

Gender Dynamics in Venture Capital: Are Female Entrepreneurs Better Off with Female VCs

Manya Shah

Student
DPS Agra

Abstract

This study explores how gender dynamics impact access to venture capital (VC), focusing on the challenges faced by female entrepreneurs in securing funding. Despite the growing presence of women in entrepreneurship, significant disparities remain in VC allocation, often rooted in systemic gender biases. By analyzing past research and data, this paper investigates whether female entrepreneurs are better off seeking funding from female or male venture capitalists. Findings reveal varied perspectives: some studies suggest that female VCs are more likely to support female-led ventures due to shared experiences and a commitment to promoting gender equality in investment. Conversely, other research argues that female VCs may prioritize lower-risk investments and do not necessarily factor gender into funding decisions. Additionally, certain studies indicate that female entrepreneurs backed by male VCs might gain more credibility and access to further funding rounds, enhancing their ventures' long-term success.

Ultimately, this paper concludes that the answer to whether female entrepreneurs benefit more from male or female VCs depends largely on the type of business and the context of the investment. To foster innovation and economic growth, it is essential to eliminate gender-based barriers in venture capital and ensure that funding decisions are based on the potential of the business rather than the gender of the founder.

Keywords: Gender Dynamics, Venture Capital (VC), Female Entrepreneurs, Funding Disparities, Investor Bias, Economic Growth

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Venture capital (VC) is a crucial driver of innovation and economic growth, providing financial support to early-stage startups with high growth potential. However, the distribution of venture capital funding has been historically skewed, with female entrepreneurs receiving significantly less funding than their male counterparts. Despite research indicating that female-led startups often outperform male-led businesses in terms of return on investment (Brush et al., 2018), gender disparities in venture funding persist. One of the proposed solutions to this inequity is increasing the presence of female venture capitalists (VCs). This study explores whether female entrepreneurs are better off securing funding from female VCs, analyzing key factors such as gender bias, investment patterns, and the broader economic and social implications of gender diversity in venture capital.

1.1. Understanding Venture Capital and Gender Disparities

Venture capital is a form of private equity financing in which investors provide funding to early-stage companies in exchange for equity stakes. These investments typically occur in high-risk, high-reward industries such as technology, healthcare, and biotechnology. However, accessing venture capital funding is highly competitive, and various biases influence investment decisions.

1.2. Gender Bias in Venture Capital

Gender bias in venture capital can be attributed to multiple factors, including:

1. **Investor Demographics:** The venture capital industry is predominantly male-dominated, with over 90% of decision-makers in top VC firms being men (Gompers et al., 2014). This homogeneity often leads to unconscious biases that favor male entrepreneurs.
2. **Stereotypes and Risk Perception:** Female entrepreneurs are often perceived as being more risk-averse than their male counterparts, leading to fewer funding opportunities.
3. **Networking and Social Capital:** Many investment deals are sourced through informal networks, which historically exclude women from crucial funding circles.
4. **Pattern Recognition Bias:** Investors tend to fund startups that resemble previously successful businesses, which have traditionally been male-led.

1.3. The Role of Female VCs in Addressing Gender Disparities

The presence of female venture capitalists has been cited as a potential solution to the gender funding gap. Female VCs may have a **greater awareness of gender biases** and actively work to mitigate them, be more willing to fund female-led startups due to shared experiences and perspectives and help expand funding networks to include more diverse entrepreneurs.

1.4. Investment Patterns of Female VCs

Research suggests that female VCs are more likely to invest in female-led startups compared to their male counterparts (Kanze et al., 2018). This trend can be explained by:

- **Affinity Bias:** Female investors may relate to the challenges faced by female entrepreneurs and thus be more inclined to support them.
- **Market Opportunity Recognition:** Female VCs may better identify gaps in the market for female-centric products and services.
- **More Inclusive Evaluation Criteria:** Female investors may apply a different set of success metrics that are not influenced by gender stereotypes.

However, female VCs remain underrepresented, making up only a small percentage of total venture capitalists worldwide. Their limited presence restricts their overall impact on the industry.

1.5. The Economic and Social Implications of Gender Diversity in VC

Gender diversity in venture capital has far-reaching consequences, including:

1. **Higher Financial Returns:** Studies indicate that gender-diverse investment teams outperform homogenous teams in terms of financial returns (Gompers & Wang, 2017).
2. **Innovation and Market Expansion:** Diverse teams bring different perspectives, fostering innovation and leading to better decision-making.
3. **Economic Empowerment:** Increased funding for female entrepreneurs contributes to job creation, economic growth, and a more inclusive business environment.

1.6. Challenges Faced by Female Entrepreneurs Despite the Presence of Female VCs

While female VCs may improve funding access for female entrepreneurs, challenges persist, including:

- **Limited Funding Pool:** The overall percentage of venture capital controlled by female investors remains low, limiting the amount of capital available to female-led startups.
- **Pressure to Outperform:** Female entrepreneurs may face greater scrutiny and higher expectations to justify investments.
- **Sustained Biases in Later Funding Rounds:** Even if female entrepreneurs secure initial funding from female VCs, they may still struggle to attract follow-up investments from male-dominated firms.

1.7. Addressing the Gender Gap: Potential Solutions

To create a more equitable VC landscape, several measures can be taken:

1. **Encouraging More Women to Become VCs:** Increasing the number of female investors can help bridge the funding gap for female-led startups.
2. **Creating Gender-Inclusive Investment Policies:** Implementing unconscious bias training for VC firms can lead to more equitable investment decisions.
3. **Government and Institutional Support:** Policies that encourage diversity in investment firms and provide targeted support for female entrepreneurs can help address structural inequalities.
4. **Building Stronger Networks:** Establishing mentorship and support programs for female entrepreneurs can help them navigate the VC landscape more effectively.

Gender disparities in venture capital funding remain a significant challenge for female entrepreneurs. While the presence of female VCs has shown promise in addressing some of these inequities, systemic issues continue to hinder equal access to funding. By fostering greater gender diversity among investors, creating inclusive funding policies, and increasing awareness of gender biases, the venture capital industry can move toward a more equitable and innovative future.

CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Tinkler.J,Whittington.K,et.al (2015) conducted a study titled Gender and venture capital decision-making: The effects of technical background and social capital on entrepreneurial evaluations. The research talked about how gender interacts with supply-side factors (like education and work history) and cultural beliefs about gender in venture capital (VC) decisions in high-growth, high-tech entrepreneurship. It uses an experimental design to mimic funding decisions of VCs for male and female

entrepreneurs with different technical expertise and social contacts. The results identify evaluations are more influenced by gender when the entrepreneur rather than the venture, is being assessed. However, having a strong technical background can reduce the impact of gendered biases. The research provides understanding of how gender and work-related issues influence decision-making and points towards future research directions.

Gompers.P Mukharlyamov.V ,et.al (2021) conducted a study titled Gender Gaps in Venture Capital Performance .This research investigates gender performance differences on a large sample of venture capital investments in the U.S.It concludes that female venture capitalist investments have lower success rates than male investor investments, controlling for factors such as personal characteristics and firm attributes.The performance gap is not because of skill differences but due to the fact that female investors gain less from their colleagues.However, the gender gap in performance is less likely to be seen in larger and older firms which suggest that company hierarchies can decrease the performance gap.

Greene.P , Brush.c ,et.al (2010) conducted a research titled Patterns of venture capital funding: Is gender a factor?This research analyzes the venture capital gender disparity in venture capital investment, even with the rising number of women-owned enterprises in Europe and the U.S.Since the venture capitalism rose women-owned businesses continue to get a minor portion of investment and it is constant till today's times.. Current venture capital studies concentrate on equity fund flows, investor requirements, and investor-investee relationships, whereas studies of women entrepreneurs focus on psychological characteristics, firm features, and performance . This study uses data to track U.S. venture capital investments by gender, stage, and industry, proposes a number of hypotheses as to why the gender differential in investment exists .

Butticè.V, Croce. A, et.al(2022) conducted a study titled Gender Diversity, Role Congruity and the Success of VC Investments.This paper extends the gender role congruity theory by exploring how gender diversity influences venture performance in early-stage financing. The research emphasizes how the diversity of gender among entrepreneurs and VC managers influences VC-backed firm performanceBased on a data analysis of 5,800 VC managers, 5,075 ventures, and 16,713 founders between the years 2000 and 2019, the study determines that gender diversity is associated with improved performance if a female founder is matched with a male VC manager. It also finds several factors, such as the VC's value-add capability, that mediate this relationship.

Gornall.W Strebulaev.I et.al. conducted a study on Gender, Race, and Entrepreneurship: A Randomized Field Experiment on Venture Capitalists and Angels.This research investigates gender and race discrimination in high-impact entrepreneurship using a randomized field experiment.The authors sent 80,000 pitch emails regarding hypothetical start-ups to 28,000 venture capitalists and angels. Each email was signed by an entrepreneur with a randomly assigned gender and race. The findings indicated that female entrepreneurs received 9% more positive responses than men. The results suggest there is no discrimination on the basis of gender or caste.

Snellman.K Solal I conducted a study on Does Investor Gender Matter for the Success of Female Entrepreneurs? Gender Homophily and the Stigma of Incompetence in Entrepreneurial Finance. his

research investigates the possible negative impacts of female investors investing in female entrepreneurs. This research hypothesizes that women investors might underestimate the ability of women entrepreneurs, making it challenging to secure additional funding from new investors. In a field experiment, female-founded businesses that obtained funding from female VCs were half as likely to raise more funds as those funded by male VCs. The results indicate that although supporting women investing in women is admirable, it has the potential to pose further issues for women entrepreneurs and hamper their long-term success.

Hebert.C conducted a study on 'Gender Stereotypes and Entrepreneur Financing'. His research spotlights a large gender disparity in early-stage equity financing among entrepreneurs, especially in traditionally male-dominated industries. French startup data estimates that 42% of the gender disparity can be attributed to variation in entrepreneur and startup dimensions, including demographics and motivations. Surprisingly, VC-backed startups founded by women perform better than their male counterparts in these industries. The results show that context-dependent stereotypes are important drivers of equity financing choices.

Lins.E, Lutz.E conducted a study on 'Bridging the gender funding gap: 'Do female entrepreneurs have equal access to venture capital?'' This research examines whether female entrepreneurs are more disadvantaged in terms of accessing venture capital than men, with consideration given to education and innovativeness. Based on data of 3,137 new ventures established in Germany between 2005 and 2009, the analysis finds there to be a large difference in external equity financing based on gender. Women entrepreneurs, particularly those with higher education and high research and development intensity in their ventures, are less provided with venture capital than men.

Balchandra.L (2020) conducted a study on 'How gender biases drive venture capital decision-making: exploring the gender funding gap'. This paper investigates the large gender disparity in venture capital (VC) investment, with male founders securing nearly 50 times as much VC as female founders. The biases affect both the likelihood of women being brought forward for investment and the possibilities of pitching to VCs. The research combines a number of earlier studies of VC decision-making and gender discrimination, finding that women's underrepresentation in VC positions accounts for a large part of the funding gap. It concludes that having more female VCs would most probably result in more women entrepreneurs being funded.

Karlstrom.B, Jansen.T et.al (2023) conducted a study on 'Talking with venture capitalists: gender perceptions in investment decisions.' In this study, the authors examine the role that perceptions of gender play in venture capital (VC) investment in the extremely gender-skewed industry. In qualitative interviews with 10 decision-makers at leading Norwegian VC funds, the authors identified that homophily (preference for similar others) and role congruity (congruence between attributes and traditional gender roles) both play significant roles in investment decisions. Entrepreneurs outside investors' networks also have extra hurdles, sustaining the skewness of the industry. The research also uncovers that VCs prefer masculine traits when judging entrepreneurs, and this puts women at a disadvantage. This study contributes to the literature on role congruity and homophily, providing new insights into what hinders women entrepreneurs from gaining VC funding.

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

Systematic review of peer-reviewed articles, industry reports, and databases.

Criteria for selecting sources (e.g., relevance, publication date).

Exclusion Criteria- researches carried out more than 15yrs ago

Analytical framework for synthesizing information (e.g., thematic or comparative analysis).

CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS

The analysis of the paper reveals a range of findings regarding gender bias in the venture capital (VC) sector. Most studies affirm that gender bias negatively affects female entrepreneurs in securing VC funding. Female entrepreneurs, in particular, face significant challenges in obtaining financial support. However, some factors such as technical knowledge, education, firm hierarchy, and its credibility can help mitigate gender discrimination.

Interestingly, some research offers a contradictory view, suggesting that female VCs may experience higher success rates than their male counterparts. However, in a country like India, where women still face systemic struggles in various sectors and have to fight for basic rights, female VCs also encounter challenges due to gender disparity. Additional research highlights the preference for masculine traits in the evaluation of entrepreneurs, which puts women at a disadvantage. In this context, role congruity and homophily provide valuable insights into the barriers women entrepreneurs face when trying to secure VC funding.

The findings also indicate that female VCs tend to receive more funding from male VC managers. This dynamic is considered advantageous due to factors such as the added capability and credibility it brings to the firm. On the other hand, some studies argue that female VC managers are more inclined to support female entrepreneurs because they relate to their struggles and wish to encourage more female participation in a field that is predominantly male-dominated. In these cases, obtaining VC funding can be particularly challenging for women.

Regarding the question of whether female entrepreneurs are better off with male or female VCs, the answer is situational. The type of business the female entrepreneur is pursuing plays a significant role. If the business is inherently feminine, or if the entrepreneur feels more comfortable discussing it with someone who understands the unique challenges women face, she may prefer a female VC. However, in cases where the business is gender-neutral or common to all entrepreneurs, the entrepreneur may be equally comfortable working with either male or female VCs. Additionally, female entrepreneurs who are less technically knowledgeable may find guidance from male VCs more helpful. Thus, the ideal choice depends on various factors and specific circumstances.

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION

This research delves into the dynamics of venture capital (VC) funding and how gender influences the process. It highlights the challenges faced by female entrepreneurs in securing VC funding and explores whether male or female VCs are better suited to support them.

The findings suggest a range of perspectives, even contradictory ones. However, in my view, women still face significant challenges when trying to obtain venture capital. Gender bias, networking inequalities, and structural barriers within the industry continue to impede the progress of women in VC. In more developed countries like the US and certain parts of Europe, it may be somewhat easier for women to access venture capital. However, in developing or less progressive countries, such as India, South Africa, and other parts of Asia, where women are still fighting for basic rights and are often discouraged from engaging in money-related activities, securing VC funding remains a significant struggle. That said, factors like technical education and the credibility of an entrepreneur's firm can influence the situation, potentially improving the chances for women to access funding.

Some studies indicate that VCs often prefer masculine traits when evaluating entrepreneurs, which can disadvantage women. The concepts of role congruity and homophily provide important insights into how these biases hinder women entrepreneurs from gaining VC funding. Nevertheless, when female entrepreneurs are technically educated or represent established, credible firms, the scenario may change, and they might have better chances of securing funding.

5.1. Who is more likely to provide VC to female entrepreneurs?

Studies show that male VC managers may be more inclined to provide funding to female entrepreneurs, which can also benefit the firm by adding credibility and capability. On the other hand, other studies suggest that female VC managers are more likely to support female entrepreneurs, as they understand and relate to their struggles and are motivated to promote more female participation in the industry. From my perspective, female VC managers likely prioritize investments in firms with strong potential, as they want to minimize risk while also identifying with the personal and professional challenges that female entrepreneurs face.

5.2. Are female entrepreneurs better off with male or female VCs?

The answer to this question is situational and largely depends on the type of business the female entrepreneur is pursuing. If the business is centered around topics considered "feminine" or that a male VC may find difficult to relate to, a female VC would likely be a better fit. Female entrepreneurs may feel more comfortable discussing their ideas, plans, and challenges with someone who understands their perspective. However, in cases where the business is gender-neutral or appeals to a broader market, female entrepreneurs may be equally comfortable working with either male or female VCs.

Additionally, some women entrepreneurs, especially those with less technical knowledge, may seek guidance from male VCs, as they could offer the technical expertise and credibility that the firm may need. Male VCs may also add an element of support and validation, which could be especially important in overcoming challenges related to limited experience or knowledge.

Ultimately, the decision between male or female VCs depends on the specific circumstances of the business and the entrepreneur's needs, making the ideal choice dynamic and situational.

CHAPTER 6: IMPLICATION

- **Helpful for entrepreneurs seeking venture capital:**
 - Provides information on how venture capital can be easily accessed by entrepreneurs, especially women entrepreneurs.
 - Offers guidance on how small businesses owners can promote their companies utilizing the factors through which they are able to achieve VC financing (e.g., company reputation, technical education).
- **Beneficial for policymakers:**
 - Helps policymakers analyze the data and make informed decisions to create relevant policies that support entrepreneurs, particularly in addressing gender-related challenges in accessing venture capital.
- **Useful for researchers:**
 - Opens up new areas of investigation related to venture capital funding, gender bias, and structural barriers.
 - Provides a foundation for future studies on improving the entrepreneurial funding landscape and understanding the dynamics between entrepreneurs and VCs.

6.1. Recommendations

- **Investigate ways to remove gender bias:**
 - Research how to eliminate gender bias in the venture capital sector and make it more accessible to female entrepreneurs.
- **Educate female entrepreneurs:**
 - Develop policies to educate women who lack technical knowledge but have high-potential business ideas.
 - Introduce the concept of venture capital funding to women in remote areas who face financial barriers and are unable to pursue business opportunities.
- **Promote mentorship programs:**
 - Encourage the development and promotion of mentorship programs to support female entrepreneurs.
- **Establish gender-inclusive policies:**
 - Advocate for the establishment of gender-inclusive policies within the venture capital sector.
- **Emphasize diversity in VC firms:**
 - Promote diversity and inclusion within venture capital firms to create a more equitable environment for female entrepreneurs.

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION

This study focused on the working of venture capital and providing valuable insights into the evolving gender dynamics within the venture capital (VC) industry, shedding light on both the progress and ongoing challenges faced by women in this space. The research highlights that, although women are making strides in accessing capital and leading successful ventures, their presence in decision-making roles within venture capital firms remains disproportionately low. Despite of rising awareness about gender discrimination and equality, this sector is still not yet translated to gender equality. Female entrepreneurs hold a lot of potential and as gender diversity in the startup ecosystem increases, it has the potential not only to unlock new business opportunities but also to drive broader societal change, encouraging a more inclusive and innovative future for entrepreneurship. The promotion of mentorship programs, the establishment of gender-inclusive policies, and the emphasis on diversity within venture capital firms are potential strategies for achieving greater gender parity. Ultimately, as the venture capital industry continues to grow and evolve, it is essential that the gender dynamics are not only acknowledged but actively addressed, ensuring that the full potential of a diverse pool of talent is realized.

References

1. Brush, C. G., Greene, P. G., Balachandra, L., & Davis, A. E. (2018). The gender gap in venture capital: Progress, problems, and perspectives. *Venture Capital*, 20(2), 115-136.
2. Gompers, P., Kovvali, S., & Lerner, J. (2014). The Venture Capital Gender Gap. *Harvard Business Review*, 92(12), 36-40.
3. Gompers, P., & Wang, S. Q. (2017). Diversity in innovation. *Harvard Business Review*, 95(6), 114-122.
4. Kanze, D., Huang, L., Conley, M. A., & Higgins, E. T. (2018). Male and female entrepreneurs get asked different questions by VCs—and it affects how much funding they get. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(3), 58-63.
5. Tinkler, J. E., Bunker Whittington, K., Ku, M. C., & Davies, A. R. (2015). Gender and venture capital decision-making: The effects of technical background and social capital on entrepreneurial evaluations. *Social Science Research*, 51, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2014.12.008>
6. Nelson, T., Maxfield, S., & Kolb, D. (2009). Women entrepreneurs and venture capital: managing the shadow negotiation. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, 1(1), 57–76. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17566260910942345>
7. Malinowski, L. A. (2023). Gender bias in venture capital: An analysis of systemic barriers for female entrepreneurs (Master's thesis). NOVA School of Business and Economics. https://run.unl.pt/bitstream/10362/163641/1/30872_Lisa_Anna_Malinowski_2022_23_Fall_4914_3_Lisa_Anna_Malinowski_261147_1932082039.pdf
8. Balachandra, L. (2020). How gender biases drive venture capital decision-making: exploring the gender funding gap. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 35(3), 261–273. <https://doi.org/10.1108/gm-11-2019-0222>
9. Tinkler, J. E., Bunker Whittington, K., Ku, M. C., & Davies, A. R. (2015). Gender and venture capital decision-making: The effects of technical background and social capital on entrepreneurial evaluations. *Social Science Research*, 51, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2014.12.008>

10. Gompers, P. A., Mukharlyamov, V., Weisburst, E., & Xuan, Y. (2021). Gender Gaps in Venture Capital Performance. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 57(2), 1–58. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022109020000988>
11. Malmström, M., Johansson, J., & Wincent, J. (2017). Gender Stereotypes and Venture Support Decisions: How Governmental Venture Capitalists Socially Construct Entrepreneurs' Potential. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(5), 833–860. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12275>
12. Birgitte Karlstrøm, Tiril Marie Jansen, & Marte C.W. Solheim. (2023). Talking with venture capitalists: gender perceptions in investment decisions. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-11-2022-0993>
13. Brush, C., Greene, P., Balachandra, L., & Davis, A. (2017). The gender gap in venture capital-progress, problems, and perspectives. *Venture Capital*, 20(2), 115–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691066.2017.1349266>