Emotions in Robo-Advisory: Understanding Biases, Designing Sensitive Platforms, and Enhancing User Experience through Emotional Intelligence

Sharmila VP[1], Dr. Bhartendu Singh[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Dept. of Commerce, Mizoram University, Tanhril, Mizoram, India
[2]Professor, Dept. of Commerce, Mizoram University, Tanhril, Mizoram, India

Abstract

Introduction: Robo-advisory services revolutionize investment management by providing automated, data-centric solutions, utilizing vast data sets to tailor investment plans to individual client needs. However, the importance of emotions in investor behavior is still a crucial but sometimes disregarded feature of these systems. By using automated procedures and computational calculations to provide customized investment options, robo-advisors have completely transformed the investment management industry.

Purpose: The article explores how robo-advisory services can improve investor outcomes by addressing emotional biases in investment decisions. It proposes emotionally intelligent robo- advisors that understand investor sentiment and addresses challenges, empowering investors to achieve long-term financial goals.

Methodology: The article explores the challenges of integrating emotional intelligence into robo-advisory services through a comprehensive review of the existing literature, focusing on behavioral finance and empirical evidence to understand the practical implications of irrational behavior through reviewing case studies and empirical evidence.

Result: The study suggests a multi-faceted approach for designing emotionally intelligent robo-advisors, considering technological innovation, regulatory compliance, ethical considerations, and user engagement strategies, addressing challenges like algorithmic biases, privacy concerns, and regulatory compliance.

Keywords: Behavioural bias, Irrational decisions, Robo-advisors, Investment decision-making, Emotionally intelligent robo-advisors

JEL Classification
- **G40** - Behavioral Finance: General
- **G41** - Behavioral Finance: Role and Effects of Psychological Factors on Investment Decisions
- **G20** - Financial Institutions and Services: General
- **G23** - Non-bank Financial Institutions; Financial Instruments; Institutional Investors

Article Classification

- Research Article
- Finance and Economics
- Behavioral Finance

Introduction

The profound transformation in financial advisory market sparked the rise of robo advisors- the automated online platforms that utilize sophisticated algorithms to offer personalized financial guidance and manage investment portfolios with precisions. With the democratized access in the financial advisory services, these technological advancements have enabled a broader range of investors to procure tailored recommendations at a minimum cost compared to traditional human advisors. Robo-advisory services leverage technology and algorithms to provide automated investment advice and portfolio management. By offering unbiased, data-driven advice and portfolio management techniques, algorithms and automation in robo-advisory services can help reduce biases, (Thaler's (1988, 2016) and Kahneman and Tversky's (1979). Despite offering unbiased investment recommendations, remain susceptible to behavioral biases that may compel the investors to engage in decision-making that diverges from conventional economic theories, underscoring the enduring impact of psychological elements in financial decision-making, even within frameworks intended to mitigate subjective influences.

Behavioral finance studies psychological influences, cognitive biases, and emotional factors that distort financial decision-making processes, impacting personal investment choices and market dynamics due to irrational behavior and mental shortcuts (Kahneman and Tversky 1979). Irrational decisions in behavioral finance involve individuals making decisions that deviate from traditional economic theories, often influenced by psychological biases and emotional responses (De Bondt, Muradoglu, Shefrin, and Staikouras, 2008). Emotional responses and cognitive distortions, including but not limited to fear, avarice, and loss aversion, have the capacity to cause investors to diverge from rational decision-making processes, which may result in less-than-optimal outcomes and hasty reactions within the marketplace (Shiller, 2005). Fear, greed, overconfidence, and loss aversion can significantly influence investment decisions, leading to suboptimal outcomes (De Bondt, Muradoglu, Shefrin, and Staikouras, 2008).

In robo-advisory services, understanding and addressing these biases are crucial for designing effective platforms. Understanding the principles of behavioural finance is essential for robo-advisors to effectively address these biases and guide investors towards rational and informed choices (Shefrin, 2000). By acknowledging these behavioural patterns, roboadvisory services can better cater to the needs and preferences of investors, ultimately enhancing their overall experience and outcomes (Bhatia and Chhateja 2020). Robo-advisors need to recognize and mitigate these biases by providing unbiased, data-driven advice and designing interfaces to counteract emotional influences. While these platforms offer objective and data driven recommendations, they are not immune to the behavioural biases that impact investor decision-making.

The recognition and mitigation of behavioral biases are crucial for robo-advisory services to empower investors and enhance financial results. By integrating principles of behavioral finance, these platforms can better align with investors' needs and preferences, ultimately driving better financial results (Bhandari et al., 2008; Feng and Seasholes, 2005). The automated platform of robo-advisors leverages algorithms and advanced technologies to create and manage diversified portfolios tailored to individual investor goals and risk tolerance.

Research Gap

Research on robo-advisors highlights their potential in addressing investor biases, yet it lacks comprehensive investigation into their effectiveness in mitigating biases such as fear, greed, overconfidence, and loss aversion. There's a need for empirical studies to evaluate their success in overcoming these biases. Moreover, integrating a broader range of behavioral finance principles into robo-advisor algorithms requires further exploration. Understanding how specific techniques can guide investor decision-making in various market conditions is essential. Addressing these gaps would offer valuable insights into enhancing robo-advisory services and improving investment decision-making processes.

Research Methodology

An integrative review approach employed in this literature review study. When analyzing and expanding upon the theoretical foundation of a given issue, especially emergent ones, an integrative review technique develops new conceptualizations and models instead of focusing on earlier ones. Peer-reviewed studies specifically focusing on robo-advisors, behavioral biases, and investment decisions, published in journals indexed by several databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar and included in UGC Care Lists, are utilized for the purpose of this review.

Problem Statement

The emergence of robo-advisors in financial advisory services presents a promising avenue for mitigating behavioral biases among investors during investment decision-making processes. Despite their potential, challenges persist in understanding the intricate interplay between emotional factors, algorithmic portfolio management, and investor behavior. This scholarly article investigates the function of robo-advisors in mitigating behavioral biases and facilitating informed investment choices. It also tries to explore difficulties and potential in employing robo-advisors to improve investor decision-making processes in the ever-changing financial services sector. The study examines literature and models in behavioral finance to demonstrate the efficacy of robo-advisors in reducing biases and improving investment results. It investigates the complexities and prospects of refining decision-making approaches in the dynamic financial services sector and evaluates the capacity of robo-advisors to respond to market changes.

Objectives of the Review Paper

A. Explore how specific emotional factors such as fear, greed, overconfidence, and loss aversion influence investor behavior within robo-advisory services and examine their manifestation within automated investment platforms.

B. Examine strategies for designing robo-advisory platforms that are sensitive to emotional biases and capable of providing personalized recommendations based on investor sentiment.

C. Discuss the integration of emotional intelligence algorithms and user interfaces to enhance user experience

Literature Review

The cited literature provides valuable insights and theoretical frameworks relevant to understanding the role of robo-advisors in managing emotional biases and influencing investor behavior. Here's how each of the cited works relates to robo advisors:

1. Emotionally intelligent robo- advisors:

The table presents a comprehensive overview of the burgeoning field of emotionally intelligent robo-advisors within the Fintech sector. Since their inception around 2007-08, driven by the evolution of Web 2.0 and 3.0 technologies, robo-advisors have revolutionized the financial advisory landscape. These AI-driven platforms offer significant benefits, including lower fees, broader accessibility, and unbiased investment guidance through online questionnaires. Despite these advantages, challenges like regulatory compliance and limited personalization persist. As indicated by Chandani (2022), the projected assets under management (AUM) for robo-advisors are expected to reach $2.842 trillion on a global scale by the year 2025, with a potential inclination towards hybrid advisory approaches. The study underscores the necessity for increased awareness and education to foster broader adoption of these platforms. Bjerknes and Vukovic (2017) contribute to this discourse by exploring the rise of robo-advisors and their assessment based on investor profiles and objectives. Utilizing surveys and interviews, their theoretical analysis highlights the growing acceptance of these platforms among finance professionals. Conversely, Kourmpetis and Gazis (2023) provide an empirical regulatory analysis of robo-advisors, examining their algorithmic basis and the regulatory frameworks that safeguard them, presenting them as cost-effective alternatives in financial advisory. Cao (2020) delves into the transformative impact of new-generation AI techniques on economic-financial systems and services. This literature review analysis explores AI's multifaceted role in finance, from modeling economic mechanisms to marketing analysis. While robo-advisors have the potential for financial inclusion, they face legal, algorithmic, and AI challenges. Cao (2020) suggests that future advancements might integrate social science and Explainable AI to enhance inclusion and trust, with features like performance forecasting and tax harvesting advancing the sector.

Bianch and Brière (2021) propose future studies to focus on AI-driven imputation and automated financial planning, emphasizing the need for robust regulatory frameworks in theoretical analyses. Examining the influence of AI-enabled service robots on rural banking, Hariharan et al. (2023) utilize surveys and interviews to highlight that conversational AI, demonstrated by platforms such as Cortana and Siri, is reshaping sales and marketing by offering human-like interactions. This, in turn, improves customer satisfaction and helps in mitigating adverse consequences. Mariani et al. (2023) identify further exploration avenues such as agent design and user-related features in their literature review analysis. They examine outcomes like attitude, engagement, and satisfaction, critical for the adoption and success of robo-advisors. Petrides and Furnham's (2002) empirical case study highlights the significance of trait emotional intelligence (EI) in financial decision-making, underscoring its influence on recognizing emotions and influencing mood. In their literature review, Torno et al. (2021) examine user behavior, service features, and competitive dynamics of robo-advisors. They delve into processes like initiation, profiling, matching, customization, and monitoring, emphasizing that design choices—such as delegation level, automation, and behavioral bias mitigation—significantly influence user adoption. Walter Lam (2016) provides a case analysis outlining robo-advisor functionalities, particularly in asset allocation, monitoring, and rebalancing methods, impacting investor behavior by addressing risk-taking and biases. Loos et al. (2020) offer empirical insights to mitigate concerns surrounding robo-advisors, while Giudici, Polineci, and Spelta (2022) introduce a portfolio allocation system considering risk contagion and dependencies, enhancing alignment with investor profiles through survey and interview analysis. Thaler and Benartzi (2001) explore diversification, revealing that investors tend to allocate contributions evenly among available funds, a theoretical analysis grounded in empirical evidence. Emphasizing the significance of comprehending consumer requirements for user-friendly financial services, Sabir et al.

(2023) stress the necessity for enhancing customer experience through tailored services. Their empirical analysis, based on surveys and interviews, suggests that robo-advisors offer significant convenience, potentially revolutionizing traditional financial services.

2. Design and implementation of emotionally intelligent robo- advisors:

In recent years, the landscape of financial advisory services has been transformed by the advent of robo-advisors. These automated platforms promise to deliver data-driven, personalized investment advice. However, a growing body of research underscores the importance of incorporating emotional and cognitive insights into these services to truly optimize investor outcomes. Ackert, Church, and Deaves (2003) provide a compelling argument through their literature review that emotions can positively influence organizational problem-solving and decision-making. Traditionally viewed as obstacles, emotions, when appropriately integrated, can enhance the quality of financial decisions. This revelation suggests that robo-advisors could significantly benefit from algorithms that account for emotional factors, thereby improving investor satisfaction and decision-making efficiency. Saxena and Yadav (2017) delve into the influence of emotions on investment decisions through detailed case analysis. They argue that emotions should be considered alongside market conditions and risk tolerance. This dual consideration could lead to more tailored and effective investment strategies. For robo-advisors, this means developing models that integrate emotional data, potentially leading to a more comprehensive understanding of investor behavior.

Loewenstein and Chater (2017) propose a model for human decision-making in their literature review, suggesting its application in robo-advisors for clearer investor guidance. By incorporating psychological insights, robo-advisors can align their advice more closely with human decision processes. This methodology has the potential to augment the lucidity and pertinence of the guidance offered, rendering it more actionable for investors. Shefrin (2001a) offers a combination of empirical, case, and comparative analyses to inform the design of robo-advisor algorithms and features. Through the utilization of these perspectives, automated financial advisors can enrich the decision-making processes of investors. This indicates that a data-driven understanding of behavioral patterns can lead to the development of more intuitive and effective advisory services. Barber and Odean (2001) highlight the critical issue of overconfidence bias through their empirical analysis. Overconfidence has the capacity to result in suboptimal choices regarding investments, frequently culminating in substantial monetary setbacks. Recognizing and addressing this bias within robo-advisory platforms could help investors make more balanced and realistic financial choices, thereby improving overall investment outcomes.

Ahmad and Oriani (2023) emphasize the importance of individual traits, such as risk tolerance and emotional stability, in economic decisions through correlational analysis. Robo-advisors that account for these personal characteristics can offer more personalized and effective advice. This personalization is crucial for meeting the unique needs of each investor and enhancing the user experience. Grinblatt, Keloharju, and Linnainmaa (2011) provide quantitative analysis on how emotions, intertwined with cognition, influence decision-making. They advocate for robo-advisors to cater to diverse cognitive abilities, suggesting that adaptive algorithms considering both emotional and cognitive factors can lead to better investor outcomes. The comprehensive strategy can notably improve the efficiency of financial recommendations dispensed by automated financial advisors.

Darskuviene and Lisauskiene (2021) focus on the potential of robo-advisors to mitigate common investor biases through their literature review. By tackling these predispositions, automated financial advisors can aid investors in circumventing common errors and arriving at more logical conclusions. This insight is

invaluable for designing investment services that not only provide guidance but also actively improve investor behavior. Integration of emotional and cognitive perspectives into automated financial advisory services is not only advantageous but imperative. The key findings from various studies highlight that understanding and addressing the emotional aspects of investor behavior can lead to more personalized, intuitive, and successful financial guidance. As automated financial advisors progress, the integration of these perspectives will be pivotal for their efficacy and the contentment of their clientele. This all-encompassing approach guarantees that automated financial advisors can furnish not solely data-driven recommendations but also resonate with the human facets of decision-making, ushering in a novel era of intelligent and compassionate financial advisory services.

The influence of emotional factors in robot advisory services on investor behaviour

Recent behavioural finance research, which highlights the significance of addressing emotional biases and psychological variables in investing decision-making, lends credence to this claim (Barberis and Thaler, 2002). Investment strategies and results can be optimized by firms by integrating emotional intelligence algorithms into robo advising platforms. This allows firms to better understand and cater to the emotional needs and preferences of their clients. Moreover, research undertaken by De Bondt and Thaler (1995) and Statman (2002) has indicated that emotions like fear, greed, and overconfidence frequently influence investor behaviour, resulting in less-than ideal investing choices. Some of the Specific emotional factors and how these emotions manifest in the context of automated investment platforms can be described as follows:

In robo-advisory services, investors' behaviors are significantly influenced by emotions like fear, greed, overconfidence, and loss aversion. Fear can cause irrational decisions during market volatility, leading to reluctance to invest or hasty selling. Greed may drive investors to take excessive risks for higher returns, often deviating from balanced portfolio allocations. Overconfidence can lead to underestimating risks and overestimating abilities, resulting in unnecessary risks or deviation from investment plans. Loss aversion makes investors sensitive to losses, leading to conservative investments or reluctance to rebalance portfolios.

To address these emotional biases, robo-advisors offer educational resources, personalized risk assessments, and automated rebalancing features to reassure investors about long-term benefits and discourage impulsive decisions. They emphasize diversification, provide transparent performance tracking, and offer behavioral nudges to counteract overconfidence. Automated portfolio rebalancing and regular performance updates help mitigate loss aversion, reassuring investors about active portfolio management.

By understanding and incorporating behavioral finance principles, robo-advisors aim to guide investors towards rational, disciplined decisions aligned with their long-term financial goals. Recognizing the influence of emotions, these platforms provide tools and strategies to assist investors in making informed choices, ultimately fostering better financial outcomes.

The development and deployment of emotionally insightful robotic advisors

Investment outcomes may be less than ideal due to emotional biases interfering with rational decision-making (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). Techniques for creating robo-advisory platforms that can recognize emotional indicators and offer tailored recommendations according on investor mood. Combining cutting-edge algorithms, user-centric design techniques, and behavioural finance concepts is

necessary to create robo-advisory platforms that can recognize emotional cues and offer tailored recommendations depending on investor sentiment. The following are some methods for accomplishing this:

a. **Behavioural Profiling:** Gather information on prior investing choices, risk tolerance, and emotional reactions to market events in order to create a thorough picture of investor behaviour. Make behavioural profiles of individual investors using this data so that the platform can customize recommendations based on their unique emotional inclinations (Bjerknes and Vukovic, 2017).

b. **Sentiment Analysis:** Use real-time monitoring and sentiment analysis techniques to examine investor sentiment. For instance, the platform might provide more cautious investment options to investors who are showing signs of increased worry or uncertainty during times of market turbulence. The technology is able to assess investor mood and modify suggestions by monitoring news items, social media, and market patterns (Torno et al., 2021).

c. **Personalized Communication**: To address the feelings and concerns of investors, put personalized communication tactics into practice. When the market is down, use focused communications to comfort investors, and when things are uncertain, encourage them to stick with the plan (Walter Lam, 2016). The platform can increase investor trust and confidence in its suggestions by recognizing and confirming their emotions (FINRA, 2016b).

d. **Dynamic Risk Assessment:** Create algorithms that, in response to shifts in investor mood, dynamically evaluate and modify risk tolerance levels. For instance, the platform may automatically modify an investor's portfolio allocation to lower exposure to risky assets if they show indicators of increased fear or anxiety. On the other hand, the platform can suggest somewhat riskier investing methods if an investor exhibits assurance or optimism (Loos et al. 2020).

e. **Behavioural nudges:** these are predictable, avoidable activities that alter people's behaviour without significantly altering the financial incentives or removing options (Thaler and Benartzi, 2001). They are not mandates. Use behavioural nudges to persuade investors to stay true to their long-term investing strategies and refrain from making rash decisions. For instance, the platform might visualize the possible long-term rewards of continuing to invest during market downturns or encourage individuals to routinely review their investing goals and risk tolerance.

f. **Education and Training:** Provide investors with educational materials and training courses to better understand and control their emotions. Give advice on how to identify emotional biases, make logical financial choices, and maintain discipline when the market is volatile. The platform has the potential to enhance investors' emotional resilience and confidence in their investment strategies by providing them with knowledge and skills (Chandani, 2022).

g. **Continuous Improvement:** Based on user input and performance information, assess and improve the platform on a regular basis. Keep an eye on how investors react to various communication tactics, risk evaluations, and tailored suggestions. Utilize this data to improve the platform's ability to handle emotional cues and satisfy investor demands (Sabir et al., 2023). By implementing these tactics in the development of robo-advisory platforms, companies can build more responsive and understanding algorithms that assist investors in properly controlling their emotions while navigating the intricacies of the financial markets.

User Interfaces and Algorithms for Emotional Intelligence Are Integrated to Improve the User Experience.

By constructing more sympathetic, responsive, and user-friendly systems, the integration of emotional intelligence algorithms and user interfaces can greatly improve the user experience of robo-advisory platforms (Joseph et al., 2015). Here's how to accomplish this integration:

a. **Rebalancing automatically:** Robotic advisors make sure investors stay focused on their long-term investing objectives by using automated rebalancing to maintain target asset allocations. By limiting investors' emotional reactions to market fluctuations and ensuring they stick to their overall plan, this proactive approach guarantees that portfolios remain well-diversified over time.

b. **Personalized recommendations:** Based on user emotions and preferences, employ emotional intelligence algorithms to personalize investment suggestions (Bianch and Brière, 2021). To ease users' anxieties, the platform may suggest more cautious investment options if they indicate worry or anxiety around market volatility. On the other hand, the platform can recommend somewhat riskier investing techniques if a user exhibits assurance or optimism.

c. **Adaptive User Interfaces:** Create user interfaces that dynamically adjust to the feelings and preferences of the user. For example, depending on the user's emotional state, the platform may modify the language and tone of its communications, offering more data-driven assessments to users looking for confirmation of their investment decisions or utilizing sympathetic language to calm nervous investors.

d. **Objective Decision-Making:** To make financial advice, robot advisors employ data-driven models and algorithms, removing emotional biases and fostering objectivity. To determine the optimal asset allocations based on time horizon, investing goals, and risk tolerance, they employ data-driven algorithms. Additionally, in order to avoid human inclinations toward greed, anxiety, or overconfidence, robo-advisors use objective standards such as target asset proportions and portfolio drift thresholds to guide rebalancing decisions. This guarantees that portfolios match the goals of long-term investors.

e. **Interactive Communication Channels:** Provide consumers with meaningful conversations through interactive communication channels like chatbots or virtual assistants that use sentiment analysis and natural language processing algorithms. These platforms are able to respond to user inquiries, offer individualized advice, and offer emotional support in a way that seems sympathetic and human.

f. **Feedback mechanisms and visualizations:** Make excellent use of feedback systems and visuals to assist users in comprehending and controlling their emotions. The platform might, for instance, show users' sentiment visually over time, enabling them to monitor their emotional reactions and make better decisions based on self-awareness.

g. **Continuous Learning and Improvement:** To improve the emotional intelligence algorithms and user interfaces, continuously collect behavioural data and user input. The platform may continuously enhance its capacity to recognize and react to user emotions by examining user interactions, preferences, and results. This will ultimately improve the user experience in its entirety.

h. **Diversification:** To distribute risk among several asset classes, including bonds, stocks, and alternative assets, robo-advisors advise diversification. This method lessens the effects of greed, fear, and loss aversion while providing a balanced risk management plan and lowering individual investment losses. This method of risk management provides a more balanced approach.

Enhancing the quality and efficacy of financial decision-making can be achieved by integrating emotional intelligence into robo-advising services. Platforms for robo-advisory can enhance user experience by developing responsive, sympathetic algorithms that take into account the emotional states and prejudices of their clients. These platforms can offer engaging and supportive experiences by optimizing investment techniques and utilizing knowledge on behavioural economics and psychology.

Impact on Investor Behaviour and Decision Making

Investor decision-making in robo-advisory services is heavily influenced by emotional considerations in a number of areas, including risk tolerance, asset allocation, and portfolio rebalancing. The following are some important ways that emotional biases might affect long-term financial objectives and investing outcomes:

- **Risk Tolerance:** An important factor in investing decisions is an individual's capacity to bear fluctuations in the value of their investments. Emotional prejudices can have a big influence on decision-making, especially when using automated financial services like robo-advisors. This can result in irrational choices when rebalancing a portfolio and straying from predefined risk tolerance levels. According to Barber and Odean's (2000) research, an individual's risk tolerance may be influenced by a variety of behavioural and psychological factors, including emotions and biases.

- **Asset Allocation:** Depending on an investor's time horizon, risk tolerance, and financial goals, asset allocation is the methodical partition of an investment portfolio into different asset classes in order to attain a return and risk equilibrium. Performance optimization and long-term risk management depend on efficient allocation. ETFs are used to make investing easier and to guarantee liquidity. Fear, greed, and overconfidence are examples of emotional biases that can affect how decisions are made and how successful robo-advisors are. In the end, robo-advisors are essential in helping investors overcome psychological biases in asset allocation decisions because they provide objective, diversified, and disciplined investing strategies tailored to each client's needs and objectives.

- **Rebalancing Portfolio:** Investment portfolios are rebalanced to match risk and return levels, but emotional biases can greatly affect this process, particularly with robo advisers. It is imperative to acknowledge and address these biases in order to sustain the alignment of investment portfolios with risk tolerance and long-term objectives. Subsequent studies have demonstrated that emotional biases can become more pronounced during periods of market volatility, causing investors to act impulsively and deviate from their long-term investment strategies. Robotic advisers, which employ algorithms to manage client portfolios, have the potential to inadvertently amplify emotional biases if they are not properly calibrated to take them into account. For example, automatic rebalancing systems may fail to adequately take into account investors' emotional biases during periods of market volatility, resulting in less-than-ideal portfolio adjustments (Chater and Loewenstein, 2016).

Conclusion

The financial advisory landscape is experiencing a profound transformation with the emergence of robo-advisors. This advanced methodology guarantees that robo-advisors are capable of delivering not just data-driven recommendations but also connecting with the emotional dimensions of decision-making, signaling the dawn of a novel era in intelligent and empathetic financial advisory services. This literature review underscores the transformative potential of integrating emotional intelligence into robo-advisors. The main discoveries underscore the significance of emotional and cognitive elements in financial decision-

making, the technological progress propelling robo-advisory services, and the requirement for personalized investment tactics. The implications for theory, practice, and policy emphasize the necessity for adaptive algorithms and robust regulatory frameworks.

The question of how to integrate sophisticated algorithms that accurately reflect investors' real risk profiles and improve the overall user experience remains open. The literature review indicates that emotionally intelligent robo-advisors can considerably improve investor satisfaction and decision-making efficiency. With the continuous evolution of the industry, ongoing research and creativity will be essential in tackling obstacles and enriching the value proposition of robo-advisors. This comprehensive approach ensures that robo-advisors can offer not only data-driven advice but also resonate with the human facets of decision-making, leading to a new era of intelligent and empathetic financial services.

Implications and Future Directions

The reviewed literature offers several implications for theory, practice, policy, and further research. First, integrating emotional and cognitive insights into robo-advisory services is essential for optimizing financial decision-making. This integration can be achieved through the development of adaptive algorithms that account for diverse cognitive abilities and emotional factors. Secondly, the significance of robust regulatory frameworks cannot be emphasized enough. Ensuring transparency and consumer protection is crucial for the sustainable growth of robo-advisory services. Policymakers must focus on developing regulations that foster innovation while safeguarding investor interests. Future research should explore the development of customized robo-advisor models tailored to specific economic and regulatory contexts. Studies emphasize the need for models that fit local market conditions to ensure effectiveness and compliance. Furthermore, there exists a necessity for additional empirical studies on the enduring effects of emotionally intelligent robo-advisors on investor behavior and financial results. Exploring the efficacy of various algorithmic strategies in reducing biases and enhancing decision-making can offer valuable insights for future advancements.

Recommendations for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers.

Robo-advisory platforms enhance user experience by integrating emotional intelligence, recognizing emotional biases, and providing personalized recommendations. This involves algorithmic development, behavioral coaching, and user-centric design. Emphasis on data privacy and security ensures compliance with regulations. Educational resources and training modules aid users in grasping financial basics and emotional biases for informed investment decisions. Regulatory frameworks are vital for consumer protection, transparency, and accountability, addressing challenges like data privacy and investor suitability. Collaboration between regulators, industry, and academia is key for identifying trends and filling regulatory gaps, while ensuring financial inclusion. Research in behavioral finance investigates emotional biases affecting investment decisions and their financial implications. It's crucial to address ethical and regulatory implications of integrating emotional intelligence into robo-advisory services, address data privacy, algorithmic transparency, equity, and investor protection. Stakeholders, including practitioners, policymakers, and researchers, can aid in the ethical advancement of robo-advisory services, empowering investors to pursue enduring financial objectives confidently.

References:

1. Ackert, Lucy F., Bryan K. Church, and Richard Deaves. "Emotion and Financial Markets." Federal

Reserve Bank of Atlanta Economic Review 88.2 (2003): 33- 41

2. Ahmad, F., and Oriani, R. (2023). Is the investor's reliance on cognition and emotional regulation predict preference for selecting value versus growth stocks? *The European Journal of Finance*, *29*(13), 1555–1578. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2022.2086478

3. Barber, B.M. and Odean, T. (2000) 'Trading is hazardous to your wealth: the common stock investment performance of individual investors', Journal of Finance. Vol. 55, pp.773-806.

4. Barber, Brad and Odean, Terrance. (2001). Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, And Common Stock Investment. The Quarterly Journal of Economics. 116. 261-292. 10.2139/ssrn.139415.

5. Barberis, Nicholas., and Thaler, Richard (2002), "A Survey of Behavioural Finance", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series. Vol. 9222, http://www.nber.org/papers/w9222.pdf

6. Bhandari, G., Hassanein, K., and Deaves, R. (2008). Debiasing Investors with Decision Support Systems: An Experimental Investigation. *Decision Support Systems, 46*(1), 399-410. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2008.07.010

7. Bhatia, A., Chandani, A., Chhateja, J., (2020), "Robo advisory and its potential in addressing the behavioral biases of investors — A qualitative study in Indian context", Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance. Volume 25, 100281, ISSN 2214-6350, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2020.100281. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214635019302394)

8. Bianch, Milo., and Brière, Marie., (2021) "Robo-Advising: Less AI and More XAI? Augmenting algorithms with humans-in-the-loop", Machine Learning in Financial Markets: A Guide to Contemporary Practice- Working Paper

9. Bjerknes, L., and Vukovic, A., (2017), "Automated Advice: A Portfolio Management Perspective on Robo- Advisors"- working paper.

10. Cao, Longbing, AI in Finance: A Review. Information Systems eJournal. (July 10, 2020). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3647625 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3647625

11. Chandani, Arti (2022), "Robo Advisor: Emergence, Present Status, and Future", Artha. pp-45-51. Corporate Finance. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance. 14. 113-126. 10.2139/ssrn.288257.

12. Darskuviene, V., and Lisauskiene, N., (2021), "Linking the Robo-advisors Phenomenon and Behavioural Biases in Investment Management: An Interdisciplinary Literature Review and Research Agenda", Organizations and Markets in Emerging Economies, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 458-476

13. De Bondt, Muradoglu, Shefrin, and Staikouras, (2008), "Behavioral Finance: Quo Vadis?", Journal Of Applied Finance.

14. Feng, L., and Seasholes, M. S. (2005). Do Investor Sophistication and Trading Experience Eliminate Behavioral Biases in Financial Markets? *Review of Finance, 9*(3), 305-351. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10679-005-2262-0

15. FINRA (2016b). Report on Digital Investment Advice, March. Washington, D.C.: FINRA. https://www.finra.org/sites/default/files/digital-investment-advice-report.pdf

16. Giudici, P., Polinesi, G. and Spelta, A. Network models to improve robot advisory portfolios. Ann Oper Res 313, 965–989 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04312-9

17. Grinblatt, Mark and Keloharju, Matti and Linnainmaa, Juhani T., IQ, Trading Behavior, and Performance (June 9, 2011). Journal of Financial Economics, Forthcoming, CRSP Working Paper,

AFA 2010 Atlanta Meetings Paper, EFA 2010 Frankfurt Meetings Paper, Chicago Booth Research Paper No. 09-33, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1364014 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1364014 https://groww.in/blog/robo-advisory-india

18. Hariharan, R., Vedapradha, R., Sudha, E., Subramani, K., and Divyashree, V. R. (2023). Service Robots—An Innovative Sustainability in Rural Banking. Journal of Service Science and Management, 16, 477-500. https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2023.164026

19. Joseph DL, Jin J, Newman DA, O'Boyle EH. Why does self-reported emotional intelligence predict job performance? A meta-analytic investigation of mixed EI. J Appl Psychol. 2015 Mar;100(2):298-342. doi: 10.1037/a0037681. Epub 2014 Sep 22. PMID: 25243996.

20. Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979): "Prospect theory: an analysis of decision- making under risk", Econometrica, Vol. 47. No. 2, pp.263-291

21. Loewenstein, George and Chater, Nick. (2017). Putting nudges in perspective. Behavioural Public Policy. 1. 26-53. 10.1017/bpp.2016.7.

22. Loos, B., Previtero, A., Scheurle, S., and Hackethal, A. (2020). Robo-advisers and Investor Behavior (conference paper)

23. Marcello M. Mariani, Novin Hashemi, Jochen Wirtz, (2023), "Artificial intelligence empowered conversational agents: A systematic literature review and research agenda", Journal of Business Research, Volume 161, 113838, ISSN 0148-2963, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113838. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0148296323001960)

24. Nick Chater and George Loewenstein. (2016), "The under-appreciated drive for sense-making", Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization. Volume 126, Part B. Pages 137-154. ISSN 0167-2681, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2015.10.016.

25. Petrides, K. V., and Furnham, A., (2002), "Trait Emotional Intelligence: Behavioural Validation in Two Studies of Emotion Recognition and Reactivity to Mood Induction", European Journal of Personality. Vol. 17, pp. 39-57. DOI: 10.1002/per.466

26. Sabir, A.A.; Ahmad, I.; Ahmad, H.; Rafiq, M.; Khan, M.A.; Noreen, N. Consumer Acceptance and Adoption of AI Robo-Advisors in Fintech Industry. Mathematics 2023, 11, 1311. https://doi.org/10.3390/ math11061311

27. Saxena, Ashish, and Yadav, Rakesh (2017), "Role of Emotions on Individual Investors in Investment Decisions (A Case of Indian Capital Market of Bareilly City)", Prastuti: Journal of Management and Research. Volume-06, Issue-02, pp.24-38. https://www.journalpressindia.com/prastuti-journal-of-management research/doi/10.51976/gla.prastuti.v6i2.621704

28. Shefrin, H. M. (2000). Beyond Greed and Fear: Understanding Behavioral Finance and the Psychology of Investing. Oxford University Press.

29. Shefrin, H. (2001a). Behavioral corporate finance. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 14, 113–126.

30. Shiller, R. J. (2005). Irrational Exuberance. Princeton University Press.

31. Statman, Meir. (2002). Financial Physicians. Aimr Conference Proceedings. 2002. 5-11. 10.2469/cp.v2002.n4.3211.

32. Stavros Kourmpetis and Alexandros Gazis, (2023). Robotic Financial Advisors: Regulatory Interactions to an Emerging Alternative to Investment Provision. Trends Tech Sci Res; 6(2): 555681. DOI: 10.19080/TTSR.2023.06.555681

33. Thaler, Richard and Benartzi, Shlomo. (2001). NaЇve Diversification Strategies in Retirement Saving

Plans. American Economic Review. 91. 79-98. 10.1257/aer.91.1.79.

34. Torno, Albert and Metzler, Dennis R. and Torno, Vanessa. (2021). Robo-What?, Robo-Why?, RoboHow? – A Systematic Literature Review of Robo-Advice.

35. Walter Lam, J., and F. Swensen, David., (2016)- Robo-Advisors: A Portfolio Management Perspective (working paper).

36. Werner F.M. De Bondt, Richard H. Thaler (1995), "Chapter 13 Financial decision-making in markets and firms: A behavioral perspective",Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science, Elsevier, Volume 9, Pages 385-410, ISSN 0927-0507, ISBN 9780444890849, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0507(05)80057-X. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092705070580057X)