

Investigation of Factors That Influence Syllabus Coverage in Secondary School Mathematics in Ludhiana District of Punjab

Dr. Shweta Tyagi

Professor Centre for Teacher Education Institute of Professional Excellence & Management, Ghaziabad

Abstract

Mathematics plays a vital role in individual, national and global development. However, over the years mathematics has been one of the most poorly performed subjects in Ludhiana. In an attempt to improve performance, great effort has been put into completion of the syllabus. The main objective was to determine the percentage of the syllabus covered, and correlate it with student performance. 16 out of 85 schools were purposively selected and used in the study. The head teacher, the head of mathematics department, and two randomly selected mathematics teachers from each of the 16 schools took part in the study. In total there were 64 respondents. A descriptive survey design was adopted for the study, and data collected using three questionnaires. Correlation between syllabus coverage and student performance using Pearson's Product Moment Correlation Coefficient (PPMCC) was 0.8343. Furthermore, a One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was determined and confirmed that syllabus coverage has a significant effect on student performance in mathematics at secondary level. Also, a number of factors were identified as being responsible for early, late or non-coverage of the coverage.

Keywords: Syllabus Coverage, Student Performance, Mathematics, Entry Behavior, Extra Tuition, Absenteeism, Resources.

INTRODUCTION

Mathematics has been recognized as one of the subjects which is vital in people's life, may it be in science, technology, business or in other walks of life. The main objective of teaching mathematics at secondary school level in Ludhiana is to produce persons who will be numerate, orderly, logical, accurate and precise in thought. It is emphasized that certain content in the syllabus be covered, and specific concepts and skills mastered by secondary school students. Some concepts and skills like measurement, statistics, scale drawing, and calculus are useful and are applied in other subjects like physics, chemistry, biology, and geography.

Four basic goals for teaching mathematics have been identified as: utilitarian, personal development, economic growth, and cultural values (Yara and Otieno, 2010; Xia et al, 2008; Scopes, 1973). Additionally, mathematics is used in all measurement activities, transport and communication manipulations, in management of organizations by preparing daily routines, timetables, and leave schedules.

However, over the years, performance in mathematics has continued to show a downward spiral. Various researchers have identified factors that are believed to cause poor performance (Miheho, 2012;

Manoah et al, 2011; Mji and Makgato, 2006). This include: teachers not using student- centered approaches, lack of experiments and practical modeling activities, and lack of professional exposures that could have articulated issues related to teaching of mathematics in secondary schools. Many teachers attributed this performance to negative attitudes by the students as well as a missing link between primary and secondary school mathematics. Lack of application of technology including computer use, lack of parental support, and lack of motivation by both teachers and students were also noted. Eshiwani (2001), points out that poor performance in due to poor teaching methods, and an acute shortage of textbooks. The fact that as many as six students would share one text book in some schools makes it impossible for them to complete their home work.

As such, the follow up teaching is not built on the students' homework experiences. This will invariably delay the pace at which the syllabus will be covered, leading to poor performance. Tswani (2009) found out that learners and teachers commitment and motivation, learners career prospects, learners perceptions of peers as well as teachers' perceptions of learners all affect persistence for achievement in mathematics. Overall, application of sound teaching and learning principles fosters an environment where pupils are motivated to achieve their full potential.

The issue of the technical language use in teaching mathematics has been cited as contributing to poor performance in the subject (Nor et al, 2011; Adegoke and Ibode, 2007, Duncan, 1996). Wasike (2003) observes that poor performance is due to the difficult language used in the mathematics classroom. He says there are words which have a different meaning when used in common day English language compared to when they are used in mathematics. To improve performance, students need to understand the mathematical language in a more simplified form. Negative attitude of students, teachers and parents also contribute to poor performance (Githua, 2001). Onyango (2012) and Shikuku (2009) have established that these factors do not directly contribute to poor performance in mathematics. Instead, late or non-coverage of the mathematics syllabus contributes a lot to poor performance. This study intends to further identify factors that contribute to early, late or non-coverage of the syllabus.

The problem

Investigation of Factors That Influence Syllabus Coverage in Secondary School Mathematics in Ludhiana District of Punjab

Objectives of the study

1. To investigate the relationship between syllabus coverage and student performance in mathematics.
2. To find out factors that affect mathematics syllabus coverage in secondary schools

Research Design and methodology

A descriptive survey research design was adopted for this study. Information was obtained from records of the head teachers, the heads of mathematics departments, and the selected mathematics teachers from the sampled schools. Records of syllabus coverage and the corresponding students' national examinations performances for the years 2009 to 2013 were accessed. Factors affecting syllabus coverage were also determined via the appropriate questionnaire from the sampled schools.

The Sample

In this study the district had 85 secondary schools which were stratified into four categories according to performance. Four schools were randomly selected from each category. The four categories of schools were classified as follows: Schools that consistently showed good performance formed category 'A';

Schools that consistently showed improvement in performance in the past five years formed category 'B'; those that showed a consistent drop in performance over the past five years formed category 'C'; lastly Schools that consistently showed poor performance over the past five years formed category 'D'. Thus records on syllabus coverage and student performance were sought from 16 secondary school head teachers, 16 heads of mathematics departments, and 32 mathematics teachers from the district.

Table 1: Mathematics students' mean scores for schools in category 'A'

School	Mean score 2009	Mean score 2010	Mean score 2011	Average mean score
1	6.2889	6.0444	5.5945	5.9760
2	6.068	6.0878	5.1845	5.7800
3	5.9791	5.8770	4.9681	5.6081
4	4.8333	4.5000	5.0250	5.1110
Average mean score for schools in category 'A'				5.6188

Table 1 above shows that the range mean performance in category 'A' schools over the three year period was 5.9760 to 5.1110 with a mean performance of 5.6188.

Table 2: Mathematics students' mean scores for schools in category 'B'

School	Mean score 2009	Mean score 2010	Mean score 2011	Average mean score
1	2.764	2.1622	2.4102	2.4455
2	2.500	2.500	2.8894	2.6316
3	2.3809	2.4275	2.4878	2.4321
4	2.0776	2.5000	2.0340	2.2039
Average mean score for schools in category 'B'				2.4283

The mean performance of group 'B' was 2.4283

Table 3: Mathematics students' mean scores for schools in category 'C'

School	Mean score 2009	Mean score 2010	Mean score 2011	Average mean score
1	1.9000	2.5500	2.1276	2.1925
2	1.8250	2.0526	1.7200	1.8659
3	1.7730	1.4000	1.4324	1.5351
4	1.7368	1.7826	1.7333	1.7509
Average mean score for schools in category 'C'				1.8361

The mean performance of group 'C' was 1.8361

Table 4: Mathematics students' scores for schools in category 'D'

School	Mean score 2009	Mean score 2010	Mean score 2011	Average mean score
1	1.6667	1.4857	1.3953	1.5159
2	1.5556	1.2500	1.0800	1.2952

3	1.5238	1.4214	1.2727	1.4059
4	1.3333	1.4742	1.3142	1.3739
Average mean score for schools in category 'D'				1.3977

Group 'D' had the lowest mean performance of 1.3977

Research Instruments

Three questionnaires namely; the Head Teacher Questionnaire (HTQ), the Head of Mathematics Department Questionnaire (HODQ), and the Mathematics Teacher Questionnaire (MTQ), were used to collect data for the study. Items in the questionnaires were mainly concerned with school policy on syllabus coverage and comparison of the percentage of syllabus covered to corresponding mean scores. The questionnaires also sought factors that contributed to early, late or non-coverage of the syllabus. Reliability of the instruments was established by the test-retest method.

Two schools were selected for the pilot study and therefore were not included in the final sample of the study. Pearson's Product Moment Correlation Coefficient (PPMCC), r_{xy} was calculated for each instrument, and yielded the following results in Table 5 below:

Table 5: Pilot PPMCC, r_{xy} for the research instruments

HTQ	HODQ		MTQ
School 1	0.9883	0.9989	0.9667
School 2	0.9913	0.9974	0.9805
Mean	0.9898	0.9982	0.9736

The results in Table 5 show that the instruments were reliable and could be used to collect data from the field (Chau, 1998).

Data Collection

Data relating to both syllabus coverage and mean score performance was collected by the researchers in the four cadres of schools for the years 2009-2011. In total 16 schools participated in the study.

Results and Discussion

The relationship between syllabus coverage and student performance in mathematics

The first objective for this study was to investigate the relationship between syllabus coverage and student performance in mathematics. Table 6 shows the percentage of syllabus covered by each category of schools and the respective mean scores.

Table 6: Percentage of syllabus covered and the resultant KCSE examinations mean score by categories 'A', 'B', 'C', and 'D' schools.

Category	Average % of syllabus covered in each category	Average mathematics mean score in each category
A	100 %	5.6188
B	80% - 100%	2.4283
C	50% - 80%	1.8361
D	< 50%	1.3977

From Table 6, it is evident that schools' coverage of the syllabus correlates positively with performance in Mathematics examinations. The effect of syllabus coverage on student performance using PPMCC was ascertained and found to be 0.8343. This is greater than 0.5, showing that a positive relationship existed between syllabus coverage and performance at national examinations.

Furthermore, a One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was determined as is illustrated in table 7 below.

Table 7: One-Way Analysis Of Variance for coverage and performance

	Sum of squares	dF	Mean square	F	Sig
Between groups	43.910	3	14.637	232.812	.000
Within groups	.754	12	.063		
Total	44.664	15			

Table 7 shows that syllabus coverage has a significant effect on student performance at secondary level mathematics. The F value was determined as 232.812 at alpha level 0.05, and a significance of 0.000. This determined value is greater than the table value of $F(3,12) = 3.49$, further confirming that syllabus coverage has a significant effect on student performance at secondary level mathematics. The implication is that schools have to overcome factors that delay syllabus coverage, and impress those that hasten syllabus coverage if they hope to perform well at secondary level mathematics.

Table 8: Mean score for school categories according to syllabus coverage

% of syllabus coverage	No. of Schools	Mean	Std dev	Std error	95% confidence interval for mean		Min	Max
					Lower bound	Upper bound		
100%	4	5.6188	.37038	.18519	5.024	6.2081	5.11	5.98
80 to 100%	4	2.4283	.17512	.08756	2.1498	2.7069	2.20	2.63
50 to 80%	4	1.8361	.27433	.13713	1.3996	2.2726	1.54	2.19
Up to 50%	4	1.3977	.09149	.04574	1.2521	1.5433	1.30	1.52
Total	16	2.8202	1.72558	.43140	1.9007	3.7397	1.30	5.98

Table 8 shows mean scores, standard deviations, standard error and confidence interval for the mean. The mean performance column further confirms that syllabus coverage affects student performance in mathematics. The results show that schools which cover the syllabus early have time to put into place a series of measures that will ensure good performance. All of them indicated that they conducted a

guided thorough revision. This is in agreement with Merisotis and Phipps (2000) who found a similar effect of remediation on performance.

Factors that affect mathematics syllabus coverage in secondary schools

Table 9: Factors that contribute to early syllabus coverage.

Objective 2 of the study was to find out factors that affect mathematics syllabus coverage in secondary schools.

Factors	Extra tuition	Student/Teacher entry behavior	Availability of resources	Team teaching	Other factors
Number of schools	14	5	9	4	11
Percentage of schools affected	87.50	31.25	56.25	25.00	68.75

Table 9 shows factors that contribute to early syllabus coverage. From the table, extra tuition and availability of resources play a great role in syllabus coverage.

The study determined that entry behaviour was a contributing factor to the rate of syllabus coverage. This is in agreement with Shikuku (2012) and Manapure (2011). Students who have mastered the basics and those who have a good grounding in the pre-requisite knowledge required for the content at hand will progress at a faster pace. Class-entry academic, attention, and socio-emotional skills as well as reading and math achievement were noted to lead to overall attention skills necessary for faster coverage of the planned work (Duncan, et al, 2007). Students' attitude, students' understanding and mathematics anxiety also fall in this group of behaviour that will affect rate of syllabus coverage (Jennison and Beswick, 2010). Team teaching was found to be useful in specialized content delivery and to effective syllabus coverage. In normal situations many teachers lack full expertise in all content areas. There is thus a need to ensure greater student exposure to diverse fields of knowledge and practice by teachers who are experts in those areas. The teachers divide content according to some criteria by which each is most comfortable with. In this way collaboration, ease of content coverage, and skill provision is ensured (Murawski and Dieker, 2004; York-Barr, et al, 2004). Using team teaching, content is covered through direct instruction at a pace that ensures that all material is presented. While agreeing on the need for team teaching as determined by this study, Cruz and Zaragoza (1998) point out that there is no universally accepted guidelines for teachers collaborating at class teaching. At its worst this technique of syllabus coverage may result in a series of independent and uncoordinated lectures. Rather than help the learners who seek to benefit from the coverage of the syllabus, the result may result in conflict and lead the students to work at cross purposes. The study reported a category of "other Factors" which also contributed, mostly negatively to syllabus coverage. These included: Absenteeism, teachers workload, school discipline, time management, sickness, group discussions, and supervisory activities by heads of departments and institutions. The study found out that absenteeism by both the teacher and the students played a major role in non-coverage of the syllabus. The findings are in agreement with the determinations of Okuom, et al (2012). They determined that due to frequent flooding in Nyando district

in Kenya, absenteeism of students led to low syllabus coverage, and therefore to poor performance. Kiveu and Mayo (2009) similarly attest to the role of absenteeism on syllabus coverage. Many students cited lack of fees as the reasons for absenteeism.

A substantial number of students indicated that absenteeism was just a habit that had been formed. Otieno (2010) concurs, saying poverty in some parts of the country leads to non-payment of fees, which leads to absenteeism and exodus of students leaving big fees balances as they migrate from one school to another. Understaffing and poor administration also de-motivates teachers causing non coverage of the syllabus and thus poor performance. Others reasons for both teachers' and students' absenteeism included involvement in school activities (games and sports, representing the school for choir competitions), or sickness all which agree with the findings of Lydiah and Nasongo (2009). The finding on how teachers' workload corresponded with syllabus coverage is supported by Ribeiro (2011), Egun (2007), and Lizzio et al (2002). Generally, school discipline was found to have an impact on the dedication by both teachers and students to complete the syllabus. Self discipline on the part of the pupils ensured that homework and additional exercises were done in time. Allen (2010), in agreement suggests that classroom discipline affects the learning transactions that will contribute to syllabus coverage. Discipline determines how time management is done in the various schools. Simatwa (2010) amplifies the role of time management by pointing out that it enables the teacher to adapt rapidly in demanding work environments, create effective classroom management and to operate as befits team work.

Conclusion

Students, who cover the mathematics syllabus, have a better mean score than those who fail to cover the syllabus. Students, who cover the syllabus early in the year and spend more time on revision, have an even better mean score than those who cover the syllabus just before the examinations.

The findings show that only 12.5% of the schools cover the mathematics syllabus by the end of term one. These were category 'A', schools that consistently showed good performance in mathematics. Secondly, 50% of the schools were found to cover the syllabus by the end of term two, in readiness for Mock examinations. Some of these schools were found in category 'A', some in category 'B' and a few in category 'C'. Finally, 31% of the schools were found not cover the syllabus. These were mainly found in category 'D', schools that consistently showed poor performance in mathematics.

To cover the syllabus early in the year, both students and teachers had to put in extra tuition time for which the parents pay handsomely. Extra tuition, high standards of discipline, good previous academic records, regular assessment and exposure to external mock papers will boost student performance. Some schools use team teaching to ensure all topics in the syllabus are understood by all students. They also ensure both teachers and students are present in school and actually attend lessons.

References

1. Adegoke BA and Ibode FO, 2007, Knowledge of English language as a predictor of students' cognitive achievement in senior Secondary School mathematics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan Nigeria.
2. Allen KP, 2010, 'Classroom Management, Bullying, and Teacher Practices', **The Professional Educator**, Vol 34, No. 1, Spring
3. Askew M, Brown M, Rhodes V, Wiliam D, & Johnson D. 1997, Effective Teachers of Numeracy:

Report of a study carried out for the Teacher Training Agency. London: King's College, University of London

4. Chau PYK, 1999, On the use of construct reliability in MIS research: a meta-analysis, **Information & Management**, Vol 35, pp 217-227
5. Cockroft WH 1982. 'Mathematics Counts. A Report of the Committee into the Teaching of Mathematics in School', London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office.
6. Cruz BC and Zaragoza N, 1998, Team teaching in Teacher Education: Intra College Partnerships, **Teacher Education Quarterly**, Spring, pp 53-62
7. Duncan SLS, 1996, Cognitive Apprenticeship in Classroom Instruction: Implications for Industrial and Technical Teacher Education, **Electronic Journal of Industrial Teacher Education**, Volume 33, Number 3
8. Egun AC, 2007, Reducing Teachers Instructional Difficulties in Identified Content Area of Agricultural Science Syllabus of Senior Secondary School for Better Understanding in Nigeria, **Journal of Social Sciences**, Vol 15 No2, pp 141-145
9. Ferla J, Valcke M, and Cai Y, 2009, Academic self-efficacy and academic self-concept: Reconsidering structural relationships, **Learning and Individual Differences**, Vol 19, pp 499–505
10. Jennison M, Beswick K, 2010, Student Attitude, Student Understanding and Mathematics Anxiety In L. Sparrow, B. Kissane, & C. Hurst (Eds.), **Shaping the future of mathematics education: Proceedings of the 33rd annual conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia**. Fremantle: MERGA.
11. Kiveu NM and Mayo J, 2009, The impact of cost sharing on internal efficiency of public secondary schools in Ndivisi division, Bungoma district Kenya, **Educational Research and Review Vol. 4 (5)**, pp. 272-284, ISSN 1990-3839
12. Lydiah LM and Nasongo JW, 2009, Role of the Headteacher in Academic Achievement in Secondary Schools in Vihiga District, Kenya, **Current Research Journal of Social Sciences**, 1(3): 84-92, ISSN: 2041-3246
13. Mangal S. K. (2003) *Advanced Educational Psychology*, 2nd Edition: Prentice Hall, New Delhi, India.
14. Manoah SA, Indoshi FC, Othuon, LOA, 2011, 'Influence of attitude on performance of students in mathematics curriculum', **Educational Research**, Vol. 2(3), pp. 965-981, ISSN: 2141-5161
15. Manapure V, 2011, Effect of Further Mathematics on Students' Achievement in Mathematics, Biology, Chemistry and Physics, **Indian Streams Research Journal**, Vol 1, Issue II, pp 28-33
16. Muhandiki G. L. (1992), A study on students' capacity to use mathematical terminology and the related concepts: Unpublished, PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge.
17. Mugenda OM and Mugenda AG (2003) *Research methods: Quantitative and Qualitative approaches*, Acts press, Nairobi Kenya.
18. Murawski WW and Dieker LA, 2004, Tips and Strategies for Co-Teaching at the Secondary Level, **Teaching Exceptional Children**, Vol. 36, No. 5, pp. 52-58.
19. Njeremani DM (2001) Factors affecting learning and the problem of language in physics Unpublished paper presented at Nakuru District science and mathematics teachers seminar, Nakuru High school 9th – 12th April.
20. Nor FM, Aziz MA, and Jusoff Ka, 2011, 'Should English for Teaching Mathematics and Science (ETeMS) in Malaysia Be Abolished?', **World Applied Sciences Journal 12 (Special Issue on**

Creating a Knowledge Based Society), pp 36-40, 2011, ISSN 1818-4952

21. Okuom HA, Simatwa EMW, Olel AM, Wichenje KM, 2012, Assessment of factors that contribute to repetition and dropout of pupils in primary schools in Flood Prone Areas of Nyando District, Kenya: an analytical study, **Educational Research** Vol. 3(2), pp 190-201, ISSN: 2141-5161
22. Onyango, JA, 2012, 'Factors that influence girls' performance in mathematics in Kisumu city public secondary schools', MEd Thesis, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, pp ii
23. Otterburn MK, Nicholson AR 1976. The Language in (CSE) mathematics. In:
24. AW Bell, J Costello, D Kuchemann (Eds.): Research on Learning and Teaching. Windsor Berkshire, INFER-Nelson 1983: <https://catalog.lib.ecu.edu>.
25. Pajares F and Miller MD, 1994, 'Role of Self-Efficacy and Self-Concept Beliefs in Mathematical Problem Solving: A Path Analysis', **Journal of Educational Psychology**, Vol. 86, No. 2, pp 193-203
26. Ribeiro LRC, 2011, The Pros and Cons of Problem-Based Learning from the Teacher's Standpoint, **Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice**, Vol. 8 No. 1, Article 4
27. Scopes PG 1973. Mathematics in Secondary Schools. London: Cambridge University Press.
28. Shikuku B N 2009. Effect of syllabus coverage on student performance at KCSE mathematics:
29. a case of Kakamega south district, Kenya. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing: reha gmbh, DudweilesrstraBe 72 66111 Saarbrucken. www.rehagmbh.de
30. Shiundu J. S and Omulando S. J. (1992) Curriculum development: Theory and Practice, Nairobi, Oxford University Press.
31. Tswani AR, 2009, "Tracing factors that facilitate achievement in mathematics in traditional disadvantaged secondary schools", Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria
32. Venkatesh KG and Karimi A, 2010, Mathematics Anxiety, Mathematics Performance and Overall Academic Performance in High School Students, **Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology**, Vol.36, No.1, pp 147-150.
33. Xia X, Lu C, Wang B, 2008, Research on Mathematics Instruction Experiment Based Problem Posing, **Journal of Mathematics Education**, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.153-163
34. York-Barr J, Bacharach N, Salk J, Frank JH, & Benick B, 2004, Team Teaching in Teacher Education: General and Special Education Faculty Experiences and Perspectives, **Issues in Teacher Education**, Spring, pp 73-94