

Redefining Masculinity and Femininity in Matriarchal Societies

Ms. Sunidhi Sangwan

Student, Senior Secondary, Jawahar Vidya Mandir, Ranchi

Abstract

This paper examines the way in which matriarchal societies challenge the dominant patriarchy by redefining feminist and masculinity via alternative gender power structures. Using sociological and ethnographic case studies- including the Khasi and Garo tribes of India, and the Mosuo of China, this study argues that gender roles are not natural or predetermined but socially constructed and shaped in regards to circumstances. In these cultures, women inherit property and hold household authority, while men take up the supportive, communal and ritualistic roles. These arrangements in the society displace hegemonic masculinity and promote balanced and cooperative gender identities. This paper underlines the cultural fluidity of gender and presents insights into how equitable gender relations can be sustained. The paper is a contribution to ongoing sociological debates on gender, power and cultural variation.

Introduction

Gender roles are usually seen as universal and natural, however they are deeply shaped and affected by the social, political and cultural conditions they emerge in. In patriarchal societies, masculinity is linked with dominance, independence and authority, while femininity is equated with submission, nurturing and passivity. These systems not only limit individual identity but also promote unequal distribution of power. In matriarchal societies, masculinity and femininity are not seen as opposites but as complimentary roles. By shifting the power and lineage to women, these cultures challenge the existing gender norms and provide alternative options of identity and leadership. This paper argues that the reevaluation of masculinity and femininity in matriarchal societies shows the gender roles being constructed and controlled socially, revealing how the authority structure- not biology- shapes how being a man or a woman is defined.

What if the best way to share power, rather than who had the most, determined how the world saw gender? It is simple to believe that masculinity must be equated with dominance and femininity with submission in a patriarchal society. Matriarchal and matrilineal communities, however, subtly shatter this presumption in various parts of the world, reinventing what it means to be a man or a woman through structures based on harmony, collaboration, and cultural subtleties.

Background

Patriarchal standards, which concentrate power, inheritance, and authority in the hands of males, have traditionally defined gender roles. Global views of masculinity as dominating and femininity as subservient have been shaped by this system. The universality of these roles has, however, come under growing scrutiny from sociological study, which emphasizes that gender is a socially produced phenomena rather than a biological need. Different frameworks are provided by matrilineal and

matriarchal civilizations, in which women typically play key roles in leadership, property ownership, and ancestry. These kinds of systems offer important insights into how gender definitions vary and how power relations might change between cultures. Critical discussions on identity, equality, and the potential to reestablish more balanced gender norms in a globalized and changing world are sparked by studying these communities.

Methodology

This study looks at how gender roles are created and redefined in matrilineal and matriarchal communities using a qualitative, comparative case study technique. The research focuses on four communities: the Minangkabau in Indonesia, the Mosuo in China, and the Khasi and Garo tribes in India. It draws on secondary data sources such as anthropological monographs, peer-reviewed journal articles, and sociological books. These examples were chosen because of their long-standing matrilineal customs and established gender roles. In order to find recurrent cultural trends and societal structures that support alternate manifestations of masculinity and femininity, the investigation consults the body of extant literature. Understanding the distribution of power, inheritance, and identity in these communities is emphasized, as is the way in which these arrangements subvert patriarchal presumptions that are common in prevailing global narratives.

In order to analyze the data gathered, the study used thematic analysis, concentrating on recurrent topics including leadership, family structure, property rights, and gendered work roles. Special focus is placed on how femininity is manifested beyond traditional submissiveness and how masculinity is defined in the absence of hierarchical domination. The analytical lens is guided by sociological theories on gender performativity and social construction theory, which provide a more thorough comprehension of the formation and maintenance of these roles. The research tries to emphasize the diversity and flexibility of gender systems rather than making any attempts to generalize across all matrilineal countries. By only using publically accessible scholarly materials, ethical issues were resolved and community identities were faithfully and respectfully portrayed within their cultural context.

Hegemonic Masculinity

The male role as a breadwinner in the family is core part of hegemonic masculinity, especially in patriarchal ideology (Connell 1995;1998;2005), Bernard 1981). Although women's employment has increased and supported family, the being an breadwinner to male identity is still remain important (Thebaud 2010, Springer 2010). This can lead men's disempowered masculinity because the position of male breadwinner title does not mean only economic status of men but also associated with his 'social statues' in community (Wilott and Griffin 2004). As men in matrilineal society has less affection with their offspring due to father-absence, their role in the house is more instrumental than patrilineal society. Therefore, boys in matrilineal society tend to learn 'proper masculinity' from the absence of masculinity but rather from relationship to mother (Chodorow 1979). Hegemonic masculinity can be constructed but does not correspond to represent the lives of actual men but idealized men (Connell 2005:841).

Motherhood and Women's empowerment

Mothering is defined as "socially constructed set of activities and relationships involved in nurturing and caring for people." Also, It is regarded as main "vehicle" that people can form their own identities

and learn their society (Phoenix and Woollett. 1991:357). Universally, mothering is associated with women because women who do mothering in the family. Thus, mothering has been conjectured as women's main identity. Motherhood often employed as notion of femininity and it links to reinforcing women's identity (Chodorow 1979). In patriarchal society, this is seen more explicitly because men and women's role and sphere is dichotomized into public and domestic based on male dominant patriarchy. By redefining masculinity and femininity through matrilineal inheritance, female leadership, and shared domestic power, matriarchal civilizations challenge conventional notions of gender and provide a more inclusive definition of gender identity. These civilizations rebuild gender hierarchies in ways that emphasize social cohesion, shared responsibility, and harmonious relationships rather than just reversing them. In the Mosuo society in China, for example, men assume positions based on community labor and maternal households, while women oversee family property and domestic decisions. This creates a masculinity that is neither dictatorial nor competitive. Similarly, women are given authority over inheritance and ancestry by the Khasi tribe in India, redefining femininity as a sign of stability and continuity rather than subjugation. These instances demonstrate how gender identities can develop in more flexible and collaborative ways when power is dispersed along non-patriarchal lines.

Gender's Social Construction

It is widely accepted that gender roles are a result of biological differences, suggesting that men and women are predisposed to specific social roles and behaviors. This idea is contested by sociological theories, which emphasize that gender is a social construct influenced by political, historical, and cultural factors (Lorber 1994). In patriarchal cultures, femininity is linked to emotionality, nurturing, and passivity, whereas masculinity is linked to power, reason, and independence. Rigid expectations and hierarchies are enforced by these dichotomies. We may study how social—and malleable—gender identities are by looking at cultures that don't fit this pattern, including matriarchal or matrilineal societies.

The Khasi Tribe of Meghalaya

The Khasi tribe of northeastern India is one of the matrilineal communities in the country. In Khasi society, lineage and inheritance pass through the female line, and the youngest daughter traditionally inherits the family property. While men still play visible roles in public and religious life, the cultural narrative places women at the center of family and social continuity. This structure disrupts the patriarchal model of male dominance and offers men alternative ways to express identity that are not based on control or competition. Studies have shown that Khasi men, despite their reduced economic power, experience lower levels of gender-based violence and more familial cooperation (Nongbri 2003).

The Mosuo of China

The Mosuo people of Yunnan Province are often taken as a living example of a matrilineal and matrilocal society. In Mosuo culture, men are not customarily married or live with their children; instead, women are in charge of property, family decisions, and childrearing. Therefore, roles in the mother's home or community service are ways that masculinity is represented and are not associated with control inside the nuclear family. According to research, Mosuo males adopt a masculinity style based on respect, support, and shared responsibility rather than losing their identity or power (Shih 2009).

Fluid Gender Norms and Matrilineal Systems

Matrilineal civilizations also make room for more flexible gender expressions by giving women more influence in the home and in the economy. These communities permit the presence of a variety of masculine and feminine features inside individuals, in contrast to patriarchal societies where deviance from traditional gender norms is frequently penalized. For instance, among Indonesia's Minangkabau, women handle domestic matters and land, while men are urged to wander in search of economic prospects. By rethinking gender roles as complimentary rather than competitive, this balance promotes interdependence rather than hierarchy (Blackwood 2005).

Masculinity Without Domination

One of the most striking features of matriarchal or matrilineal societies is the way they detach masculinity from the concept of domination. In such cultures, men are not less valued but are valued differently. The Garos, another matrilineal tribe in India, exemplify this dynamic. Men take part in ceremonial and governing functions, while women inherit property and make decisions for the home. Here, contribution, defense, and ceremonial obligation—rather than dominance—reinvent masculinity. This redefinition suggests the potential for gender systems founded on equity and respect for one another while providing a compelling critique of hegemonic masculinity (Ralte 2012).

Moving Toward a More Comprehensive View of Gender

Gender roles are not static or biologically predetermined, as may be seen by comparing matriarchal and matrilineal communities. Rather, they are the result of particular social structures and are modifiable through adjustments to the allocation of authority and accountability. The experiences of groups such as the Khasi, Mosuo, Minangkabau, and Garos offer real-life examples of different gender configurations and cast doubt on the universality of patriarchal gender norms. These societies serve as a reminder that there are several ways to structure gender and that it is both feasible and vital to redefine masculinity and femininity as global discussions about gender equality and identity continue to develop.

These communities deviate from the traditional patriarchal division by granting women authority over inheritance, property, and family structures, thus shifting the axis of power and identity. In doing so, they offer alternative visions of masculinity that emphasize cooperation, caregiving, and ritual responsibility rather than dominance and control. Similarly, these civilizations challenge the idea of passivity that is sometimes associated with gender by empowering femininity via autonomy, leadership, and decision-making. The idea that gender roles are socially constructed and that matriarchal institutions can offer inclusive frameworks in which masculinity and femininity are dynamic, culturally molded identities rather than opposing forces is supported by these examples.

Conclusion

This study investigates how traditional, patriarchal conceptions of gender roles are challenged in matriarchal and matrilineal civilizations. It makes the case—drawn from a critical viewpoint—that gender roles are culturally created and altered by society power systems rather than biologically set.

Foundation of Theory: Rather than being a natural characteristic, gender is a social construct shaped by political, historical, and cultural factors.

An example from India is the Khasi Tribe in Meghalaya. Women are the conduits for inheritance and ancestry. In the home, men play supportive roles, demonstrating a cooperative rather than a dominant ma

sculinity.

Global Example: In Mosuo, China, women are in charge of the family, while men perform maternal and communal duties. Rather than dominance, masculinity is linked to harmony and responsibility.

According to Minangkabau (Indonesia), men and women play different but complementary roles, creating a power dynamic that relaxes strict gender stereotypes and promotes flexibility.

For instance, in the Garo Tribe of India, women inherit property, but men perform ceremonial and governing roles. Rather than hierarchy, masculinity is founded on respect and participation.

Critical Insight: These societies demonstrate how gender norms are malleable and susceptible to change due to changing power dynamics by redefining masculinity and femininity through social roles, economic engagement, and cultural expectations.

The definition of masculinity and femininity in civilizations is not universal and is greatly impacted by the structure and those in positions of power. In societies that prioritize women in positions of power, inheritance, and social leadership, such matriarchal or matrilineal systems, gender roles take on novel shapes that defy accepted patriarchal conventions. These cultures provide as examples of how femininity and masculinity do not always have to be based on submission or domination. Rather, both identities might represent virtues like respect, collaboration, and caring for one another. Matriarchal civilizations provide valuable insight for reimagining gender as flexible, contextual, and innately determined by cultural values rather than biology by altering the perspective away from male-centered hierarchy.

Rethinking gender through the prism of matriarchal and matrilineal civilizations serves as a reminder that men and women are dynamic identities that are influenced by power relations and cultural norms. The Mosuo in China and the Khasi and Garo tribes in India are examples of societies that demonstrate that gender roles that are non-hierarchical and fair are not only feasible but also durable. These cultures provide viable alternatives to gender inequity by rethinking societal expectations, family responsibilities, and leadership. They also challenge patriarchal traditions. Their role models encourage us to challenge prevailing perceptions and imagine a society in which gender is a shared area of respect, decency, and balance rather than a status indicator. In the end, researching matriarchal communities creates opportunities for a more inclusive future rather than only reflecting the past or a solitary present.

Citations

1. Blackwood, Evelyn. 2005. "Gender Transgression in Colonial and Postcolonial Indonesia." *Journal of Asian Studies* 64(4):849–879.
2. Lorber, Judith. 1994. *Paradoxes of Gender*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
3. Nongbri, Tiplut. 2003. *Gender, Matriliney, and Entrepreneurship: The Khasis of Northeast India*. New Delhi: Zubaan.
4. Ralte, Lalchhanhima. 2012. "Gender Relations Among the Garos of North-East India." *International Journal of Social Science Tomorrow* 1(6).
5. Shih, Chuan-Kang. 2009. *Quest for Harmony: The Moso Traditions of Sexual Union and Family Life*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.