The Impact of Investor Behaviour on Investment Decision Making in the Capital Market

Shainy V.P[1], Claret V. Pereira[2], Dr V. Basil Hans[3]

[1]Assistant Profesor of Commerce, Trisha College of Commerce & Management, Mangalore.
[2]Assistant Professor, BBA Dept, St Aloysius Deemed to be University, Mangalore.
[3]Research Professor, Srinivas University, Mangalore

Abstract:
This paper explores the significant influence of investor behaviour on decision-making process within capital markets. The primary objective is to analyze how psychological factors, such as cognitive biases and emotional responses, affect investment choices and market outcomes. Specifically, we aim to identify key behavioural biases – such as loss aversion, over confidence and herding behaviour that distort rational decision making and contribute to market inefficiencies. By integrating theoretical frameworks from behavioral finance with empirical observations, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics between investor psychology and capital market performance. The research methodology includes a review of existing literature and case studies that illustrate the consequences of irrational behaviour on market trends and asset pricing. This paper also aims to highlight the implications for individual investors, financial analysts and policy makers in developing strategies to mitigate the adverse effects of behavioural biases. Limitations of the study are the human biases in the selection of literature, as well as the challenge of generalizing findings across different market environments due to varying cultural and economic contexts. Additionally, while this paper discusses various behavioural biases, it does not exhaustively cover all possible influences on investor decision-making, leaving room for future research to explore unexamined factors.

Keywords: Investor behaviour, decision making, psychological factors, behaviour finance

1. Introduction:

Investor behaviour significantly influences decision-making processes within capital market, shaping not only individual investment choices but also broader market dynamics. Traditional finance theories often assume that investors act rationally, basing their decisions solely on available information and objective analysis. However, behaviour finance challenges this notion by highlighting the psychological factors that lead to irrational and often detrimental investment decisions. Key behavioural biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, herding behaviour, and emotional responses can distort rational thinking, resulting in market inefficiencies and anomalies. The interplay between investor psychology and market performance is complex. For instance, loss aversion may cause investors to hold onto losing stocks longer than advisable, while overconfidence can lead to excessive trading and risk-taking. Herding behaviour often drives investors to follow the crowd, amplifying market trends and contributing to phenomena such as asset bubbles or crashes. These behaviours are not observed among individual investors but can also manifest in institutional settings, affecting overall market sentiment and stability. This paper aims to

explore the various dimensions of investor behaviour and its implications for investment decision-making in capital markets. By examining the psychological underpinnings of investor actions, we seek to understand how these behaviours impact market outcomes and contribute to the development of investment strategies that account for cognitive biases. Additionally, this research will address the limitations inherent in traditional financial models that overlook the significant role of human behaviour in financial markets. In summary, understanding investor behaviour is crucial for developing effective investment strategies and enhancing market efficiency. By acknowledging the psychological factors at play, investors can make more informed decisions, potentially leading to improved financial outcomes and a more stable market environment.

The fate of any investment in the capital market is heavily influenced by the investor who has made the investment. The performance of an investment, individual or institutional, ex-post, is dependent on the individual investors being rational - having perfect information and being diligent in decision making. Financial markets can therefore be viewed as an arena where individual investors face off as informed agents, interact directly or indirectly and where price movements reflect real economic events in balanced efficient markets. However, the reality is very different. The stock market shows a high degree of volatility and discounts substantially and properly on events that later turn out to be important. Many successful investments in the capital market are often those that are anticipatory in nature though they are subjective and uncertain. The job of the investors is to correctly anticipate the future and take an informed decision, but what news to anticipate, how to interpret it, and how to act or react upon it would seem to require some knowledge on the psychological factors that drive human actions. The investor has to make a decision whether he will buy or sell the shares or put the portfolio or withdraw the portfolio in the share market. The probability of the investor faced with evidence and alternative options will make the share investment decision. Thus, the share market is the marketplace for the sales and purchase of the share. The decisions are made to make a profit or hedge the risk of the investor. The decision-making part comes when the choice has to be made between two or more possibilities. An investment is the current commitment of money or other resources with the expectation of deriving future benefits. Investors can be characterized by the investment decisions that they make. These decisions are influenced by a variety of external factors such as market environment and financial institutions legislation, as by the individual factors that make investors more or less susceptible to these external stimuli. And so; individual also faced with a situation in which there is more than one choice or alternative. In fact, it is the individual employment of this type of connotative with which this research is concerned.

The complexity of the capital market frequently has penetrated wider consumer's groups to make investment there. Their decision is not always independently pure, but always attended by a combination of the other factors, both relevant and non-relevant. This imperfect decision-making might be influenced by any behavioral biases. This behavioral biases path might have potency to set the market more volatile and efficiency. Therefore, this subject is worth to investigate. Moreover, understanding behavioral biases might allow the investors to anticipate it and capitalize it for maximizing their wealth. Investment involves a lot of risks and uncertainty. It’s linked to future event, hence, believed that it can’t be fully predicted. Investors are no other than a part of these individuals who involve in investment in capital market. Not all investors perfectly rational, there are a number of factors, either cognitively or emotionally has led to rather less perfect decision. It’s obviously likely because the investor tends to use a rule of thumb or heuristic judgment in making a decision. In this term, many bias heuristics and attitudes or combination between them might affect price process or market to set equilibrium. Bias is an encapsulation of decision

shortcuts, rules of thumb, or heuristics, employed in decision making while being cognitively costly and potentially complex. On the other hand, attitude is the part of preferences or acquired preferences from subjective value or incentive in valuing thing. Aforementioned things either individually or further with mediating role of either attitude or bias would spark investor's decision-making process for investing in the share market what in consequential affects stock price.

2. Theoretical Framework

Investors, both professional and private, constantly face the complex task of making choices. Investment decisions made by individuals are known to be influenced by their manner of thinking, values, beliefs, and risk preferences. The Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) has greatly impacted the way people think about the markets. It states that "asset prices fully reflect all available information" thus rendering it impossible for arbitrageurs to earn abnormal returns consistently (Leigh Muller, 2015).

The EMH further categorises market efficiency into weak, semi-strong and strong form regarding historical prices, all public information and all information respectively. The hypothesis has received much critique owing to the myriad of market anomalies observed over the years. Many of these anomalies have been attributed to the irrationalities of investors themselves, a field which falls under the umbrella of Behavioural Finance.

Theories postulated by behavioural finance to explain irrational missteps in investment decision making are aplenty. The basis for many of these theories can be attributed to the work into the realm of cognitive biases. Heuristics are often used by people as an easy route to make decisions in their every day lives. However, these very shortcuts, which people normally take to save time and effort, are the leading cause of biased judgments and irrational decision making (Amin and Shahzaib Pirzada, 2014). The paper proposes that a comprehensive piece of work combining untested spheres of behavioural biases in a unique geographic setting is likely to offer interesting insight into the effects of investor behaviour.

2.1. Efficient Market Hypothesis

Since the seminal paper of Eugene Fama (1970) which addresses the efficient market hypothesis (EMH), the operational stock index of one country undoubtedly becomes a good tool to know market conditions. The idea behind the EMH is that prices of all stocks quickly and fairly react to any information items on them which are newly received by the market. To certain extent, therefore, any good news, bad news, or any revelation derivate from any sources such as oil prices, money growth, other country economy, or merely mass psychology change (herd instinct) can rapidly drive the whole market to respond, indicated by rising, declining, or at least shifting value of the stock index. EMH was the concept of market logicity. Many postulates and theorems continue to be put forward by EMH supporters and opponents over the last three decades. Fama himself changed his earlier stand on EMH to a sort of adaptive market hypothesis recently, which claimed that while the basic idea of the EMH is still right, yet there should be room for a considerable portion of market participants who merely act with adaptive expectation or with assistance of worthless charts which can not be statistically tested. Since then, EMH has attracted many debates and disputes amongst researchers in economics and finance circle. A series of well-known journals have continuously published piles of articles concerning tests of the EMH, as well as discussions on the developed and more sophisticated version of the EMH. Even so, such debates have not cooled down. Behavioural finance, one of the hot topics in the 1990s, gives a new and more rigorous challenge to EMH. It is claimed by the opponents of EMH that there are some quite well observed market phenomena (so called anomalies) which can hardly be explained by any existing formalization of the EMH but quite well

or even more smoothly be well embraced under the behavioural finance framework (herd instinct and emotion-based model). Most researches behind those paper were predominantly carried out against the benchmark of EMH. However, good attention should also be paid to the fact that events concerning the capital markets also imply that EMH could be nothing but mainly a hypothesis. The Asian financial crises were hardly forecast by any well developed EMH-based models. Moreover, it was even argued by the leader of EMH that the deliberate immediate decline of stock markets of the world two days after the appearance of total solar eclipse on July 11, 1991, could only be well expounded by the market reflex hypothesis instead of EMH.

2.2. Behavioural Finance Theories

Behavioral Finance Theories discuss the psychological factors that affect investor attitude, which in turn shape its decisions. These theories try to take into consideration the irrational nature of market participants and look at the market anomalies discussing the psychological biases which drive those irrationalities. The section offers some of the behavioral finance theories that account for why investors are not always successful in making profitable investments.

Prospect Theory presents the fact that investors usually react differently to gains and losses. Empirical studies showed that "large proportional gains tend to instigate risk-seeking behavior and large proportional losses stimulate risk aversion" in investors. The first notable theory which brings psychological aspects into finance is Prospect Theory. It discusses the reflection effect and displays the asymmetrical behavior when market players consider gains and losses (Chandra, 2008). When considered as consumers, players become risk-averse for gains and risk lovers for losses. However, when only describing pain as an investor the rationale behind it changes. It's difficult to live with debt, thus, losing money or decreasing the wealth level is more painful than gaining money under usual circumstances. Hence, when only investment choices are taken into consideration; the consumer behavior isn't symmetric anymore.

There are also cognitive reasons to describe observed phenomena as well. Cognitive biases resemble the mistakes people make because they're utilizing emotions in their problems. Many experimental works over the last two decades identified the cognitive biases in investment choices. Yet, it should also be remembered that reflecting on own actions is simpler, and doing it transparently is much more challenging. The most subjective cognitive bias is the overconfidence; people overly evaluate the quality of their decisional processes and final judgment. But one of the main reasons of failure in the markets is overestimating the success probability of these decisions. Another prominent cognitive bias is the loss aversion; people are 2-4 times more sensitive about potential losses rather than possible gains. Thus, they are prone to realize a gain rather than a stock

3. Objectives of the Study:

1. **Examine the Relationship between Investor Behaviour and Decision Making:** The paper aims to analyze how various psychological factors, such as cognitive biases (e.g., loss aversion, overconfidence and herding behaviour), influence individual investment decisions and overall market dynamics. Understanding this relationship is crucial for identifying patterns in investor behaviour that lead to irrational decision-making.
2. **Assess the Impact of Behavioural Biases on Market Performance:** The paper seeks to evaluate how investor behaviour, shaped by psychological influences, affects capital market performance. This includes investigating the implications of such behaviour on market efficiency, price fluctuations and the potential for asset bubbles or crashes.

3. **Develop Practical Insights for Investors and Market Practitioners:** By exploring the dynamics of investor behaviour, the study aims to provide actionable recommendations for individual investors, financial analysts and policy makers. These insights will help in crafting strategies that mitigate the negative effects of behavioural biases on investment decisions and enhance overall market stability.

4. Review of Literature:

An extensive study of literature pertaining to the impact of investor behaviour on investment decisions in capital markets was made. A summary of the same is presented below:

1. **Exploring the Nexus of Capital Market and Investor Behaviour**

Authors: Not specified
Journal: International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues
Volume: 14
Page No: 1 – 10

This review paper examines the intricate relationship between capital markets and investor behaviour, utilizing bibliometric analysis to analyze 248 papers. The findings highlight how behavioural finance deviates from traditional market efficiency models, impacting portfolio strategies and investment decisions. The paper emphasizes the need for better investor protection and regulatory frameworks based on behavioral insights.

2. **An Analysis of Investor Behaviour in the Equity Market**

Authors: Vinita Sharma
Journal: EPRA International Journal of Economics and Business Review
Volume: 12
Page No: 35 – 45

This study investigates the effects of psychological factors such as loss aversion and overconfidence on investor behaviour in equity markets. Using regression analysis, it finds a positive correlation between these biases and market performance, suggesting that understanding these dynamics is crucial for investors and market practitioners to enhance decision -making strategies.

3. **Retail Investor’s Behaviour: A Literature Review**

Authors: Not specified
Journal: IJCRT
Volume: 11
Page No: 1 – 15

This literature review explores various factors influencing retail investor’s decision-making processes, including cognitive biases and demographic characteristics. It discusses how herding behaviour and market conditions affect investment decisions, emphasizing the role of technology in shaping investor behaviour. The paper calls for further research to understand the evolving landscape of retail investor behaviour.

4. **A Systematic Review on Investor’s Behaviour in Stock Market**

Authors: N.L. Balasudarsun, M. Satish, Mansurali Anifa, Hema
Journal: Proceedings of the 04th International Conference on Marketing, Technology and Society
Volume: Not Specified
Page No: 1 – 10

This paper focuses on behavioural finance and its implications for market anomalies caused by psychological factors like emotions and cognitive biases. The authors explore how these biases influence investment decisions and suggest strategies to mitigate their effects, aiming to enhance profitability for investors.

5. **The Impact of Investor Sentiment on Stock Returns: Evidence from the Taiwan Stock Market**

Authors: Chuang, W.I., & Lee, C.C.
Journal: International Review of Economics & Finance
Volume: 42
Page No: 124 – 136

This paper investigates how investor sentiments affects stock returns in Taiwan's market. The author find a significant relationship between sentiment indicators and market performance, suggesting that understanding investor sentiment can improve forecasting models for stock returns.

6. **Understanding Retail Investor Behaviour through Behavioural Finance Frameworks**

Authors: Not specified
Journal: Journal of Behavioural Finance
Volume: Not specified

This article reviews behavioural finance theories to explain retail investor's decision-making processes. It highlights how biases such as overconfidence and loss aversions to suboptimal investment choices, ultimately affecting market efficiency.

7. **Investor Behaviour and Market Dynamics during Financial Crises**

Authors: Not specified
Journal: Finance Research Letter
Volume: Not specified

This study examines how investor behaviour changes during financial crises focusing on panic selling and herd behaviour. The authors argue that understanding these behaviours is essential for developing effective regulatory measures to stabilize markets during turbulent times.

8. **Behavioural Biases in Investment Decision-Making: Evidence from Chinese Retail Investors.**

Authors: Liu, Y., & Zhang, J.
Journal: Journal of Behavioural Finance
Volume: 21(4)
Page No: 1-15

This research analyzes the behavioural biases affecting retail investors in China, revealing how cultural factors influence decision-making processes. The findings suggest that addressing these biases can improve investment outcomes for individual investors.

9. **The Role of Financial Literacy in Shaping Investor Behaviour in Emerging Markets**

Authors: Not specified
Journal: Emerging Markets Review
Volume: Not specified

This paper discusses the importance of financial literacy in influencing investor behaviour in emerging markets. It emphasizes that higher financial literacy leads to more informed decision-making, reducing susceptibility to cognitive biases.

10. **Herding Behaviour Among Investors in Financial Markets: A Review of Literature**

Authors: Not specified

Journal: International Journal of Economics and Finance
Volume: Not specified
This literature review focuses on herding behaviour among investors, examining its causes and consequences on market dynamics. The authors highlight that herding can lead to significant market anomalies and stresses on the importance of understanding this phenomenon for both investors and regulators.
These articles collectively provide a comprehensive understanding of how investor behaviour influences decision-making processes in capital markets, highlighting various psychological factors and their implications for market dynamics. Understanding the dynamics of investor psychology and capital market performance requires an integration of behavioural finance with empirical observations. This integration helps to elucidate how psychological factors influence investment decisions and market outcomes, ultimately leading to a more nuanced view of market behaviours than traditional financial theories provide.

5.Theoretical Framework:
Investors, both professional and private, constantly face the complex task of making choices. Investment decisions made by individuals are known to be influenced by their manner of thinking, values, beliefs, and risk preferences. The Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) has greatly impacted the way people think about the markets. It states that "asset prices fully reflect all available information" thus rendering it impossible for arbitrageurs to earn abnormal returns consistently (Leigh Muller, 2015).
The EMH further categorises market efficiency into weak, semi-strong and strong form regarding historical prices, all public information and all information respectively. The hypothesis has received much critique owing to the myriad of market anomalies observed over the years. Many of these anomalies have been attributed to the irrationalities of investors themselves, a field which falls under the umbrella of Behavioural Finance.
Theories postulated by behavioural finance to explain irrational missteps in investment decision making are aplenty. The basis for many of these theories can be attributed to the work into the realm of cognitive biases. Heuristics are often used by people as an easy route to make decisions in their every day lives. However, these very shortcuts, which people normally take to save time and effort, are the leading cause of biased judgments and irrational decision making (Amin and Shahzaib Pirzada, 2014). The paper proposes that a comprehensive piece of work combining untested spheres of behavioural biases in a unique geographic setting is likely to offer interesting insight into the effects of investor behaviour.
5.1. Efficient Market Hypothesis
Since the seminal paper of Eugene Fama (1970) which addresses the efficient market hypothesis (EMH), the operational stock index of one country undoubtedly becomes a good tool to know market conditions. The idea behind the EMH is that prices of all stocks quickly and fairly react to any information items on them which are newly received by the market. To certain extent, therefore, any good news, bad news, or any revelation derivate from any sources such as oil prices, money growth, other country economy, or merely mass psychology change (herd instinct) can rapidly drive the whole market to respond, indicated by rising, declining, or at least shifting value of the stock index. EMH was the concept of market logicity. Many postulates and theorems continue to be put forward by EMH supporters and opponents over the last three decades. Fama himself changed his earlier stand on EMH to a sort of adaptive market hypothesis recently, which claimed that while the basic idea of the EMH is still right, yet there should be room for a considerable portion of market participants who merely act with adaptive expectation or with assistance

of worthless charts which can not be statistically tested. Since then, EMH has attracted many debates and disputes amongst researchers in economics and finance circle. A series of well-known journals have continuously published piles of articles concerning tests of the EMH, as well as discussions on the developed and more sophisticated version of the EMH. Even so, such debates have not cooled down. Behavioural finance, one of the hot topics in the 1990s, gives a new and more rigorous challenge to EMH. It is claimed by the opponents of EMH that there are some quite well observed market phenomena (so called anomalies) which can hardly be explained by any existing formalization of the EMH but quite well or even more smoothly be well embraced under the behavioural finance framework (herd instinct and emotion-based model). Most researches behind those paper were predominantly carried out against the benchmark of EMH. However, good attention should also be paid to the fact that events concerning the capital markets also imply that EMH could be nothing but mainly a hypothesis. The Asian financial crises were hardly forecast by any well developed EMH-based models. Moreover, it was even argued by the leader of EMH that the deliberate immediate decline of stock markets of the world two days after the appearance of total solar eclipse on July 11, 1991, could only be well expounded by the market reflex hypothesis instead of EMH.

5.2. Behavioural Finance Theories

Behavioral Finance Theories discuss the psychological factors that affect investor attitude, which in turn shape its decisions. These theories try to take into consideration the irrational nature of market participants and look at the market anomalies discussing the psychological biases which drive those irrationalities. The section offers some of the behavioral finance theories that account for why investors are not always successful in making profitable investments.

Prospect Theory presents the fact that investors usually react differently to gains and losses. Empirical studies showed that “large proportional gains tend to instigate risk-seeking behavior and large proportional losses stimulate risk aversion” in investors. The first notable theory which brings psychological aspects into finance is Prospect Theory. It discusses the reflection effect and displays the asymmetrical behavior when market players consider gains and losses (Chandra, 2008). When considered as consumers, players become risk-averse for gains and risk lovers for losses. However, when only describing pain as an investor the rationale behind it changes. It’s difficult to live with debt, thus, losing money or decreasing the wealth level is more painful than gaining money under usual circumstances. Hence, when only investment choices are taken into consideration; the consumer behavior isn’t symmetric anymore.

There are also cognitive reasons to describe observed phenomena as well. Cognitive biases resemble the mistakes people make because they’re utilizing emotions in their problems. Many experimental works over the last two decades identified the cognitive biases in investment choices. Yet, it should also be remembered that reflecting on own actions is simpler, and doing it transparently is much more challenging. The most subjective cognitive bias is the overconfidence; people overly evaluate the quality of their decisional processes and final judgment. But one of the main reasons of failure in the markets is overestimating the success probability of these decisions. Another prominent cognitive bias is the loss aversion; people are 2-4 times more sensitive about potential losses rather than possible gains. Thus, they are prone to realize a gain rather than a stock.

6. Research Design

The rational process of decision-making, discernible between the choice of investing in a share and preference for a bond, is characterized by certain models, psychological biases, and key events affecting

the decision environment. A considerable volume of models are developed for explaining the investment decision-making process (Chandra, 2008). These models are categorized into beliefs-based models, state-based models, and "bourne with noise" models. Economists and other social scientists have traditionally believed that uncertainty is not an obstacle when an individual has well-defined preferences and complete information about a problem. Then, according to the model that they posited on the basis of these assumptions, such a person can compare the alternatives available to them and then choose the best possible method of action. But in practical reality, decisions are made under conditions of uncertainty and stock market investment is not an exception. Thus, investment decision-making is not a simple issue and there are many factors related to the share and the investor that influence the investment decision. Investment behaviour is explained through models which describe the behaviour of the investor or explains how an investor comes to an investment decision. This is described as the attitude of the investor. Situational factors play a very significant role in the investment decision making. These situational factors include ignorance, liquidity, complexity, excitement, and narrow framing. Ignorance induces to trade in large value stock. A reduction in number of shares traded is observed when the investor was excited recently. The racial and national riots, and the prevalence of foot-and-mouth disease in the U.K. stock market, significantly affected the market index.

6.1. Data Collection Methods

Investor's psychology: a study of investor behavior in the Indian Capital Market underscores the importance of thoroughly understanding the different behaviors, perceptions, and biases displayed by a certain group of investors, since knowledge of this nature could potentially assist investors, corporates to reach their requisite goal, and the regulatory body to install new reforms within the existing framework. Factors that influence investment decision making among potential individual investors in Malaysia measured personal financial knowledge; background of psychology and behavior, willingness to take financial risk, and environment regarding the decision-making variables that can affect personal investment decision making. When deciding where to invest their assets, individual investors must perform fundamental investment analysis, evaluate investment opportunities, assess competitor companies, analyze the macroeconomic environment as well as the inherent prospects for investment performance in those environments (Zulaikha Ahmad Zaidi and Suziwana Hj Tahir, 2019).

Most investors believe that the price of a stock they are holding will go up, while the competing belief that the stock will not go up is the least popular. Investors with relatively high turnover rates also exhibit more optimism. It is argued that the integration of varying levels of optimism and excessive turnover among investors can affect prices. Therefore, the conclusion is that heterogeneous expectations based on investor optimism (and other behavioural factors) motivate an over-response by others, and ultimately lead to abnormal price behavior (investor under-reaction and subsequent reversal) in the stock market. In the context of investment, those who are conservative will prioritize capital preservative efforts rather than seeking high levels of return.

7. The Role of Behavioural Finance

Behavioural finance holds that investors are not always rational actors; instead, their decisions are often swayed by cognitive biases and emotional influences. According to the Corporate Finance Institute, behavioural finance studies the impact of psychological factors on investor behaviour and how these behaviours affect market performance. It identifies several key biases, such as self-deception, heuristic simplification, and emotional responses, which can lead to suboptimal investment decisions and market

inefficiencies. For example, investors may overestimate their knowledge or rely on mental shortcuts that distort their judgment, leading to poor investment choices. Investopedia further underscores this notion by highlighting that psychological influences can explain various market anomalies, such as dramatic price fluctuations that cannot be accounted for by rational decision-making alone. Understanding these biases is crucial for recognizing why markets behave irrationally at times. By analyzing how these psychological factors manifest in trading behaviour, researchers can better predict market movements and identify potential investment opportunities.

7.1 Empirical Observations

Integrating empirical observations into the study of behavioural finance helps us to validate theoretical frameworks with real-world data. For Instance, an empirical study published in the Journal of IMS Group explore how emotions and cognitive errors influence investor behaviour in the stock market. The research examines the relationship between investor psychology and various factors such as technical analysis and media influence. It concludes that understanding these psychological aspects is essential for developing effective investment strategies. Moreover, a review of literature on behavioural finance reveals that emotional states significantly impact financial decision-making. As noted in a piece from William & Mary Online Blog, understanding how emotions like fear and greed affect investor behaviour can help in crafting better investment strategies. This insight is particularly valuable during periods of market volatility when emotional reactions can lead to irrational trading behaviours.

7.2 Market Anomalies and Investor Behaviour

The integration of behavioural finance with empirical observations also sheds light on market anomalies. Behavioral finance provides explanations for phenomena such as herding behaviour, where investors follow the crowd rather than making independent decisions based on fundamental analysis. This behaviour can lead to asset bubbles or crashes, as seen during various financial crises. By studying these patterns empirically, researchers can identify triggers for such behaviours and develop strategies to mitigate their effects. For instance, a study examining herding behaviour among investors highlights how social influences can lead to collective irrationality in trading decisions. This research suggests that awareness of social dynamics can inform better decision-making processes among investors. Understanding the psychological underpinnings of such behaviours enhances our ability to predict market trends and develop more robust investment strategies. Integrating behavioural finance with empirical observations provides a comprehensive framework for understanding investor psychology and its impact on capital market performance. By acknowledging the role of cognitive biases and emotional influences in decision-making processes, investors can refine their strategies to navigate the complexities of financial markets more effectively. This approach not only enhances individual investment outcomes but also contributes to a deeper understanding of overall market dynamics.

Examining the relationship between investor behaviours and decision-making in the capital market reveals significant insights into how psychological factors influence investment choices and market dynamics. This analysis integrates findings from various studies that highlight the complexities of investor behaviour, including emotional influences, cognitive biases and market conditions.

7.3 Psychological Aspects of Investor Behaviour

1. **Impact on Equity Markets:** The study titled “An Analysis of Investor Behaviour in the Equity Market”, investigates how psychological factors like loss aversion and over confidence affect investor behaviour and equity market performance. Utilizing regression analysis, the research finds a positive correlation between these psychological traits and investment decisions, indicating that understanding

this behaviour is crucial for predicting market trends and enhancing investment strategies. The findings suggest that individual investors' decisions are significantly influenced by their emotional responses and cognitive biases.

2. **Investor Sentiment and Market Liquidity**
   Research published in the article "Impact of Investor Behaviour and Stock Market Liquidity: Evidence from China" explores how investor sentiment affects market liquidity. The study reveals that increased cognitive ability among investors can lower market liquidity while positive sentiment enhances it. This relationship underscores the importance of understanding investor psychology in assessing market conditions. The findings highlight that irrational behaviours, such as speculative trading or herding can lead to mispricing in the stock market.
3. **Behavioural Finance Framework**
   In "Capital Market Adaptability, Investor Behaviour and Impact", the authors discuss how investor behaviour often deviates from traditional financial theories predicting market efficiency. They examine anomalies such as bubbles, where prices exceed fundamental values due to irrational exuberance among investors. This study emphasizes that understanding investor goal - such as maximizing returns while minimizing risk can provide insights into their decision-making processes.
4. **Systematic Review of Behavioural Factors**
   The systematic review titled "A Systematic Review on Investors' Behaviour in Stock Market" highlights the role of emotions and cognitive biases in shaping investment decisions. It discusses how factors like loss aversion and mental accounting influence investor behaviour, leading to various market anomalies. The authors suggest strategies to mitigate these biases, emphasizing the need for awareness among investors to enhance decision-making.
5. **Quantitative Analysis of Investor Performance**
   According to Investopedia's analysis of investor behaviour, average investors often underperform compared to broader market indices due to emotional decision-making and behavioural biases. For instance, a report by Dalbar found that average equity mutual fund investor lagged significantly behind the S&P 500 to poor timing in their investment decisions. This underperformance illustrates how irrational behaviours can detrimentally affect investment outcomes.

The relationship between investor behaviours and decision-making in capital markets is deeply intertwined with psychological factors. Studies indicate that cognitive biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and emotional influences play critical roles in shaping investment choices and overall market performance. By understanding these dynamics, investors can better navigate the complexities of financial markets, leading to more informed decision-making and improved investment strategies. Integrating behavioural finance with empirical observations not only enhances our understanding of these relationships but also provides practical insights for individual investors and market practitioners alike.

Behavioural biases significantly impact market performance by distorting asset prices, influencing investor behaviour and contributing to market inefficiencies. These biases can lead to mispricing of assets, increased volatility, suboptimal investment decisions and systematic risks. Here's a detailed examination of how these biases affect market performance supported by various articles.

1. **Mispricing of Assets:** Behavioural biases often lead to the mispricing of assets in financial markets. For instance, ***overconfidence*** bias can cause investors to overvalue certain stocks, resulting in inflated prices that do not reflect their true fundamentals. As noted in the ***article of Jaro Education***, this mispricing can hinder the efficient allocation of capital, as investors may be led to make decisions

based on distorted perceptions rather than objective analysis. Similarly, ***herding behaviour*** can create asset bubbles or market panics, driving prices away from their intrinsic value.

2. **Increased Market Volatility:** Behavioural biases contribute to increased market volatility by causing investors to react disproportionately to market events or news. The fear of missing out (**FOMO**) can lead to sudden price spikes as investors rush to buy a trending asset, while panic selling during downturns exacerbates market declines. According to the same ***Jaro Education article***, such ***emotional reactions*** amplify volatility and create erratic market movements that deviate from rational expectations. This volatility can destabilize markets and undermine investor confidence.
3. **Suboptimal Investment Decisions:** Investors make suboptimal decisions due to behavioural biases. For example, **loss aversion** may cause investors to hold onto losing positions longer than necessary, resulting in missed opportunities for reallocation or diversification. The article on mutual fund portfolio rebalancing highlights how biases like ***anchoring*** and ***disposition effect*** lead investors to cling to underperforming assets due to emotional attachments or initial expectations. This behaviour not only affects individual portfolios but also contributes to broader market inefficiencies.
4. **Herd Behaviour:** Herd behaviour is another significant bias that impacts market performance. Driven be ***social proof or fear*** of deviating from the crowd, investors may follow trends rather than conducting independent analyses. This behaviour can create momentum in asset prices that is detached from underlying fundamentals. The ***Investopedia article*** emphasizes that trend chasing leads investors to commit funds into high-performing assets based on past performance rather than future potential. Such herd behaviour can result in dramatic price swings and contribute to market bubbles or crashes.
5. **Underestimation of Risks:** Biases like optimism bias or anchoring can cause investors to underestimate risks associated with certain investments. As highlighted in the ***research published by Ahmad & Shah (2020)*** many investors lack sufficient knowledge and rely on subjective knowledge and rely on subjective intuition when making decision, leading them to make aggressive trades without evaluating intrinsic values. This inadequate risk assessment not only affects individual investment outcomes but also has implications for overall market stability.
6. **Impact on Financial Stability**
   Collectively, these behavioural biases contribute to systematic risks and financial instability by amplifying market swings and undermining the resilience of financial markets. The Jaro Education article notes that these biases can affect investor sentiment and confidence, influencing broader economic trends. For example during periods of economic uncertainty, heightened emotional responses can lead to widespread panic selling or irrational buying further destabilizing markets.

Understanding how behavioural biases impact market performance is essential for improving investment decision-making and fostering more efficient markets. By recognizing these biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, herding behaviour and risk underestimation – investors can develop strategies to mitigate their effects and make more rational decisions. Integrating insights from behavioural finance into finance models will enhance our understanding of market dynamics and improve overall investment outcomes.

To enhance decision making and market performance it is essential for investors, financial analysts and policy makers to understand the dynamics of investor behaviour. By recognizing behavioural biases and their implications, actionable recommendations can be developed to mitigate negative impacts on investment outcomes and market stability. Below listed are some key recommendations based on insights from behavioural finance:

8. Recommendations

8.1 Recommendations for Investors:

1. **Awareness and Education on Behavioral Biases:**

Investors should educate themselves about common behavioural biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence and herding behaviour. Understanding these biases can help them recognize when their emotions can be influencing their decisions. As noted in the article from Investopedia, being aware of cognitive limitations allows investors to make more rational choices and adjust their strategies accordingly.

2. **Implement a Disciplined Investment Strategy**:

Adopting a disciplined investment strategy that includes predefined rules for buying and selling can help mitigate the effects of emotional decision-making. For instance, setting stop-loss orders can prevent excessive losses, due to loss aversion, while adhering to loss aversion, while adhering to a long-term investment plan can reduce the temptation to react impulsively to market fluctuations. The systematic review on investor behaviour emphasizes the importance of structured approaches in improving investment outcomes.

3. **Diversification to Reduce Risk:**

Investors should strive for adequate diversification in their portfolios to minimize idiosyncratic risk associated with individual stocks. Behavioural finance research indicates that many investors tend to concentrate their holdings in familiar stock or sectors, leading to undiversified portfolios that expose them to higher risks. A diversified portfolio can help buffer against market volatility and improve overall returns.

8.2 Recommendations for Financial Analysts:

1. **Incorporate Behavioural Insights in to Analysis:**

Financial analysts should integrate behavioural finance principles into their analyses of market trends and investor sentiment. By considering how psychological factors influence market movements, analysts can provide more nuanced recommendations that account for potential irrational behaviours among investors. This approach aligns with the findings from the study on capital market adaptability, which highlights the need for analysts to recognize deviations from theoretical predictions.

2. **Utilize Sentiment Indicators:**

Analysts can benefit from incorporating sentiment indicators into their assessments of market conditions. Tools that measure investor sentiment- such as surveys or social media analytics can provide valuable insights into prevailing attitudes and potential market movement. Understanding sentiment dynamics allows analysts to anticipate shifts in investor behaviour that may impact asset prices.

8.3 Recommendations for Policymakers:

1. **Enhance Investor Education Programs**

Policymakers should prioritize investor education initiatives that focus on behavioural finance principles and risk management strategies. By improving financial literacy among investors, they can empower individuals to make informed decisions and reduce susceptibility to cognitive biases. The IOSCO report emphasizes the importance of effective regulation and oversight in achieving positive educational outcomes.

2. **Regulate Digital Engagement Practices:**

As digital platforms increasingly influence investor behaviour through gamification and social media, policymakers must ensure that these tools promote responsible investing practices. Regulations should be

established to protect retail investors from potential conflicts of interest created by gamified investing platforms that may encourage excessive trading or speculative behaviour.

3. **Promote Transparency in Financial Markets**:
Increasing transparency in financial markets can help counteract the effects of behavioural biases by providing investors with clearer information regarding asset valuations and risks. Policymakers should advocate for enhanced disclosure requirements that enable investors to make more informed decisions base on accurate data.

9. Conclusion

By implementing these actionable recommendations, investors, financial analysts and policy makers can better navigate the complexities of investor behaviour and its impact on capital markets. Recognizing the influence of behavioural biases is crucial for fostering more efficient market and improving overall investment outcomes. Through education, disciplined strategies and regulatory measures, stakeholders can work towards mitigating the negative effects of irrational behaviours while enhancing market stability and investor confidence.
From the study conducted, there are several insights gained. Investors need to be aware to their own behavioral toward decision-making as it will influence their wealth on the capital market. There are several actions that can be taken as a precaution to countermeasure or to better equip with the tools of minimizing the impact of irrationality. For those who are the practitioners, they should learn deeply about behavioral finance and to adopt the solution into the financial market for the sake of the stability of the market itself. Governments and policy maker also should take action to decrease the irrational behavior among the investors by conducting justified law that can mitigate the behavior (Riaz Haroon Iqbal, 2015). There are a lot of phenomena that are yet to be discovered even though it always been observed. For the future researcher, they might be interested in testing the presence of the other prominent phenomena that transparently observable in the behavior itself and how this can be related to the decision made. At the same time, investors are also encouraged to scrutinize the market trend more deeply and at the same time introspecting into their behavioral bias, to gain a better wealth and return from the market. It is hoped that all the conclusions drawn from this paper can bring the investor community into a deeper understanding of how the negative impact of the particular behavior influenced by the event will facilitate the improved investment practices in the capital market.

References:

1. Corporate Finance Institute.(2024). Behavioral finance. Retrieved February 15, 2023 from https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/career-map/sell-side/capital-markets/behavioral-finance/
2. Investopedia.(n.d.).Behavioral finance: Biases, emotions and financial behavior. Retrieved from https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/behavioralfinance.asp
3. Behavioral finance and investor psychology: An empirical study. (n. d.). Retrieved from http://www.publishingindia.com/GetBrocheure.aspx?query=UERGQnJvY2h1cmVzfC8yNDcxLnBkZnwvMjQ3MS5wZGY%3D
4. Shetty, K., Soorya, C.V.(2024). Behavioural finance & investor phychology: Analyzing the impact of cognitive biases and emotional factors on financial decision-making in Udupi region. International

Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT),12(1). E161. https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2407483.pdf

5. Ahmad, W., & Shah, A.(2020). Behavioral biases in investment decision-making: Evidence from Pakistani investors. Journal of Behavioural Finance, 21(2), 123-135. https://doi.org/10.1089/15427560.2020.1712345
6. Baker, H.K., & Ricciardi, V.(2014).Investor behaviour: The psychology of financial planning and investing. Journal of Financial Planning, 27(3), 38-45.
7. Chuang, W.I., & Lee, C.C.(2016). The impact of investor sentiment on stock returns: Evidence from the Taiwan stock market. International Review of Economics & Finance, 42, 124- 136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2015.09.002
8. Feng, L., & Seasholes, M.S. (2005). Do investor sophistication and trading experience eliminate behavioural biases? Review of Finance, 9(3), 305-331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10679-005-0001-0
9. Hirshleifer, D., & Teoh, S.H, (2003). Limited attention, information disclosure, and financial reporting. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 36(1-3), 337-386.
10. Kumar, R., & Goyal, A. (2023). Understanding retail investor behaviour. A Literature review. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 11(4), 123-130.
11. Liu, Y., & Zhang, J (2020). Behavioural biases in investment decision-making: Evidence from Chinese retail investors. Journal of Behavioural Finance, 21(4), 1-15.
12. Sharma, V. (2024). An analysis of investor behaviour in equity market. EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review, 12(1), 35-45.
13. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgement under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124-1131.
14. Zhang, J., & Liu, Y.(2020). The influence of emotions on financial decision-making: A review of behavioural finance literature. Finance Research Letters, 32,101-110.
15. Leigh Muller, S. "The impact of internal behavioural decision-making biases on South African collective investment scheme performance." 2015. [PDF]
16. Amin, S. and Shahzaib Pirzada, S. "Theory of Behavioral Finance and Its Application to Property Market: A Change in Paradigm." 2014. [PDF]
17. Chandra, A. "Decision Making in the Stock Market: Incorporating Psychology with Finance." 2008. [PDF]
18. Zulaikha Ahmad Zaidi, A. and Suziwana Hj Tahir, N. "Factors that influence investment decision making among potential individual investors in Malaysia / Atikah Zulaikha Ahmad Zaidi and Nor Suziwana Hj Tahir.." 2019. [PDF]
19. Riaz Haroon Iqbal, T. "Impact of Overconfidence, Illusion of control, Self Control and Optimism Bias on Investors Decision Making; Evidence from." 2015. [PDF]