International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Aligning Indigenous Knowledge with Digital Technology for Achieving Sustainable Development Goals

Manas Khan[1], Dr. Laxmiram Gope[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Education, Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University, West Bengal, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University, West Bengal, India

Abstract

This study juxtaposes the weaving of Indigenous Knowledge Systems with digital technologies to achieve Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This study also reveals how digital technologies such as mobile apps, software, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and cloud-based platforms can help the documentation, conservation, preservation, and sharing of indigenous knowledge to promote sustainability. Indigenous peoples have long been stewards of the natural environment with deep-rooted knowledge systems that support biodiversity conservation, climate action, and sustainable agriculture and other emerging fields. However, these communities sometimes face challenges in the digital era, such as limited access to digital technologies and concerns about the misuse of sacred knowledge. This study emphasizes the potential for indigenous people to enhance flexibility, preserve cultural rituals and traditions, and achieve Sustainable Development Goals such as '*climate action', 'health', 'quality education' and 'life on land*' by aligning traditional knowledge with digital technologies. This study also highlights the importance of ethical frameworks, community-based digitization efforts, and inclusive regulations to ensure the use of digital technologies in a responsible way. Here, the important thing lies in the fact that digital technology has become of utmost importance for preserving, promoting, and sustaining the indigenous knowledge system, which is rapidly disappearing from the indigenous society. This paper concludes that bridging the digital gap and promoting partnerships between indigenous peoples and technological experts can provide the best solutions for achieving sustainable development goals and also respecting cultural integrity. Ultimately, this integration can empower indigenous communities to preserve, protect, and apply their knowledge systems in their day-to-day life. It helps to achieve the developmental goals commonly known as global sustainability.

Keywords: Indigenous Knowledge, Digital Technology, Sustainable Development Goals, SDGs, Community Empowerment, Digital Inclusion

1. INTRODUCTION

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) signify a universal framework that aims to reduce poverty, promote social inclusion, and ensure economic and environmental sustainability by 2030 (United Nations, 2015). The Sustainable Development Goals recognize the interrelationship between universal challenges and the significance of innovative and sustainable solutions (Gope & Kuiry, 2025). Indigenous Knowledge Systems have a rich, place-based knowledge system that is passed down from generation to generation in

Indigenous Communities (Gope et al., 2017). Indigenous Knowledge includes ecological knowledge, social organization, cultural practices and beliefs, medicinal knowledge, child caring practices, and agricultural practices that are deeply rooted in the interconnectedness between Indigenous communities and their environment (Das & Rai, 2025a). It has been recognized as a valuable resource for advancing sustainability, particularly in areas like climate action, biodiversity conservation, food security, sustainable agriculture, health, and education (Nakashima et al., 2012). Regardless of its relevance, traditional knowledge remains neglected in global development frameworks. Indigenous communities are often excluded from the major development processes, particularly in the Global South, resulting in the marginalization of their knowledge traditions and practices (Kothari et al., 2019). The dominance of Western knowledge systems was the compounded reason behind the negligence in scientific and policy circles. The integration of Traditional Knowledge into the global development agenda can enhance the achievement of SDGs, particularly when digital technologies and traditional pieces of knowledge are aligned. Digital technologies such as mobile apps, software, digital archives, and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) offer a powerful medium to document, preserve, and share Indigenous Knowledge in contemporary contexts (Shiri et al., 2021; Das & Rai, 2025c).

In modern society, digital tools represent both opportunities and challenges when applied to Indigenous communities. Digital technology is bridging the knowledge gaps and is offering platforms to share ecological practices, preserve oral traditions, and allow youth to engage with their cultural heritage in innovative ways (Gomez, 2012). Projects like the '*Ara Irititja'* initiative in Australia have demonstrated the power of digital archives in preserving and sharing Indigenous Australian cultural heritage, Rituals, Traditions, and ensuring these resources are available for future generations (Hughes & Dallwitz, 2007). Similarly, in Kenya, Indigenous agricultural knowledge is being documented through the use of mobile platforms, which help farmers improve crop resilience in the face of climate change (Eyong, 2007).

However, the incorporation of digital technology with indigenous knowledge also poses challenges. Issues such as adequate knowledge about digital technology, limited access to technology in remote areas, and concerns over the commercialization of Indigenous knowledge remain prevalent (World Bank, 2021). Moreover, the digitalization of sensitive cultural knowledge raises ethical concerns about ownership, control and consent (Harris & Harris, 2011). Thus, any initiative to integrate indigenous knowledge with digital tools must be carried out in a culturally sensitive and community-driven manner, ensuring that their rights and interests are respected (Kukutai & Taylor, 2016).

Through this paper, the researchers explore the possible path for aligning Indigenous Knowledge with digital technologies to advance the Sustainable Development Goals. It examines how such an alignment can enhance community resilience, environmental stewardship, and social inclusion. By drawing on case studies from around the world, this study highlights how Indigenous peoples can use digital tools to ensure their knowledge systems contribute to global sustainability while overcoming the challenges that arise from digital inclusion and cultural sensitivity. For example, researchers mention the environmental ethical practices among the Santal community of West Bengal. The Santal are a peace-loving people who live in symbiosis with nature. They worship nature and natural calamity as a '*Marang Buru', a* Natural God, who protects Santal from natural calamities. They recognize that no human being has the power to control nature, as nature is supreme, and they realize that through natural gifts, they are sustained. This message can be disseminated globally through the help of digital technology, and the academic world can benefit from Indigenous knowledge patterns due to the digitalization of Indigenous knowledge.

2. Indigenous Knowledge and Sustainable Development

Indigenous Knowledge, customs, and beliefs that are specific to Indigenous cultures and are derived from their long-term interactions with the environment. This information is transmitted through various informal pedagogies, as Indigenous peoples possess a profound understanding of their local natural environment and ecosystem. This knowledge is holistic, incorporating social, cultural, and spiritual elements alongside ecological knowledge (Nakashima et al., 2012). Indigenous knowledge is invaluable for sustainable development and augmenting climate resilience, environmental protection, food security, community health, and holistic wellbeing (Das & Rai, 2025b). In this section, researchers aim to show the extent and manner in which Indigenous Knowledge fulfils the various Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and contributes towards more equitable and sustainable global development.

2.1. SDG 13 (Climate Action) & SDG 15 (Life on Land)

Perhaps the most significant contribution of Indigenous Knowledge remains its impact on sustainability issues. Managed rangeland rotational grazing exemplifies traditional sustainable resource management practices, rooted in centuries of knowledge-based interactions with local ecosystems. For example, Indigenous peoples residing in the Amazon region practiced controlled forest burning for biodiversity enhancement and wildfire prevention long before such practices became mainstream (Chambers et al., 2004). This type of knowledge helps to achieve SDG 13 (Climate Action) through the creation of frameworks for climate change mitigation and adaptation. 'Rotational farming' is another form of agroecology that Indigenous people practiced as part of land stewardship, which enhances soil function. At the same time, deforestation alongside desertification is reduced, which helps achieve SDG 15 (Life on Land), which aims to protect, restore, and sustainably manage terrestrial ecosystems (Nakashima et al., 2012; Kothari et al., 2019).

2.2. SDG 2 (Zero Hunger)

In addition to promoting ecological care, Indigenous Knowledge plays a significant role in achieving SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) by fostering sustainable agricultural practices. For example, numerous Indigenous communities focus on practices such as rotating crops, preserving soil fertility, and conserving water resources. In India, tribal knowledge regarding shifting cultivation has sustained biodiversity alongside soil productivity for many generations (Prathapachandran & Devadas, 2023). These practices have shown remarkable resilience to climate change, which is crucial for safeguarding food security amid accelerating environmental shifts (Eyong, 2007).

2.3. SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being)

Moreover, Indigenous knowledge systems have contributed to SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being). For numerous Indigenous communities, healthcare continues to rely predominantly on traditional medicine formulated from local flora, including plants and herbs (Shiva, 2016). In addition to their medicinal value, many plants exploited in Indigenous healing practices have positive ecological functions, such as aiding pollination or enriching the soil's nutrient content. This relationship between health and ecology emphasizes the relevance of Indigenous Knowledge in fostering comprehensive well-being not only for Indigenous peoples but also for the international health context (Nakashima et al., 2012).

2.4. SDG 4 (Quality Education)

Indigenous Knowledge is also essential in relation to SDG 4 (Quality Education). Quality Education is based on the idea that one does not need to leave their local area to get an education and another perspective researchers explored that the education became fruitful, meaningful and need-based and essence based when it is based on the local context, language, ethnicity, customs, and rituals. Therefore, our first priority

is to develop our curriculum based on the context, culture, customs, collaboration, construction, and coordination with the local indigenous knowledge system. Communities need a type of education rooted in their localities and traditions (Gope et al., 2017). This kind of education frequently incorporates the traditional methods of resource usage, eco-respect, and community solidarity. By acquiring these qualities, one can ensure that people learn throughout their entire lives. These traditional methods are now being re-evaluated in a new light, as they are seen as paramount in finding answers to global sustainability challenges (Bawack et al., 2025). Furthermore, integrating Indigenous Knowledge into formal education systems means the opportunity to increase cultural diversity, fairness, and inclusivity, which are the principles to keep with while working towards SDG 4.

Despite the gratitude for IK for the great job it has done, one can find out that it is not in the mainstream of global changes because the concept has been distorted and misunderstood for so long (Kothari et al., 2019). As a result, the Indian peoples' defeat in interpreting the development trends has started, thus holding back the complete adoption of Indigenous Knowledge into the Sustainable Development Goals. However, there are more and more people who are becoming aware of the necessity to appreciate and incorporate IK in the desirable sustainable development, especially those involved in reaching the SDGs. The masses are making a move to research and conserve Indigenous knowledge in different geographical locations, including the policy framework and development strategies (Tebtebba Foundation, 2020). It cannot be overemphasized that Indigenous Knowledge is the most potent weapon to sustain the development of our planet. It betokens us a good hand in taking care of our natural resources, adopting the policy of sustainable agriculture, improving community health, and pursuing education sustainably. Through the matching of Indigenous Knowledge and SDGs, especially those focused on climate action, food security, health, and education, the indigenous people will operate a more equitable and sustainable world for all living beings. Nevertheless, suppose the United Nations is to achieve its target of integrating IK into sustainable global practices. In that case, it is of paramount importance that it deals with issues such as the digital divide, cultural sensibilities, and the morality of knowledge sharing (Campbell-Meier et al., 2020). Indigenous Knowledge is a significant asset for the accomplishment of sustainable development, it gives us valuable tips on how we should take care of the environment, cultivate the land, and implement various projects (Mondal & Khan, 2024). Therefore, the SDGs that deal with climate action, food security, health, and education can be the bridge through which indigenous people will be actively participating in the formation of a fairer and sustainable world for everybody. To fully address the challenges of the digital divide, cultural sensitivities, and the ethical concerns of knowledge sharing, it is important to ensure that access is not confined to the few (Campbell-Meier et al., 2020).

3. Role of Digital Technology in Preserving and Sharing Indigenous Knowledge

Digital technology has become essential in preserving and spreading the knowledge of the Indigenous peoples (IK), as it brings innovative ideas for documenting, archiving, and transmitting this traditional knowledge. Indigenous communities that rely on the oral traditions of their knowledge system can apply digital technology in securing the upbringing of their cultural heritage to be passed down to their future generations (Gomez, 2012). The mobile apps, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and digital storytelling platforms are examples of technology that can be used for the facilitation of the process of knowledge sharing and protection in a culturally friendly and easily obtainable way. Although the primary source of the distribution of Indigenous knowledge is oral, the use of digital technology is the freshest way to preserve knowledge for future generations (Gomez, 2012).

Digital storytelling platforms are one of the pivotal tools for the representation of Indigenous narratives and cultural knowledge (Shiri et al., 2021). The platforms become a way for the Indigenous peoples to speak of their stories to the world in various formats such as videos, audio, and texts, and at the same time share them with vast audiences. For instance, the '*Ara Irititja*' Project, Australia, is an indigenous initiative that digitally archives and then redistributes Anangu cultural knowledge and makes it available to the younger people and the public at large through modern means (Hughes & Dallwitz, 2007). The project has not only been instrumental in the preservation of the cultural narratives but also the transfer of intergenerational knowledge. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have become an integral part in the mapping of the Indigenous territories and the management of natural resources. The implementation of GIS technology by Indigenous people in the Amazon region is a good example of this fact. They have been able to launch projects that not only monitor the status of their lands but also fight against and preserve their territories from encroachment (Chambers et al., 2004). The visualizing trend (website) allows them to identify the places (e.g., where they believe gods dwelt, the available resources, and the changes in the environment. Such an approach gives them more voice in claiming the rights to their land and conserving their habitats (Chambers et al., 2004). The Community-Based Biodiversity Information Network (CBIN) in Kenya makes use of mobile GIS applications that the local people are using in documenting the knowledge on the local flora, fauna, and agricultural practices. It can be ascertained that the local people are the primary beneficiaries of this program, as it also sides with the international promotion of sustainable agricultural practices (Eyong, 2007).

Moreover, digital technologies are a platform for using Indigenous languages, which in many cases are on the verge of extinction. By digitalizing these languages and incorporating them into educational content, these technologies become a necessary channel for language revitalization. A program such as Digital India has recognized the importance of documenting and digitally teaching the Indigenous languages of India, initially through online sources, as noted in Bawack et al. (2025). Here, the innovation not only helps preserve different languages but also provides the young generation with a platform to connect with the roots of their culture, thereby contributing to the realization of SDG 4 - Education (United Nations, 2015).

However, on the other hand, while the use of digital technologies has brought immense advantages, there are still challenges such as access, the existence of digital gaps or the issue of cultural sensitivity when dealing with the digital preservation of the sacred and indigenous knowledge. We cannot deny the fact that a significant number of Indigenous communities, those living in remote areas especially, are still deprived of online access. That limitation makes them inventors of new things, but they depend on the few internet resources available (World Bank, 2021). Besides, the existence of ethical problems, due to whether the disclosure of sacred or secret information on publicly accessible platforms is proper, although some authors have questioned the meaning of this term in the modern world, has led to the making of systems in place to ensure the security of data and that Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) has been put across as the main principle of decision-making, and also can fully guarantee that indigenous peoples can control their knowledge (UNESCO, 2022). Digital technology is one of the most effective channels of indigenous knowledge maintenance and transfer of such knowledge, cultural sustainability, and the sustainable development goals are also facilitated through this means. However, fair distribution of the benefits of digital inclusion can only be done if all the indigenous rights and cultural context factors are considered in the actual carrying out of the digital inclusion system (Campbell-Meier et al., 2020).

4. Aligning Indigenous Knowledge with Digital Technology for Sustainable Development Goals Implementation

The integration of Indigenous Knowledge and digital technology offers great potential for reaching the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). When implemented ethically and inclusively, this alignment can improve environmental sustainability, increase educational accessibility, strengthen local governance, and promote cultural preservation (Nakashima et al., 2012; UNESCO, 2019). Emerging evidence from global and Indian contexts demonstrates how digital tools amplify the value of IK in development processes.

4.1. Empowering Local Communities

Digital platforms available to the Indigenous peoples that let them keep their own knowledge and pass it on to the next generation result in self-determination and intergenerational knowledge transfer. Mobile apps and participatory databases, such as the 'Indigenous Navigator' allow groups to follow their own development progress in relation to the SDGs, particularly in health, education, and land rights (Tebtebba Foundation, 2020). Indigenous tribes took aid from mobile technology to get their folklore, medicinal plant use, and agricultural practices notarized and then transferred them across the villages as a result of this, social learning has been fostered (Bawack et al., 2025). Apart from that, these technologies enable the participation of local youth that usually act as intermediaries between the older people and the world outside (Shiri et al., 2021).

4.2. Improving Environmental Governance

Environmental management is an area where the use of Indigenous Knowledge and digital tools support each other. Through Geographic Information Systems (GIS), drones, and satellite imaging, Indigenous people are not only asserting their land rights and engaging in environmental monitoring but also achieving the targets of SDG 15 (Life on Land) and SDG 13 (Climate Action) (Chambers et al., 2004). The '*Cartografia Indígena*' project, for instance, basically allows Brazilian Amazon Indian tribes to perform biological region mapping and observe illegal deforestation in real-time, improving the short-term decision-making process and the conservation of their land (Kukutai & Taylor, 2016). The tools that employ data from these sources enable users to advise and actively participate in the climate policy-making of their respective countries and, ultimately, of the world at large (Nyong et al., 2007).

4.3. Bridging Educational Gaps

Educational inclusion is also a critical area of synergy. Stories that are shared in digital form, podcasts, and e-learning modules in various local languages have the dual benefits of preserving the cultural heritage and increasing the literacy in a culturally sensitive manner. It is a strong example of SDG 4 (Quality Education) when all are able to get fair and inclusive opportunities for learning (McGreal, 2017). In India, the *Digital India for Tribal India* program is one such initiative in the local community that seeks to develop educational content in Indigenous languages, blend traditional knowledge with school curricula to secure cultural heritage, and pass it on to future generations through literacy (Das et al., 2005). A series of Australian-based Ara Irititja projects are examples that have not only provided digital archiving but also demonstrated that cultural heritage and educational innovation can go hand in hand (Hughes & Dallwitz, 2007).

4.4. Enhancing Climate Resilience

Digital technology has brought even the climate resilience of Indigenous agricultural and water management systems. Traditional methods of interpreting environmental signs can be combined with modern meteorological tools, enabling the most precise forecasting of weather and the exact adaptation of crop cycles, which in turn leads to food security (SDG 2) and climate adaptation (SDG 13) (Nakashima et

al., 2012; Eyong, 2007). For instance, African farmers who utilize both Indigenous weather signs and mobile-based forecasts experience more stable and less disaster-prone harvests (Nyong et al., 2007).

In sum, the convergence of Indigenous Knowledge with digital technologies can, via the mentioned domains, fulfil SDGs - a precondition, though, is that the chosen tools are culturally respectful, community-driven and equitably accessible. Ethical partnership, unremitting consultation and technological malleability are precursors to exploiting to the fullest such a concurrence.

5. Challenges in Integrating Indigenous Knowledge with Digital Technology

Although there is significant potential in combining Indigenous Knowledge (IK) with digital technology to promote sustainable development, numerous significant obstacles impede its effective integration. These obstacles encompass infrastructural, cultural, ethical, linguistic, and systemic aspects. Tackling these issues is crucial to guarantee that digital transformation initiatives are inclusive, ethical, and empowering for Indigenous populations.

5.1. The Digital Divide and Infrastructure Limitations

One of the major issues that requires immediate attention is the continuous digital divide that still exists, and which is much more severe in the case of rural and Indigenous places. There are just so many people who are not able to get electricity, internet coverage, or any other form of digital infrastructure that sufficiently supports technology-based projects (World Bank, 2021). The specified limitation, which not only causes the cutting down of digital tools, also disables the participation in the global digital economy, becomes evident when one looks at the example of India where only 25% of the rural population are capable of using the internet since the access to it is that limited, thus leaving Indigenous youth and elders with hardly any other option than to refrain from digital knowledge sharing (Das et al., 2025). Furthermore, the lack of digital literacy is another stumbling block to which people are exposed. In case individuals, in this example, the Indigenous ones, were not given appropriate and sufficient lessons and instruction about how to utilize digital platforms properly, then, in turn, they would have no choice but to be excluded even further from the digital ecosystem (Gomez, 2012). Consequently, the technology projects may lead to those communities not benefiting from their aims.

5.2. Ethical Concerns and Cultural Sensitivities

Several ethical challenges arise due to the digitalization of Indigenous Knowledge, particularly related to the authority of the knowledge components, consent of the peoples, and the protection of sensitive information. Indigenous knowledge systems are often collective and spiritual, and not all knowledge is meant for public dissemination (Harris & Harris, 2011). Digitizing such knowledge can result in cultural misrepresentation, exploitation, or the commodification of sacred practices.

5.3. Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC)

Free, Prior, and Informed Consent is a foundational principle for ethical engagement with Indigenous communities (United Nations, 2007). However, many digital projects neglect to fully implement FPIC, resulting in data extraction without genuine community participation or benefit-sharing (Kukutai & Taylor, 2016).

Moreover, there is a risk that digital platforms will be used to appropriate or commercialize Indigenous knowledge without community consent. For example, traditional medicinal practices shared online can be misused by pharmaceutical companies without compensating the knowledge holders (Eyong, 2007).

5.4. Linguistic and Cultural Barriers

Many Indigenous languages are oral, endangered, and lack standard written forms or digital encoding.

This presents challenges for digitization efforts that rely heavily on written text (UNESCO, 2022). The absence of digital tools that support Indigenous languages, such as fonts, spell checkers, or voice recognition, limits the usability of technology platforms for Indigenous peoples (McGreal, 2017).

In addition, dominant digital platforms often fail to reflect Indigenous worldviews, epistemologies and values. This cultural mismatch can lead to the distortion of knowledge or the burden of Western knowledge frameworks onto Indigenous ways of knowing (Kothari et al., 2019).

5.5. Lack of Community Involvement in Design and Implementation

Several digital initiatives are designed without getting satisfactory consultation or co-creation with Indigenous communities. This top-down approach results in solutions that are technically advanced but socially misaligned (Campbell-Meier et al., 2020). When Indigenous communities are not involved in decision-making, the tools developed often fail to address local needs, preferences, or cultural protocols. Sustainable and inclusive digital integration requires that Indigenous peoples are not merely recipients of technology but active designers, developers, and managers of digital initiatives (Shiri et al., 2021). Without this involvement, projects risk reinforcing historical patterns of marginalization and technological colonialism (Chambers et al., 2004).

5.6. Policy Gaps and Institutional Exclusion

Finally, many national and international policies lack provisions that specifically recognize or protect Indigenous digital rights. The absence of institutional support, such as funding, legal frameworks, and data governance policies, hinders the development of ethical, scalable, and community-owned digital systems (Kukutai & Taylor, 2016). Additionally, there is a lack of integration between formal knowledge systems and Indigenous epistemologies within educational and technological institutions (Nakashima et al., 2012). This structural exclusion perpetuates a hierarchy where Indigenous knowledge is seen as secondary or supplementary to scientific or modern knowledge.

6. Strategies for Inclusive and Ethical Integration

To successfully align Indigenous Knowledge (IK) with digital technology in support of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), strategies must be inclusive, ethical, and community-centered. The following approaches have emerged from qualitative insights and case studies.

6.1. Community-Led Digitization

A fundamental principle in integrating Indigenous Knowledge (IK) with digital platforms is ensuring that Indigenous communities are the primary agents in documenting, storing, and sharing their knowledge. Community-led digitization respects the context, meanings, and boundaries of knowledge transmission (Kukutai & Taylor, 2016). Successful models such as the *Ara Irititja Project* in Australia exemplify how digital archives can be developed with community control, using culturally appropriate tools and methods (Hughes & Dallwitz, 2007). This model emphasizes that Indigenous voices must lead the technological design, language inclusion, and knowledge categorization to preserve authenticity and respect cultural norms (Shiri et al., 2021).

6.2. Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC)

Ethical integration of digital technology must adhere to the principle of Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC), as mandated by the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (United Nations, 2007). FPIC ensures that Indigenous peoples have the right to control how their knowledge is accessed, used, and disseminated. Without consent, digitization can lead to exploitation, misrepresentation, or even erasure of cultural context (Hunter, 2005). FPIC also promotes trust between

communities and researchers or developers and is essential in protecting Indigenous intellectual property rights (Tebtebba Foundation, 2020).

6.3. Capacity Building and Digital Literacy

To enable meaningful participation in digital projects, Indigenous communities require access to training and digital infrastructure. Capacity-building initiatives should focus on equipping both youth and elders with the skills needed to document and manage their knowledge digitally (Gomez, 2012). For example, projects in rural India have shown how training tribal youth in digital storytelling not only preserves oral traditions but also enhances their confidence and employability (Das et al., 2025). Building digital literacy also fosters intergenerational dialogue and continuity of knowledge systems.

6.4. Open-Source Platforms and Local Language Inclusion

Digital Platforms must be developed using an open-source policy that is adaptable to local needs and also accessible at a low cost. Including Indigenous languages in digital platforms plays a significant role in preserving linguistic diversity and promoting cultural understanding (UNESCO, 2022). Initiatives like 'Digital India' and 'e-Granth' have shown that it is possible to incorporate Indigenous language materials to enhance educational opportunities and facilitate resource sharing. (Das et al., 2025). Open-source systems foster collaborative innovation and promote a sense of community ownership, which contributes to long-term sustainability.

Integrating Indigenous Knowledge into digital systems in an ethically and inclusively manner requires acknowledging cultural independence, fostering participation, and enhancing technological skills. These approaches not only safeguard Indigenous rights but also amplify their role in advancing the SDGs in ways that are significant to local communities.

7. Conclusion

The amalgamation of Indigenous Knowledge (IK) with digital technology presents a powerful opportunity to advance the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in culturally respectful and sustainable ways. Indigenous communities possess extensive knowledge systems that promote biodiversity, food security, climate resilience, and overall well-being principles closely aligned with international development objectives. Nevertheless, this knowledge has frequently been disregarded in official policy and development strategies. Digital technologies, such as mobile applications, GIS mapping, digital archives, and online learning platforms, provide resources for documenting, preserving, and disseminating Indigenous knowledge more efficiently and broadly. These technologies can enable Indigenous communities, enrich education, enhance environmental governance, and encourage sustainable practices tailored to specific local contexts. Despite the promise, several barriers must be addressed, including unequal access to technology, risks of cultural appropriation, and language barriers. To overcome these challenges, inclusive strategies are necessary, especially those that are community-led, culturally sensitive, and based on free, prior, and informed consent.

Aligning Indigenous Knowledge with digital innovation is not just about preservation; it is about empowering communities to shape their futures. When done ethically and inclusively, this alignment supports a more diverse, resilient, and equitable approach to sustainable development, ensuring that no community is left behind in the global effort to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

References

1 Bawack, R., Roderick, S., Badhrus, A., Dennehy, D., & Corbett, J. (2025). Indigenous knowledge and information technology for sustainable development. Information Technology for Development, 31(2), 233–250. DOI: 10.1080/02681102.2025.2472495

2 Campbell-Meier, J., Sylvester, A., & Goulding, A. (2020). Indigenous Digital Inclusion: Interconnections and Comparisons. ALISE 2020 Annual Conference, October 2020.

3 Chambers, K., Corbett, J., Keller, C., & Wood, C. (2004). Indigenous knowledge, mapping, and GIS: A diffusion of innovation perspective. Cartographica: The International Journal for Geographic Information and Geovisualization, 39(3), 19–31 DOI: 10.3138/N752-N693-180T-N843

4 Das, A., & Rai, R. (2025a). Vanishing Voices: Exploring the Contribution and Decline of Birhor Oral Traditions in the Context of Indian Knowledge System. International Journal of Advanced Research, 13(3), 401-407. DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/20579

5 Das, A., & Rai, R. (2025b). Sohrai Wall Art of The Santals: A Visual Chronical of Culture and Tradition in the Indian Knowledge System. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 13(3), 32-40. DOI: 10.56975/ijcrt.v13i3.280441

6 Das, A., & Rai, R. (2025c). From Canvas to Screen: The Impact of Digital Literacy and New Media on Indigenous Women Artisans of Eastern India. International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, 6(3), 9516-9520. DOI: 10.55248/gengpi.6.0325.1306

7 Das, R. K., Singha, A., & Patowari, S. (2025). Digital Transformation and the Indian Knowledge System: Bridging Tradition with Technology. In M. Anand, B. Dodamani, Ramesha, Keshava, A. Yadav (Eds.), Reimagining LIS Education: Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems with NEP 2020 (pp. 97-104).

8 Eyong, C. T. (2007). Indigenous knowledge and sustainable development in Africa: Case study on Central Africa. In E. K. Boon & L. Hens (Eds.), Indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable development: Relevance for Africa (pp. 121–139). Delhi, India: Kamla Raj Enterprises.

9 Gomez, R. (2012). Libraries, Telecentres, Cybercafés and Public Access to ICT: International Comparisons. IGI Global. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-771-5

10 Gope, L., Behera, S. K., & Roy, R. (2017). Identification of indigenous knowledge components for Sustainable Development among the Santhal Community. American Journal of Educational Research, 5(8), 887-893. DOI: 10.12691/education-5-8-8

11 Gope, L., & Kuiry, S. (2025). Incorporation of Indigenous Knowledge & Skills within School Curriculum. International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends, 5(1), 946-953. DOI: 10.61137/ijsret.vol.11.issue1.199

12 Harris, C. A., & Harris, R. W. (2011). Information and communication technologies for cultural transmission among indigenous people. The Electronic Journal on Information Systems in Developing Countries,45(2), 1–19

13 Hughes, M & Dallwitz, J. (2007). Ara Irititja: towards culturally appropriate IT best practice in remote Indigenous Australia. In Dyson LE, Hendriks M and Grant S (Eds.), Information Technology and Indigenous People. Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing, pp. 146–158.

14 Hunter, J. (2005). The Role of Information Technologies in Indigenous Knowledge Management. Australian Academic & Research Libraries, 36(2), 109-124, DOI: 10.1080/00048623.2005.10721252

15 Kothari, A., Salleh, A., Escobar, A., Demaria, F., & Acosta, A. (2019). Pluriverse: A post-development dictionary. Tulika Books. Retrieve from https://radicalecologicaldemocracy.org/wp-

content/uploads/2020/09/Pluriverse-full-printable-version-July-2019.pdf
16 Kukutai, T., & Taylor, J. (Eds.). (2016). Indigenous Data Sovereignty (1st). ANU Press. DOI: 10.22459/CAEPR38.11.2016.
17 McGreal, R. (2017). Special Report on the Role of Open Educational Resources in Supporting the Sustainable Development Goal 4: Quality Education Challenges and Opportunities. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 18(7).
18 Mondal, M., & Khan, M. (2024). The sustainable future: The imperative for environmental education in the 21st Century. Inclusive Educational Practices and Technologies for Promoting Sustainability, 114-127. DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-6955-5.ch006
19 Nakashima, D., Galloway McLean, K., Thulstrup, H., Ramos Castillo, A., & Rubis, J. (2012). Weathering uncertainty: Traditional knowledge for climate change assessment and adaptation. UNESCO and UNU.
20 Nyong, A., Adesina, F., & Osman-Elasha, B. (2007). The value of Indigenous knowledge in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies in the African Sahel. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 12, 787–797. DOI: 10.1007/s11027-007-9099-0
21 Prathapachandran, N., & Devadas, V. (2023). Sustainable and Traditional Agricultural Practices to Reinforce Income Dynamics Among Tribal Communities in Rural Wayanad, Kerala, India. Agricultural & Rural Studies, 1, 0017. DOI: 10.59978/ar01030017
22 Shiri, A., Howard, D., & Farnel, S. (2021). Indigenous Digital Storytelling for Cultural Heritage Access and Preservation. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of CAIS Actes Du congres Annuel De L'ACSI. DOI: 10.29173/cais1202
23 Tebtebba Foundation. (2020). Indigenous peoples and the SDGs: Perspectives and contributions. https://tebtebba.org/index.php/resources-menu/publications-menu/85-indigenous-peoples-and-the-sdgs
24 UNESCO. (2019). Local and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (LINKS). https://en.unesco.org/links
25 UNESCO. (2022). The World Atlas of Languages. https://en.wal.unesco.org/world-atlas-languages
26 United Nations. (2007). United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/declaration-on-the-rights-of-indigenous-peoples.html
27 United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda
28 World Bank. (2021). Connecting for inclusion: Broadband access for all. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099053123105524735/pdf/BOSIB0596df77008609d150c20000ad2c63.pdf