Corporate Social Responsibility- A Bribe to Gain Favour or Ethical Redemption

Mr. T Arvind

Student, School of Law, Vellore Institute of Technology

Abstract:
Corporate Social Responsibility, or CSR, has gained prominence to become a cherished hallmark of modern ethical business practice. It can be seen as a way through which organizations can achieve their objectives advancing environmental sustainability, promoting social development, and encouraging transparent transactions while asking companies to contribute their fair share to society. However, recent discussions that have come up with critical questions include: are these altruistic initiatives or following the instrumental purposes for getting undue advantages? This study premises the ethical facet of CSR-scaling effects into the understanding of whether corporations leverage philanthropic activities (like environment campaigns, donation to NGOs, community development programs) not by virtue of the true social responsibility but as a calculated effort to influence key stakeholders. They might be seen as benevolent on the outside but perhaps do curry favours to gain the goodwill of government officials, regulatory bodies, or even investors, which would translate into pathways to secure preferential treatment, policy support, or even expediting clearances. The multidisciplinary approach of this study is applied through the analysis of real-life case studies, corporate disclosures, CSR reports as well as the existing legal frameworks with the aim of identifying patterns of ethical inconsistencies. It hopes to make a fair distinction between those efforts of CSR which are genuine and in keeping with a company's moral values and those that are disguised instruments of manipulation or soft corruption. It will not only expose these unethical practices through the research effort but will also propose safeguards-like greater visibility through third-party audits and regulatory oversight-to ensure that CSR retains its integrity. The overall intended outcome of this endeavor is effective contribution to the discussions on corporate ethics and responsible business conduct with regard to environmental as well as social fields.

Keywords: Accountability, Corporate, Environment, Pollution, Sustainability.

Introduction

The corporate industry, in present-day society, is most expected to become a steady foundation for economic advancement, creating a powerful dominion over the other employment sectors into which it is entering. Corporate ascendency has not changed the industries but is also clearing great pressure on the environment. There is a pressing need for the corporate world to adopt organized and sustained approaches to the environmental crisis. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is now the buzzword within nations,

International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

allowing companies to incorporate social and environmental concerns into their workplace strategies along with growth paths that lead to the sustainable principles[1].

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is increasingly the core business by which most business personalities conduct their affairs in the 21st century. CSR aims at voluntarily contributing the efforts of firms to meet societal ends such as environmental protection, education, health, and poverty alleviation. In that sense, it appears a noble thing because it would create a scenario when large corporate power exists, but well-aligned with public good. The debate on the ethical concept behind CSR continues to be raised. The evidence continues to mount suggesting that CSR is not a charitable contribution but has the distinct mark of a stakeholder management strategic tool employed to manipulate the governments, communities, and key decision-makers to favour an advantage towards the corporation. There arises the question - is it altruism that motivates most CSR initiatives, or are these actions instead simple business strategies?

CSR has graduated from being an 'add on' activity to forming the key strand of corporate strategy going forward. Business will not operate in isolation but will be held accountable to society and the environment. The accelerating interest in CSR has made the organizations design policies that help them minimize their environmental footprint in terms of climate change, resource depletion, and pollution. This article will address the interdependence of CSR and environmental issues, linking the relationship between businesses and sustainable development.

The Corporate Sector and Environmental Accountability

The corporate sector functions on nature's resources, making its concern for the environment a near imperative. While industries need natural resources for all aspects of production and logistics, their activities also contribute to environmental degradation. The rapid process of industrialization and expansion of urban areas signifies economic advancement but has also led to deforestation, polluting air and water, loss of biodiversity, and climate change. These negative impacts have raised great demands for the accountability of corporations toward sustainable development[2]. Environmental accountability means that organizations must accept their environmental footprints and take practical steps to reduce any adverse impact on the environment. It goes beyond compliance with environmental legislation-it requires proactive discharge of responsibility as part of a company's ethical obligations. In that context, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become an important instrument through which businesses can try to fit their economic aspirations with a broader context of environmental preservation and sustainability.

One of the founding principles of CSR is to mitigate pronounced problems of conflict arising through economic development and environmental protection. Environmental CSR initiatives include carbon emissions reduction, sustainable sourcing, renewable energy, water conservation, and improved waste management systems. Manufacturing, mining, construction, and energy are those industries that use resources extensively. These industries without sustainable-oriented operations cause enormous pollution and environmental depletion. As per estimates by the World Bank, industrial activity contributes around

[1] generally United Nations Global Compact, *Environmental Protection Principles*, UNGC, https://www.unglobalcompact.org (last visited Apr. 1, 2025).

[2] World Bank, *A Greener Path to Competitiveness: Policies for Climate Action in Industries and Products*, WORLD BANK, https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/competitiveness/publication/a-greener-path-to-competitiveness-policies-for-climate-action-in-industries-and-products (last visited Apr. 1, 2025).

International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

21 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions[3]. This magnifies the necessity for companies to internalize environmental concern in their business plans. A 2023 CDP (Carbon Disclosure Project) report tracking environmental disclosures by major corporations globally showed that companies that took decisive climate action were also those better able to deal with other challenges and therefore had potentially better long-term sustainability. The report also stated that nearly 215 of the world's largest firms estimated that climate-related risks could incur them nearly $1 trillion, but they recognize opportunities worth twice as much in investing sustainable technologies.

Certain companies have made great strides toward environmental sustainability in the name of CSR. A few noteworthy ones are in order:

- Infosys (India): The giant IT company has claimed carbon neutrality. Its 2022 Sustainability Report states that it has achieved a 45% reduction in per capita electricity consumption since 2008, with over 44% of its consumption coming from renewable sources. Moreover, it also conducts reforestation projects and green campus initiatives[4].
- IKEA: The Swedish furniture retailer is investing in sustainable forestry and hopes to use solely renewable or recycled materials for its products by 2030. As of 2022, 98% of all wood used in IKEA products came from FSC-certified or recycled sources. To this end, it has invested heavily in renewable energy; it owns more than 500 wind turbines across the globe[5].
- Tata Steel: One of India's largest steel producers, Tata Steel is working to align its environmental objectives with the Paris Agreement. It has established zero effluent discharge systems at a number of its plants, invested in carbon capture technologies, and is focusing on recycling resources as ways to reduce its overall environmental footprint[6].

These examples highlight that environmental accountability through CSR is, in fact, not just viable, but profitable, and enhances reputation. Companies that spearhead sustainable innovation are looked upon favorably by investors and retain consumer loyalty. Institutional reports and academic studies highlight the key role of CSR in promoting environmental changes. Environmental protection is one of the essential principles of the United Nations Global Compact, a voluntary initiative based on CEO commitments to implement sustainability principles[7]. Such companies are to conform to four environmental principles that establish norms on precautionary approaches, environmental responsibility, and promotion of environmentally friendly technologies. Likewise, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises encourage corporations "to continually seek to improve corporate environmental performance, at the level of the enterprise and, where appropriate, of its supply chain[8]." In India, CSR spending has been made mandatory for companies meeting certain financial criteria as per Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013[9]. Though the Act does specify that CSR spending cannot be done for environmental purposes, it is a

[3] CDP, 2023 CDP Report: Tracking Environmental Disclosures by Corporations, CARBON DISCLOSURE PROJECT, https://www.cdp.net/en/companies/cdp-2023-disclosure-data-factsheet (last visited Apr. 1, 2025).
[4] Infosys, *Sustainability Report 2022*, INFOSYS, https://www.infosys.com/sustainability (last visited Apr. 4, 2025).
[5] IKEA, *Sustainability at IKEA: Our Strategy*, IKEA, https://www.ikea.com/sustainability (last visited Apr. 4, 2025).
[6] Tata Steel, *Sustainability Report 2023*, TATA STEEL, https://www.tatasteel.com/sustainability (last visited Apr. 4, 2025).
[7] United Nations Global Compact, The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact, UNGC, https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
[8] Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, OECD, https://www.oecd.org/daf/inv/mne/ (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
[9] Companies Act, No. 18 of 2013, § 135, India Code (2013).

very common practice for many companies to fund activities such as afforestation, water conservation, and renewable energy projects. The Ministry of Corporate Affairs estimates that over ₹25,000 crores have been spent on CSR in India from 2014 to 2022, with a large chunk for environmental sustainability[10]. The Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria have also become the focus of investment decisions. ESG reporting capitalizes on a more comprehensive understanding of a company's long-term risk exposure to environmental threats and vulnerabilities. A report published by Morningstar in 2023 indicated that ESG fund assets surpassed the $2.7 trillion mark globally, suggesting increased investor inclination toward environmentally-conscious enterprises[11].

In spite of these favorable indications, environmental accountability through corporate social responsibility (CSR) upholds its difficulties. One of the most glaring difficulties is greenwashing. This is a fraudulent act in which a company gives a false impression of its environmental efforts. While the companies may highlight, in their marketing efforts, very minor or even merely symbolic environmental actions, they are committing much larger offenses in the real world. The case of Volkswagen and its diesel emissions[12] scandal is illustrative of textbook greenwashing[13]. The company marketed its vehicles as low emissions, surely worthy of an environmentally sensitive person, while unbeknownst to anyone, it had cleverly installed software to allow some of its vehicles to "cheat" on emission tests. This was greatly damaging to the reputation of the company and called to attention the possible hazards posed by CSR environmental claims not being checked. To deal with greenwashing, watchdog groups including Greenpeace, Environmental Working Group, and Transparency International[14] have been asking for increased regulatory oversight and third-party audits and more transparency in CSR reporting. GRI and SASB provide standards for CSR disclosure to be true and fairly represented[15][16].

When it is genuine, environmental accountability through CSR benefits both society and the company:

- For businesses, the long-term savings are considerable. Sustainable practices in energy-efficiency, water-recycling, and waste-reduction are often equally cost-saving.
- Reputation Management: An impeccable environmental record improves the perception of the brand especially among the green consumers.
- Regulatory Compliance: Good environmental practices allow early anticipation of regulations and avoidance of penalties.
- Risk Reduction: Reducing environmental risks like climate change and scarcities in resources reduces exposure in the supply chain.

---

[10] Ministry of Corporate Affairs, CSR in India: The Road Ahead, Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, https://www.mca.gov.in (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).

[11] Morningstar, 2023 ESG Report: Growth in Sustainable Fund Assets, MORNINGSTAR, https://www.morningstar.com (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).

[12] Volkswagen, Volkswagen Diesel Emissions Scandal, BBC NEWS, https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-34324470 (last visited Apr. 7, 2025).

[13] Greenpeace, Greenwashing: The Environmental Fraud, Greenpeace International, https://www.greenpeace.org (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).

[14] Transparency International, The Fight Against Greenwashing: Strengthening Regulations, TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL, https://www.transparency.org (last visited Apr. 7, 2025).

[15] Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), Sustainability Reporting Standards, GRI, https://www.globalreporting.org (last visited Apr. 7, 2025).

[16] Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), *Corporate Sustainability Reporting Standards*, SASB, https://www.sasb.org (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

- Capital Access: Companies with good environmental practice usually find favor with ESG investors and consequently find easier access to funding.

Corporations are the most powerful sectors with respect to holding environmental responsibility; thus, the corporate world must bounce back from a profit-making mechanism to one based on sustainability and ethics as more and more natural resources are squandered in the face of climate change. CSR provides a platform through which businesses can undertake meaningful actions that exceed compliance status and become the real environmental stewards. Many firms serve as exemplary models; yet, the situation is further complicated by challenges such as greenwashing and insincere commitment. To ensure real environmental accountability, we need transparency in environmental reporting, third-party auditing, enforceable regulations, and a strong civil society. Ultimately, being responsible in business and the environment is not just an ethical priority but a strategic one in a world that is increasingly defining success on sustainability. CSR will find its future when it can balance business and planetary needs—a future where businesses will flourish, not in spite of the environment, but alongside it.

Corporate Social Responsibility: A Rising Need to Address Environmental Challenges in the Corporate Sector

The proponents of CSR argue that businesses, as being part of society, have the responsibility to attend to social and environmental issues beyond making profits. This philosophy is based on the theory of stakeholders, which suggests that companies should serve not only their shareholders but also employees, customers, communities, and the environment[17]. Some businesses have manifested some genuine CSR initiatives like the Indian Tata Group, which is very much appreciated for its ethical business and philanthropy[18]. The Tata Trusts have been doing rural development, education, and health care in India for decades- much before CSR had become legally binding in India. Similarly, Unilever has its Sustainable Living Plan that aims to reduce environmental impact while improving health and well-being across its value chain[19]. These examples prove that some companies value CSR very much in the structure of their value systems. Institutions like the World Economic Forum (WEF) and United Nations Global Compact, thus moved their agendas towards promoting goodwill among companies to incorporate sustainability and ethics in their operations. Quotes about a section of such world organizations, such as the UN Global Compact framework, are thereby supposed to align with principles about human rights, labour, environment, and anti-corruption[20]. A KPMG report in 2022 stated that more than 80% of the world's top 250 companies now subscribe to sustainability reporting-a clear indication of improving commitment to CSR[21].

Notwithstanding these positive developments, a more critical perspective suggests that CSR can often serve as a smokescreen-the instrument of image laundering by companies. Under the guise of philanthropy, such companies are likely able to influence regulators, divert criticism, or gain licenses and contracts. A very noteworthy example is Vedanta Resources, the UK-based mining company operating in India. This

[17] R. Edward Freeman, Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach (Pitman 1984).

[18] Tata Trusts, About Us, https://www.tatatrusts.org (last visited Apr. 10, 2025).

[19] Unilever, The Unilever Sustainable Living Plan, https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/ (last visited Apr. 10, 2025).

[20] U.N. Global Compact, The Ten Principles, https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles (last visited Apr. 10, 2025).

[21] KPMG, The Time Has Come: The KPMG Survey of Sustainability Reporting 2022, https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/xx/pdf/2022/11/kpmg-2022-survey-of-sustainability-reporting.pdf (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

company pumped a lot of money into local CSR programs within Odisha including health camps, education drives, and water projects. These accruals coincided with his much-criticized scheme to mine bauxite in the Niyamgiri Hills, sacred to the indigenous Dongria Kondh tribe[22]. According to investigations performed by Survival International and reports by India's Ministry of Environment and Forests, these CSR efforts served as placation of opposition and were designed for the manipulation of the public opinion[23]. The population eventually ruled against the mining projects of Vedanta by the Supreme Court of India because they have been disregarding local consent and environmental ethics.

Another case is that of British American Tobacco (BAT), which for long has used CSR campaigns in areas involving education and community support in developing countries. However, a BBC and Bureau of Investigative Journalism investigation in 2015 revealed by internal documents that BAT used these CSR approaches to make goodwill and avoid regulatory actions on using tobacco controls in countries like Kenya and Uganda[24]. Even in democratic countries, CSR can be subtle means of influencing. According to a study done by Harvard Business School (2021), companies investing heavily towards social causes in certain states in the U.S. would be found benefiting from favourable tax treatments and regulatory flexibility[25]. Such a study suggested that CSR projects may be beneficial to communities but would also be a calculated investment in securing soft influence.

As more and more people voice concerns about misuse, appropriate regulatory frameworks are being put in place to guarantee the accountability of CSR. Under Section 135 of India's Companies Act of 2013, companies meeting certain criteria must allocate at least 2 percent of their net profits toward corporate social responsibility[26]. This law is unique at a global level and forms an attempt to formalize CSR obligations. However, a report by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG) in 2019 showed that a lot of companies were either underreporting or misusing CSR funds, many of them diverting funds toward unrelated or self-serving activities[27]. Similarly, companies must not engage in CSR as a tactic for lobbying or diffusing influence into public authorities in unethical ways, as proclaimed by the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises[28]. Yet when it comes to the enforcement of those guidelines, capability seems to be a challenge.

None of the strategic CSR actions is immoral; however, transparency hesitancy makes it easy to confuse responsible business conduct with corruption. For example, a donation to a program on forest conservation may be noble but, if timed right before seeking environmental clearances, motives may be suspect. Sponsoring a government-backed event appears to be patriotic, but that activity also opens avenues for exclusive tenders or contracts. This fear is naturally reflected in the 2023 Transparency International

---

[22] Survival Int'l, Vedanta: Undermining Indigenous Rights, https://www.survivalinternational.org/campaigns/vedanta (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[23] Ministry of Env't & Forests, Gov't of India, Report on Niyamgiri Hills (2013).

[24] BBC & Bureau of Investigative Journalism, British American Tobacco's Lobbying Tactics (2015), https://www.bbc.com/news/business-34523423 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[25] Harvard Bus. Sch., CSR and Regulatory Capture: Evidence from U.S. State Governments (2021), https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=56704 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[26] The Companies Act, No. 18 of 2013, § 135, Acts of Parliament, 2013 (India), https://www.mca.gov.in (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[27] Comptroller & Auditor Gen. of India, Performance Audit Report on Corporate Social Responsibility Activities in Selected CPSEs (2019), https://cag.gov.in (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[28] Org. for Econ. Coop. & Dev. (OECD), OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (2011), https://www.oecd.org/daf/inv/mne/48004323.pdf (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

Corruption Perception Index (CPI) also[29]. Lack of institutional oversight sees misuse of almost every activity of CSR for political or economic gains. Most nations with low CPI would consider CSR as an alternate or loophole under which corruption can take place in the name of social responsibility.

CSR may well be an ethical commitment or strategic tool for different companies. While it is true that many indulge in CSR for genuinely brightening communities and saving the environment, some use it as a cover to secure regulation Favors or influence others' perception. The difference comes in intention and transparency of such initiatives and what they yield. Hence, government bodies, civil society, and independent watchdogs must be equipped to improve accountability mechanisms to ensure that CSR truly serves its purpose-to be a bridge between corporate power and public good, not some veil of vested interests.

Environmental Issues in Focus

Water Resource: Depletion Water scarcity is gradually attaining a critical state as an environmental issue. Apart from other avenues, industries consume freshwater in large quantities, and overuse and contamination of water resources have become worries. Water-intensive industries such as agriculture, manufacturing, and beverages cause significant depletion of freshwater sources and most often lead to the deterioration of local ecosystems and the socioeconomic condition of the communities that depend on these resources.

Nestlé has now engaged in water stewardship initiatives meant to help alleviate the effects that the company's operations might have on freshwater resources[30]. All components of this comprehensive plan for water management are aimed at managed reduction of water consumption, efficient use of water in manufacturing operations, and improved access to water in communities. Nestlé's ambition is to regenerate local water cycles through its programs by 2025 and ensure that associated water usage would remain sustainable in high-risk areas. The company thinks that this is necessary to improve efficiency in water use and invest in water conservation programs for communities in which it operates. In spite of that, Nestlé's water extraction has come under the scanner with regard to the practices involved in the bottled water business because, it is argued, the competition drains away, keeping local resources low in water-something highly lacking in other areas. Groups such as Food & Water Watch filed reports on numerous complaints regarding the way Nestlé extracted water from various areas and suggested that even if the company praised itself in terms of water stewardship, actual effort would not always tie to the long-term needs of local communities[31].

In the same way, Coca-Cola is also mired in controversies over water use. To enable millions of people to access clean water in Africa, the company was launched into the initiative called "Replenish Africa Initiative" (RAIN)[32]. Coca-Cola claims to provide more water to society than its consumption in production processes, but people doubt if the programs do anything to fill the gap of the usual lack of water availability. Many stakeholders criticize Coca-Cola's water use in particular regions for its non-

[29] Transparency Int'l, Corruption Perceptions Index 2023, https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2023 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[30] Nestlé, Water Stewardship, https://www.nestle.com/sustainability/water (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[31] Food & Water Watch, Nestlé's Water Grabs, https://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/2020/01/21/nestle-water/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[32] Coca-Cola Co., Replenish Africa Initiative (RAIN), https://www.coca-colacompany.com/shared-future/community/replenish-africa-initiative (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

sustainability, which led to protest actions from people, as well as filing cases over water rights. To address these issues, the company pledged that it would adopt community water replenishment efforts to offset this in the long term.

Improper Waste Disposal Waste disposal emerges as one of the very serious issues that have come under the lens of corporate practices. Improper disposal of toxic and chemical waste is one of the worst threats to the environment and public health. Corporate actions especially in chemical, electronic, and textile sectors alone contribute a very high amount of industrial waste, most of which is disposed of improperly, causing pollution of land, water, and air.

Unilever's waste management programs have been recognized especially in connection with the "Zero waste" initiative that is geared towards eliminating all non-hazardous waste to landfill from the entire network of factories worldwide[33]. This target has been achieved in 2015 since all of Unilever's manufacturing sites send zero waste to landfills, causing not only cost savings in the operation but also resource conservation and job creation. This is a significant part of Unilever's broader sustainability strategy, which includes not only waste reduction but also recycling of materials. Despite these efforts, however, criticism has been launched against Unilever concerning its continued manufacturing of single-use plastic sachets in developing countries[34]. Such packaging forms are quite small and commonly difficult for recycling. Thus, they contribute to the global plastic waste problem. Though some strides with packaging innovations to cut back on plastic waste were made by Unilever, such as using recycled plastic materials, the role that the company plays in bringing massive amounts of single-use plastic into being poses questions regarding the actual outcome of these CSR efforts into solving the larger waste problem.

Climate Change and Global Warming: Climate change is the most pressing environmental issue today-the activities of corporations, especially in the areas of energy consumption and emissions from industries, are contributing to global warming. Hence, CSR measures intended at minimizing carbon emissions and moving into renewable energy have become critical components of corporate sustainability strategy.

As a carbon-neutral corporate giant by 2030, Apple has left nothing to chance. Apple defined carbon neutrality as not only its own operations but everything from the supply chain to the product life cycle. The company is reducing its carbon footprint by using 100% recycled aluminum in its products and by running its operations with renewable energy[35]. Apple is also working with suppliers to enable them to operate on clean energy while minimizing their own carbon emissions. But with all this glitz, one cannot help but see the other side of Apple's fervent carbon neutrality efforts-the question of transparency and relevance of its concerned strategies. Some stakeholders claim that carbon offset initiatives, such as tree plantation to offset emissions, may be rather limited in completely contrasting the broader environmental impacts of its products and operations. Impressive as it is, Apple is facing an uphill battle in terms of the remaining large corporations and their climate concern issues. The Corporate Climate Responsibility Monitor (2024) reports that companies make ambitious climate pledges, but few have taken real action to

[33] Unilever, Zero Waste to Landfill Achieved, https://www.unilever.com/news/press-releases/2015/zero-non-hazardous-waste-to-landfill-across-unilevers-factory-network/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[34] Greenpeace Int'l, The Smart Supermarket: How Unilever Can Do More to Fight Plastic Pollution (2020), https://www.greenpeace.org/international/publication/33189/unilever-plastic-pollution-report/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[35] Apple Inc., Environmental Progress Report 2023, https://www.apple.com/environment/pdf/Apple_Environmental_Progress_Report_2023.pdf (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

cut their carbon footprint[36]. According to the report, most of the big companies are nowhere near the Paris targets; rather, they have largely relied on carbon offset schemes that do not ensure reductions at source. This brings the question of whether certain corporate climate actions stem from the desire to portray a good image in the public eye rather than from a genuine commitment to counteracting climate change.

Pollution

Pollution from industrial activities continues to be a major environmental issue contaminating air, water, and soil. Industrial activities, mainly those relating to manufacturing and resource extraction, are big-time polluters. To handle these environmental issues, a number of companies opted for more sustainable operations, including techniques for cleaner production and less reliance on harmful substances.

A leader in environmentalism, Patagonia has set a strong environmental example with its use of recycled materials in its product lines and the cutting back of its carbon footprint. The company donates 1% of its sales to environmental causes and is working toward having all its products made from 100% recycled materials by 2025[37]. These initiatives are part of Patagonia's wider environmental representation, aimed toward the conservation of natural ecosystems and diminishing environmental impact from the company in the present and future. However, even with such positive initiatives, Patagonia's attempts to scale up these efforts across its entire supply chain are hampered. Critics argue that emissions from the supply chain of the company are harder to control than those originating in its product lines, and while its environmental efforts are commendable, they are part of a greater market trend in "green marketing" where companies utilize sustainability efforts as market differentiators without necessarily addressing the more serious environmental concerns arising from their global operations.

Pollution has found fertile ground in the activities of Unilever: pollution has been combating waste, and pollution by sustainable product development is another of that company's breathing grounds. Waste disposal to landfills has been reduced dramatically by Unilever, which has continued to promote its rapid progress in the field of plastic pollution reduction packaging innovation. Yet, the company's reliance on single use plastic, particularly within its developing markets, has provoked discontentment on the grounds that Unilever's CSR Programs are geared economically more towards brand enhancement and less towards solving the germane issues causing pollution. Many companies, in fact, pursue possibilities for real social responsibility to reduce their environmental impact, yet they still walk a tightrope between true environmental stewardship and clever business gambits. CSR programs, particularly addressing pressing environmental matters such as water resource depletion, waste management, climate change, and pollution, need thorough scrutiny as to their real effectiveness. Through transparency, third-party verification, and tight regulatory ordinances, it must guarantee that CSR is not merely a potential avenue for manipulation but indeed a truly guiding force of corporate environmental responsibility toward the common good.

Proposed Solutions for Ensuring Ethical Integrity in CSR Practices

This is an open invitation to sharp penning policy innovations to ensure that the core ethos of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is maintained and that CSR is not misused to wield influence or manipulate

[36] Corporate Climate Responsibility Monitor, 2024 Report (NewClimate Inst. & Carbon Mkt. Watch 2024), https://newclimate.org/publications/corporate-climate-responsibility-monitor-2024 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

[37] Patagonia, Our Environmental & Social Responsibility Work, https://www.patagonia.com/environmental-responsibility/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

strategy. In this sense, here are some proposals aimed at enhancing transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct in CSR implementation:

1. Third-Party Auditing and Independent Evaluation are Imperative

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) implementation is primarily focused on self-reporting. Companies are often their own evaluators of their grasp and extent of CSR initiatives, claims that result in selective disclosures, exaggeration, and sometimes outright mis-reporting. This kind of lack of independent oversight diminishes the credibility of, as well as transparency regarding, CSR programs and undermines the very ethical foundation upon which such initiatives have been established. To avoid this, mandatory third-party auditing by independent, certified agencies should be put in place. Audits should be done using standardized methodologies and verified benchmarks that assess not only the financial outlay of CSR projects but also their tangible impact on communities, the environment, and stakeholders.

The third-party audits must be put in place under specific statutory requirements for the scrutiny functions. For example, in India or relatively similar jurisdictions, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) should empanel an approved list of auditing agencies. This empanelled list should include not only financial but also social impact auditors, environmental scientists, and community development specialists so that multidimensional evaluation of CSR can be done. Furthermore, grants for CSR should be made dependent on the fulfillment of an independent audit for being made available in subsequent years. Any such company that misreports or otherwise manipulates its CSR data should be made to face public penalties and have its name published publicly to deter unethical practices.

Mandatory third-party auditing and independent evaluation are not just technical security but ethical imperatives. They close the trust gap that exists between companies and society, ensure that money does not get wasted for something that is not real progress, and protect the original tenet of CSR: doing something significant for social and environmental well-being. Such oversight, without which CSR is on the way to becoming purely a tool of reputation management and regulatory appeasement rather than a beacon of corporate conscience.

2. Transparent Disclosure and Real-Time Public Accessibility

Transparency is the bedrock of accountability, most especially with the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Companies not only document their CSR activities in annual reports and sustainability reviews but also lack complete disclosure on granularity, timeliness, and accessibility. Therefore, the higher the disclosure, the higher the trust that CSR interventions work instead of being undertakings merely to gain some kind of public empathy or strategic arm-twisting. An impressive mechanism requires companies to publish granular data via dedicated public dashboards or digital portals every moment, and this much asks for the necessary public disclosure of CSR: who, what, where, how, and why of any given CSR initiative. This direction has been initiated by India with the establishment of the National CSR Portal by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA). The portal provides publicly documented CSR spending by companies, including the sectors distributed and implementing agencies. However, the operational area of the portal's sophistication is limited in real-time updates or project-specific data or impact tracking. Certain operational improvements are important for further leveraging the portal by the MCA that includes:

- Real-Time Updates: Instead of companies having to update on CSR activities annually, more frequent updates could be instated quarterly or biannually to maintain the timeliness and relevance of the data.
- NGO Validation Ferment: The arrangement with inbuilt verification from databases such as NGO Darpan (run by NITI Aayog) would cope with discrepancies relating to the veracity and governance of the selected NGOs.

- Conflict of Interest Mechanisms: Through the manipulation of an algorithm, the mechanisms could flag inconsistencies, such as the existence of red flags with multiple CSR projects being assigned to the same NGO that has links to the company leadership or conflicts aimed at overconcentration in politically unstable areas.
- Citizen Feedback Loops: A specific part for feedback from the local populace could also incorporate a whistleblowing system for local communities and civil society groups, so as to make it possible for community members to raise questions or report any anomalies observed in project implementation. Thus, they would enhance people's participation and oversight initiatives.

3. Stringent Conflict of Interest Disclosures

In Corporate Social Responsibility, the apportionment of funds is a pure workout of transparency against any commodity-like corporate objectives used for either public relations or any other political or regulatory advantages. The under-regulated and most risky area in CSR is the potential conflict of interest between corporations and their beneficiaries. This scenario could be NGOs, local institutions, or political activists. Dismissing this discomfort commissions favoritism, soft lobbying, regulatory leniency, or even reputation cleaning under the pretext of social service, and thus, corporations should be legally bound by the mandate to disclosure any probable conflict, whatsoever. These disclosures ought to include the following, among other things:3.1 Regulatory Context and Implementation Mechanisms

In India, while Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013 and the rules regarding CSR mandate the spending and reporting measures, there are currently no provisions requiring companies to declare conflicts of interest applicable in CSR project selection or partnership with NGOs. This is a critical gap since many investigations and media articles have reported instances in which CSR funds were channeled under the guise of development work to related-party NGOs or politically connected organizations.

4. Linking CSR to Measurable ESG Metrics

In recent times, the discussion around Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has slowly shifted toward a bigger and more quantifiable framework: Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) metrics. While CSR mostly remains a discretionary and qualitative initiative, ESG offers a quantifiable reference for investors, regulators and civil society in keeping with a corporation's long-term sustainability, ethics and operational risk. Bridging CSR to measurable ESG metrics will not just bring about accountability but will deter companies from using CSR as a mere image-management or lobbying tool.

Unlike CSR, which sometimes is viewed as vague and not aligned to core business functions, the ESG frameworks do look at how well a company integrates ethical practices in its business model, supply chain, leadership structure and risk management processes. To illustrate, while a company may report a one-off donation to an environmental NGO under CSR, ESG evaluation will question whether that company has adopted low-carbon operations, ethical labour practices and transparent governance mechanisms. The linkage thus provides a compelling way of ensuring that CSR activities are not just on their own, but reflect an organisation-wide commitment to sustainable development. It allows for comparative assessment, including quasi-standard benchmarking, of companies across industries and regions, hence providing useful information for regulators and investors.

5. Whistleblower Protection and Grievance Redressal

Perhaps one of the most critical yet least discussed aspects of CSR implementation is the absence of protective mechanisms for individuals who witness or suffer unethical practices in implementing CSR programs. With CSR activities becoming a legal requirement in various jurisdictions, especially in India under Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, questions about the credibility and transparency of such initiatives are also on the rise. Various studies have highlighted issues, among them are concerned misreporting, diversion of funds, favouritism in NGO partnerships, and coercive purpose-disposal practices. Effective resolution of these problems, however, calls for the establishment of grievance redress mechanisms that are not only secure but also accessible, fortified by a robust whistleblower protection framework. In many instances, employees within corporations, field staff from partner NGOs, or even members of the communities that are to be benefited from CSR initiatives may be privy to irregularities or mismanagement. However, the complete absence of formal channels to enable these individuals to report such malpractices without the fear of retaliation stands as a real impediment to transparency. Those that come forward with vital information have been silenced by the fear of job loss and legal repercussions, being blackballed by professional circles, thus enabling unchecked corporate misconduct undermining both the intent and impact of CSR policies.

Addressing this vacuum would require that the CSR regulation incorporates a well-structured legally binding mechanism for grievance redressal. The Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Rules, 2014, could be amended to provide for a requirement that companies set up internal complaints mechanisms specifically addressing CSR-related grievances. These systems should have accessibility not only for corporate employees but also for NGO partners, beneficiaries, and members of civil society. Oversight responsibility for these systems should lie with an independent body, either the Ministry of Corporate Affairs or a designated CSR regulatory authority, which would guarantee impartiality and enforcement. The design of such frameworks could learn from international best practice, for instance, the whistleblower program in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). This model shelters the identity of whistleblowers, protects their disclosure from employer retaliation, and sometimes monetarily rewards others for pointing out financial misconduct. Whereas monetary rewards may not be immediately realizable within the Indian CSR context, similar guarantees of anonymity and protection would much encourage ethical disclosure. We could conceive, supported by the government, a platform to facilitate anonymous complaints-most straightforwardly affiliated with the National CSR Portal.

6. Strengthening Regulatory Oversight through a CSR Ombudsman

Set up an independent regulatory body specifically for CSR to govern it ethically and avoid Corporate Social Responsibility being turned into a strategic weapon for corporate favoritism or soft corruption. While sections now available under the Companies Act, 2013 provide such mandates for requisite disclosures and expenditures under CSR, the lack of a specialized authority vested with investigative powers leaves room for opacity, manipulation, and token compliance. A major proposition for bridging this vital void includes creating a CSR Ombudsman or Commission-an independent institution that can take a panoramic perspective on CSR practices across sectors. Such a body must be constituted by experts from diversified fields, such as environmental science, economics, legal studies, business ethics, and public policy. Its mandate must go beyond just compliance monitoring to an evaluation of the actual societal and environmental impact of CSR projects, particularly those above a defined financial threshold. Since large-scale CSR programs usually span several years and are formed through partnerships with numerous stakeholders-including non-governmental organizations, local authorities, and private contractors-the presence of a neutral authority is imperative to prevent the misallocation of funds and ensure accountability.

The empowered CSR Ombudsman would also have the jurisdiction to initiate investigation either Suo motu or on complaints lodged by a whistleblower, beneficiary, civil society organization, or regulatory authority. By conducting random audits of both corporate entities and their implementation partners, the body would serve as a proactive safeguard against unethical practices such as inflated reporting, conflict of interest in fund disbursement, or misuse of CSR for political patronage. Not just seeing to financial compliance, these audits would also evaluate the qualitative aspects of the CSR projects themselves- relevance, community participation, and sustainability, for example. A key function of the Ombudsman would be the annual production of best practice reports, which would show sectors or regions which are more vulnerable to misuses, as well as best practices that genuinely contribute to public good. Both would facilitate the following: first, to reward good businesses by increasing public credibility and trust among investors; and as a second benefit, to act as a disincentive for those who would misuse CSR as a façade for reputational laundering.

7. Compliance-Differentiated CSR vis-à-vis Strategic CSR

The plethora of challenges confronting Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) lately has been the clear demarcation of programmes that merely satisfy the statutory norms and initiatives which are deeper within a corporation's vision and ethical values. An example is India's Companies Act of 2013, which requires companies to set aside at least 2% of their average net profits over the last three years for CSR purposes. This stipulation has often left firms with a compliance mindset as regarding CSR. Many firms then treat CSR primarily as a cost and not really think through how to use CSR as a strategic pathway to sustainable impact. The gap between regulatory compulsion and voluntary altruism must be filled by a structured classification of CSR initiatives into two primary streams: compliance-driven CSR and strategic CSR. While compliance CSR focuses solely on meeting the legal thresholds, tentative initial projects that could be executed quickly or could be made visible are included therein. In contrast, strategic CSR extends beyond the requirements of law and the spirit of the company's long-term mission and values and core operations. Strategic CSR projects are thus generally larger in scope, likely to create a more sustainable impact, and often within the company's area of expertise or supply chain.

It can be embedded into annual CSR reporting formats submitted as an annual return to regulatory bodies like the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) or Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). Companies will self-identify their respective CSR initiatives into streams with reason, strategic alignment, and long-term intended outcomes. Third-party audits or an independent CSR oversight body can check this classification for compliance. Such bifurcation in CSR filings would provide stakeholders, including investors, civil society, regulatory agencies, and the public, a nuanced understanding of the philosophy within which a company engages with society. It would also determine whether a company's efforts constitute little more than tokenism or thoughtful acts of citizenship. This, in turn, will impact investor choices, particularly influenced by Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) measures since strategic CSR is more likely to be related to sustainable business models and value creation in the long term.

8. Inclining Towards Participatory CSR Models

Transformation in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is not pure; it is replacing the current top-down models toward more participatory models of involvement in many cases. Most of the times, CSR projects are designed and executed solely on the basis of inputs from corporate managers or external consultants, often with very little or no input from actual potential beneficiaries. This much often results in mismatching priorities, lack of ownership among beneficiaries, unsustainable outcomes. For ethical and long-term effectiveness, therefore, it is necessary to give a role to local communities in identifying, planning, and executing CSR interventions, since participatory CSR is built on democratic engagement and inclusive understanding of the key stakeholder. Such participatory CSR models involve the engagement of companies with local populations by listening to their ideas and creating initiatives that target their urgent needs. As bottom-up processes, they lead to better-targeted and relevant outcomes, build trust between corporations and communities, and foster resource transparency. Further, projects are likely better fixed in implementation and maintenance over time since communities will take more ownership of the projects if part of the process.

For instance, national regulatory agencies could use guidelines to define the public involvement as a formal requirement in the case of any large-scale CSR projects. Such projects in this instance would include those affecting the indigenous and marginalized populations. Companies may also be mandated to consult with communities associated with their projects, conduct social impact assessments, and stakeholder mapping prior to the initiation of projects. This could be put into the CSR approval procedures for the projects. Further reinforcement would include putting an effective monitoring mechanism in this construct to track the outreach of communities and publishing compliance data on public CSR dashboards.

Finally, participatory methods in CSR project planning are not just moral considerations but strategic ones. It moves CSR beyond theatrical acts and token talks into action for sustainable development and ethical corporate conduct.

9. CSR Education and Ethical Training

Another change that needs to be instituted in relation to transforming Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is that which pertains to education and internal capacity building. Most discussions on CSR tend to focus on implementation and compliance, but less often on ethical literacy and the values of the persons designing and implementing these initiatives. There is, especially in many corporations that operate in developing countries, a wide gap in understanding ethical frameworks, principles of sustainability, and long-term strategies on social responsibilities among critical decision-makers. It is essential to institutionalize ethical training and CSR education at all levels in the corporate ladder, especially among top leadership and dedicated CSR agents, if one intends to fill the gap. In addition to basic legal compliance, CSR education must include the deeper themes of environmental justice, stakeholder theory, anti-corruption norms, and impact measurement. These themes are important to help corporate managers understand the larger picture their actions create, navigate complex ethical dilemmas, and reach decisions that would align with societal expectations and sustainable development goals. Moreover, training should not be a single exercise but rather a continuous process integrated into corporate governance systems, leadership development programs, and annual review cycles.

Conclusion

Corporate Social Responsibility evolved from a mechanism to integrate ethics into the operations of firms to one of the cardinal pillars of any modern business strategy. As such, it is too important to become irrelevant today, especially one in which profit-making corporations are supposed to consider the promotion of social and environmental health. But this study attempts to highlight a great discrepancy between the theoretical intent of CSR and its practical implementation. In the name of good corporate citizenship, many companies will proclaim that their CSR efforts are altruistic and for the social good. A deeper probing, however, reveals an alarming trend in which CSR is more often than not being strategically wielded as a soft-power weapon, not for the cause of social transformation but to court favor with policymakers, regulators, and investors.

Further, by concentrated funding in certain sensitive areas and repetitive linkages with some NGOs, the corporate donations are raising serious doubts about popularity and probable conflicts of interest when these NGOs are known to have affiliations with corporate executives or political actors. Such trends exemplify how CSR could be an instrument for soft corruption, circumventing the formal systems of checks and balances that are usually operated under the rubric of community development. Equally, CSR is increasingly manipulated to grant immunity against regulatory wrongdoing or to disguise illegitimate claims for environmental violations, a scenario that undermines any true meaning of ethical corporate existence. With the foregoing in mind, this study further proposes a strong mechanism that is multilayered and goes beyond mere compliance. Among the interesting suggestions put forward are the establishment of mandatory third-party audits, increased public disclosure with real-time monitoring, conflict of interest declaration, whistleblower protection, and an independent CSR ombudsman. Each of these would restore credibility, prevent misuse, and uphold CSR's ethics. A cherry on the cake would be the integration of CSR and Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) metrics to form an altogether strong layer of accountability.

In conclusion, Corporate Social Responsibility has the potential to be a formidable force for good—if done with sincerity, high levels of accountability, and genuine concern towards the well-being of society. Such a powerful concept must be safeguarded against being reduced to a cosmetic exercise or crass mode of influence. A future-proof CSR paradigm must stand on transparency, inclusiveness, ethical grounding, and rigorous oversight. Only then can CSR redeem itself from mere bribery to true instrument for ethical absolution and sustainable development. Stronger regulation, civic activism, and revolutionized ethical consciousness can therefore reclaim CSR from manipulation and shore it up into the 21st-century pillars of responsible business conduct.

Reference

1. generally United Nations Global Compact, Environmental Protection Principles, UNGC, https://www.unglobalcompact.org (last visited Apr. 1, 2025).
2. World Bank, A Greener Path to Competitiveness: Policies for Climate Action in Industries and Products, WORLD BANK, https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/competitiveness/publication/a-greener-path-to-competitiveness-policies-for-climate-action-in-industries-and-products (last visited Apr. 1, 2025).
3. CDP, 2023 CDP Report: Tracking Environmental Disclosures by Corporations, CARBON DISCLOSURE PROJECT, https://www.cdp.net/en/companies/cdp-2023-disclosure-data-factsheet (last visited Apr. 1, 2025).
4. Infosys, Sustainability Report 2022, INFOSYS, https://www.infosys.com/sustainability (last visited Apr. 4, 2025).
5. IKEA, Sustainability at IKEA: Our Strategy, IKEA, https://www.ikea.com/sustainability (last visited Apr. 4, 2025).
6. Tata Steel, Sustainability Report 2023, TATA STEEL, https://www.tatasteel.com/sustainability (last visited Apr. 4, 2025).
7. United Nations Global Compact, The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact, UNGC, https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
8. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, OECD, https://www.oecd.org/daf/inv/mne/ (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
9. Companies Act, No. 18 of 2013, § 135, India Code (2013).

10. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, CSR in India: The Road Ahead, Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, https://www.mca.gov.in (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
11. Morningstar, 2023 ESG Report: Growth in Sustainable Fund Assets, MORNINGSTAR, https://www.morningstar.com (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
12. Volkswagen, Volkswagen Diesel Emissions Scandal, BBC NEWS, https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-34324470 (last visited Apr. 7, 2025).
13. Greenpeace, Greenwashing: The Environmental Fraud, Greenpeace International, https://www.greenpeace.org (last visited Apr. 5, 2025).
14. Transparency International, The Fight Against Greenwashing: Strengthening Regulations, TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL, https://www.transparency.org (last visited Apr. 7, 2025).
15. Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), Sustainability Reporting Standards, GRI, https://www.globalreporting.org (last visited Apr. 7, 2025).
16. Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), Corporate Sustainability Reporting Standards, SASB, https://www.sasb.org (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
17. R. Edward Freeman, Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach (Pitman 1984).
18. Tata Trusts, About Us, https://www.tatatrusts.org (last visited Apr. 10, 2025).
19. Unilever, The Unilever Sustainable Living Plan, https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/ (last visited Apr. 10, 2025).
20. U.N. Global Compact, The Ten Principles, https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles (last visited Apr. 10, 2025).
21. KPMG, The Time Has Come: The KPMG Survey of Sustainability Reporting 2022, https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/xx/pdf/2022/11/kpmg-2022-survey-of-sustainability-reporting.pdf (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
22. Survival Int'l, Vedanta: Undermining Indigenous Rights, https://www.survivalinternational.org/campaigns/vedanta (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
23. Ministry of Env't & Forests, Gov't of India, Report on Niyamgiri Hills (2013).
24. BBC & Bureau of Investigative Journalism, British American Tobacco's Lobbying Tactics (2015), https://www.bbc.com/news/business-34523423 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
25. Harvard Bus. Sch., CSR and Regulatory Capture: Evidence from U.S. State Governments (2021), https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=56704 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
26. The Companies Act, No. 18 of 2013, § 135, Acts of Parliament, 2013 (India), https://www.mca.gov.in (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
27. Comptroller & Auditor Gen. of India, Performance Audit Report on Corporate Social Responsibility Activities in Selected CPSEs (2019), https://cag.gov.in (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
28. Org. for Econ. Coop. & Dev. (OECD), OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (2011), https://www.oecd.org/daf/inv/mne/48004323.pdf (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
29. Transparency Int'l, Corruption Perceptions Index 2023, https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2023 (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
30. Nestlé, Water Stewardship, https://www.nestle.com/sustainability/water (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
31. Food & Water Watch, Nestlé's Water Grabs, https://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/2020/01/21/nestle-water/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
32. Coca-Cola Co., Replenish Africa Initiative (RAIN), https://www.coca-colacompany.com/shared-future/community/replenish-africa-initiative (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).

33. Unilever, Zero Waste to Landfill Achieved, https://www.unilever.com/news/press-releases/2015/zero-non-hazardous-waste-to-landfill-across-unilevers-factory-network/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
34. Greenpeace Int'l, The Smart Supermarket: How Unilever Can Do More to Fight Plastic Pollution (2020), https://www.greenpeace.org/international/publication/33189/unilever-plastic-pollution-report/ (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).
35. Apple Inc., Environmental Progress Report 2023, https://www.apple.com/environment/pdf/Apple_Environmental_Progress_Report_2023.pdf (last visited Apr. 14, 2025).