Invisible Divides: The Subtle Power of Marginalized Seating

Mohd Kamil

Freelance Researcher

Abstract

This article investigates the ways in which seating arrangements contribute significantly to the maintenance of social hierarchies and exclusion in a variety of settings, including restaurants, public transportation, meetings, and classrooms. Although it is frequently seen as unimportant, a person's seat might indicate underlying dynamics of rank, power, and influence. This article explores how seating arrangements are shaped by caste, class, gender, and disability, and shows how spatial structuring reinforces social disadvantage. Based on research by Bernstein, Turban, Hollander, and Dyck as well as Michel Foucault's theories, this analysis shows how ostensibly neutral seating decisions reveal underlying power dynamics and social inequality.

Keywords: Social Exclusion, Social Hierarchy, Public Space, Social Marginalization Power Dynamics, Seating Arrangements, Social Inequality, Caste and Class, Gender and Disability,

Introduction

In common social settings, seating may appear to be a question of personal preference or convenience. However, upon closer inspection, seating configurations can reveal deep class differences. Seating arrangements in classrooms, public buses, and conference halls are rarely random. It can convey prestige, power, and the invisible boundaries that govern social interactions. Those sat in the front are frequently perceived as more forceful or higher in status, whereas those on the periphery—often women, the elderly, people with disabilities, or members of marginalized communities—are pushed to the outside of visibility and power.

This article seeks to investigate the subtle but profound influence of seating arrangements in a variety of environments, specifically how these configurations reflect and maintain social hierarchies. The article examines public and private areas such as transportation systems, educational institutions, and workplaces to demonstrate how spatial arrangements contribute to both inclusion and exclusion. Michel Foucault's work on power and spatial control, together with research by Bernstein, Turban, Hollander, and Dyck, provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how seating can silently repeat inequality and marginalization in a variety of social circumstances.

Consider entering a packed room—a classroom, a conference hall, or a public bus. You glance around for a place to sit. You almost intuitively recognize a pattern. People in the front rows appear more assertive, confident, or of greater social status. Meanwhile, those who typically go unseen occupy the back rows, corners, and seats near the exits: women, the elderly, people with impairments, and members of

marginalized communities. These seating arrangements are more than just convenience or personal taste; they reflect our society's invisible divides.

Literature Review

Seating may appear to be a minor component of social life, yet where individuals sit—whether by choice or design—can reveal deeper realities about power, inclusion, and exclusion. In many situations, seating sends a silent but powerful signal about one's status, influence, and belonging. These invisible gaps are deeply established in cultural norms and institutional practices, gradually perpetuating marginalization and inequality. The structuring of space is critical to maintaining power relations in many social environments. Michel Foucault's theory emphasizes how spatial arrangements are utilized to exert control, frequently without explicit coercion (Foucault, 1977). This is demonstrated by how seating arrangements in classrooms, businesses, and public areas can quietly reinforce social inequalities. For example, Bernstein and Turban's (2018) study on open-plan offices discovered that individuals with greater rank frequently occupy strategically advantageous seats, ensuring visibility and access to resources. Similarly, Hollander and Dyck (2009) found that students seated in the front or center are more likely to participate and achieve academically, implying that seating placements can influence engagement and learning outcomes.

Social norms and cultural beliefs have a tremendous impact on sitting choices and their ramifications. Proxemics, as defined by Edward T. Hall, describes how personal space and sitting choices are culturally moulded and can represent social hierarchies. In many situations, marginalized groups occupy less prominent seating locations, which not only indicates their social position but also reinforces their marginalization. Doreen Massey's research on gender and space demonstrates how public places are frequently designed to reflect gender inequities, with women being restricted to periphery seating (Massey, 1994). This spatial marginalization reflects cultural norms while also reinforcing gender-based exclusion. Implicit biases also influence seating arrangements, resulting in subtle types of segregation and social isolation. Dovidio, Kawakami, and Gaertner (2002) discovered that racial bias frequently presents itself in physical behaviours, such as selecting seats that preserve racial distance, even in integrated environments. According to Erving Goffman's (1959) research on social interaction, people utilize sitting to control impressions and maintain social roles, which can reinforce or challenge existing power systems. These studies show that seating arrangements, while seemingly insignificant, are intricately linked to societal norms and power dynamics, influencing how people are regarded and interact in various settings UCLA researchers evaluated the impact of classroom seating patterns on student participation and engagement. The researchers discovered that students who sat toward the front of the classroom or in central places were more likely to participate in discussions, ask questions, and interact with the instructor.: The study discovered that pupils in the front rows demonstrated higher levels of involvement and academic success than those in the rear. Students seated in the back were frequently less visible to the instructor, which influenced their participation rates and perceptions of engagement. This seating arrangement unwittingly created a split, with more confident or academically inclined kids in the front and those less involved or shy at the back The seating arrangement emphasized existing power dynamics in the classroom, with pupils at the front having better visibility and access to the instructor's attention. This dynamic can lead to a self-fulfilling prophecy in which less visible pupils feel excluded and perform badly, perpetuating educational inequality.

Methodology

The method of this study is qualitative to examine how seating arrangements contribute to social exclusion and power dynamics in public and private spaces. This research contains secondary data with the consist of case studies, theories, and observational data from various social settings. Part of this methodology can be divided into the following sections.

Data Collection:

1. **Theoretical Framework:** The study builds on established theories by scholars such as Michel Foucault, Doreen Massey, Erving Goffman, and Edward T Hall, all these eminent theorists provide a lens for understanding the correlation between social space, power, and social exclusion.
2. **Case Studies:** this paper explores some real-based case studies that precisely illustrate seating arrangement and power dynamics, for instance, Delhi Metro, Classrooms, and office space are used to illustrate how different groups experience marginalized based on gender, class, caste, age and disability.
3. **Observational Data:** Informal observations in a variety of settings, including public transportation and educational institutions, give real-world examples to supplement the secondary literature. These findings reveal obvious trends in seating arrangements that reflect deeper social hierarchies.

Data Analysis:

The data is analyzed based on contemporary content analysis and thematic analysis.

1. **Content Analysis:** Secondary materials, such as academic articles, reports, and theoretical literature, are rigorously analyzed to discover essential themes concerning seating and power relations. This study investigates how space is utilized to uphold or disrupt social norms and hierarchies.
2. **Thematic Analysis:** Recurrent themes include social exclusion, visibility, accessibility, and marginalization. These topics are examined in terms of caste, class, gender, and disability, with a focus on how seating arrangements perpetuate or disrupt power dynamics.
3. **Ethical Consideration:** There are few ethical problems because this study is based on secondary data and observations made in public places. However, efforts have been made to ensure that all sources are properly credited and that observations are broad rather than narrowly focused on specific persons.

Case Study

This case study proposes that teachers experiment with different seating configurations, encourage students to change seats regularly, or use circular or U-shaped seating to improve equity and involvement among all students. This method can help to reduce the power dynamics caused by fixed seating arrangements and promote a more inclusive learning environment. The Delhi Metro has a separate compartment for women in each train, which was created for safety and convenience. However, observations and studies have revealed that sitting arrangements within these compartments reflect broader societal norms and power relations Certain seats in the women's compartment (near the doors or reserved for the elderly and disabled) are frequently used by those who believe they have a better social rank or require assistance. Younger, able-bodied women typically stand or sit in less favourable chairs. In mixed-gender compartments, men often take the more accessible and comfortable seats, allowing women to stand or sit in the corners. These seating configurations mirror societal power dynamics, demonstrating how gender, age, and physical ability influence access to comfort and ease. The spatial segregation

(women's compartments) raises broader concerns about gender safety and public space dynamics in Indian society.to address these power dynamics, public transportation authorities might focus on awareness efforts that promote courteous and equitable behavior, as well as ensuring that reserved seats are used properly. Furthermore, increasing the number of mixed compartments that promote safety and equality may help lessen the need for segregated places, resulting in a more inclusive public transportation environment.

In schools and conference rooms, use circular or U-shaped seating patterns to encourage equitable visibility and participation. Rotating seating arrangements can also help to prevent fixed power dynamics and guarantee that everyone has an equal chance to be seen and heard. Public transit networks and other shared venues might launch awareness programs to promote courteous and equitable behavior. Signage and announcements can remind passengers to be aware of others, particularly those who have special needs or are frequently marginalized. Institutions should create and enforce policies that promote inclusion. This could include training teachers, public transportation employees, and event organizers to recognize and mitigate implicit biases. Ensuring that designated seating for underrepresented groups is observed and monitored is critical to promoting equity.

Educating the public on the impact of seating options on social inclusion can encourage more thoughtful behavior. Workshops, seminars, and community conversations can raise awareness of how seemingly minor decisions contribute to larger patterns of injustice. Architects and planners should think about inclusivity when developing public areas. Creating spaces that naturally encourage equitable access and exposure can help to tear down barriers to inclusion. For example, ensuring that no region is secluded or less accessible can prevent physical segregation, which frequently parallels social hierarchy.

Analysis

The case studies given demonstrate how spatial arrangements in public spaces, such as classrooms and public transportation, reflect and reinforce larger societal power dynamics based on gender, age, and physical ability. In educational environments, typical classroom layouts with fixed seating can generate hierarchies of visibility and involvement. For example, students placed in the front tend to receive more attention and opportunity to participate, whilst those in the rear may be ignored. This reflects societal power dynamics in which certain groups wield greater influence and decision-making authority. The proposed alternate seating arrangements, such as circular or U-shaped groupings and spinning seats, seek to undermine these established hierarchies. Circular and U-shaped configurations ensure that all students have equal visibility to the teacher and their peers, encouraging a more interactive For safety and convenience, segregated women's compartments were added to public transit, notably the Delhi Metro. However, seating choices in these segregated places frequently expose societal norms and power dynamics. Individuals who consider themselves as having a higher social position or needing assistance usually occupy seats near the doors or those reserved for the elderly and disabled, whereas younger, able-bodied women are forced to stand or take less desirable seats. This tendency mirrors larger gendered power dynamics, in which social standing and perceived entitlement affect access to comfort and ease. In mixed-gender compartments, men frequently take the most accessible and comfortable seats, leaving women in less ideal positions, such as standing at the doors or sitting in corners. Addressing these power relationships requires promoting

A prevalent thread throughout all scenarios is the non-neutral character of spatial configurations. Seating arrangements, whether in classrooms, conference rooms, or on public transportation, reflect and reinforce social inequalities. These relationships establish prominence, power, and marginalization, reinforcing cultural standards based on gender, age, and physical ability. To challenge these implicit power relations, institutions and planners must create inclusive settings. Circular seating in classrooms, awareness campaigns, and the use of dedicated sitting on public transit can all help to promote equity. Furthermore, educating the public on the impact of spatial decisions on social inclusion through seminars and community forums might encourage more mindful behaviour.

Architectural and policy interventions are equally important for fostering social justice. Urban designers and policymakers must guarantee that public places are built to prevent physical segregation based on social hierarchy. Spaces should be created to ensure equal access while avoiding seclusion or inaccessibility, which tends to marginalize specific groups. Institutions ranging from schools to transit authorities should develop rules that promote inclusivity, such as staff training to recognize and address unconscious prejudices, as well as monitoring to ensure that designated seating for excluded groups is observed. These indicators emphasize the necessity of rethinking how spatial arrangements contribute to or challenge broader social processes, underlining the need for intelligent design and policy to create more egalitarian spaces.

Conclusion

Seating arrangements, despite appearing trivial, play an important function in reflecting and reinforcing societal power dynamics. Understanding the implications of where individuals sit—whether in classrooms, public transportation, or other public and private spaces—allows us to address the underlying social norms that drive these decisions. Conscious efforts to modify seats, increase awareness, establish inclusive policies, and educate the public can all contribute to more egalitarian spaces in which everyone feels included and respected. Only by closing these silent gaps can we ensure that everyone, regardless of caste, class, gender, or handicap, has a seat at the table, supporting our common ideals of decency, respect, and equality. Education and sensitization are critical for long-term transformation. Public conversations, conferences, and seminars can help raise awareness about how seemingly little decisions, such as where to sit, contribute to larger social patterns of inequality. By teaching people about the consequences of their choices, we can promote an inclusive culture in which everyone feels valued and appreciated. Architectural and design interventions can also play an important influence. Designing public areas that naturally encourage inclusivity and accessibility can help to prevent physical segregation, which mimics social hierarchies. Thoughtful design may create situations in which all people, regardless of background or ability, have equal access to exposure and participation.

Finally, overcoming these invisible barriers needs a shared commitment to creating environments in which everyone, regardless of caste, class, gender, or handicap, has a place at the table. By addressing these invisible gaps, we can defend our common ideals of decency, respect, and equality, ensuring that no one is marginalized. We can only develop a society that embodies the ideals of fairness and justice for all by engaging in such intentional and inclusive behaviours.

Reference

1. Bernstein, E. S., & Turban, S. (2018). The impact of the 'open' workspace on human collaboration. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1753), 20170239.

2. Dovidio, J. F., Kawakami, K., & Gaertner, S. L. (2002). Implicit and explicit prejudice and interracial interaction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(1), 62-68.

3. Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. New York: Pantheon Books.

4. Goffman, E. (1959). *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday Anchor Books.

5. Hall, E. T. (1966). *The Hidden Dimension*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.

6. Hollander, M. M., & Dyck, J. L. (2009). The seat of power: Classroom seating positions and student achievement. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, 43(1), 23-30.

7. Massey, D. (1994). *Space, Place, and Gender*. Cambridge: Polity Press.