E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

An In-Depth Analysis of Techniques for Image Segmentation

Inderpreet Kaur¹, Inderpal Singh² Daljit Kaur³

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Bachelor of Computer Applications, CKD IMT Tarn Taran ³Assistant Professor, Mathematics, CKD IMT Tarn Taran

Abstract

Image segmentation represents a significant area of research, with extensive investigations conducted within this domain. It serves as a fundamental process for various tasks, including object detection, image recognition, feature extraction, and classification, all of which rely heavily on the quality of the segmentation. Essentially, image segmentation involves partitioning an image into multiple homogeneous segments, thereby simplifying the representation of the image and enhancing the efficacy of pattern recognition. The performance of different segmentation methods can vary based on factors such as object arrangement, lighting conditions, shadows, and other environmental influences. Despite the diversity of techniques available, no universal method exists that can effectively segment all types of images, although certain approaches have demonstrated superior effectiveness in specific contexts. The primary objective of this study is to explore these dynamics.

KEYWORDS: Clustering, edge detection, graph-cut, image segmentation, region, thresholding.

I. INTRODUCTION

An image serves as a visual depiction of an object or scene, encapsulating valuable information. The process of analyzing an image to extract information without altering its other characteristics is a significant application of digital image technology[1]. This capability is particularly relevant across diverse fields and practical applications, including military operations, medical diagnostics, and astronomy. Within the realms of computer science and computer engineering, pattern recognition, image analysis, and related disciplines are considered fundamental areas of study[2].

Currently, innovative technologies are advancing in the field of image processing, particularly within image segmentation. This process is both crucial and complex[3]. Image segmentation represents a key stage in image analysis, involving the division of an image into homogeneous regions based on specific criteria, ideally aligning with real objects present in the scene[4]. In practice, image segmentation plays a vital role in subsequent phases of a typical recognition system, simplifying data representation such as color or texture[5]. From a multimedia standpoint, image segmentation can be applied to individual images or sequences of images that constitute a video[6,7]. Overall, the primary objective of segmentation is to reduce data complexity for more straightforward analysis, where it is defined as the



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

partitioning of a digital image into continuous, disjoint, and non-empty subsets to aid in attribute extraction[8]. Image segmentation continues to be a dynamic and intriguing area of research within image processing. The quest for a universal image segmentation technique remains a significant challenge for researchers and developers[9]. Essential requirements for effective image segmentation include:

- Every pixel within a given area is part of an image.
- A region is considered linked if any two pixels within it can be connected by a line that remains entirely within the area.
- Each region exhibits homogeneity concerning a chosen characteristic, which may be based on syntactic factors (such as intensity, color, and texture) or semantic criteria.
- Neighboring regions cannot be merged into a single homogeneous region.
- There is no overlap between distinct regions[10].

The primary objective of segmentation is to streamline an image, making it more expressive and accessible, ensuring that only the relevant objects are examined during the object analysis stage[11]. However, improper segmentation can lead to a decline in the effectiveness of the classification process and the accuracy of object measurement[12].

The subsequent sections of the article are structured as follows. Section 2 outlines various image segmentation techniques. Following that, section 3 explores the applications of image segmentation. Section 4 presents the results and discussions. Lastly, section 5 concludes with a summary and suggestions for future research.

II. IMAGE SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUES

Image segmentation algorithms can primarily be categorized into three distinct techniques: boundarybased segmentation, region-based segmentation, and hybrid-based segmentation[13].

The first technique focuses on identifying discontinuities within an image, utilizing lines, edges, and isolated points that arise from sudden changes in local characteristics to delineate regions. The second technique, in contrast, leverages the homogeneity of spatially dense information, including texture, intensity, and color, to achieve segmentation results. The third technique integrates both boundary-based and region-based segmentation approaches, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Additionally, Table 1 provides a summary of these techniques.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com





Fig. 1: Example of hybrid image segmentation [29]

TABLE 1. COMPARE IMAGE SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUES



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Sr.	Technique	Description	Pros	Cons
no.				
2	Boundary - based segment Region-based segment	Discontinuity detection serves as the foundation for this analysis. The assessment is grounded in a defined set of criteria for similarity.	 Appropriate for straightforward images. Highly effective for images featuring significant contrast among their elements. The low computational demand enhances the suitability of these methods for application. Increased resistance to noise. This method proves beneficial when the criteria for similarity are clearly defined. 	 It is ineffective with images that contain numerous edges or lack clarity. Generating a closed curve or boundary is not achievable. It is unsuitable for images that exhibit excessive noise. It is costly in terms of memory and time.
3	Hybrid - based segment	This approach integrates both region and edge information.	 Depends on the combination of techniques employed. 	 Depends on combination of techniques employed.

The current segmentation techniques primarily focus on distinguishing objects from the background, necessitating prior knowledge of the data and user-defined input parameters[14-17]. This reliance can result in either under-segmentation or over-segmentation, depending on the control over the environment. Consequently, there is a need for enhancements in existing methods to facilitate improved image classification. Despite the extensive body of literature addressing image segmentation and the diverse methodologies employed, segmentation can be categorized into several types, including thresholding [18,19], edge-based segmentation [20,21], region-based segmentation [22-25] and energy-based segmentation [26-28]. The subsequent subsections will commence with a review of thresholding-based segmentation, followed by an examination of the other three categories.

A. THRESHOLDING- BASED SEGMENT

This category of methods relies on variations in the gray scale values of an image to partition it into subregions. It can also facilitate the extraction of foreground objects from the background by utilizing a specified threshold value. A gray level image can be transformed into a binary image, which should encapsulate all pertinent information regarding the position and shape of the objects of interest. The primary benefit of generating a binary image is the reduction of data complexity, which in turn streamlines the recognition process. However, identifying significant peaks and valleys within the image



can be challenging. Additionally, this approach has limitations, such as neglecting spatial details, which may result in non-contiguous segmented regions. It is also sensitive to noise and presents difficulties in determining an appropriate threshold[30]. Another drawback is the increase in computational complexity, which escalates in proportion to the image size[19]. An example of threshold-based segmentation is illustrated in Fig. 2.



(a)

(b)

Fig. 2: Threshold-based segment (a) original image, (b) resultant image after segmentation [31]

B. EDGE- BASED- SEGMENT

Segmentation in this context involves detecting the edges within an image based on its gradient to delineate object boundaries. This approach identifies objects by using edges as the primary criterion. It typically employs methods that include first-order derivative/gradient operators, second-order derivative operators, and optimal edge detectors.

- The Gradient Operator, which utilizes first-order derivatives, reacts to discontinuities in intensity levels. It features a positive leading edge and a negative trailing edge, employing techniques such as Prewitt, Roberts, and Sobel operators to identify edges by calculating the magnitude of the first derivative[21,32].
- The Second Derivative Operator is characterized by a positive response on the darker side and a negative response on the lighter side. While it is particularly sensitive to noise, it is effective for extracting secondary information. Techniques like the Laplacian operator and the Difference of Gaussian (DoG) detect edges by identifying zero-crossings[33].
- 3. The Optimal Edge Detector, such as the Canny edge detector, is capable of producing continuous edges that are single-pixel thick and resistant to noise. It can effectively identify both strong and weak edges[21,34].

However, edge-based segmentation has several weaknesses. One significant issue is its inability to consistently produce accurate object boundaries, often resulting in missing or fragmented edges that fail to distinguish the area of interest from the background. In many instances, the edges generated by this



method are disjointed. Another challenge is its sensitivity to noise; in noisy images, edge detection frequently leads to incorrect segmentation. Additionally, the method is prone to edge expansion issues between critical regions, particularly in images with high spatial resolution and complex geometric shapes. This can result in either over-segmentation or under-segmentation, as the edges between regions become poorly defined. An illustration of edge-based segmentation is provided in Fig. 3.



(a)

(b)

Fig. 3: Edge-based segment (a) original image, (b) resultant image after segmentation [34]

C. REGION- BASED SEGMENT

- 1. This category encompasses region growing, splitting and merging, and clustering techniques.
- 1. Region Growing involves utilizing predefined criteria to segment an entire image into smaller subregions. However, this approach has notable drawbacks, including its sensitivity to noise, the presence of holes or discontinuities in the extracted regions, and its high computational complexity[35,36].
- 2. Region Splitting and Merging divides an image into distinct sub-regions, followed by merging or splitting operations based on specific segmentation criteria. This method also faces challenges related to complexity and high compositionality[37]. These techniques are often less favored due to several limitations. Firstly, they tend to be more computationally intensive than alternative methods, leading to increased processing time and memory usage. Secondly, they struggle with extracting geometric information, making them unsuitable for images affected by shadows or shading. Consequently, addressing these limitations is crucial for enhancing the precision and reliability of the segmentation process.
- 3. Clustering involves organizing data into groups known as clusters, where each cluster contains data points that are more similar to one another than to those in other clusters[38]. Clustering finds



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

applications across various fields, including medicine[39], geography[40,41], and agriculture[42]. Clusters are formed based on diverse properties such as size, color, and texture[43]. Two primary types of clustering are recognized: hierarchical clustering, which organizes data into a tree structure where the root represents the entire dataset and internal nodes represent clusters[44,45].

The second category involves partitioning, where pixels are grouped into k clusters. The K-means algorithm is employed to classify image pixels into a specified number of clusters, denoted as k, which is a positive integer. This algorithm, introduced by MacQueen [46], has been widely utilized for image segmentation in various fields, including calorie estimation [47,48] and medical imaging[39,49]. The classification process relies on similarity features such as pixel intensity, color, and spatial distance[43]. A significant advantage of clustering is that it does not require prior knowledge of the data distribution. Researchers are drawn to K-means for image segmentation due to its efficiency, simplicity, ease of implementation, and capability to quickly cluster large datasets. However, the K-means technique has notable limitations. It is sensitive to noise, restricts the number of clusters, and different initial centroids can lead to varying results, which increases computational complexity and time. Figure 4 depicts a region-based segmentation example.



D. ENERGY- BASED SEGMENT

This category focuses on optimizing an objective energy function, with the minimum solution corresponding to the segmentation outcomes. The most prevalent techniques in this area are active contour models and graph-based methods.

1. Active Contour: Active contour models have been extensively linked to image segmentation[51]. Over the past decade, they have found widespread application in various fields, including image segmentation and motion tracking. The primary goal of active contour is to adjust an initial curve to align with the boundary of an object, guided by certain constraints derived from the image. The two fundamental models within active contour are snakes and level sets. Snakes adjust predetermined points based on an energy minimization approach, while level sets explicitly move the contour according to a specific level of a function. Active contour models are favored over traditional image segmentation techniques, such



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

as region growing, thresholding, and edge detection, due to several advantages. Firstly, they can be effectively formulated within a structured energy minimization framework, allowing for the integration of various prior knowledge. Secondly, they produce smooth and closed contours as segmentation results, which are essential for subsequent applications like shape analysis and recognition[52]. Additionally, the active contour method is widely utilized for image segmentation because of its ability to efficiently identify object boundaries.

In the realm of active contour, there are two main types: edge-based and region-based. Edge-based methods typically employ an edge detector to identify the boundaries of image regions, guiding the contours toward these edges. In contrast, region-based methods utilize statistical information regarding image intensities to evolve the contour[53].

Region-based active contours are characterized by their flexibility in the placement of initial contours, as they operate on the principle of global energy minimization. This means that they can effectively identify internal boundaries regardless of where the initial contours are positioned. The use of predefined starting contours facilitates autonomous segmentation. Additionally, these methods are less vulnerable to local minima or noise compared to edge-based active contours, making the initialization of active contours a critical aspect of segmentation.

- a. **Snake:** The snake model, introduced by Kass, Witkin, and Terzopoulos[54], employs a spline approach. Since it relies solely on local information along the contour, the classic snake can accurately locate edges only if the initial contour is positioned close to them. Conversely, determining the optimal starting contour without prior information poses a significant challenge. Furthermore, snakes maintain a consistent topology throughout their evolution, which limits their ability to detect multiple boundaries simultaneously. Consequently, they cannot merge from several initial contours or separate into multiple boundaries. Level set methods offer a viable solution to address the limitations of snakes.
- b. Active contour based regions: Traditional methods that depend on edge functions, which utilize image gradients to halt curve evolution, face a fundamental limitation. They can only identify objects with clearly defined gradient borders. In practice, discrete gradients are limited, and the stopping function rarely reaches zero near the edges, potentially causing the curve to extend beyond the intended boundaries. In the presence of noisy images, strong isotropic Gaussian smoothing is required, which can inadvertently blur edges. This may lead to the misidentification of edges when the stopping function relies solely on the gradient. To overcome this issue, Chan and Vese[55] proposed an active contour model that employs curve evolution techniques. It has been proposed that objects lacking clearly defined borders should be identified. To achieve this objective, the model emphasizes reducing energy consumption. The primary aim of this approach is to detect objects even in the absence of a pronounced gradient.

2. Graph-based methods: These techniques are grounded in graph theory. This category of segmentation methods focuses on identifying minimum cuts within a graph, where the criterion for cutting aims to reduce the similarity between the separated pixels. It includes:



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- a. Normalized cut, a graph-based technique first introduced by Shi and Malik[26]. This method treats each image pixel as a node in a graph and views segmentation as a partitioning problem. The image is represented as an undirected, weighted graph, with each pixel as a node and edges formed between every pair of pixels. The weight of an edge reflects the similarity between the connected pixels. The image is segmented into distinct sets by removing the edges that connect the segments, with the optimal partition being the one that minimizes the weights of the removed edges[56].
- b. Graph-cut is designed to find a global optimum specifically for binary labeling in foreground and background image segmentation. The cut should occur at the boundary where the object meets the background, with a focus on minimizing energy near this boundary[28]. This involves optimizing the energy function related to the segmentation.
- Local variation is akin to normalized cuts, measuring dissimilarity between pixels through the weights of the edges. This method segments an image based on the variability present in adjacent regions [57]. Additionally, it addresses challenges related to under-segmentation and over-segmentation in image processing.

However, graph methods do have their limitations. They are computationally intensive, sensitive to issues of over-segmentation and under-segmentation, and often require user interaction, leading to incre-

ased time complexity. An example of an energy-based segmentation approach is presented.



Fig. 5: Energy-based segment (a) original image, (b) resultant image after segmentation [59]

The primary four categories of image segmentation are illustrated in Table 2, which outlines the benefits and drawbacks associated with each category. It is evident that all categories face challenges related to high computational complexity in accurately selecting certain parameters, as well as varying levels of complexity. Among these, the active contour method stands out as one of the more straightforward approaches, allowing for integration with other computer vision or pattern recognition techniques. Furthermore, active contour can accurately converge to the shape of the segmented object, a crucial aspect for calorie counting. Figure 6 presents the various categories of image segmentation techniques.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

IMAGE SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUES

Fig. 6: Image segmentation techniques

TABLE 2 SUMMARIES THE METHODS FOR IMAGE SEGMENTATION



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Method	Pros	Cons
Gradient	1- The computation process is straightforward,	1- These methods exhibit a higher
operator	rapid, and uncomplicated.	sensitivity to noise.
	2- The edges and their orientations are	2- Edge detection may occasionally be
	identified. [32]	unreliable.
		3- There is a lack of consistency. [21]
Second	1- The detection of edges and their orientation	1- With an increase in noise, the
derivative	through the cross operation is uncomplicated,	strength of edges diminishes as a
operator	owing to the estimation of gradient magnitude.	result of edge detection and
	2- The characteristics remain constant across all	orientation processes.
	directions.	2- Irregularities in the gray level
	3- A broad region surrounding the pixel can be	intensity function occur at corners,
	examined. [33]	curves, and areas where the gray level
		intensity fluctuates. [33]
Optimal	1- The signal-to-noise ratio has been enhanced.	1- Complicated calculations that
edge	2- Detection accuracy has increased in	require an extended duration to
detector	environments with significant noise. [34]	finalize.
		2- Establishing universal thresholds
		applicable to all images presents
		considerable difficulties. [34]
Thresholding	1- No prior familiarity with the image is	1- Identifying prominent peaks and
	necessary.	troughs within the image can be
	2- The computational complexity is relatively	challenging.
	low. [18]	2- The method overlooks spatial
	3- It is advantageous for distinguishing between	details, which may result in non-
	background and foreground.	contiguous segmented areas.
		3- It is susceptible to noise
		interference.
		4- Establishing an appropriate
		threshold can be difficult. [30]



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Region	1- It can accurately differentiate between areas	1- This option is resource-intensive in
growing	that share identical characteristics.	terms of both processing time and
	2- It is essential to ensure that the boundaries	memory usage.
	are well-defined.	2- The choice of the seed region and
	3- The underlying principle is uncomplicated.	the sequence in which pixels and
	4- The outcomes exhibit a favorable alignment	regions are assessed are closely linked
	with the intended shape.	to the process of region growth.
	5- It is capable of selecting multiple criteria	3- The seed point can be obtained
	simultaneously. [35]	through manual interaction.
		4- It is susceptible to noise, which may
		lead to disconnection within the
		region. [35]
Region	1- Divide the image until the desired resolution	1- This method is intricate and
Splitting &	is achieved.	requires a significant amount of time.
Merging	2- Various criteria may be employed for the	2- The result of region merging is
	processes of splitting and merging. [37]	typically influenced by the sequence
		in which the regions are combined.
Clustering	1. The method operates at a rapid pace and does	1. A challenge arises in selecting the
	not require any prior understanding of the data	appropriate number of clusters.
	distribution. It is characterized by its efficiency,	2. Different initial centroids can lead
	simplicity, and capability to swiftly cluster large	to varying results.
	volumes of data points.	3. The method is susceptible to noise.
	2. The underlying principle is straightforward, as	[45]
	the number of clusters is predetermined. [46]	
Active	1. Identify the edges of objects.	1. It is susceptible to noise.
contour	2. It is preferable to characterize images that	2. It is sensitive to the selection of its
	exhibit a wide range of shapes.	parameters, including the number of
	3. A rapid and effective approach.	initial contours and their positioning.
	4. It offers a linear representation of the object's	
	shape throughout the convergence process	
	without necessitating further processing.	
	5. It is capable of promptly generating closed	
	parametric curves or surfaces from images while	
	imposing a smoothness constraint on them.	



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Graph	1- Regular segments are provided.	1- The selection of the number of
	2- It minimizes the risk of excessive	segments is necessary.
	segmentation. [26,56]	2- A significant amount of storage
	3. The inference process is rapid.	capacity is needed, along with a
	4. It is relevant to a broad spectrum of issues,	considerable degree of time
	including image labeling and recognition. [28]	complexity.
		3- There is a tendency to divide into
		equal portions.
		4- The computation process is
		challenging.
		5. Its applicability is limited, as it is
		primarily associative.
		6. Unary terms are required, which
		restricts its use in generic
		segmentation.
		7. It struggles to distinguish between
		components that have similar
		intensity levels.
		8. Segmenting thin, elongated objects
		poses significant challenges; thus,
		interactive methods are
		recommended.

III. IMAGE SEGMENTATION APPLICATIONS

Image segmentation techniques have become increasingly significant across various applications due to advancements in computer technology. Following are several practical applications of segmentation techniques.

1. Remote Sensing: Satellite images are segmented for applications such as urban planning and precision agriculture, addressing significant environmental challenges influenced by climate change [55].

• Satellite image segmentation.

2. Earth Observation (EO): The dynamic assessment of the Earth's surface through conventional methods enhances data acquisition, enabling the extraction of high-resolution information that aids in comprehending the Earth's surface dynamics, employing various techniques including deep learning models and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [64].

- Road network analysis.
- Local climate zone identification.
- Traffic management systems.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

3. Medical Applications: Image-guided therapy represents a crucial approach for the precise and swift diagnosis of medical conditions, with image segmentation facilitating straightforward and accurate analysis [61, 2].

- MRI detection through region growing techniques [35].
- Tissue Volume Measurement

4. Calorie Estimation: Calorie estimation through mobile devices involves differentiating the image's background from its constituent elements [65].

5. Shape Detection: Shape detection is a vital aspect of image processing, focusing on identifying boundaries within images that exhibit variations in color, brightness, or texture [66].

6. Pattern Recognition

• Facial recognition [62] and iris recognition [63].

7. Security: Security remains a critical and complex challenge. Image segmentation serves as a fundamental preprocessing step in numerous applications, including security monitoring, by partitioning an image into distinct salient components [68].

- Object recognition and matching.
- Scene comprehension.
- Fingerprint analysis [67].
- Video surveillance.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The preceding section offered a comprehensive examination of various segmentation techniques. This analysis highlighted the significance of segmentation, as it finds extensive application in numerous real-world scenarios, including pattern recognition, feature extraction, image retrieval, machine vision, satellite imaging, biometrics, and military operations. The exploration of the advantages and disadvantages of segmentation methods in relation to key criteria—such as sensitivity to noise, computational intensity, requirement for manual intervention, sensitivity to segmentation, and issues of under-partitioning—demonstrated the challenges in achieving optimal performance across all these criteria simultaneously. Consequently, image segmentation should be regarded as a critical aspect, and it is advisable to integrate multiple segmentation approaches to mitigate their shortcomings and enhance overall outcomes.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study presents a comprehensive review of various segmentation techniques, highlighting their significance in digital image processing, including border, region, and hybrid methods applicable in real-world scenarios. Despite extensive research spanning several decades, image segmentation remains a formidable challenge within the field, as each technique possesses its own set of advantages and limitations. Consequently, no single method can be deemed universally effective for all image types, and not all techniques are equally appropriate for specific categories of images. Future research will focus on



evaluating the application of advanced technologies, such as deep learning and optimization algorithms, in the realm of image segmentation.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Desai, U. Kushwaha, S. Jha, & M. S. NMIMS, "Image filtering-Techniques Algorithms and Applications," Applied GIS, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 970-975, 2020.
- 2. N. Dey, & A. S. Ashour, "Meta-heuristic algorithms in medical image segmentation: a review," Advancements in Applied Metaheuristic Computing, pp.185-203. 2018.
- 3. P. Shashi, & R. Suchithra, "Review Study on Digital Image Processing and Segmentation," Am. J. Comput. Sci. Technol, vol.2, no.68, 2019.
- 4. S. K. Abdulateef, S. R. A. AHMED, & M. D. Salman, "A Novel Food Image Segmentation Based on Homogeneity Test of K-Means Clustering," In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol.928, no.3, p. 032059, 2020.
- 5. A. S. Al-Waisy, R. Qahwaji, S. Ipson, S. Al-Fahdawi, &T. A. Nagem, "A multi-biometric iris recognition system based on a deep learning approach," Pattern Analysis and Applications, vol.2, no.3, pp.783-802, 2018.
- A. Garcia-Garcia, S. Orts-Escolano, S. Oprea, V. VillenaMartinez, P.Martinez-Gonzalez, &J. Garcia-Rodriguez, "A survey on deep learning techniques for image and video semantic segmentation," Applied Soft Computing, vol.70, pp.41-65, 2018.
- 7. A. Pfeuffer, K. Schulz, & K. Dietmayer, "Semantic segmentation of video sequences with convolutional lstms," In IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), pp. 1441-1447, 2019.
- 8. P. Thakur, & N. Madaan, "A survey of image segmentation techniques," International Journal of Research in Computer Applications and Robotics, vol.2, no.4, pp.158–165, 2014.
- 9. I. R. I. Haque, & J. Neubert, "Deep learning approaches to biomedical image segmentation," Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, vol.18, 100297, 2020.
- 10. R. E. Armya, & A. M. Abdulazeez, "Medical images segmentation based on unsupervised algorithms: A review," Qubahan Academic Journal, vol. 1, no.2, pp.71-80, 2021.
- S. K. Abdulateef, M. Mahmuddin, & N. H. Harun, "Developing a new features approach for colour food image segmentation," ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol.12, no. 23, pp. 6904-6910, 2017.
- 12. S. K. Abdulateef, M. Mahmuddin, N. H. Harun, & Y. Aljeroudi, "Dietary assessment and obesity aviodance system based on vision: A review," Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Computing and Informatics, ICOCI 2015, Turkey. pp. 651-658, 2015.
- 13. N. M. Zaitoun, & M. J. Aqel, "Survey on image segmentation techniques". Procedia Computer Science, vol.65, pp.797-806, 2015.
- 14. W. Min, S. Jiang, L. Liu, Y. Rui, & R. Jain, "A survey on food computing," ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), vol. 52, no.5, pp. 1-36, 2019.



- 15. I. Chen, H. Shen, & F. Wang, "Quantifying the thickness of each color material in multilayer transparent specimen based on transmission image," Textile Research Journal, vol. 90, no.21-22, pp.2522-2532, 2020.
- 16. P. Pouladzadeh, S. Shirmohammadi, & A. Yassine, "Using graph cut segmentation for food calorie measurement," In Proceedings of MeMeA: The IEEE International Symposium on Medical Measurements and Applications, pp.1–6,2014.
- 17. C. J. Boushey, M. Spoden, F. M. Zhu, E. J. Delp, & D. A. Kerr, "New mobile methods for dietary assessment: review of image-assisted and image-based dietary assessment methods," Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 283-294, 2017.
- C. Tulasigeri, & M. Irulappan, "An advanced thresholding algorithm for diagnosis of glaucoma in fundus images," In 2016 IEEE International Conference on Recent Trends in Electronics, Information & Communication Technology (RTEICT), pp. 1676-1680, 2016.
- 19. A. Garg, "A Review on Image Segmentation Techniques," International Journal of Recent Research Aspects (IJRRA), pp. 53-55, 2016.
- 20. R. Nirgude, & S. Jain, "Color image segmentation with k- means clustering and dynamic region merging," Journal of Science, Engineering & Technology: A Peer Reviewed National Journal, vol.1, no.5, pp.1–10, 2014.
- 21. B. K. Shah, V. Kedia, R. Raut, S. Ansari, & A. Shroff, "Evaluation and Comparative Study of Edge Detection Techniques," IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 6-15, 2020.
- 22. S. Fan, Y. Sun, & P. Shui, "Region-merging method with texture pattern attention for SAR image segmentation," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters,vol.18, no.1, pp.112-116. 2020.
- 23. J. Bhattacharjee, S. Santra, & A. Deyasi, "A Metaheuristic Approach for Image Segmentation Using Genetic Algorithm," In Advances in Smart Communication Technology and Information Processing: OPTRONIX, pp. 125-134, 2021.
- 24. D. Kumar, R. K. Agrawal, & P. Kumar, "Bias-corrected intuitionistic fuzzy c-means with spatial neighborhood information approach for human brain MRI image segmentation," IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 2020.
- 25. P. Pouladzadeh, S. Shirmohammadi, & T. Arici, "Intelligent SVM based food intake measurement system," In Proceedings of CIVEMSA: The IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Virtual Environments for Measurement Systems and Applications, pp. 87–92, 2013.
- 26. L. C. Chen, G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, & A. L. Yuille, "Deeplab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs," IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 834-848, 2017.
- 27. K. Ding, L. Xiao, & G. Weng, "Active contours driven by local pre-fitting energy for fast image segmentation," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol.104, pp.29-36, 2018.
- 28. W. Wang, Z. Li, J. Yue, & D. Li, "Image segmentation incorporating double-mask via graph cuts," Computers & Electrical Engineering, vol. 54, pp. 246-254, 2016.
- 29. X. Zheng, Q. Lei, R. Yao, Y. Gong, & Q. Yin. "Image segmentation based on adaptive K-means algorithm," EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing, vol.2018, no. 1, pp.1-10, 2018.



- 30. F. M. Abubakar, "Study of image segmentation using thresholding technique on a noisy image," International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), vol.2, no.1, pp.49–51, 2013.
- 31. Thresholding(imageprocessing). http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Thresholding (image_processing)&oldid=606970852, 2014. [Online; Accessed August -2014].
- 32. S. Chakraborty, "An advanced approach to detect edges of digital images for image segmentation," In Applications of Advanced Machine Intelligence in Computer Vision and Object Recognition: Emerging Research and Opportunities, pp. 90-118, 2020.
- 33. S. E. R. T. Eser, & A. V. C. I. Derya, "A new edge detection approach via neutrosophy based on maximum norm entropy," Expert Systems with Applications, vol.115, pp.499-511, 2019.
- 34. D. Sangeetha, & P. Deepa, "FPGA implementation of cost-effective robust Canny edge detection algorithm," Journal of Real-Time Image Processing, vol.16, no.4, pp.957-970, 2019.
- 35. N. S. M. Raja, S. L. Fernandes, N. Dey, S. C. Satapathy, & V. Rajinikanth, "Contrast enhanced medical MRI evaluation using Tsallis entropy and region growing segmentation," Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 1-12, 2018.
- 36. A. Khwairakpam, R. A. Hazarika, & D. Kandar, "Image segmentation by fuzzy edge detection and region growing technique," In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Microelectronics, Computing and Communication Systems, pp. 51-64, 2019.
- 37. J. Acharya, S. Gadhiya, & K. Raviya, "Segmentation techniques for image analysis: A review," International Journal of Computer Science and Management Research, vol.2, no.1, pp.1218–1221, 2013.
- T. Wang, L. Yin, & X. Wang, "A community detection method based on local similarity and degree clustering information," Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, vol.490, pp.1344-1354, 2018.
- 39. K. Shrivastava, N. Gupta, & N. Sharma, "Medical image segmentation using modified k means clustering," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol.103, no.16, pp.12–16, 2014.
- 40. M. Satokangas, S. Lumme, M. Arffman, & I. Keskimäki,"Trajectory modelling of ambulatory care sensitive conditions in Finland in 1996–2013: assessing the development of equity in primary health care through clustering of geographic areas–an observational retrospective study," BMC health services research, vol.19, no.1, pp.1-12, 2019.
- 41. D. P. Mashinini, K. J. Fogarty, R. C. Potter, & J. D. Berles, "Geographic hot spot analysis of vaccine exemption clustering patterns in Michigan from 2008 to 2017," Vaccine, vol.38, no.51, pp.8116-8120, 2020.
- 42. A. Aher, J. Kasar, P. Ahuja, & V. Jadhav, "Smart agriculture using clustering and IOT". International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), vol.5, no. 3, pp.2395-0056, 2018.
- 43. H. Xiao, L. He, X. Li, Q. Zhang, & W. Li, "Texture synthesis: a novel method for generating digital models with heterogeneous diversity of rock materials and its CGM verification," Computers and Geotechnics, vol.130, 103895, 2021.
- 44. V. Cohen-Addad, V. Kanade, F. Mallmann-Trenn, & C. Mathieu, "Hierarchical clustering: Objective functions and algorithms," Journal of the ACM (JACM), vol. 66, no.4, pp.1-42, 2019.



- 45. Q. Xu, Q. Zhang, J. Liu, & B. Luo, "Efficient synthetical clustering validity indexes for hierarchical clustering," Expert Systems with Applications, vol.151, 113367, 2020.
- 46. J. Xu, & K. Lange, "Power k-means clustering," In International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 6921- 6931, 2019.
- 47. T. Ege, Y. Ando, R. Tanno, W. Shimoda, &K. Yanai, "Image-based estimation of real food size for accurate food calorie estimation," In 2019 IEEE Conference on Multimedia Information Processing and Retrieval (MIPR) pp. 274-279, 2019.
- 48. S. Turmchokkasam, & K. Chamnongthai, "The design and implementation of an ingredient-based food calorie estimation system using nutrition knowledge and fusion of brightness and heat information," IEEE Access,vol. 6, pp.46863-46876, 2018.
- 49. C. Zhu, C. U. Idemudia, & W. Feng, "Improved logistic regression model for diabetes prediction by integrating PCA and K-means techniques," Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, vol. 17, 100179, 2019.
- 50. B. R. Lee, "An image segmentation approach for fruit defect detection using k-means clustering and graph-based algorithm," Vietnam Journal of Computer Science, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25-33, 2015.
- 51. A. González-López, J. de Moura, J. Novo, M. Ortega, &M. G. Penedo, "Robust segmentation of retinal layers in optical coherence tomography images based on a multistage active contour model," Heliyon, vol. 5, no. 2, e01271, 2019.
- 52. L. Yang, D. Xin, L. Zhai, F. Yuan, &X. Li, " Active contours driven by visual saliency fitting energy for image segmentation in SAR images," In 2019 IEEE 4th International Conference on Cloud Computing and Big Data Analysis (ICCCBDA) pp. 393-397, 2019.
- 53. H. Yu, F. He, & Y. Pan, "A survey of level set method for image segmentation with intensity inhomogeneity," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol.79, no.39, pp. 28525-28549, 2020.
- 54. Z. Zhang, C.Duan, T.Lin, S. Zhou, Y. Wang, & X. Gao, "GVFOM: a novel external force for active contour based image segmentation," Information Sciences, vol.506, pp.1-18, 2020.
- 55. S. Minaee, Y. Y. Boykov, F. Porikli, A. J.Plaza, N. Kehtarnavaz, & D. Terzopoulos, "Image segmentation using deep learning: A survey," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2021.
- 56. G. Dimauro, & L. Simone, "Novel biased normalized cuts approach for the automatic segmentation of the conjunctiva," Electronics, vol.9, no.6, 997, 2020.
- 57. P. F. Felzenszwalb, & D. P. Huttenlocher, "Efficient graph-based image segmentation," International Journal of Computer Vision, vol.59, no.2, pp.167–181, 2014.
- 58. P. Subudhi, & S. Mukhopadhyay, "A statistical active contour model for interactive clutter image segmentation using graph cut optimization," Signal Processing, vol.184, 108056, 2021.
- 59. S. Zafari, T. Eerola, J. Sampo, H. Kälviäinen, & H. Haario, "Segmentation of partially overlapping convex objects using branch and bound algorithm," In Asian Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 76-90. 2016.
- 60. K. Jeevitha, A. Iyswariya, V. RamKumar, S. M. Basha, & V. P. Kumar, "A review on various segmentation techniques in image processing," European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine, vol.7, no.4, pp.1342-1348, 2020.



- 61. A. Abdulrahman, & S. Varol, "A Review of Image Segmentation Using MATLAB Environment," In 2020 8th International Symposium on Digital Forensics and Security (ISDFS) pp. 1-5, 2020.
- 62. Y. Kortli, M. Jridi, A. Al Falou, & M. Atri, "Face recognition systems: A Survey," Sensors, vol.20, no.2, pp.1-36, 2020.
- 63. M. Trokielewicz, A. Czajka, & P. Maciejewicz, "Postmortem iris recognition with deep-learning-based image segmentation," Image and Vision Computing, vol.94, 103866, 2020.
- 64. T. Hoeser, F. Bachofer, & C. Kuenzer, "Object detection and image segmentation with deep learning on Earth observation data: A review—Part II: Applications," Remote Sensing, vo. 12, no. 18, 3053, 2020.
- 65. T. Ege, Y. Ando, R. Tanno, W. Shimoda, & K. Yanai, "Image-based estimation of real food size for accurate food calorie estimation," In 2019 IEEE Conference on Multimedia Information Processing and Retrieval (MIPR), pp. 274-279, 2019.
- 66. Y. Yuan, J. Xie, X. Chen, & J. Wang, "Segfix: Modelagnostic boundary refinement for segmentation," In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 489-506, 2020.
- E. M. Cherrat, R. Alaoui, & H. Bouzahir, "Improving of fingerprint segmentation images based on K-means and DBSCAN clustering," International Journal of Electrical & Computer Engineering (2088-8708), vol.9, no.4, pp. 2425-2432, 2019.
- 68. J. Ren, G. Chen, X. Li, & K. Mao, "Striped-texture image segmentation with application to multimedia security," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol.78, no.19, pp.26965-26978, 2019.
- 69. A. P. Agrawal, & N. Tyagi, "Review on digital image segmentation techniques," Journal of Critical Reviews, vol.7, no. 3, pp. 779-784, 2020.
- S. Kalaivani, S. P. Shantharajah, & T. Padma, "Agricultural leaf blight disease segmentation using indices based histogram intensity segmentation approach," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol. 79, no.13,pp.9145-9159,2020.