International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Movie Recommendation

Anish Kumar¹, Hariom Kumar², Sharmistha Dey³, Vaibhav Mishra⁴

^{1,2,3,4}School of Cumputer Application, Galgotias university, Greater Noida, India.

Abstract

After successful evolution of online movie recommendation platforms like Netflix, Hotstar, Amazon, movie recommendation system has achieved its popularity around the world. Revenue from the OTT platform continues to grow at an unexpected rate, and it's anticipated to reach \$316.40 billion in 2024. Meanwhile, the global OTT video market size is likely to top \$476 billion by 2027. A movie recommendation system is essential for providing users with tailored movie recommendations. This study performs a systematic literature review on existing movie recommendation system. It also highlights the technical details of working procedure of a movie recommendation system and how the filtering criteria work. The research aims to highlight the modern movie recommendation systems that are recently being used by the researchers and which kind of necessary measures to be taken to prevent current challenges.

Keywords: Movie Recommendation, Content-based Filtering, Text to vector, Vector similarity, collaborative filtering.

Introduction

Movie recommendation system is one of the highly emerging systems, which is continuously evolving with an unexpected growth rate and as per the statistics, the number of OTT users is estimated to reach 3.92 billion within next few years [1]. Previously many people confused about what they should and shouldn't watch due to lack of information. Even on YouTube, there are typically several videos accessible for you to watch when you're looking for one that illustrates a certain idea. Presently with the help of movie recommendation system not only the confusion about selecting a movie has been over, but also, they can predict choice as per statistics of their same surroundings. The algorithm may occasionally be able to provide you with suggestions that you might find interesting the next time you visit a specific website without even searching.Recommendation systems are used by YouTube for video recommendations, Flipkart and Amazon for product suggestions, Hotstar and Amazon Prime for movie recommendations, and so on. Every action you take These websites feature seen by a system that ultimately makes recommendations for goods or activities that you are most likely to use. The logic behind movie recommendation systems, conventional movie issues with traditional, and a proposed fix with artificial intelligence based personal movies recommendation systems are all covered in this study. Numerous wellknown datasets pertaining to movie Kaggle and other websites currently offer recommendations .Among all well-known dataset are the Netflix dataset, the TMDB Movie Dataset, and the Movie Lens dataset Websites like Netflix, Amazon Prime, and others employ movie suggestions to increase sales or profits by improving the user experience over time. Netflix really ran a contest in 2009 with a prize pool of about \$1 million (\$1M) for at least 10% improvement over the present approach. It discussed previously, we have a lot of data at our disposal, and in order to use it, we must filter it because, in most cases, we are not interested in all of it. We require specific filtering procedures for the purpose of filter dataset. Numerous



filtering techniques or movie recommendation algorithms can be used as the foundation for a recommendation system. The following are the main methods for filtering or recommending movies:

- 1. Content based Filtering
- 2. Hybrid Filtering
- 3. Collaborative Filtering

Content-based filtering:

This method suggests films according to the film's narrative, cast, genre, and director. Algorithm looks at user past viewed choices to makes suggestions based-on films it have characteristics in common with those that have already been seen. This method works well for making tailored suggestions according to a user's individual interests. The working procedure of content based filtering has been explained in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Content based Filtering

Content-based filtering comes in two primary varieties: both profile-based and feature-based screening. One kind of filtering is profiles base; another is feature-based.

Profile-based filtering: A user profile is generated via profile-based filtering using the characteristics of the films the user has already seen. After that, the system suggests films that have characteristics in common with the user's profile. This method works well for creating tailored suggestions according to a user's individual interests.

Feature-based filtering: In contrast, feature-based filtering generates suggestions by concentrating on particular aspects or traits of films. The method evaluates a film's genre, director, actors, and plot, among other aspects, and suggests additional films with comparable elements. This method works well for making suggestions based on certain aspects of a film rather than a user's general tastes.

Hybrid filtering:

Hybrid filtering combines content-based and collaborative filtering methods. By combining the advantages of each strategy, this method produces recommendations that are more precise and tailored to the individual. After examining the user's viewing history, the algorithm makes suggestions based on films that are comparable to those they have already seen and films that other users who are similar to them



have liked. This method works well for giving the customer a variety of precise recommendations, which has been represented by Figure 2.



Figure 2: Content based Filtering

The above diagram shows comparable people and produce preliminary suggestions, by applying hybrid filtering. After that, the system uses content-based filtering to further hone those suggestions according to particular film attributes like genre, director, or actors. This method is called content-based augmentation combined with collaborative filtering.

3. Collaborative filtering:

A method called collaborative filtering makes movie recommendations by looking at how users who are similar to you behave. After reviewing the user's viewing history, the algorithm makes suggestions based-on films that other users who have comparable view habit has liked. Finding new movies that a user would not have otherwise viewed is much easier with this strategy.

Collaborative filtering comes in two primary varieties: item-based and user-based.



Figure 3: Collaborative Filtering

User-based: Based on the behavior of users that are similarly to the user, collaborative filtering that is user-based suggests movies to the user. The algorithm finds individuals with similar tastes and suggests films Something those people have enjoyed but that the present user hasn't yet seen.

Based on items: Using the similarity between films collaborative filtering based on items suggests films by user. This system finds films that are comparable to those the user has already seen and suggests them.

Literature Review

Movie recommendation systems are becoming a significant component of online streaming services, la-



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

rgely used to suggest films to consumers based on their available options. For movie recommendations, a number of strategies have been put forth over time, including hybrid approaches, content-based filtering, collaborative filtering, and others. One well-known technique in recommendation systems is collaborative filtering, which generates indications based on person-item ratings. Collaborative filtering was utilized in a study assisted by Breese et al. (1998) to recommend movies mainly based on users' past evaluations. The technique's efficacy was illustrated by the authors, who also pointed out some of its drawbacks, namely the cold start issue.

On the other side, content-based filtering makes recommendations by using movie metadata like actor, director, and genre. In order to improve recommendation accuracy, Panniello et al. (2014) presented a content-based filtering strategy that made use of semantic similarity metrics. Using the Movie Lens dataset, the authors tested the method and demonstrated that it performed better than conventional contentbased filtering techniques. To increase recommendation accuracy, hybrid approaches incorporate several methods, including CBF and collaborative filtering. In a study published in 2005, Adomavicius and Tuzhilin suggested a hybrid strategy that combined content-based filtering with collaborative filtering. The authors demonstrated that, in terms of suggestion accuracy, the hybrid strategy performed better than any of the individual approaches. Other methods, such matrix factorization and cosine similarity, have also been put up for movie recommendation systems. In a study published in 2018, Sun et al. To increase the precision and scope of movie suggestions, the authors suggest a hybrid collaborative filtering method that blends trust-based filtering with cosine similarity. The authors describe the evaluation procedure and outcomes, as well as the technique employed in their suggested algorithm. Additionally, they examine the advantages and disadvantages of their methodology, offer recommendations for additional study, and the authors of a different study by Koren et al. (2009) describe how latent components that encapsulate item features and user preferences were learned using matrix factorization. Using the Netflix dataset, the authors proved the method's efficacy and showed that it performed better than more conventional collaborative filtering techniques.

Methodology

The following steps are commonly included in a movie recommendation system's methodology:

Data collection: Gathering information on films, including their titles, genres, directors, actors, and user ratings, is the first phase. Movie databases, user review websites, and streaming services are just a few of the places where this information can be found.

Data preprocessing: To eliminate any duplicates or unnecessary information, the data must be preprocessed once it has been gathered It may also be necessary to clean and normalize the data to ensure accuracy and consistency.

Feature extraction: Finding the essential characteristics of the films that will be utilized to produce suggestions is known as feature extraction. Characteristics like genre, director, actors, and storyline synopsis may be included.

Similarity calculation: After the main vectors for each film have been produced, a number of similarity metrics, such as cosine similarity, Jaccard's comparable, and Pearson's correlation coefficient, may be used to assess how similar two films are.

Recommendation generation: The algorithm can produce suggestions for a certain user or film based on the determined similarity values. This could entail applying strategies like content-based filtering, hybrid filtering, or collaborative filtering.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Evaluation: Numerous criteria, including precision, recall, and F-one scored, must be employed to evaluate the effectiveness of the recommendation system. Techniques like A/B testing and cross-validation can be used for this.

Optimization: Recommendation systems must be improved for adjusting it is settings, adding new feature, methods in light of the evaluation outcomes. The kind of filtering approach employed, the amount the intricacy of the information, as well as the necessary degree of precision and efficiency can all affect precise methodology for movie recommendation system. Nonetheless, a general foundation for creating and putting into practice a movie recommendation system is provided by the aforementioned processes.

Algorithm for movie recommendation system:

K-means clustering is a popular unsupervised machine learning method that separates a dataset into K distinct groups based on feature similarity.

The following steps are involved in the process:

Initialization: Decide on K, the number of clusters. Choose K starting centroids at random from the dataset. These centroids can be initialized using different techniques (e.g., K-means++) or selected at random from the data points. Step in the assignment: Determine the distance to every of the K centroids for every data point inside the dataset. The cluster that's equivalent to the nearest centroid should be allocated to each data point. K clusters are the outcome of this. Step of Update: Recalculate each cluster's centroids once all the data points have been allocated to clusters. Usually, the mean of all the datapoints allocated to that cluster serves as the new centroid. Check for Convergence: Determine whether there has been a significant change in the centroids since the previous iteration to check for convergence. The method has converged if the centroids remain unchanged or vary very little, or if the maximum number of iterations has been reached. Again: Return to the Assignment Step and continue the procedure until the algorithm converges if it hasn't already.

Result Analysis And Discussion

The final clusters and their centroids are output after the algorithm has converged. Every data point has a cluster label that identifies the cluster to which it belongs.

Additional Considerations:

Selecting K: The number of clusters (K) has a big impact on the outcomes. An adequate value for K can be found with the aid of methods such as the Silhouette Score or the Elbow Method. Distance Metric: Various distance metrics can be utilized based on the type of data, while Euclidean distance is frequently used.

Scalability: K-means has the potential to converge to local minima and may be sensitive to the initial centroids. To lessen this problem, run the method several times with various initializations. The simplicity and efficiency of K-means make it popular, however it could not work well with non-spherical clusters or clusters of different densities.

Termination: When the assignments remain unchanged a fixed quantity of iterations, the algorithm stops. After applying the K-means clustering technique to a movie dataset, recommendations can be made using the clusters that are produced. A user who has seen a number of action films, for instance, would be suggested further films in the same action genre. As an alternative, a user who has viewed a variety of drama and romantic films may receive recommendations for both genres. To provide recommendations that are more varied and accurate, K-means clustering can be used with additional recommendation techniques, such as content-based filtering. and collaborative filtering. K-means clustering, which groups



movies according to their characteristics, can find connections and parallels that might not be immediately obvious, giving recommendation engines a more comprehensive supply of data. characteristics of every film, including the plot, cast, genre, and director. Matrix factorization employs an optimization procedure, like gradient descent, to minimum error between projected real ratings to calculate the item feature and user-feature matrices. Up till the mistake is reduced, method iteratively modifies the item-feature and user-feature matrices. Following computation of item-feature and user-feature matrices, the corresponding item-feature and user-feature vectors' dot product can be used to get the anticipated rating for a user and a film.



Figure 4: K Nearest Neighbor Process

Matrix factorization: A popular machine learning method for predicting user ratings for films in movie recommendation systems is matrix factorization. To factorize a big user rating matrix into two smaller matrices that reflect the fundamental characteristics of both people and films is the aim of matrix factorization. Two types of feature matrices are user and item. are two lower dimensional matrices that result from matrix factorization of the user-item ratings matrix. Each user's characteristics are represented by the user-feature matrix, including their inclinations for particular genres or directors, cast, and plot. Matrix factorization employs an optimization procedure, like gradient descent, to minimum the error between projected or real ratings to ascertain the item feature and user-feature matrices. Up till the mistake is reduced, method iteratively modifies the item-feature and user-feature matrices. Following the computation of the item-feature and user-feature matrices the corresponding item-feature and user-feature vectors' dot product can be used to get the anticipated rating for a user and a film.

Association rule mining: A data mining method called association rule mining can be applied to movie recommendation systems for finding connections or pattern among films that might not happen right away obvious. Finding common co-occurrences of objects in a collection and producing rules that explain these correlations are how the technique operates. Identifying films that are commonly viewed together and using these patterns to produce suggestions are two applications of association rule mining in the context of movie recommendation systems. For instance, if a large number of viewers of "The Godfather" with viewed "The Shawshank Redemption," algorithm may provide the rules suggesting "The Shawshank Redemption" with viewers of "The Godfather."

The following steps are included in the association rule mining process:

Data preparation: A number of 1 denotes that a user has seen a film, whereas a value of 0 denotes that they have not. This binary format is created from the user-movie ratings data.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Regular creation of item sets: Above a minimum support threshold, the system finds movie sets that commonly appear together.

Association rule generation: Above a minimum confidence level, the algorithm produces rules that characterize the connections between these frequently occurring item sets.

Pruning and filtering rules:

They are uninteresting and don't fit specific requirements are eliminated by the algorithm. Following their creation, the association rules can be applied to produce user recommendations. For instance, the algorithm may suggest "The Shawshank Redemption" to a viewer who has seen "The Godfather" based on the association rule, which explains how frequently these films appear together.

Cosine similarity: Similarity measure in systems that recommend movies, cosine similar calculates how similar 2 films are based on their feature vectors. Numerous aspects of the films, including the genre, director, actors, and plot, are represented by the feature vectors. It is angle between two films' feature vectors in a high-dimensional space is used to compute the cosine similarity between them. The cosine similarity value is a number between -1 and 1, where 1 means that the two films are exactly the same and -1 means that they are totally different.



Figure 9: Cosine similarity Check

First, the films' feature vectors are converted to unit vectors. in to succeed eliminate magnitude effects before calculating cosine similarity between two films. The two vectors that were normalized dot product is then computed and divided by the magnitudes' product. It provides two films' cosine similar. An algorithm can produce suggestions based on the most comparable films after calculating the values of A target film's cosine similarity values with each other film in the dataset. For instance, if a user has seen "The Godfather," the algorithm may suggest films like "Goodfellas" or "The Godfather: Part II" that have high cosine similarity values.

4.1. Challenges of Movie Recommendation

In spite of gaining a high popularity within a couple of years and having a prospect of high market expectancy, the current movie recommendation systems are having some challenges. Following issues have been discussed related to this area:

Cold Start Problem: It can be challenging for the algorithm to produce precise recommendations when



there is little interaction data available for new users or objects. Because collaborative filtering techniques mostly depend on user involvement, this problem is very noticeable.

Data Sparsity: A sparse user-item matrix may result from many people rating only a few films. The system's capacity to identify significant trends and offer pertinent recommendations may be hampered by this sparsity.

Algorithmic Biasedness: Popular goods may unintentionally be given preference by recommendation algorithms which would result in a lack of diversity in the choices. When consumers are only shown content that is similar to what they have already consumed, this might lead to echo chambers.

Privacy Concerns: Privacy concerns arise when user data is gathered and analyzed for tailored recommendations. The efficiency of the recommendation system may be impacted if users are reluctant to disclose their preferences and behaviors.

Dynamic User Interests: Recommendation algorithms may find it difficult to adjust preferences evolve. What consumers desire to see can also be influenced by contextual circumstances, including the time of day or popular trends.

Overfitting Issue: Overly intricate models can fit the training data too closely, which would hinder their ability to generalize to new data. Cross-validation and regularization strategies can lessen this problem.

Conclusion And Future Scope

Movie recommendation systems have been established as useful resources, for giving users relevant and personalized movie recommendations. This paper discusses the existing movie recommendation systems, challenges of current approaches and about the filtering techniques also, which is a strength of this paper. But this paper has not discussed about real time movie recommendation, more personalized recommendation policies, which are high in demand nowadays. In future, our work may be extended with self-learning algorithms or explainable Machine Learning algorithms to generate more prominent recommendations, as per customers' demands.

References

- 1. N. Landsberg (January, 2025). Top OTT statistics and trend for OTT Apps, advertising and Screening. Available at: https://www.uscreen.tv/blog/ott-statistics/, India
- 2. Wijewickrema, M., Petras, V., & Dias, N. (2019), "Selecting a text similarity measure for a contentbased recommender system: A comparison in two corpora.", in *The Electronic Library*, vol.37, no.3, pp. 506-527.
- 3. A Research paper by Panniello et al. (2014) on Content-based filtering
- 4. A Research paper by Breese et al. (1998) on Collaborative filtering
- 5. A Research paper by Adomavicius and Tuzhilin (2005) on hybrid approach
- 6. A Research paper by Koren et al. (2009) on Matrix factorization
- 7. W. Hill, L. Stead, M. Rosenstein, G. Furnas(1995). Recommending and Evaluating Choices in a Virtual Community of Use. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- 8. P. Resnick, N. Iakovou, M. Sushak, P. Bergstrom, J. Riedl, GroupLens: An open architecture for collaborative filtering of netnews, Proc. Comput. Supported Cooperative Work Conf. (1994).
- 9. Filtering, IEEE Internet Comput.. 7 (1) (2003) 76-80.
- 10. J. Bobadilla, F. Ortega, A. Hernando, A. Gutierrez, Recommender systems survey, Knowl.-Based Syst. 46 (1) (2013) 109-132.



 R. Bell, Y. Koren, C. Volinsky, Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems, Computer 42 (8) (2009) 30-37