International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The Role of Behavioural Finance in Investment Decision Making

Umra Ansari

MBA Student, Galgotias University, Greater Noida

Abstract

Historically, investment decision-making has been studied through the scope of classical economic paradigms, which view investors as rational agents functioning within efficient markets. These models posit that people systematically evaluate financial information to make choices that maximize utility or returns. However, an increasing empirical body of research poses important challenges to this view depicting investment behaviour as rational by showing how investors often behave in ways that are considered deviant from rationality. Investors are greatly influenced by psychological factors as well as cognitive errors, which, often, lead to Poor irritational Decisions. This paper delves into the growing area of study combining finance and psychology known as behavioural finance to understand the actual behaviour of investors. The research pays attention to the primary behavioural biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, herd mentality, anchoring and mental accounting, and analyses how these misperceptions impact investment decision-making, risk evaluation and engagement in the markets. To support the theoretical framework, the study incorporates an empirical component based on a questionnaire administered to a sample of 150 retail investors in India. Behavioural biases were found to be pervasive among the investing subjects trying to make optimal investment decisions.

1. Introduction

Foundational theories such as the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) and the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) have long influenced traditional finance. These models, which have their roots in classical economics, assume that investors behave rationally, seek to maximize profits, and use Bayesian updating and logical reasoning to make decisions based on all available information.

According to the EMH, asset prices reflect all available information because markets are informationally efficient. Therefore, it is unlikely that stock picking or timing will ever outperform the market consistently, unless it happens by accident. Harry Markowitz's MPT, on the other hand, places a strong emphasis on diversification and the trade-off between risk and return. It suggests that logical investors can create an "efficient frontier" of ideal portfolios by considering risk (as indicated by standard deviation) and expected returns.

Real market behaviour, however, frequently deviates from these presumptions. Significant departures from these models are highlighted by phenomena like bubbles, crashes, momentum effects, and excessive volatility, which were observed in the Dot-Com Bubble, the 2008 Financial Crisis, and abrupt changes brought on by social media or geopolitics. These discrepancies have highlighted the shortcomings of conventional finance, particularly its disregard for social, emotional, and psychological aspects of decision-making in the face of uncertainty and insufficient data.

The emergence of behavioural finance, which combines knowledge from economics and psychology to

better understand how investors behave, is a result of this gap. It recognizes that decisions are frequently influenced in ways that deviate from pure rationality by emotions, cognitive biases, social pressures, and mental shortcuts (heuristics). This study looks at how behavioural biases affect investment decisions and the implications for policymakers, financial professionals, and individual investors.

2. Need for the Study

In today's fast-evolving financial landscape, investment behaviour has grown increasingly complex. With the proliferation of financial products, the rise of digital trading platforms, and the constant stream of real-time market data, investors have more tools and information at their fingertips than ever before. In theory, these advancements should enable better decision-making and more efficient portfolio management. However, in practice, many investors still fall prey to **irrational behaviours** that compromise their financial outcomes.

Despite the availability of sophisticated technology and analytical resources, behavioural tendencies often override logical reasoning. Investors frequently engage in **excessive trading**, driven by the illusion of control or the excitement of short-term gains. Others neglect the principles of **diversification**, putting too much capital into a few familiar or trending assets, which exposes them to unnecessary risk. **Panic selling** is another common pitfall—when markets decline sharply, fear can override long-term strategy, prompting investors to exit positions at a loss. Similarly, many individuals follow the crowd, engaging in **herd behaviour** by chasing overhyped or overvalued stocks, only to suffer when market corrections occur.

These patterns highlight a key paradox: while access to financial tools and information has improved dramatically, the underlying psychology of investors remains susceptible to bias, emotion, and social influence. Understanding and addressing these behavioural tendencies is essential for helping individuals navigate today's complex markets more effectively and make decisions that align with their long-term financial goals.

The need for this study is multi-faceted:

Bridging the Gap: There is a notable discrepancy between conventional financial theory and the real-world market behaviour of investors. Numerous empirical research and real-world situations show that investors frequently behave in ways that defy the assumptions of classical models, which place an emphasis on rational decision-making and market efficiency. This discrepancy between idealistic theory and real-world application highlights how inadequate traditional finance is at describing and forecasting investor behaviour.

By integrating the fundamental ideas of behavioural finance into actual investment situations, this study seeks to close this gap. The study focuses on comprehending how psychological elements—such as biases, emotions, heuristics, and social influences—influence the financial decisions of regular investors rather than exclusively depending on abstract models. By doing this, it offers a more realistic and complex depiction of investor behaviour, especially in times of market stress and uncertainty.

This study illustrates how theoretical ideas can be converted into practical understanding through an empirical examination of survey data gathered from retail investors as well as a thorough assessment of the behavioural finance literature. It looks at the frequency of behavioural biases as well as how they affect risk management, portfolio creation, and long-term wealth accumulation. In the end, the study helps create more accurate models of investor behaviour and encourages the incorporation of behavioural insights into investor education programs, legislative frameworks, and financial advising procedures.

Investor Protection: Enhancing investor protection and encouraging wise financial decision-making req-

uire an understanding of behavioural biases. Investors can more effectively identify when emotions or cognitive errors are impacting their decisions if they are aware of common psychological traps, including as overconfidence, loss aversion, herd mentality, and anchoring. This self-awareness lowers the probability of rash decisions like panic selling, speculative trading, or inadequate diversification by allowing people to take a moment to think, reflect, and adopt more disciplined investing tactics. Additionally, by using behavioural finance data, financial advisers and institutions can create more effective guidelines, tools, and protections that reflect the true thoughts and actions of investors. By doing this, behavioural awareness promotes more robust and goal-oriented financial planning in addition to helping investors safeguard their cash.

Policy Design: Helping investors avoid common errors and make better financial decisions requires an understanding of behavioural biases. Irrational behaviours like excessive trading, panic selling, or inadequate diversification are frequently caused by biases including overconfidence, loss aversion, herd behaviour, and anchoring. Investors can become more self-aware and adopt more disciplined, long-term investment strategies by identifying these psychological inclinations. When financial literacy is combined with behavioural insights, people may make better decisions, efficiently manage risk, and maintain focus on their financial objectives. In the end, being conscious of these biases improves investor protection and promotes more steady and sensible financial market participation.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Origins of Behavioural Finance

The study of behavioural finance looks at how investors' and financial professionals' financial behaviours are impacted by psychological factors and cognitive biases. Conventional finance makes the assumptions that markets are efficient and investors are logical. But according to behavioural finance, investors frequently behave irrationally, which results in less-than-ideal choices.

The following are some significant impacts of behavioural finance on investment decision-making:

Overconfidence Bias:

Many investors tend to overestimate their own knowledge, intuition, or ability to predict market trends. This inflated self-belief often leads to frequent trading and neglect of contrary data or expert advice. As a result, such behaviour may reduce portfolio performance and increase exposure to avoidable risks.

Herding Behaviour:

Rather than relying on personal analysis, investors sometimes mimic the actions of others—especially during market hype or panic. This crowd-following tendency can inflate asset bubbles or accelerate market crashes, as decisions are driven more by group sentiment than individual judgment.

Loss Aversion:

According to behavioural research, people are generally more affected by the fear of losing money than by the potential for gaining it. This can lead investors to hold onto underperforming assets in the hope of a rebound, or prematurely sell profitable ones to "lock in" a gain—both of which can hurt long-term returns.

Confirmation Bias:

Once investors form an opinion about a particular asset or market trend, they tend to seek information that reinforces their belief while disregarding contradictory signals. This tunnel vision can lead to poor decisions based on incomplete or skewed data.

Anchoring:
Investors often anchor their expectations to arbitrary figures like a stock's historical high or purchase price. Even when current fundamentals suggest a different value, they may resist selling at a loss simply because they are anchored to a past benchmark.

Mental Accounting:
People often categorize money based on its origin or intended use, rather than viewing all funds as part of a comprehensive financial strategy. For example, someone might treat a bonus or windfall as "play money," making riskier investments they would not otherwise consider.

Disposition Effect:
There is a psychological tendency to quickly sell winners to realize gains while holding onto losers in the hope of a turnaround. This behaviour often arises from the emotional discomfort of admitting a bad investment, but it can result in an imbalanced and underperforming portfolio.

Recency Bias:
Investors sometimes place too much importance on recent events and overlook long-term trends. A short-term market rally or decline may disproportionately influence their investment choices, leading to impulsive decisions that ignore broader patterns.

Impact on Investment Decision:
These behavioural patterns show that investor decisions are shaped not only by logic or data but also by deeply ingrained psychological habits. While some of these biases are subtle, their cumulative effect can significantly distort portfolio outcomes and market efficiency.

Summary:
Our understanding of how people make investment decisions has been significantly altered by behavioural finance, which emphasizes that psychological inclinations frequently have a greater influence on financial decisions than logical reasoning. Although rational investors in efficient markets are the foundation of traditional finance theories, a variety of cognitive biases and emotional reactions often cause real-world behaviour to diverge from this ideal.

Behavioural biases like anchoring, herding, loss aversion, and overconfidence can have a major negative impact on investment results. These biases lead to systematic mistakes in judgment, which can result in inefficient capital allocation, ill-timed market entries and exits, and poor portfolio construction. Investors may consequently see decreased returns, heightened risk exposure, and heightened vulnerability to market fluctuations.

It is essential for financial advisors, portfolio managers, legislators, and individual investors to comprehend these behavioural patterns. Investors can employ techniques to lessen bias by being aware of the psychological traps that affect decision-making. These include establishing clear guidelines for purchases and sales, regularly rebalancing their portfolios, and taking a long-term view of investing. Additionally, by using behavioural insights, financial professionals can create investment products and advisory practices that are more effective at helping clients maintain discipline and steer clear of common blunders. Because behavioural finance encourages the creation of investor protection policies that take irrational behaviour into account, it also has consequences for regulatory agencies.

Essentially, behavioural finance provides a more precise and human-centred framework for comprehending market dynamics by bridging the gap between theory and practice. Its incorporation into investment decision-making procedures encourages more knowledgeable, sensible, and eventually profitable financial behaviour.

4. Research Objectives

4.1. To determine which behavioural biases are most prevalent among individual investors:

Finding the behavioural patterns that commonly affect retail investors' investing choices is the main goal of this purpose. The study attempts to identify the most common biases by examining patterns like mental accounting, loss aversion, anchoring, herd behaviour, and overconfidence. Knowing these biases makes it easier to assess how psychological variables skew logical judgment and aids in the creation of focused solutions that enhance investment outcomes.

4.2. To evaluate the impact of these biases on investment decision-making:

This goal is to assess how behavioural biases affect real investing choices. It looks at how decisions about risk assessment, market timing, portfolio diversification, and asset selection are influenced by emotional and cognitive mistakes. The study intends to illustrate the practical repercussions of biased decision-making and offer methods for encouraging more methodical and objective investment habits by pinpointing the behavioural factors that contribute to less-than-ideal financial outcomes, such as panic selling or excessive trading.

4.3. To examine the connection between demographic variables and bias susceptibility: This goal is to investigate how an investor's vulnerability to behavioural biases is influenced by personal traits including age, gender, education, and investment experience. It investigates whether demographic groupings are predisposed to biases and how these inclinations affect their investing behaviour. By being aware of these connections, financial advisers can better customize their advice for various clientele and contribute to the creation of more inclusive investor education initiatives and regulatory frameworks.

4.4. To offer suggestions to advisors, investors, and legislators:

Converting research findings into useful, fact-based suggestions for important stakeholders is the goal. This could entail tactics for investors to identify and combat their own prejudices. It gives advisors behavioural capabilities to help them better guide customers in erratic markets. The study offers policymakers recommendations on how to apply behavioural insights to financial rules and educational initiatives. Enhancing decision-making, fostering financial well-being, and creating more robust investing ecosystems are the goals of these suggestions.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Research Design

To examine the impact of behavioural biases on retail investment decision-making, this study uses an exploratory and descriptive research approach. In the context of an emerging economy like India, where such research is still in its infancy, the exploratory component seeks to obtain a deeper understanding of the psychological elements influencing investor behaviour. Quantifying the frequency of biases and their associations with demographic factors like age, gender, education, and investment experience is made easier by the descriptive component. Combining the two methods, the study aims to provide a thorough grasp of behavioural finance phenomena and produce useful suggestions for advisors, investors, and legislators.

5.2 Data Collection

Primary Data: A quantitative survey was given to a sample of 150 individuals in different parts of India to gather primary data for this study. Information on investor demographics, investment preferences, and the existence of behavioural biases were all intended to be gathered by the survey. Convenience sampling was

used to choose participants, with an emphasis on people who were actively trading stocks, mutual funds, or other financial products.

To discover prevalent behavioural biases including overconfidence, loss aversion, herd behaviour, anchoring, and mental accounting, the structured questionnaire had both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions. For instance, investors were questioned about how they respond to market declines, how often they trade, and whether they follow the investing choices of others. To examine the relationships between individual traits and bias susceptibility, demographic information including age, gender, education level, income bracket, and investment experience was also gathered.

Direct outreach and online channels were used to conduct the poll, guaranteeing a varied sample of respondents. To find behavioural patterns and their impact on financial decision-making, the gathered data was assembled and examined using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis. This primary data serves as the study's empirical basis and offers insightful information on the actual investing habits of Indian retail investors.

Secondary Data: Books, industry papers, scholarly publications, and databases of financial markets were the sources of secondary data. The theoretical foundation, historical background, and empirical data required to comprehend behavioural finance ideas and investment trends in India and around the world were supplied by these sources. To perform the literature study and facilitate comparative analysis, this secondary data was essential.

5.3 Sample Design

Sampling Method: Purposive sampling

Purposive sampling is used in this study to choose participants with relevant financial market experience, guaranteeing that the insights are derived from people who are knowledgeable about investment products like equities, mutual funds, and fixed-income securities. Effectively analysing behavioural biases requires the purposeful selection of participants based on their engagement and knowledge of financial decision-making, which is made possible by the purposive approach. A thorough grasp of how various demographic characteristics impact investment behaviour in the Indian retail investor segment is made possible by the sample's wide mix of age groups, professional backgrounds, and financial literacy levels.

Respondents: People who have some background in the financial field, financial market, specifically in fixed-income securities, equities, fixed deposits, and mutual funds.

Demographics: A range of age groups, occupations, and financial literacy levels were represented in the sample.

5.4 Tools for Analysis

Descriptive Statistics: The demographic traits and behavioural information of the respondents were compiled and described using metrics like mean and frequency distribution.

Chi-Square Tests: To find meaningful correlations, these tests were used to investigate the link between behavioural finance traits and demographic variables.

Factor Analysis: This method reduced the dimensionality of the data and revealed the fundamental causes influencing investor behaviour by identifying and clustering associated behavioural biases.

5.5 Limitations

It is important to recognize the limitations of this study. Because participants in purposive sampling are not chosen at random, the results' applicability to the larger population is limited. Furthermore, the accuracy of responses may be impacted by potential biases such recollection bias or social desirability bias introduced using self-reported data. Furthermore, the sample is primarily urban-centric, which may

restrict the results' generalizability across a range of geographic and socioeconomic contexts by failing to accurately reflect the investment behaviours and difficulties faced by rural investors.

6. Data Analysis and Discussion

6.1 Prevalent Biases

The most reported biases were:

76% of investors are overconfident, especially those who are younger and male.

Loss Aversion (72%): Even when it was logically justified, the majority refrained from selling assets that were losing money.

During bull runs, herding (63%) is common among novice investors.

Comparing stock prices to previous highs was acknowledged by many investors as anchoring (54%).

When creating a budget for savings versus riskier investments, mental accounting (47%) is frequently used.

6.2 Demographic Correlations

Age: Older investors had larger regret aversion and were more risk adverse.

Gender: Women were more risk-averse, whereas men showed greater overconfidence.

Education: There was a correlation between reduced bias susceptibility and higher financial literacy.

Experience: Although they were less likely to be herded, seasoned investors were nevertheless susceptible to overconfidence.

6.3 Behaviour Under Market Stress

During volatile markets, biases were exacerbated:

- Panic selling increased (related to loss aversion).
- Herding intensified (e.g., during COVID-19 market crash).
- Overconfidence was tempered in prolonged downturns.

6.4 Discussion

The results of this investigation confirm that investors frequently depart from the traditional rational utility maximization assumption. Rather, they are impacted by systematic and predictable behavioural biases including herd mentality, loss aversion, and overconfidence. These trends emphasize how crucial it is to understand how psychological aspects influence investment choices, especially in erratic or unpredictable market environments. According to the data, these biases can be effectively lessened by specialized educational programs and structural interventions, such as advisor-driven assistance, behavioural nudges, and enhanced financial literacy initiatives, which will result in more informed and logical investment decisions.

7. Implications and Recommendations

7.1 For Investors

Instead of behaving impulsively or emotionally, investors are urged to exercise reflective thinking by thoroughly considering their choices. By methodically identifying and reducing typical behavioural biases, checklists can ensure a more disciplined approach to investing. A better, more objective picture of the health of a portfolio can also be obtained by tracking investment performance using objective metrics, such as risk-adjusted returns and benchmarks. This helps investors keep focused on long-term objectives and refrain from acting reactively during market swings.

7.2 For Financial Advisors

To better understand individual biases and adjust advise accordingly, financial advisors should integrate behavioural profiling into their client assessments. Clients can be empowered to identify and control these biases in their own decision-making by being educated about typical behavioural hazards. Advisors can also encourage more logical and disciplined investment behaviour, especially during times of market volatility or uncertainty, by using behavioural nudges, which are subtle indications or adjustments in the way choices are presented, and strategic framing.

7.3 For Regulators and Policy Makers

To assist investors better recognize and control cognitive biases, regulators and policymakers should support financial literacy initiatives that incorporate behavioural insights. Promoting increased openness in financial product disclosures will enable investors to make better decisions. Furthermore, default alternatives, like automatic enrolment in retirement savings plans, can positively capitalize on innate behavioural patterns and help people achieve better financial outcomes without limiting their freedom of choice.

8. Conclusion

The expanding importance of behavioural finance as a framework for comprehending the intricacies of investment decision-making beyond the conventional presumptions of rationality is highlighted in this research. Overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, herding, and other behavioural biases have a significant impact on individual investors and frequently result in choices that deviate from the predictions of traditional financial theories such as the Modern Portfolio Theory and the Efficient Market Hypothesis. These behavioural patterns are not only common, but also differ greatly among demographic groups including age, gender, education, and investment experience, according to empirical data collected from Indian retail investors.

Even though it is impossible to completely eradicate behavioural biases, increasing knowledge among investors, financial advisors, and legislators can significantly lessen their negative impacts. Regulatory measures, behavioural profiling, and investor education are examples of targeted interventions that can promote more disciplined and knowledgeable investing practices. Furthermore, as behavioural finance develops further, it offers a potent prism through which to view investor psychology and develop cutting-edge instruments and tactics that support logical, fact-based financial decision-making. Finally, in emerging economies like India, incorporating behavioural data into the larger financial ecosystem holds the potential to improve individual investment outcomes and market efficiency.

References

Key Theoretical and Foundational References

1. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. Econometrica, 47(2),263–291. DOI: 10.2307/1914185
2. Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (2000). Behavioural Portfolio Theory. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 35(2), 127–151. DOI: 10.2307/2676183
3. Shiller, R. J. (2000). Irrational Exuberance. Princeton University Press.
4. Pompian, M. M. (2006). Behavioural Finance and Wealth Management: How to Build Optimal Portfolios That Account for Investor Biases. Wiley Finance.

5. Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A Survey of Behavioural Finance. In G. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. Stulz (Eds.), Handbook of the Economics of Finance (Vol. 1, pp. 1053–1128). Elsevier.
6. Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. Journal of Finance, 25(2), 383–417. DOI: 10.2307/2325486
7. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio Selection. The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77–91.

Empirical and Regional Studies

8. Kumar, A., & Goyal, N. (2015). Impact of Behavioural Biases on Investment Decisions of Retail Investors in India. IUP Journal of Behavioural Finance, 12(3), 7–28.
9. Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.
10. Baker, H. K., & Nofsinger, J. R. (2010). Behavioural Finance: Investors, Corporations, and Markets. Wiley.
11. Statman, M. (1999). Behavioural Finance: Past Battles and Future Engagements. Financial Analysts Journal, 55(6), 18–27.
12. Sahi, S., & Arora, R. (2020). Behavioural Biases in Indian Stock Market: A Review of Literature. International Journal of Management, 11(3), 231–239.
13. Das, S., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2014). Influence of Behavioural Biases on Investment Decision Making: A Study on Indian Retail Investors. Global Journal of Finance and Management, 6(5), 485–493.
14. De Bondt, W. F., & Thaler, R. (1985). Does the Stock Market Overreact? The Journal of Finance, 40(3), 793–805.

Additional Relevant Sources

15. Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock Investment. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116(1), 261–292.
16. Benartzi, S., & Thaler, R. H. (1995). Myopic Loss Aversion and the Equity Premium Puzzle. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110(1), 73–92.
17. Thaler, R. H. (1999). The End of Behavioural Finance. Financial Analysts Journal, 55(6), 12–17.
18. Hong, H., Kubik, J. D., & Stein, J. C. (2004). Social Interaction and Stock-Market Participation. The Journal of Finance, 59(1), 137–163.

Reference for Descriptive Statistics: Mean, frequency distribution. Chi-Square Tests: Association between demographics and behavioural traits. Factor Analysis: To cluster correlated biases.

19 Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263-292.

- This seminal paper introduces Prospect Theory, proving that investors deviate from expected utility theory, showing loss aversion and other biases in decision-making under risk.

20 Shefrin, H. (2000). Beyond Greed and Fear: Understanding Behavioural Finance and the Psychology of Investing.

21 Shefrin provides comprehensive evidence on how psychological factors like overconfidence, mental accounting, and herd behaviour affect investment decisions.

22 Barberis, N., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (1998). A Model of Investor Sentiment. Journal of Financial Economics, 49(3), 307-343.

23 This work models how investor sentiment driven by behavioural biases influences asset prices, highlighting the role of psychology in markets.

24. Statman, M. (1999). Behavioural Finance: Past Battles and Future Engagements. Financial Analysts Journal, 55(6), 18-27.
a. Statman summarizes empirical evidence showing investors' irrational behaviours such as overconfidence, representativeness, and framing effects impacting decisions.
25. Shiller, R. J. (2000). Irrational Exuberance.
a. Shiller documents how psychological factors and market sentiment drive asset price bubbles, challenging the efficient market hypothesis.

For Indian Context:

26. Basu, S. (2010). Investor Behaviour and Behavioural Finance: Evidence from the Indian Stock Market. Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 14(4), 277-287.
a. Empirical study providing evidence of behavioural biases such as herding and overconfidence among Indian investors.
27. Srinivasan, V., & Sundaram, S. (2013). Behavioural Biases and Investment Decisions: Evidence from India. Asian Journal of Management Research, 4(2), 482-494.

Sources for Data and Contextual Background

28. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). Annual Reports and Investor Education Materials. https://www.sebi.gov.in
29. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Financial Stability Reports and Data on Retail Investments. https://www.rbi.org.in