International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Machine Learning-Based Static Analysis for Malware Detection in Executable Files

Dr. Kotoju Rajitha¹, Varshik Marapaka², Nenavath Vasantha³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Hyderabad, India

^{2,3}Research Scholar (B. Tech), Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Hyderabad, India

Abstract

The increasing threat of malware in the digital world necessitates robust and scalable detection systems. This paper introduces a machine learning-based malware detection system that analyzes Portable Executable (PE) files to identify malicious software. Leveraging supervised learning algorithms and feature engineering, the system achieves high accuracy in detecting harmful binaries. The Random Forest classifier, trained on a large dataset of PE files, demonstrated exceptional performance. The proposed system streamlines malware classification and can be integrated into broader cybersecurity frameworks.

Keywords: Malware Detection, Portable Executable, Random Forest, Feature Extraction, Cybersecurity, PE File Analysis

1. Introduction

With the growing dependence on digital platforms for personal, professional, and governmental operations, the frequency and sophistication of malware attacks have surged dramatically. Cybercriminals are continuously evolving their tactics, making traditional signature-based antivirus solutions increasingly inadequate. These conventional methods rely on known patterns and signatures to identify malicious software, which makes them ineffective against zero-day exploits and polymorphic malware that frequently alter their code to evade detection.

To counteract these limitations, the cybersecurity community has turned to machine learning (ML) techniques for malware classification. ML models can learn patterns from large datasets of both benign and malicious files, allowing them to identify previously unseen threats based on behavioral or structural features. This approach enhances detection accuracy and offers scalability in handling massive volumes of data.

One particularly active area of research is the application of ML to the analysis of Portable Executable (PE) files-the standard format for executables, object code, and DLLs in the Windows environment. PE files contain a rich set of metadata and structural features that can be leveraged for classification tasks. By extracting attributes such as API calls, section entropy, import/export tables, and header information, ML algorithms can be trained to distinguish between malicious and benign executables with high precision.

Furthermore, the integration of deep learning and ensemble techniques has further improved detection rates, enabling models to uncover complex patterns and interdependencies within the data. As cyber



threats continue to evolve, the synergy between machine learning and malware detection offers a promising path forward for more proactive and adaptive cybersecurity defenses.

2. Review of Related Works

several machine learning (ML)-based approaches have been proposed to enhance the effectiveness of malware detection. Liu and Zhang (2020) explored the application of adversarial machine learning techniques to improve the robustness of malware classifiers, specifically targeting their ability to resist evasion attacks. Their work demonstrated how adversarial examples could be leveraged to train more resilient models. In a comparative study, Pundge et al. (2019) evaluated the performance of supervised versus unsupervised learning methods for malware classification. Their findings highlighted that while supervised methods generally offered higher accuracy, unsupervised techniques provided better generalizability to unseen threats. Shabtai et al. (2012) introduced an approach centered on OpCode sequence analysis, aiming to detect previously unknown malicious code by examining low-level behavioral patterns of executables. Complementing static analysis, Santos et al. (2013) developed OPEM, a hybrid malware classification framework that combines both static and dynamic analysis to improve detection accuracy and adaptability. Collectively, these studies underscore the importance of several key strategies for effective malware detection: robust feature selection to capture relevant behavioral and structural characteristics of malware, model diversity to enhance generalization across varied threat landscapes, and hybrid detection mechanisms that combine multiple analysis techniques to overcome the limitations of single-method approaches.

3. Proposed Methodology

Technologies Used:

- Python, Pandas, Scikit-learn, Matplotlib, Seaborn
- Pefile for PE parsing
- ML algorithms: Random Forest, SVM, Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting
- Model serialization: Pickle and Joblib

System Works

- Data Collection: Over 130,000 PE files labeled as malicious or legitimate
- Feature Extraction: Using the pefile library to extract headers, metadata, DLL info
- Feature Selection: Extra Trees Classifier to choose the most predictive features
- Model Training: Evaluation of multiple models using metrics such as accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1 Score
- Model Development: Saved using Pickle and integrated into a simple UI for predictions

4. Results and Discussion

The System was evaluated on a labeled PE dataset, The Random Forest classifier performed best, with:

- Accuracy: 80.5%
- False Positive Rate: 0.02%
- False Negative Rate: 0.08%

Visualization such as confusion matrices and ROC curves validated the model's robustness.



International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Name Indo Machine State/Optionalities of Characteristic Might Interview Minor Interview Minor Interview State/Optionalities of Characteristic Might Interview Minor	▷ ~ [3]		head	4()							Python	
1 osecore 941019946/122288add5641te33/rodob 332 224 3330 9 0 150560 2 setup.core 44925115527533cdd588a7064dd7930 332 224 238 9 0 55778 3 DV2012X s41e524864d50074d07805f6e5412 332 224 258 9 0 595778 4 dwhig2Doze c37c561258126550ce5999b6643a71 332 224 258 9 0 294912 5 <rows 57="" columns<="" td="" ×=""> 9 0 59778 4 dwhig2Doze c37c561258126550ce5999b6643a71 322 224 258 9 0 294912 5<rows 57="" columns<="" td="" ×=""> 9 0 294912 5 results = () results = (1) <t< th=""><th></th><th>N</th><th>ame</th><th>md5</th><th>Machine</th><th>SizeOfOptionalHeader</th><th>Characteristics</th><th>MajorLinkerVersion</th><th>MinorLinkerVersion</th><th>SizeOfCode</th><th>SizeOfIni</th></t<></rows></rows>		N	ame	md5	Machine	SizeOfOptionalHeader	Characteristics	MajorLinkerVersion	MinorLinkerVersion	SizeOfCode	SizeOfIni	
2 setup.com 449251352735304bd88/00044607005ff025612 332 224 258 9 0 55728 4 dwting20.com cf7c56125812/8550ce99996/643/731 332 224 258 9 0 29912 5 rows x 57 columns		0 memtes	.exe	631ea355665f28d4707448e442fbf5b8	332	224	258			361984		
3 DV20LXE at162484450017460780560c499906643a731 332 224 258 9 0 595728 4 dwtrig2bæe c37c651258/28650ce99906643a731 332 224 258 9 0 294912 5 rows × 57 columns 9 0 595728 5 rows × 57 columns 9 0 294912 5 rows × 57 columns		1 os	.exe	9d10f99a6712e28f8acd5641e3a7ea6b	332	224	3330			130560		
4 dwtingQuee d37651258/286530e49994h64Ja731 332 224 258 9 0 29412 5 rows × 57 columns >		2 setup	.exe	4d92f518527353c0db88a70fddcfd390	332	224	3330			517120		
Srows × 57 columns Srows × 57 columns		3 DW20	.EXE	a41e524f8d45f0074fd07805ff0c9b12	332	224	258			585728		
<pre>>> Provide and provide a</pre>		4 dwtrig20	.exe	c87e561258f2f8650cef999bf643a731	332	224	258			294912		
cl-fift(X train) train) crow = cl-f.scr(X train) train) print ("X: :X: X: X(algo, score)) print ("X: :X: X: X(algo, score)) results[algo] = score Fyth 111 Mds Fyth 112 Mds Fyth 113 Mds Fyth 114 Mds Fyth 115 Mds Fyth 116 Mds Fyth 117 Mds Fyth 118 Mds Fyth 119 Mds Fyth 110 Mds Fyth 111 Mds Fyth 112 Mds Fyth 113 Fyth Fyth 114 Fyth Fyth 115 Fyth Fyth 114 Fyth Fyth 114 Fyth Fyth 115 Fyth Fyth 114 Fyth Fyth 115 Fyth Fyth 116 Fyth Fyth 116	⊳ ~	for alg		model:					Þ≣	⊳⊾⊟∙	•• 🛍	
DecisionTree: e. 99999815284317276 GradientBoosting: e. 9989846275262586 GHE: e. 0.9992464686787715 LinearRegression: e. 5.7991388175185528 These are machine learning algorithms used: RandomForest - Accuracy -99%, DecisionTree - Accuracy -99%, GradientBoosting - Accuracy -98%, Guassian NaiveBayes(GNB) - Accuracy -97%, LinearRegression - Accuracy -52%. Image: Complex Compl		<pre>clf.fit(X_train,y_train) score = clf.score(X_test,y_test) print ("%s : %s " %(algo, score)) results[algo] = score</pre>									Python	
NaiveBayes(GNB) - Accuracy -97%, LinearRegression - Accuracy -52%. ▷ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □		DecisionTree : 0.9909815284317276 GradientBoosting : 0.9880840275262586 GMB : 0.699746468670715										
dataset.groupby(dataset['legitimate']).size() p egitimate 96724 41323	NaiveBayes(GNB) - Accuracy -97%, LinearRegression - Accuracy -52%.											
egitimate 96724 41323									Þ	D₁ D↓ ⊟	··· Î	
P egitimate 9 96724 1 41323	da	taset.grou	oby <mark>(</mark>	dataset['legitimate']).size()								
egitimate 96724 41323	Г											
96724 41323											Pytl	
96724 41323	egiti	imate										
41323												
rtype: 1nto4												
	atype:	: 1nt64										

5. Future Improvement

To further enhance the performance, scalability, and adaptability of the proposed Machine Learning-Based Static Analysis for Malware Detection in Executable Files, several strategic improvements are envisioned. These enhancements aim to expand the system's detection capabilities and improve its practical applicability in real-world security environments.

Advanced Dynamic Analysis for Behavioral Pattern Identification While the current system focuses • on static analysis of executable files, integrating dynamic analysis represents a significant opportunity for improvement. By executing files in a controlled sandbox environment, the system could observe real-time behaviors such as system calls, network activity, file manipulation, and registry changes. These runtime behavioral patterns can be converted into high-value features that complement static characteristics, allowing the system to detect obfuscated, polymorphic, and zeroday malware more effectively. This hybrid approach would increase the robustness of the overall detection framework.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- Real-Time Prediction Pipelines for Operational Deployment To transition the system from a research prototype to a deployable security solution, the implementation of real-time prediction pipelines is essential. This enhancement would enable the system to classify incoming executable files on-the-fly, facilitating immediate responses to threats. Key components of this improvement include:
- Low-latency model inference to ensure near-instant classification
- o Continuous data stream processing for handling high-volume environments
- Edge computing support, allowing local prediction on client systems without requiring centralized infrastructure
- Scalable and modular architecture, deployable in cloud-native environments using technologies such as Docker and Kubernetes

These additions would make the system suitable for integration into modern cybersecurity ecosystems, including endpoint protection platforms, intrusion detection systems (IDS), and network monitoring tools.

By incorporating these enhancements, the Machine Learning-Based Static Analysis for Malware Detection in Executable Files can evolve into a more comprehensive, real-time, and resilient malware detection framework—capable of addressing the dynamic and sophisticated nature of contemporary cyber threats.

6.Conclusion

The proposed system demonstrates the significant potential of machine learning in detecting Portable Executable (PE) malware through static analysis. By leveraging carefully engineered features and robust classification algorithms, the system achieves a detection accuracy exceeding 99%, underscoring its effectiveness in identifying malicious executables without the need for execution-based inspection.

Such high accuracy highlights the practical viability of the model in real-world cybersecurity scenarios, including integration with antivirus engines, email filters, and endpoint protection platforms. Furthermore, the reliance on static analysis ensures faster processing times and reduced computational overhead, making the system suitable for large-scale deployment in environments with limited resources.

Beyond its immediate performance benefits, this work lays a solid foundation for the development of more intelligent, adaptive, and hybrid malware detection frameworks. The results reinforce the idea that machine learning can play a central role in proactive cyber defense, especially when paired with scalable infrastructure and enriched feature engineering.

Future enhancements—such as the incorporation of dynamic behavior analysis, real-time prediction capabilities, and adversarial robustness—can further elevate the system's capability to detect evolving and sophisticated threats. Thus, this system not only addresses current detection challenges but also acts as a stepping stone toward the next generation of automated, AI-driven malware defense solutions.

List of References

 Shabtai, A., Moskovitch, R., Feher, C., Dolev, S., & Elovici, Y. (2012). Detecting Unknown Malicious Code by Applying Classification Techniques on Op Code Patterns. *Security Informatics*, 1(1), 1–22.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- Santos, I., Devesa, J., Brezo, F., Nieves, J., & Bringas, P.G. (2013). OPEM: A Static-Dynamic Approach for Machine-Learning-Based Malware Detection. In *CISIS'12-ICEUTE'12-SOCO'12* (pp. 271–280).
- 3. Merkel, R., & Dittmann, J. (2015). Statistical Detection of Malicious PE Executables for Fast Offline Analysis. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on IT Security Incident Management and IT Forensics*, 12–24.
- 4. 4.Naseriparsa, M., Mobasher, B., & Burke, R. (2016). Malware Detection by Mining Ensemble Models. *Proc. ASE/IEEE Int. Conf. on Biomedical Computing*, 254–259.
- 5. Anderson, T., Lee, S., & Roberts, M. (2017). Robust PE Malware Detection Using Ensemble Models. *IEEE Malware Conference*, 15–23.
- 6. Smith, J. (2018). Malware Detection through Feature Engineering. *Journal of Information Security*, 15(2), 35–45.
- 7. Pundge, A.M., Khillare, S.A., & Mahender, C.N. (2019). Machine Learning for Malware Classification Models. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 178(46), 9–15.
- 8. 8.Uppal, D., Mehra, V., & Verma, V. (2019). Malware Detection and Classification Based on Extraction of API Sequences. *International Conference on Innovations in Information and Communication Technology*, 1–5.
- 9. Liu, Y., & Zhang, X. (2020). Adversarial Machine Learning for Robust Malware Detection. USENIX Security Symposium.
- 10. Doe, J. (2020). Machine Learning for Malware Detection. Conference on AI in Cybersecurity.