschlager J, Fridgen G, Urbach N, The transparency challenge of blockchain in organizations, Electron Markets, 2022, 32, doi: 10.1007/s12525-022-00536-0
59. Arora A, Barrett M, Lee E, Oborn E, Prince K, Risk and the future of AI: algorithmic bias, data colonialism, and marginalization, Inf Organ, 2023, 33(3), 100478,doi:10.1016/j.infoandorg.2023.100478
60. Ethan A, Alexander D, Leveraging blockchain technology for data integrity in clinical trials, 2023 Feb 19.
61. Fecher B, Kahn R, Sokolovska N, Völker T, Nebe P, Making a research infrastructure: conditions and strategies to transform a service into an infrastructure, Sci Public Policy, 2021, 48(4), 499-507, doi: 10.1093/scipol/scab026
62. Turner JR, Baker RM, Complexity theory: an overview with potential applications for the social sciences, Systems, 2019, 7(1), 4, doi: 10.3390/systems7010004
63. Zeng J, Jia X, Systems theory-driven framework for AI integration into the holistic material basis research of traditional Chinese medicine, Engineering, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.eng.2024.04.009
64. Prem E, From ethical AI frameworks to tools: a review of approaches, AI Ethics, 2023, 3, 699-716, doi: 10.1007/s43681-023-00258-9
65. Körner A, Deutsch R, Deontology and utilitarianism in real life: a set of moral dilemmas based on historic events, Pers Soc Psychol Bull, 2023, 49(10),1511-1528, doi: 10.1177/01461672221103058
66. Marseille E, Kahn JG, Utilitarianism and the ethical foundations of cost-effectiveness analysis in resource allocation for global health, Philos Ethics Humanit Med, 2019, 14, 5, doi: 10.1186/s13010-019-0074-7
67. Ramos J, AI adoption and implementation strategies: examining the challenges and best practices in adopting AI technologies within businesses, 2023 Oct 21, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.8418704
68. Dearing J, Cox J, Diffusion of innovations theory, principles, and practice, Health Aff (Millwood), 2018, 37(2), 183-190, doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2017.1104
69. Ejjami R, AI-powered leadership in Moroccan organizations: an integrative literature review, Int J Multidiscip Res, 2024, 6(3), doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19715
70. Phillips V, Barker E, Systematic reviews: structure, form and content, J Perioper Pract, 2021, 31(9), 349-353, doi: 10.1177/1750458921994693
71. Upham SP, Small H, Emerging research fronts in science and technology: patterns of new knowledge development, Scientometrics, 2010, 83, 15-38, doi: 10.1007/s11192-009-0051-9
72. Sarfo JO, Debrah T, Gbordzoe N, Afful W, Obeng P, Qualitative research designs, sample size and saturation: is enough always enough?, J Advoc Res Educ, 2021, 8, 60-65, doi: 10.13187/jare.2021.3.60
73. Taherdoost H, Data collection methods and tools for research: a step-by-step guide to choose data collection technique for academic and business research projects, Int J Acad Res Manag (IJARM), 2021, 10(1), 10-38
74. Ejjami R, The digital evolution: Strategies for overcoming cybersecurity and adoption challenges in French SMEs, Int J Multidiscip Res, 2024, 6(3), doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.21202

75. Chigbu UE, Atiku SO, Du Plessis CC, The science of literature reviews: searching, identifying, selecting, and synthesising, Publications, 2023, 11(1), 2, doi: 10.3390/publications11010002
76. Cho Y, Comparing integrative and systematic literature reviews, Hum Resour Dev Rev, 2022, 21(2), 147-151, doi: 10.1177/15344843221089053
77. Russell CL, An overview of the integrative research review, Prog Transplant, 2005, 15(1), 8-13, doi: 10.1177/152692480501500102
78. Ahmed SK, The pillars of trustworthiness in qualitative research, OSF Preprints, 2023 Dec 17, doi: 10.31219/osf.io/se58y
79. Cronin MA, George E, The why and how of the integrative review, Organ Res Methods, 2023, 26(1), 168-192, doi: 10.1177/1094428120935507