

Assessing the Impact of the Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY) on Micro-Entrepreneurship Development in Assam: Opportunities, Challenges, and Policy Implications

Anubhav¹, Jyotika Teckchandani²

¹Masters Student, Amity Institute of Social Sciences, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.

²Assistant Professor, Amity Institute of Social Sciences, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

This study evaluates the influence of the Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY) on the growth and development of micro-entrepreneurship in Assam, a state with significant potential for inclusive economic development. Launched in 2015, PMMY aims to provide collateral-free institutional credit to micro and small enterprises, thereby promoting self-employment and entrepreneurship across India. This article investigates how the scheme has been implemented in Assam, the nature of enterprises supported, and the socio-economic outcomes realized. Drawing from primary data and secondary sources, the article identifies key opportunities provided by the scheme, such as financial inclusion and women empowerment. It also discusses persistent challenges like low repayment capacity, lack of financial literacy, and inadequate post-loan support. The article concludes with policy recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of PMMY in promoting sustainable micro-entrepreneurship in the state.

Keywords: PMMY, Micro-Entrepreneurship, Assam, Financial Inclusion, Women Empowerment, Collateral-Free Loans, Policy Challenges, Livelihood Development

Research Gap

While considerable literature exists on the Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY) at the national level, most studies tend to focus on quantitative loan disbursement figures or success stories in urban and industrialized regions. However, there is a noticeable lack of region-specific research that assesses the qualitative and long-term impacts of the scheme in the context of Northeastern states, particularly Assam. Existing studies often overlook the unique socio-economic and infrastructural challenges faced by micro-entrepreneurs in Assam, including frequent natural calamities, limited access to markets, and banking infrastructure gaps.

Moreover, while women-led micro-enterprises form a significant portion of PMMY beneficiaries in Assam, there is limited in-depth analysis of gender-specific outcomes, constraints, and opportunities associated with the scheme. Additionally, the absence of robust longitudinal data on business

sustainability, loan repayment behavior, and post-loan support systems reveals a critical research void. This study addresses these gaps by offering a comprehensive, ground-level examination of PMMY's implementation in Assam, assessing both its socio-economic outcomes and the structural challenges impeding its success.

Introduction

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are widely acknowledged as the backbone of the Indian economy, contributing significantly to employment generation, innovation, and balanced regional development. In a country marked by vast economic disparities and high levels of informal employment, schemes aimed at enhancing micro-entrepreneurship have emerged as crucial tools for inclusive and sustainable growth. One such initiative is the **Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY)**, launched in April 2015 by the Government of India. The scheme provides collateral-free loans up to ₹10 lakhs to micro and small enterprises under three categories—**Shishu (up to ₹50,000)**, **Kishore (₹50,001 to ₹5 lakh)**, and **Tarun (₹5 lakh to ₹10 lakh)**—facilitating access to formal credit and encouraging self-employment among economically weaker sections.

The state of **Assam**, with its rich cultural heritage and economic reliance on agriculture, handloom, tea production, and small-scale industries, presents a unique case for analyzing the impact of PMMY. Despite its natural resources and entrepreneurial potential, Assam faces persistent development challenges, including underemployment, financial exclusion, inadequate infrastructure, and recurrent natural disasters such as floods. These factors make state-level evaluation of central schemes like PMMY not only relevant but necessary for policy recalibration and targeted development strategies.

The implementation of PMMY in Assam has witnessed both quantitative expansion and qualitative transformation. According to data from the Ministry of Finance, the number of Mudra beneficiaries in Assam has consistently increased, with a notable proportion of the loans extended to women, youth, and first-time entrepreneurs. These loans have predominantly supported businesses in agriculture, retail trade, animal husbandry, weaving, and food processing sectors—areas that are closely aligned with the state's traditional economy. In particular, the accessibility of credit without collateral has enabled many rural entrepreneurs to launch or expand micro-enterprises, generating localized employment and promoting livelihood diversification.

However, the trajectory of success under PMMY is not without its limitations. Several structural challenges continue to hinder the effectiveness of the scheme in Assam. These include **limited financial literacy among borrowers**, **weak post-loan handholding support**, **banking infrastructure deficits in remote areas**, and **reluctance of banks to sanction larger loan categories due to perceived credit risk**. Moreover, the scheme's effectiveness in ensuring long-term business sustainability, improving income levels, and achieving financial inclusion remains under-examined in the regional context.

Opportunities Created by PMMY in Assam

The Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY) has played a transformative role in promoting micro-entrepreneurship in Assam, a state that has long struggled with high levels of unemployment, underutilization of local skills, and limited access to formal financial services. One of the most significant opportunities created by PMMY is the expansion of financial inclusion, particularly among first-time borrowers and economically weaker sections who previously depended on informal and often exploitative sources of credit. The collateral-free nature of Mudra loans has made formal credit more accessible,

enabling individuals to start or expand small businesses in sectors such as handloom weaving, livestock rearing, food processing, retail, and handicrafts—areas in which Assam holds traditional strengths.

Women have particularly benefited from the scheme, as PMMY has facilitated their entry into the entrepreneurial ecosystem. A large number of Mudra loans in Assam have been availed by women engaged in household-based industries and small service enterprises, thereby contributing to women's economic empowerment and participation in the local economy. Additionally, the scheme has generated localized employment opportunities and reduced rural-urban migration by encouraging self-employment in rural areas. Another crucial benefit has been the growth of entrepreneurial aspirations among youth, who now view business ownership as a viable career path. Overall, PMMY has contributed to strengthening Assam's grassroots economy, promoting inclusive development, and instilling a culture of entrepreneurship in previously marginalized communities.

Challenges in Implementation

Despite its promising objectives, the implementation of PMMY in Assam faces several challenges that limit the scheme's overall effectiveness. One of the most critical issues is the lack of awareness and financial literacy among the targeted beneficiaries. Many potential entrepreneurs, especially in rural and remote areas, remain unaware of the scheme's benefits or lack the necessary documentation and knowledge to navigate the loan application process. Even when loans are availed, beneficiaries often face difficulty in managing finances, maintaining business records, or scaling operations due to a lack of training and capacity-building support.

Another major obstacle is the hesitancy among banks and financial institutions to extend higher loan categories (especially Kishore and Tarun loans) owing to concerns over credit risk and the possibility of loan defaults. This has resulted in a disproportionate concentration of loans in the Shishu category, which although beneficial, often does not suffice for scaling business operations. Additionally, Assam's unique geographic and socio-economic vulnerabilities—such as frequent floods, poor connectivity, and high dependency on traditional sectors—further complicate implementation. The absence of robust post-loan handholding mechanisms such as mentoring, market linkage, and technological support hinders the long-term sustainability of businesses. Moreover, delays in loan processing, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and a lack of follow-up by financial institutions continue to undermine the scheme's impact at the grassroots level.

Policy Implications

The implementation experiences of PMMY in Assam carry several significant policy implications for both state and central governments. First, the scheme highlights the importance of region-specific approaches to microfinance delivery, particularly in areas that are economically and geographically distinct. The generic design of PMMY needs to be adapted to the realities of states like Assam, where natural calamities, infrastructural bottlenecks, and low market integration demand customized interventions. Secondly, the scheme underscores the necessity of integrating financial inclusion initiatives with capacity-building and enterprise development programs. Merely providing loans without equipping beneficiaries with the skills to manage and grow their businesses can result in poor utilization of credit and increased risk of default. Furthermore, the high proportion of Shishu loans in Assam suggests that micro-entrepreneurs are not confident or capable of moving up the credit ladder, pointing to a potential stagnation in enterprise growth. This requires policymakers to examine structural constraints such as limited access to raw materials, weak

supply chains, and lack of scalable market access. The current challenges also stress the need for coordination between multiple stakeholders—banks, government departments, NGOs, and self-help groups—to ensure a more holistic and sustainable model of micro-entrepreneurial development. Ultimately, PMMY’s experience in Assam serves as a case study for refining national schemes to make them more impactful in less developed regions.

Recommendations

To enhance the effectiveness of the Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana in Assam, a multi-pronged and localized approach is necessary. Firstly, there is a pressing need to strengthen financial literacy and awareness campaigns at the grassroots level. Government agencies, NGOs, and local self-help groups should collaborate to educate potential entrepreneurs about the benefits of PMMY and the procedural requirements to avail and manage loans effectively. Secondly, capacity-building initiatives such as skill development programs, business training, and digital literacy should be integrated with the scheme to equip beneficiaries with the competencies necessary for sustaining their enterprises.

Thirdly, the state government and banks must work together to ensure that financial institutions are more proactive and flexible in extending credit, particularly under the Kishore and Tarun categories. Risk mitigation measures such as credit guarantees, interest subvention, and insurance coverage can reduce lending hesitancy among banks and enhance credit flow. Fourthly, post-loan support mechanisms must be institutionalized—this includes regular mentoring, exposure to market trends, facilitation of e-commerce platforms, and assistance in establishing supply chains and sales channels.

Finally, the government should establish a monitoring and evaluation framework with real-time tracking of loan utilization, business performance, and repayment patterns. This would allow for timely interventions and evidence-based policymaking. If these strategic recommendations are implemented with efficiency and sensitivity to local needs, PMMY can truly realize its vision of fostering sustainable and inclusive micro-entrepreneurship in Assam and similar underserved regions of India.

Conclusion

The Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY) has emerged as a cornerstone policy initiative aimed at empowering micro-entrepreneurs and driving inclusive economic growth across India. In the context of Assam—a state characterized by socio-economic vulnerabilities, frequent natural calamities, and a predominantly informal economy—the scheme has opened up new avenues for self-employment, financial inclusion, and grassroots enterprise development. By providing collateral-free institutional credit to small and first-time entrepreneurs, PMMY has made formal finance more accessible, especially to segments of the population that have traditionally been excluded from mainstream banking systems.

The findings of this study indicate that PMMY has generated several positive outcomes in Assam, such as empowering women-led enterprises, promoting rural entrepreneurship, and stimulating localized economic activity in sectors like agriculture, handloom, and small-scale trade. The increase in the number of beneficiaries and disbursement of loans over the years reflects the growing relevance and outreach of the scheme. Moreover, the social impact of the scheme—seen in the form of improved livelihoods, reduced dependence on exploitative informal credit sources, and the emergence of a self-reliant entrepreneurial culture—is both significant and encouraging.

However, the study also reveals critical structural and implementation-related challenges that must be addressed to ensure that the scheme delivers its full potential. These include the lack of adequate financial

literacy, limited availability of post-loan support services, reluctance among banks to extend higher-value loans, and a general lack of monitoring mechanisms to evaluate business outcomes. In particular, the high concentration of loans in the Shishu category suggests that many entrepreneurs remain at the entry-level stage, unable to scale their operations due to constraints related to capital, market access, and skill development. The absence of a robust entrepreneurial ecosystem—including mentoring, incubation, and forward market linkages—further weakens the long-term sustainability of enterprises supported under PMMY.

The policy implications of these findings are far-reaching. For schemes like PMMY to be more effective in economically and geographically disadvantaged regions like Assam, there needs to be a more localized, holistic, and integrated approach that goes beyond credit disbursement. Financial assistance must be paired with training, technology, marketing support, and ongoing mentorship to help micro-enterprises become financially viable and growth-oriented. Strengthening institutional coordination among banks, government agencies, NGOs, and civil society can also enhance the delivery and impact of the scheme. In conclusion, while PMMY has laid the groundwork for transforming the entrepreneurial landscape in Assam, realizing its transformative potential requires sustained policy innovation, institutional commitment, and community participation. With the right interventions and adaptive strategies, the scheme can serve not only as a financial inclusion tool but also as a powerful driver of economic empowerment, poverty reduction, and regional development. The lessons learned from Assam's experience with PMMY can also inform broader national strategies for microfinance and enterprise-led development in other underdeveloped states of India.

References

1. Government of India. (2023). *Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY) Annual Report 2022–23*. Ministry of Finance, Department of Financial Services.
2. Reserve Bank of India. (2022). *Report on Trends and Progress of Banking in India 2021–22*. Mumbai: RBI.
3. Bhowmik, R. (2021). Impact of PMMY on Women Entrepreneurs in Assam. *Journal of Rural and Industrial Development*, 9(2), 45–52.
4. Sharma, A., & Sahoo, B. K. (2020). Role of Mudra Yojana in Financial Inclusion and Entrepreneurship. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 55(33), 34–40.
5. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises. (2021). *MSME Annual Report 2020–21*. Government of India.
6. World Bank. (2021). *Improving Financial Access for Micro Enterprises in South Asia*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
7. Das, M. (2020). Financial Literacy and Credit Utilization in Assam. *Assam Economic Review*, 12(1), 23–35.
8. National Sample Survey Office. (2020). *Report on Unincorporated Non-Agricultural Enterprises (NSS 73rd Round)*.
9. Singh, R., & Mehta, P. (2020). Microfinance and the Growth of MSMEs in India. *International Journal of Development Studies*, 14(2), 112–126.
10. Choudhury, A. (2019). Assessing Financial Inclusion in Northeast India. *Man and Development*, 41(3), 45–63.
11. Planning Department, Government of Assam. (2021). *Assam Economic Survey 2020–21*.

12. NABARD. (2022). *Status of Microfinance in India: 2021–22*. Mumbai: National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.
13. Ghosh, J. (2018). Credit and Women's Empowerment in India. *Feminist Economics*, 24(3), 72–95.
14. Gupta, D., & Kumar, A. (2019). Financial Institutions and Credit Penetration. *Indian Journal of Finance and Banking*, 5(1), 89–101.
15. Chatterjee, M. (2021). Entrepreneurship Development through PMMY. *Indian Journal of Public Policy and Governance*, 11(2), 34–46.
16. Singh, A. K. (2020). Regional Disparities in PMMY Implementation. *Journal of Economics and Policy Research*, 15(2), 67–80.
17. UNDP India. (2020). *Micro-Enterprise Development in India: Challenges and Opportunities*.
18. Tripathi, S., & Roy, S. (2021). Financial Empowerment through PMMY in India. *Review of Development Finance*, 11(3), 231–243.
19. Joshi, P. (2022). Evaluating Mudra Yojana as a Tool for Inclusive Growth. *Economic Affairs*, 67(1), 13–20.
20. ILO. (2019). *Small Matters: Global Evidence on the Contribution to Employment by the Self-Employed*. Geneva: International Labour Organization.
21. Mukherjee, S. (2020). Mudra Yojana and Rural Entrepreneurship. *Kurukshetra*, 68(7), 9–13.
22. Pandey, V., & Narayan, R. (2019). Micro Credit and Informal Sector Growth. *Asian Journal of Economics and Finance*, 5(1), 57–66.
23. Chakraborty, B. (2021). Microfinance and Women's Economic Empowerment in the Northeast. *Journal of North East India Studies*, 11(1), 22–39.
24. Krishnan, S. (2018). Financial Inclusion and Growth. *Bank Quest*, 89(2), 51–59.
25. Ministry of Finance. (2022). *PMMY Dashboard and State-Wise Disbursement Data*. Retrieved from <https://www.mudra.org.in>
26. Rao, R. (2020). Digital Banking and Financial Access. *Indian Journal of Economics and Business*, 19(2), 89–102.
27. Barman, P. (2021). Credit Utilization and Repayment Behavior in Assam. *North East Journal of Social Science*, 7(2), 63–78.
28. Jain, T., & Dutta, S. (2020). Evaluating Public Credit Schemes in India. *Indian Journal of Development Research*, 6(1), 44–55.
29. Ministry of Rural Development. (2021). *State of Rural Livelihoods in India Report*.
30. Deka, P. (2019). Role of SHGs in Microenterprise Growth in Assam. *Assam Journal of Rural Studies*, 4(3), 49–60.
31. NITI Aayog. (2021). *Strategy for New India @75*.
32. Saxena, R., & Raj, A. (2022). Government Initiatives and Financial Inclusion. *Global Business Review*, 23(4), 1012–1027.
33. Aiyar, S. S. A. (2017). Small Loans, Big Dreams: Evaluating PMMY. *Times of India*.
34. Ali, F., & Das, S. (2020). Financial Challenges for Small Enterprises in Northeast India. *Northeast Economic Bulletin*, 8(1), 26–39.
35. World Economic Forum. (2020). *The Future of Jobs Report 2020*. Geneva.
36. Biju, P. (2019). The Role of Micro Credit in Promoting Entrepreneurship. *Indian Journal of Economic Policy*, 13(2), 54–67.

37. Roy, D. (2020). Examining Impact of Government Schemes on MSMEs. *Business Perspectives and Research*, 8(1), 91–105.
38. Dutta, R., & Sen, A. (2022). Analyzing Credit Risk under PMMY. *Finance India*, 36(2), 289–304.
39. OECD. (2020). *Financing SMEs and Entrepreneurs 2020: An OECD Scoreboard*.
40. Chakraborty, S. (2021). Loan Accessibility and Business Sustainability. *Indian Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 9(1), 20–36.
41. Mahanta, S. (2019). Entrepreneurship Trends in Assam. *Assam Economic Journal*, 17(1), 11–24.
42. Dasgupta, I. (2020). Public Schemes and Self-Employment. *Indian Policy Review*, 12(4), 87–101.
43. Bhagat, R. (2021). PMMY and the Informal Sector. *Development and Society*, 20(2), 114–126.
44. Singh, K. (2020). Employment Generation in India: Role of Micro Loans. *ILO Research Paper Series*, No. 33.
45. Khan, M., & Ali, R. (2020). Entrepreneurship Barriers in Northeast India. *Social and Economic Studies*, 14(3), 58–73.
46. Goel, A. (2021). Financial Support Systems for Startups in India. *Global Economics Review*, 9(2), 109–119.
47. Chakma, D. (2022). Women Entrepreneurs and Financial Access in Northeast. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 89, 102546.
48. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER). (2020). *Credit Access and MSME Growth*.
49. Bhattacharya, D. (2022). Assessing Regional Credit Disparities. *Eastern Economist*, 10(1), 38–50.
50. Raghuram, G. (2018). Building Financial Infrastructure for MSMEs. *NIPFP Working Paper*.
51. Das, A. (2021). Skill Development and Rural Entrepreneurship. *Kurukshetra*, 69(1), 18–22.
52. Mishra, P., & Verma, N. (2020). Financing the Future: PMMY's Promise. *Public Policy Research Journal*, 6(2), 69–84.
53. World Bank Group. (2022). *Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) Database 2021*. Retrieved from <https://globalfindex.worldbank.org>