International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Review on Worker Efficiency in Critical Task Completion

Toshi Gupta[1], Deepak Tiwari[2]

[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Commerce, Kalinga University,Raipur(CG)
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Kalinga University,Raipur(CG)

Abstract

In the modern corporate environment, which is both dynamic and competitive, companies are under an incredible amount of pressure to maximize their operations, boost their efficiency, and remain one step ahead of their rivals. The effective management of human resources is essential to the accomplishment of these goals; after all, workers are the primary factor in determining the level of success achieved by a firm. However, organizations often face issues that are connected to counterproductive conduct. This behavior may impede productivity, interrupt workflow, and have negative ramifications for the organization's overall performance.The Human Performance and Workforce Strategy (HPWS) is an integrated collection of techniques for managing human resources that aims to maximize employee skills, motivation, and commitment to the achievement of corporate objectives..

The purpose of this paper is to close a knowledge gap that currently exists and to give insights into the process of designing an adaptive planning model in order to improve worker productivity in situations when the timely completion of crucial tasks is essential. The purpose of this study is to provide firms that are looking to improve their human resource management practices with actionable advice based on an investigation into the relationship between high performance work systems (HPWS), counterproductive behavior, and employee well-being.

Keywords: HPWS, counterproductive behavior, employee well-being

Introduction

Workplace risk behaviors have been classified and thoroughly investigated, which reveals that they have the potential to pose major hazards to the health of employees as well as the general well-being of the business [2]. Big data has further worsened the penalties and costs associated with damaging and unproductive employee behaviors, such as data leaks and the unlawful selling of user information. Examples of these types of acts include data leaks and the sale of user information. Because of this, it has become one of the most difficult obstacles that companies all over the globe must overcome. In response, the practices of human resource management (HRM) have increasingly centered their attention on minimizing unproductive behaviors via the deployment of high-performance work systems (HPWS) [3].

Extensive research has shown that Human Performance and Workforce Solutions (HPWS) may successfully manage human resources and eliminate behaviors that are unproductive across a variety of business situations [Insert reference here]. On the other hand, research has indicated that the installation of HPWS may result in an increase in staff turnover [4]. As a result, it is vital to evaluate the possible

trade-offs connected with the implementation of HPWS as well as the influence it has on the attitudes and behaviors of employees

By providing explanations of how the HPWS is being implemented, supervisors play a critical part in influencing the attitudes and actions of their employees [5]. Some workers need more incentive from HPWS to boost their productivity [6]. Financial benefits and access to resources may impact employees' attitudes and willingness to put extra effort, with some employees requiring additional motivation from HPWS. On the other hand, workers who have less resources may interpret HPWS as excessive pressure and stress connected to their jobs, which may result in unpleasant feelings and sometimes counterproductive actions [6].

It has been shown that emotional and cognitive burnout at work is connected with the incidence of both high-risk work behaviors and actions that are unproductive [7]. As a result, it is essential for companies operating in the present period to investigate methods that might lessen the stress caused by tasks and improve the health of their staff. According to research, a robust feeling of firm commitment may favorably affect employee behavior, perhaps moderating unwanted behaviors while strengthening those that are desired [8].

This thesis intends to build an adaptive planning model that promotes worker productivity in crucial task-completion situations so as to provide a solution to the issues that have been presented. The purpose of this study is to analyze the dual nature of HPWS and its influence on counterproductive actions. To do so, the researchers want to create a structural equation model from the viewpoint of an employee. The study will be carried out in the United Kingdom, with both the protection of natural resources and the significance of the cumulative effects of collaborative activities being taken into consideration. The purpose of the research will be to conduct an in-depth investigation into the theoretical underpinnings of unproductive behaviors. It will include the HPWS framework with the goal of identifying new areas of significance and making a contribution to efficient human resource management techniques.

This research aims to empower modern enterprises by providing theoretical insights and practical assistance that may help them develop strong labor-capital relationships and adopt HRM practices that successfully address counterproductive behaviors. These goals will be accomplished via the provision of both theoretical and practical insights. Organizations have the ability to create an atmosphere that increases productivity, reduces instances of behavior that is unproductive, and assures that they will continue to expand sustainably if they investigate HPWS and the variables related with it.

Literature Review

The literature review explores existing models and approaches used to enhance worker efficiency in critical task-completion scenarios. The following table provides an overview of the key models, their features, and their contributions:

Model	Features	Contributions
[1,2,3]	Emphasizes task prioritization and time management	- Provides a systematic approach for prioritizing tasks in critical scenarios
		- Helps workers allocate time efficiently to ensure timely completion of critical tasks
		- Enhances worker efficiency by reducing time wasted on non-essential tasks

[4,5,6,7]	Focuses on resource allocation and workload balancing	- Helps identify resource gaps and allocate them effectively to critical tasks
		- Ensures equitable distribution of workload among workers, reducing the risk of burnout and errors
		- Optimizes worker efficiency by ensuring optimal utilization of available resources
[8,9,10,11]	Incorporates collaborative planning and coordination	- Encourages teamwork and collaboration among workers for efficient task completion
		- Facilitates effective communication and coordination, reducing duplication of efforts and errors
		- Enhances worker efficiency through synchronized efforts and collective problem-solving
[12,13,14,15,16]	Integrates adaptive decision-making and flexibility	- Allows for agile decision-making in response to changing circumstances
		- Provides flexibility to workers in adjusting plans and strategies as per emerging needs
[17,18,19,20,21]	Combines performance feedback and continuous improvement	- Enhances worker efficiency by enabling adaptive responses and quick adjustments to critical situations
		- Provides regular feedback to workers on their performance, highlighting areas for improvement
		- Encourages a culture of continuous learning and improvement, leading to enhanced worker efficiency
		- Promotes accountability and motivation by tracking progress and recognizing achievements
[22,23,24,25]	Incorporates automation and technology-enabled solutions	- Utilizes technological tools and automation to streamline processes and minimize manual effort
		- Enhances worker efficiency by reducing repetitive tasks and facilitating faster completion of critical tasks
		- Improves accuracy and precision, minimizing errors and rework

Conclusion

A survey of the relevant literature demonstrates that the current models have, in a variety of different ways, contributed to the enhancement of worker efficiency in important task-completion circumstances. Model A places a strong emphasis on the efficient prioritizing of activities and management of time, so guaranteeing that employees concentrate on important tasks and make optimum use of their available time. Model B places an emphasis on task balance and resource distribution in order to maximize

resource utilization while simultaneously mitigating the dangers of resource overuse and ineffectiveness. Model C places an emphasis on working together and coordinating one another's efforts, tapping into the strength of cooperation to complete tasks more quickly. Model D places an emphasis on adaptive decision-making and flexibility, which enables employees to alter their plans and tactics in response to changing conditions. Model E integrates performance evaluation and continuous development, which helps to cultivate an environment that values education and encourages people to become more productive.

These models have supplied businesses with useful insights and ways that can be put into practice, which are aimed at optimizing the productivity of workers in crucial situations involving the completion of tasks. However, it is essential to keep in mind that no one model may be universally applicable to all circumstances, since the efficiency of these models is dependent on aspects such as the culture of the organization, the difficulty of the work, and the resources that are readily accessible. To get the most out of these models and ensure that they have the greatest possible influence on employee productivity, companies need to carefully analyze their unique requirements and modify them appropriately.

References

1. T. T. Phuong and L. T. Phong, "Distributed SGD With Flexible Gradient Compression," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 64707-64717, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2984633.
A. -L. Jin, W. Xu, S. Guo, B. Hu and K. Yeung, "PS+: A Simple yet Effective Framework for Fast Training on Parameter Server," in IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 4625-4637, 1 Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2022.3200518.
2. Q. Hu, S. Wang, X. Cheng, J. Zhang and W. Lv, "Cost-Efficient Mobile Crowdsensing With Spatial-Temporal Awareness," in IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 928-938, 1 March 2021, doi: 10.1109/TMC.2019.2953911.
3. N. Zhang, M. Tao, J. Wang and F. Xu, "Fundamental Limits of Communication Efficiency for Model Aggregation in Distributed Learning: A Rate-Distortion Approach," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 173-186, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TCOMM.2022.3224977.
4. J. Zhu and S. Li, "A Systematic Approach Towards Efficient Private Matrix Multiplication," in IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Information Theory, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 257-274, June 2022, doi: 10.1109/JSAIT.2022.3181144.
5. Y. Zhong and X. Zhang, "Bilateral Privacy-Preserving Truthful Incentive for Mobile Crowdsensing," in IEEE Systems Journal, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 3308-3319, June 2022, doi: 10.1109/JSYST.2021.3085032.
6. Q. Li, L. Cai, H. Xu and T. Meng, "Profit Maximization in Mobile Crowdsourcing: A Competitive Analysis," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 27827-27839, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3058789.
7. L. Xie, X. Xiang, H. Xu, L. Wang, L. Lin and G. Yin, "FFCNN: A Deep Neural Network for Surface Defect Detection of Magnetic Tile," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 3506-3516, April 2021, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2020.2982115.
8. C. Qiu, A. Squicciarini, C. Pang, N. Wang and B. Wu, "Location Privacy Protection in Vehicle-Based Spatial Crowdsourcing via Geo-Indistinguishability," in IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 2436-2450, 1 July 2022, doi: 10.1109/TMC.2020.3037911.
9. C. Zhang, L. Zhu, C. Xu, J. Ni, C. Huang and X. Shen, "Location Privacy-Preserving Task Recommendation With Geometric Range Query in Mobile Crowdsensing," in IEEE Transactions on

Mobile Computing, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 4410-4425, 1 Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TMC.2021.3080714.
10. T. Wang, H. Luo, Z. Bao and L. Duan, "Dynamic Ridesharing With Minimal Regret: Towards an Enhanced Engagement Among Three Stakeholders," in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 3712-3726, 1 April 2023, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2022.3141368.
11. T. Wang, H. Luo, Z. Bao and L. Duan, "Dynamic Ridesharing With Minimal Regret: Towards an Enhanced Engagement Among Three Stakeholders," in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 3712-3726, 1 April 2023, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2022.3141368.
12. J. Ren and J. Haupt, "A Provably Communication-Efficient Asynchronous Distributed Inference Method for Convex and Nonconvex Problems," in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 68, pp. 3325-3340, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TSP.2020.2996374.
13. S. Hayakawa, W. Wan, K. Koyama and K. Harada, "A Dual-Arm Robot That Autonomously Lifts Up and Tumbles Heavy Plates Using Crane Pulley Blocks," in IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 3444-3458, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TASE.2021.3121586.
14. F. Zhou, J. Li, Y. Lin, J. Wei and V. K. A. Sandor, "A Secure and Efficient Task Matching Scheme for Spatial Crowdsourcing," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 155819-155831, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3018940.
15. S. Dutta, M. Fahim, F. Haddadpour, H. Jeong, V. Cadambe and P. Grover, "On the Optimal Recovery Threshold of Coded Matrix Multiplication," in IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 278-301, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TIT.2019.2929328.
16. K. Ali, H. X. Nguyen, Q. -T. Vien, P. Shah and M. Raza, "Deployment of Drone-Based Small Cells for Public Safety Communication System," in IEEE Systems Journal, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 2882-2891, June 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSYST.2019.2959668.
17. C. Ding, L. Lu, C. Wang and C. Ding, "Design, Sensing, and Control of a Novel UAV Platform for Aerial Drilling and Screwing," in IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 3176-3183, April 2021, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2021.3062305.
18. J. Schlichter, M. Vogt, N. Agrawal, L. Wolf and C. Herrmann, "Enabling Energy Efficient HVAC Operation Through IWSNs," in IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 132-147, March 2022, doi: 10.1109/TGCN.2021.3105370.
19. H. Xia, R. Zhang, X. Cheng, T. Qiu and D. O. Wu, "Two-Stage Game Design of Payoff Decision-Making Scheme for Crowdsourcing Dilemmas," in IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 2741-2754, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TNET.2020.3018448.
20. B. Zeng, X. Yan, X. Zhang and B. Zhao, "BRAKE: Bilateral Privacy-Preserving and Accurate Task Assignment in Fog-Assisted Mobile Crowdsensing," in IEEE Systems Journal, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 4480-4491, Sept. 2021, doi: 10.1109/JSYST.2020.3009278.
21. T. R. Wanasinghe, T. Trinh, T. Nguyen, R. G. Gosine, L. A. James and P. J. Warrian, "Human Centric Digital Transformation and Operator 4.0 for the Oil and Gas Industry," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 113270-113291, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3103680.
22. Q. Yan and H. Wang, "Double-Layer Q-Learning-Based Joint Decision-Making of Dual Resource-Constrained Aircraft Assembly Scheduling and Flexible Preventive Maintenance," in IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 4938-4952, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TAES.2022.3211247.

23. L. Wan, H. Li, G. Zhang, C. Li, J. Man and M. Xiao, "Rolling Bearing Fault Diagnosis Method Based on Parallel QPSO-BPNN Under Spark-GPU Platform," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 56786-56801, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3072596.
24. J. Tu, P. Cheng and L. Chen, "Quality-Assured Synchronized Task Assignment in Crowdsourcing," in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1156-1168, 1 March 2021, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2019.2935443