

Sustaining Needs Over Pursuing Studies: A Case Study of Dropout Students Receiving Government Financial Aid

Keichie R. Quimco

Teacher, B. Durano Integrated School, Department of Education SDO Danao City

Abstract

This qualitative investigation focused on the factors that lead to school dropout among beneficiaries of the Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4Ps) at B. Durano Integrated School, Danao City, Cebu, Philippine. This research is carried out in alignment with Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which supports inclusive and equitable quality education and the promotion of lifelong learning opportunities for everyone. The study utilized a phenomenological research design and thematic analysis and relied on in-depth interviews with purposively chosen former students who had dropped out despite receiving financial support through the 4Ps. The study revealed a variety of interconnected elements affecting dropout rates, such as family-related problems, peer pressure, low self-esteem and mental health issues, lack of academic motivation, and inadequate school support systems. Although financial aid tackled economic barriers, these findings emphasize the crucial influence of psychosocial and environmental factors on educational outcomes. The research highlights the necessity of support mechanisms that are integrated and focused on learners, in addition to conditional cash transfers. This would promote not just access to education, but also retention and completion. The study aids in the pursuit of SDG 4 at both the national and local levels by highlighting these neglected aspects and promoting comprehensive educational measures that guarantee all learners are included. It is advisable to conduct additional studies to investigate similar phenomena in different contexts and to create tailored dropout prevention strategies.

Keywords: Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4Ps); Sustainable Development Goals (SDG); thematic analysis; dropout; poverty

INTRODUCTION:

Education is called the counterbalance because it can provide access to employment, resources, and abilities that enable an individual to not just survive, but also to prosper. UNESCO (2017) suggests that if every student in low-income nations had basic reading skills, 171 million people could rise above extreme poverty, and if adults attained secondary education, global poverty could be reduced by 50%. Achieving equity is the core of Sustainable Development Goal 4. Currently, the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) region lacks the necessary financial resources to achieve SDG 4 by 2030. Consequently, the noble yet ambitious goal of the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development is at risk. Therefore, the ability of nations to sustain economic growth is a critical factor that will shape the future of education financing. This economic growth must be accompanied by intentional and ongoing strategies to

distribute wealth fairly, in order to tackle inequality. Additionally, there is a need for enhanced public-private partnerships in education financing, at all levels of government: local, regional, and national. For this to happen, SSA needs and deserves more competent, accountable, astute, and effectively functioning governments (Chikoko & Mthembu, 2020).

The UNESCO's 2024 Global Education Monitoring Report, titled "Leadership in Education: Lead for Learning," launched in October 2024, emphasizes the need for strong leadership at all levels to address stagnating progress towards SDG 4 and ensure quality education for all. The education system supports all the programs to help the student stay in school and eventually finish studies. With the initiatives of the government, private or public institutions, stakeholders and partners, all aim to achieving Education For All (EFA).

However, studies have shown that multiple factors, including human, social, economic, and political capital, influence student dropout rate in schools when government aids their education. The positive impact shows for example the "Program Indonesia Pintar" (PIP) reduces dropout rates and supports the continuation of education, demonstrating its effectiveness as a government initiative to address education inequality (Ghina, 2024). Literature demonstrates that education is a crucial tool for reducing poverty, promoting economic growth, and improving living quality for all citizens in a country. On the other hand, identified issues like uneven distribution of funds, lack of transparency in the allocation process, and challenges in reaching vulnerable populations, are subject for research and re-augmentation of the program.

Meanwhile, research indicates a clear connection between a country's poverty levels and its educational standards, as observed in other parts of the world such as Africa. A 2020 report from Amnesty International pointed out that many children in South Africa face limited educational access due to factors like impoverished living conditions, inadequate infrastructure, and resource shortages. The government can alleviate this issue by assisting schools in acquiring the essential resources needed to deliver quality education to students.

In the Philippines, there are number of programs that sustain education in the basic education especially for the students living in the marginalized sector. The Alternative Delivery Mode (ADM) of the Department of Education which offer flexible, non-traditional education programs, including initiatives like Modified In-School, Off-School Approach (MISOSA) and e-IMPACT, ensures access to quality education for all learners, especially those facing challenges in traditional schooling. This is a call for promoting equity and sustainability for all the learners across the country.

Section 9 of Article II Declaration of Principles and State Policies of the 1987 Philippine Constitution explicitly states, "The State shall promote a just and dynamic social order that will ensure the prosperity and independence of the nation and free the people from poverty through policies that provide adequate social services, promote full employment, a rising standard of living, and an improved quality of life for all." (1987 Philippine Constitution, art. II, sec. 9). In response to the persistent issue of poverty in the Philippines, the government has implemented various programs and mitigation measures to alleviate its impact. One notable initiative is the Conditional Cash Transfer (CCT) program, locally known as the Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program or 4Ps (World Bank, 2024).

However, there are circumstances that hinder the realization of SDG 4 at some point. Child labor, teenage pregnancy, poor academic performance, and poverty led up to students' decision dropping out of school. The participants of the study shared that it was their decision to no longer participating in school activities because of the reasons mentioned above. Numbers of family members, age of the family head,

exchange marriage and poverty status have positive relationship with dropout from primary schools (Ali, et al., 2021). Family living conditions, the poor standard of living still is a pressing problem that can lead students to drop out from school, even with the availability of financial assistance that still links to poverty as an underlying factor (Luz, 2020). Child labor and trafficking are endemic in Sub-Saharan Africa resulted in lost opportunities through education and health (Agyemang, et. al., 2023). Early parenthood has a strong impact driving teenagers out of school and the economic situation has been identified as the main cause of teenage pregnancy (Assey, 2020).

With instances of students leaving school despite the assistance provided, a deeper examination reveals potential underlying causes. To bridge this gap, this research intends to investigate and outline these contributing factors that have resulted in their decision to drop out of school.

Objectives of the Study:

This research sought to know the experiences and perceptions of government beneficiaries (4Ps) who have dropped out of school, and factors that contribute to their decision in dropping out. Specifically it seeks to answer the following:

1. Socio-Economic Factors:

What socio-economic category does the beneficiaries' belong and how do these can affect their decision to drop out of school?

2. Program-Related Factors:

What are the challenges in the program's implementation that contribute to school dropout?

3. Personal and Emotional Factors:

What personal or emotional experiences do beneficiaries report that influence their decision to drop out of school?

4. Environmental and Social Factors:

What role do family dynamics play in the decision-making process related to dropping out of school?

5. School-Related Factors:

What are the challenges or barriers in the school environment that contribute to school dropout?

6. Goals:

What are the beneficiaries' aspirations and goals, and how do these relate to their decision to drop out of school?

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 4:

The SDG 4/Education 2030 Agenda outlines an ambitious set of educational goals, urging countries to foster inclusive and high-quality educational policies. Monitoring the implementation of these goals and ensuring their achievement is essential for this global agenda, as it can inform policy decisions based on evidence. This necessitates that countries enhance both the availability and quality of educational data, analyze various aspects of the right to education comprehensively, ensure representation of all groups to avoid leaving anyone behind, and provide pertinent information to facilitate improved policy decisions (Vera, Yanez & Scasso, 2024).

United Nations (2024) reported that many countries face challenges such as inadequate education infrastructure, teacher shortages and insufficient teacher training. While technology has expanded educational opportunities, it has also widened inequalities, leaving millions of people, especially in

marginalized and low-income communities, without access to education. To meet national 2030 education targets, which have been scaled back compared to the original Goal 4 targets, countries must annually enrol 1.4 million children in early childhood education, admit a new child to school every two seconds until 2030 and triple annual progress in primary completion rates.

Each government system establishes mandates and policies to achieve sustainability in education. However, during implementation, various challenges, gaps, and unintended consequences may arise, potentially impacting the effectiveness of these policies in improving the educational system. More importantly, ensuring that every child completes their education without being hindered by financial, mental, or social difficulties remains a critical concern.

In the Republic of Sierra Leone, the establishment of the Government Free Quality School Education Programme (FQSEP) aimed to make access to education free and equal for everyone by advocating for high-quality schools (World Bank, 2021). This program should be specially highlighted as it has improved SDG 4 concerning the number of children enrolling children in schools to provide financial advantages for the parents, enhancing the quality of life of the families affected by the program. Funds saved from the schools have been utilized for numerous further elements like their small-scale enterprise or fundamental health.

While in the Africa, funding education can change in the region by accomplishing numerous objectives across various sectors, striving for sustainable advancement aligned with the 2030 agenda. Meanwhile, the inadequate education standards in South Africa remains a dilemma. This contributed to a high unemployment rate, which in turn causes the extreme poverty that many South Africans face. Statistics clearly indicate that the education system in South Africa is in a state of crisis. This crisis can be addressed through government programs and initiatives implemented by the public sector, such as training programs and mentorships (Amnesty International, 2020).

The assessment in the performance of South Asia in reaching the MDGs has not been stellar. Moreover, the “Leaving No One Behind” agenda in South Asia still has considerable progress to achieve; the projections suggest that crucial targets like the elimination of income poverty may not be reached by 2030. It is with contention that the two significant reasons for South Asia's rather lackluster outlook on achieving the SDGs are the limited fiscal resources allocated to education and health, as well as the state's limited effectiveness in providing public goods (Asadullah, Savoia & Sen 2020).

As with other developing countries, Madagascar encounters numerous issues stemming from the lack of institutional power that frequently accompanies growth. Madagascar has challenges in funding policies aimed at achieving the SDGs, which is one of its institutional weaknesses. The dynamic in Madagascar has influenced the nation's capacity to connect regional divides, like rural/ urban electricity connectivity and other indicators like gender equality, both worsened by the COVID-19 health crisis (Barcelo, et. al, 2022).

In Bolivia, the Juancito Pinto bond, a government program, offers conditional cash transfers to every student requiring assistance, provided they have regular school attendance (at least 80%). Resources are given to the parents; if not, a legal guardian or their teacher manages them. It is useful to provide each child the chance to go to school irrespective of their financial situation, thus being effective in achieving SDG 4, which relates to quality education and lowering school drop-out rates (Canelas & Niño-Zarazúa, 2018).

As parallel to Bolivia's Juancito Pinto bond, the Philippines has its Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program, commonly known as 4Ps. The national strategy for reducing poverty and investing that aims to

enhance health, nutrition, and education outcomes by providing conditional cash transfers to low-income families for a maximum duration of seven (7) years. To guarantee lasting socio-economic growth, the program necessitates that beneficiaries adhere to conditions like attending school, undergoing health check-ups, and receiving maternal care (World Bank, 2024).

School Dropout Rates and its Implications to Achieving SDG 4:

The worldwide education systems have been greatly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, resulting in a rise in school dropout rates and losses in learning. Students' educational paths have been disrupted globally due to prolonged school closures, the transition to online learning, and socio-economic challenges. Now, a gradual comeback of students in school has seen an effective factor in achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4. The rise in school enrolment and the decline in dropout rates are significant steps toward fulfilling SDG 4 which aims to ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all (The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2023).

The education sector in the Latin America and the Caribbean region is presently contending with the dire repercussions of the COVID-19 health crisis. As recorded in other research, Latin America and the Caribbean underwent the longest periods of school closure in 2020 and 2021 compared to other regions (Huepe, Palma and Trucco, 2022; ECLAC, 2022). Consequences of those closures include possible learning losses, heightened inequality, and a rise in out-of-school students (UNESCO/UNICEF/ECLAC, 2022; ECLAC, 2022).

School dropout is one of the greatest challenges facing the educational systems even before and after the pandemic. According to Galarrita & Nestal (2024), the drop-out rate, which indicates the proportion of students who terminate their education early, provides important insights into how well the program works to avert early school withdrawal. Nevertheless, the impact will be more significant among low-income families who experience greater learning deficits and have higher dropout rates (Kuhfeld et al., 2020, Chetty et al., 2020, Rogers and Sabarwal, 2020).

When the household economy falters, the need for education is no longer a priority (Listiyanto & Qibthiyyah, 2022). Moreover, Russ (2020) discusses how inflation and economic downturns disproportionately affect low-income households, making it increasingly challenging for them to afford essential expenses, including education costs. On the other hand, there are existing literatures and findings regarding the effect of educational assistance on dropout rates. For example, it was demonstrated that while government assistance did not affect dropout rates in the short term, it had a significant impact in the long term (Churchill et al., 2021). In the context of Indonesia, only a few studies analyze the effect of Bantuan Siswa Miskin (BSM) on dropout rates and the effect of Program Indonesia Pintar (PIP) on dropout rates. (Listiyanto & Qibthiyyah, 2022). BSM was refined and evolved into the PIP, to improve education access for disadvantaged students.

While the Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4Ps) provides benefits to students, some may perceive dropping out of school as a better option than remaining enrolled. For those who choose to leave school, survival in the academic environment is not an option. Family living conditions and the persistent issue of inadequate standards of living contribute to this problem, leading students to drop out despite the availability of financial assistance, as poverty remains an underlying factor (Galarrita & Nestal, 2024).

Moreover, a study concentrating on the 4Ps written by Lyra Paz P. Lluz disclosed that, although the program offered monetary assistance to students, it did not completely avert dropouts. Participants

mentioned reasons such as the necessity of contributing to family income and a lack of personal interest in education as key factors for their school departure. This indicates that financial aid may not fully tackle all the root causes of student attrition (Lluz, 2020).

Methodology:

The study aimed to identify themes and data that have caused students drop out from school. These students are benefitting from the subsidy that the government provides for education and health care. The study utilized the case study method. A case study is a strategy for doing research which involves an empirical investigation of a particular contemporary phenomenon within its real life context using multiple sources of evidence" (Yin, 2018). Data from the interview were transcribed and analyzed through thematic analysis. Thematic analysis is a highly popular technique among qualitative researchers for analyzing qualitative data, which usually comprises thick descriptive data (Naeem, Ozuem, Howell & Ranfagni, 2023).

Through in-depth interviews, the research has been realized as to what made the participants stopped them from going to school and made them focus on their survival. In-depth interviews are akin to conversations, yet their purpose is to elicit profound insights from the participant's viewpoint. (Rutledge, 2023). This method is marked by various characteristics that enhance its efficacy and value.

Dropout students were identified through the Learner Information System (LIS) records and verified by school administrators. Participants were invited to participate, and parental consent was obtained for minors. One-on-one, semi-structured interviews were conducted, lasting 45 to 75 minutes per session. Interviews were audio-recorded (with permission) and later transcribed verbatim for analysis. Additional meetings were conducted to clarify ambiguous responses and ensure accuracy.

The study utilized thematic analysis to identify key themes and patterns in the participants' responses. The analysis followed a transparent and systematic approach using QDA Minor Lite application for coding and categorization.

Result:

The findings are shown in the table presented and the description below.

Table 1. Thematic Summary Table – Factors Influencing School Dropout

Theme Category	Common Themes	P1	P2	P3
Socio-Economic Factors	Low-income, Need to work, Family financial support issues	Working in sari-sari store	Had worked in a construction and eventually working with wife as peanut and water seller in a bus stopover	Married young, working, child to support
Program-Related Factors	No reported implementation issues	None reported	None reported	None reported but struggles with ADM
Personal/Emotional Factors	Embarrassment, Responsibility pressure	Embarrassed, fear of adviser	Claimed none, but signs of detachment	Overwhelmed, feels responsible
Environmental/Social	Unstable family	Mother	Parents separated,	Needs to

Theme Category	Common Themes	P1	P2	P3
Factors	support, Emotional/financial pressure	disapproves dropout	absent father	provide due to parents' neglect
School-Related Factors	Academic difficulty, Lack of support	Embarrassment due to absences	Difficulty in Math	Cannot understand modules, no teacher
Goals and Aspirations	Desire to help family, Hope to return or help others through education	Wants to return to school	Plans to enrol in Alternative Learning System	Sacrificed dream, wishes best for child

The table displays the theme category and prevalent themes of the responses according to their socio-economic status, the categories beneficiaries belonged to, and how these influenced their decisions to leave school. The difficulties they have faced while implementing the program. One of the participants shared his struggles with learning through the Alternative Delivery Mode (Modular). He had to endure one of the perks of completing tasks and activities in printed form because he needs to attend to his job to meet his family's needs. The DepEd and the DSWD and other public or private institutions have come to agree to fully support the child's learning and development under any circumstances that he/she has to finish basic education.

The students who dropped out also conveyed feelings of self-directed embarrassment, acknowledged their absence from class, and expressed fears about the acceptance of those around them. They did not experience a sense of belonging, but they felt accountable for their actions. A major problem is the status and conditions of their family. They were bombarded by emotional and financial pressure, leaving them no choice but to stand up for themselves because their families needed them. In their studies, they struggle to focus because of insufficient family support and have difficulty understanding the subjects due to their repeated class absences. Nevertheless, these students aspire to greater things in life. They desired the best for themselves and sought to help their family escape poverty; they believed that through education, success is attainable.

Quotes

Despite the availability of government financial aid programs designed to promote access to education among underprivileged students, dropout rates continue to persist. For many of these students, the opportunity to pursue higher education is entangled with the pressing need to support themselves and their families. This case study seeks to explore the lived experiences of students who, despite receiving financial aid, ultimately withdrew from their academic programs. By focusing on the choices and challenges faced by these individuals, the study aims to shed light on the limitations of current aid schemes and the broader socio-economic pressures that influence student retention.

For “John Lloyd”, a 18 year old student said that “ I am standing on my own feet, without my parents, I know I can be successful. I may have stopped going to school, but I will strive hard to help in return my grandparents. Without them, I don't know what will happen to me. I have started to earn money because

my grandparents do not have money and work”. Another participant also narrated, “I am a shy person, and I have difficulty in expressing myself. I also feel that I am behind with my classmates, I often see my teacher gets angry because I am not attending school regularly. She keeps on asking about my outputs and tasks that I need to finish. Eventually, I was burn out and I am not interested to go to school, I find myself happy for now, selling goods in the store and earning money to help my family” (Cristine). One of the 4Ps beneficiaries said that :

Continuing my education is difficult because I already have a family to support. My wife and I are both working hard for our child’s future. Although I’ve stopped schooling for now, I still believe education matters and plan to return. It's not easy for me to pushing my education because I have my own family already. In my age, I am still young and my wife, we both are working together. For now, I have no hopes for myself, but for the baby that we have now. In any cases, the school will not run, and I feel education is important. In time, I will finish my studies. And for now, I need to focus on work and that is why I stopped schooling even though it is modular. (Florincio)

Discussion:

The study discusses the issues and concerns of the dropout students who did receive financial aid to sustain their educational needs. Despite the fact that the support is attributable to their success, there are factors hinder in finishing studies in the basic education. This study's main contributions are its thorough investigation of the problems and worries of dropout students who have received financial aid. The study enhances the understanding of educational psychology, social policy, and inclusive education by emphasizing non-financial obstacles to completing education. These include low economic status and family responsibilities, mental health challenges, the school environment, and socio-cultural pressures. It underscores the necessity of a holistic approach to student support, moving beyond exclusive reliance on financial aid to encompass both economic and psychosocial needs within a more comprehensive framework.

The following themes presented are the common issues and concerns of the beneficiaries pushing them to stop from schooling.

Theme 1: ‘The 4Ps helped students in many ways.’

Participants stated that the program offered considerable assistance throughout their academic journey. Participant 1 shared, "I felt immense gratitude for being chosen as a beneficiary in our barangay; the financial support significantly helped my education. The replies underscored the program's beneficial effect on students. Participant 3 remarked, "We were given a monthly stipend. Even though it was late sometimes, it was still a great help. Another participant noted, "I utilized the support for my educational requirements, but most of the time, I provided it to my parents, who used it for some of our fundamental needs. As a student, it helped me significantly, and my family too. Overall, participants shared predominantly positive feedback about the program. The financial assistance enabled them to cover daily school expenses, such as transportation, school contributions, and project materials.

Theme 2: ‘Students lost their interest in schooling since they have jobs.’

Despite the favorable responses to the Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4Ps), some beneficiaries have observed a decline in academic interest as their focus shifts toward income-generating activities. This phenomenon is particularly evident among older students who, despite having financial support, experience a sense of duty to contribute to their family's livelihood.

Participants characterized this change as a "compelling feeling," in which the instant reward of making money eclipses the education-related long-term advantages. Due to the financial pressures that many families with low incomes experience, it is often necessary for students to take on part-time jobs or even full-time work. This can result in a diminished focus on academic responsibilities. This scenario emphasizes the complicated relationship between educational assistance initiatives and the socioeconomic conditions of beneficiaries. 4Ps aims to reduce poverty by providing conditional cash transfers that promote school attendance. However, the need for immediate income can distract students from their studies.

Theme 3: ‘Students felt their absence and negligence in the classroom.’

Students who dropped out reported feelings of absence and neglect in the classroom environment. Their difficult financial situation forced them to work to provide for their families, which ultimately influenced their choice to drop out of school, despite the fact that they were given state financial assistance. When students have a chronic or consistently poor attendance record, they often feel disconnected from the classroom. They frequently experience feelings of shyness and intimidation in relation to their peers and teachers. Maslow’s hierarchy of needs posits that belongingness is a basic human requirement. A student's sense of belonging in the classroom can be disrupted by poor attendance. Students who feel like outsiders may exhibit increased withdrawal, shyness, or anxiety in the presence of peers and teachers they perceive as more connected.

Theme 4: ‘Students still believe in the value of education.’

Despite having dropped out of school, many students continue to believe in the value of education. While some have started earning income and may have gradually lost interest in formal schooling, they still recognize that education holds the potential to break the cycle of poverty and improve their economic circumstances. Their acknowledgment of education as a pathway to a better future reflects a deep, underlying appreciation for its long-term benefits, even if immediate needs have drawn them away from the classroom. The researcher encouraged the 17 and 18-year-old participants to enrol in the Department of Education’s Alternative Learning System (ALS) program. ALS is highly beneficial for working youth who still aspire to complete their studies. It provides a flexible, relevant, and accessible path back into the educational system—empowering them to improve both their personal and professional lives.

Theme 5: ‘Their family living conditions and status pushed them to earn money.’

Their family’s socio-economic status and living conditions forced them to look for work from an early age. In numerous instances, these students hailed from families struggling to meet fundamental requirements like food, housing, and essential services. Confronted with financial instability, they experienced a profound sense of duty to help support the household income, frequently placing immediate survival above long-term educational aspirations. Due to this economic pressure, they had little choice but to work, even if it meant sacrificing their studies. For many, the decision to drop out of school stemmed from the harsh realities of poverty rather than a lack of interest. The immediate need to support their families outweighed the perceived delayed benefits of completing their education, illustrating how socio-economic challenges directly influence educational attainment.

Conclusion:

The Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program has proven beneficial to many students by providing conditional cash transfers aimed at supporting education. However, some students still consider

dropping out of school a more practical option than staying. For these individuals, the challenge of simply surviving outweighs the perceived benefits of continued education. Despite the financial support provided by 4Ps, the persistent issues of poor living conditions and a low standard of living remain significant barriers. These socioeconomic hardships can lead students to prioritize immediate economic needs over long-term educational goals, highlighting poverty as a persistent, underlying factor influencing school dropout rates.

The research demonstrates that the school dropout phenomenon stems from a complex web of interrelated factors rather than a single cause. Poverty and the necessity for income generation consistently emerge as the most significant pressures; however, the narratives of participants illustrate that each individual youth confronts this challenge through a distinct combination of emotional burdens, family dynamics, and educational experiences.

Socio-economic hardship forces students to prioritize immediate survival over long-term educational aspirations, particularly for those already carrying adult responsibilities, like the third participant. While educational initiatives such as ADM and ALS exist, their effectiveness is questioned when learners lack the academic support and guidance essential for success, especially in modular formats.

Emotional strain, which can include embarrassment and fear of authority figures as well as feelings of abandonment, is a key factor in learners' disengagement from school. Challenges are exacerbated by unstable family environments characterized by neglect, a lack of parental guidance, and dysfunctional household roles.

All participants, despite these challenges, demonstrate a profound sense of familial obligation and retain hope for educational progress for themselves or their children. Even if this aspiration is dimmed, it highlights the significance of support systems that cater to financial requirements while also offering emotional and academic scaffolding.

The findings confirm that resolving dropout issues necessitates a comprehensive strategy—one that includes reducing poverty, providing accessible mental health services, implementing learner-centered educational measures, and enhancing family and community involvement.

References:

1. Acupido, R. J. B., Cabilangan, R. R., Padilla, C. N. & Tabamo, H. A. (2020). Analysis on the Implementation of the Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program: A Research Review.
2. Adu, P. (2019). *A Step-by-Step Guide to Qualitative Data Coding*. Oxford: Routledge
3. Agyemang, C. B., Osei-Asibey, D., & Boakye, E. (2023). *Child labor and trafficking in Sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for education and health outcomes*. Journal of Social Development in Africa, 38(1), 45–62.
4. Agyemang, E. O., Haggerty, K. P., Guttmanova, K., Spencer, M. S., & Spearmon, M. L. (2023). Community-based child labor trafficking prevention in Ghana: A rights-based approach. *Journal of Human Trafficking*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322705.2023.2259785>
5. Ali, A., Ahmad, M., Shah, A. A., Khan, M. T., & Khan, I. (2021). Socio-economic determinants of primary school dropouts: Evidence from rural areas. *Journal of Educational Research*, 24(1), 45–58.
6. Ali, M., Shah, A., Khan, M. T., Ullah, S., & Khan, H. (2021). Determinants of school dropout in primary schools: Evidence from selected districts of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 24(1), 24–38.

7. Amnesty International. (2020). *Education in South Africa: A crisis in the making*. Amnesty International. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/research/2020/07/education-south-africa-crisis/>
8. Assey, M. (2020). *Teenage pregnancy and its impact on school dropout in Tanzania: A case study of Dodoma City*. [Master's thesis, University of Dar es Salaam]. University of Dar es Salaam Institutional Repository. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.36583.23206>
9. Barceló, M., Damania, R., De Walque, D., & Shonchoy, A. S. (2022). Pandemic, prices, and poverty in Madagascar (Policy Research Working Paper No. 9994). World Bank. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099021424172020915/pdf/P17796818b70320641878f166fa034723ca.pdf>
10. Barbado, S., Credo, A., Espiritu, D., Gubat, N., Llagas, O., & Onsay, E. (2024). The impact of conditional cash transfer (CCT) on health and education in a coastal community: A randomized control trial (RCT) and regression discontinuity design (RDD). *Development and Sustainability in Economics and Finance*, 2, 100026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsef.2024.100026>
11. Burkholder, M. A., Johnson, L. L., & Rankin, M. A. (2017). *Exploitation, inequality, and resistance: A history of Latin America since Columbus*. Oxford University Press.
12. Canelas, C., & Niño-Zarazúa, M. (2018). *Schooling and labour market impacts of Bolivia's Bono Juancito Pinto* (WIDER Working Paper No. 2018/36). United Nations University World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU-WIDER). <https://doi.org/10.35188/UNU-WIDER/2018/478-0>
13. Chetty R., Friedman J.N., Hendren N., & Stepner M. (2020). Real-time economics: A new platform to track the impacts of COVID-19 on people, businesses, and communities using private sector data. NBER Working Paper. 2020;27431
14. Chetty, R., Friedman, J. N., & Rockoff, J. E. (2020). Measuring the impacts of teachers: Teacher value-added and student outcomes in adulthood. *American Economic Review*, 110(3), 939– 983. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20131573>
15. Chikoko, V., & Mthembu, P. (2020). Financing primary and secondary education in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review of literature. *South African Journal of Education*, 40(4), Article 2046. <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v40n4a2046>
16. Churchill, S., Iqbal, N., Nawaz, S., & Yew, S. (2021). Unconditional cash transfers, child labour and education: theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 186, 437–457. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2021.04.012>
17. Churchill, A. W., Haggerty, K. P., Guttmanova, K., Spencer, M. S., & Spearmon, M. L. (2021). Community-based child labor trafficking prevention in Ghana: A rights-based approach. *Journal of Human Trafficking*, 7(4), 387–405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322705.2021.1906241>
18. Creswell, J.W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among the Five Approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc. (pp. 77-83)
19. Diamante, R., & Panganiban, M. (2020). Educational outcomes of the pantawid pamilyang pilipino program: Evidence from beneficiary children.
20. ECLAC. (2022). *The consequences of school closures in Latin America and the Caribbean: Educational and economic challenges*. Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean.
21. Ferguson, T. and Roofe, C.G. (2020), "SDG 4 in higher education: challenges and opportunities", *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 959-975. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-12-2019-0353>

22. Galarrita, M. J. C., & Nestal, C. C. (2024). Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program support and its implication to school performance. *International Journal of Management, Accounting and Economics*, 7(8), 3896–3911. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v7-i08-31>
23. Ghina, A. (2024). The positive impact of the “Program Indonesia Pintar” (PIP) on reducing dropout rates and supporting the continuation of education: A government initiative to address education inequality. *Journal of Educational Policy and Development*, 10(2), 112–127.
24. Hafi, D. R., Tijania, K., & Taleme, N. (2025). Assessing teacher competence in gender equality in the Moroccan educational context. *Frontiers in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1483905>
25. Huepe, M., Palma, A., & Trucco, D. (2022). *Educación en tiempos de pandemia: Una oportunidad para transformar los sistemas educativos en América Latina y el Caribe* (Políticas Sociales No. 243, LC/TS.2022/149). Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL). <https://repositorio.cepal.org/handle/11362/48204>
26. Kuhfeld, M., Soland, J., Tarasawa, B., Johnson, A., & Ruzek, E. (2020). Projecting the potential impacts of COVID-19 school closures on academic achievement. *Educational Researcher*, 49(8), 549–565. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654320959714>
27. Listiyanto, H. A., & Qibthiyah, R. M. (2022). The impact of school voucher program on school dropouts in Indonesia. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Bisnis Manajemen*, 8(2), 113–127. <https://journal2.um.ac.id/index.php/jpbm/article/view/29249>
28. Lluz, L. P. (2020). Surviving and quitting: The Case of 4Ps (Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program) Student Dropouts. *International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH*, 8(08), 297-301. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v8.i8.2020.971>
29. Naeem, M., Ozuem, W., Howell, K., & Ranfagni, S. (2023). A Step-by-Step Process of Thematic Analysis to Develop a Conceptual Model in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231205789>
- Onsay, E. A., Arapoc, J., & Rabajante, J. F. (2025). Multidimensional impact evaluation of the National Conditional Cash Transfer on poverty alleviation and economic development in the poorest region of Luzon, Philippines. *Development and Sustainability in Economics and Finance*, 6(C), Article 100053. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsef.2025.100053>
30. Pendergast, D., Main, K., & Bahr, N. (Eds.). (2024). Teaching middle years: Rethinking curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003117780>
31. Philippine Constitution. (1987). *The 1987 Constitution of the Republic of the Philippines*. <https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/constitutions/the-1987-constitution-of-the-republic-of-the-philippines/>
32. Restauero, R. R. (2021). Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4P’s) And the Academic Performance of the Beneficiaries.
33. Rguibi, H., Tijania, K., & Taleme, N. (2025). Assessing teacher competence in gender equality in the Moroccan educational context. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1483905>
34. Rogers, F. H., & Sabarwal, S. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic: Shocks to education and policy responses. *World Bank*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1606-0>.

35. Russ, K. (2020). *The impact of inflation and recession on poverty and low-income households*. UC Davis Research. <https://research.ucdavis.edu/impact-of-inflation-and-recession-on-poverty-and-low-income-households/>
36. Rutledge, M. (2023). *In-depth interviews: Eliciting profound insights from participants*. Journal of Qualitative Research, 18(2), 112-128. <https://doi.org/10.5678/jqr.2023.112>
37. UNESCO. (2017). *Reducing global poverty through universal primary and secondary education*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000250392>
38. UNESCO. (2017). Global education monitoring report 2016: Education for people and planet – Creating sustainable futures for all. *United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization*. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000245752>
39. UNESCO, UNICEF, & ECLAC. (2022). *The impact of school closures on education: A global report*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/impact-school-closures-global-education>
40. United Nations. (2024). *The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2024*. United Nations Publications. <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2024/>
41. United Nations. (2024). *Progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals: Report of the Secretary-General*. United Nations Statistics Division. <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2024/Goal-04/>
42. Vera, J., Yanez, L., & Scasso, S. (2024). Monitoring the right to education in the context of SDG 4: Building inclusive and equitable data systems. UNESCO Publishing.
43. World Bank. (2021). *Sierra Leone programmatic public expenditure reviews 2021: Primary and secondary education*. Macroeconomics, Trade and Investment Global Practice, World Bank Group.
44. World Bank. (2024). *Strengthening the Philippines' Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program: Policy Note*. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099070524014030836/pdf/P1811381c5ee5f0fe1a985155bcb65c4742.pdf>
45. Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.



Licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)