Corporate Social Responsibility and Firms Financial Performance: A Study on Indian FMCG Sector

Dr. Samrat Dasgupta

State Aided College Teacher, Department of Commerce, Durgapur Women's College, Durgapur.

Abstract:
This paper explores the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and firms' financial performance using a statistical approach focusing on the fast-moving consumer goods sector of India. The study employs a one-way Analysis of Variance to assess whether there are significant differences in firm performance across companies with varying levels of CSR engagement. Data are collected from a sample of 6 companies chosen from BSE 500 Index over a period of 2020-2021 to 2023-2024 from Capitaline Database. Other sources like magazines, websites, journals are also referred. The findings suggest that there is lies insignificant relationship between CSR activities and firms' financial performance, indicating that companies with higher CSR engagement tend to negatively affect financially.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Firm Performance, One-Way ANOVA, FMCG, Statistical Analysis.

INTRODUCTION:

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has turned into an essential strategic approach for businesses worldwide, significantly influencing their financial performance. CSR encompasses enterprise's assurance of its commitment to ethical behavior in all aspects of its operations, environmental sustainability, community development, and the participation of stakeholders (Carroll, 1991). In India, CSR has gained prominence, especially after the implementation of Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, which mandates certain firms to allocate at least 2% of their average net profits towards CSR activities (Government of India, 2013). Among various industries, the Fast-Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) sector stands out due to its extensive consumer base, supply chain complexity, and environmental impact.

CSR in the FMCG Sector:

The FMCG sector in India comprises industries producing essential goods foodstuffs, drinks, personal care essentials, and items for household maintenance. Given the essence of these products and their direct interaction with consumers, companies within this industry are expected to undertake CSR initiatives that enhance social welfare and sustainability (Kansal et al., 2014). FMCG firms often engage in activities related to rural development, learning, medical services, and ecological protection play essential roles in advancing society to build brand loyalty and improve corporate reputation (Kotler & Lee, 2005).
Companies like HUL, ITC, and Nestlé India have actively participated in CSR programs, leading to positive brand perception and consumer preference. For example, HUL's 'Project Shakti' empowers rural

women by offering them entrepreneurial opportunities, while ITC's 'e-Choupal' initiative supports farmers through digital technology (Viswanathan et al., 2020). These CSR initiatives not only contribute to social welfare but also create long-term financial benefits by strengthening brand value and customer trust.

Financial Performance and CSR Linkage:

The association between CSR and financial performance has been extensively studied, with mixed findings. Some scholars argue that CSR activities increase a firm's profitability through enhanced reputation, customer satisfaction, and streamlined operational processes (Orlitzky et al., 2003). Others suggest that CSR can be a financial burden, particularly for firms that fail to integrate social initiatives with core business strategies (Margolis & Walsh, 2003). In the FMCG sector, where competition is intense, CSR-driven brand differentiation can result in higher sales and share of the market (Chatterjee & Mitra, 2017).

A study by Mishra and Suar (2010) found that Indian firms with vigor CSR policies reported better financial performance due to improved stakeholder relationships and operational efficiencies. Moreover, socially responsible firms attract ethical investors, cutting down on capital costs. and increasing shareholder value (Eccles et al., 2014). In the FMCG sector, ethical consumerism is rising, with customers preferring brands resonate with social and environmental considerations (Kiron et al., 2015).

Role of FMCG Sector in the Indian Economy:

The Fast-Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) sector is a significant contributor to the Indian economy, contributing significantly to GDP, employment generation, and consumer market growth. FMCG includes essential consumer items including edibles and drinks, personal hygiene products, and home supplies and healthcare products. This sector thrives on high-volume sales and low profit margins, transforming it into fastest-growing industries in India. With increasing urbanization, higher disposable income rates and changing consumer preferences, the FMCG sector has seen exponential growth recently (IBEF, 2023).

- **Contribution to GDP and Market Size**

The fast-moving consumer goods sector is a major player in India's economy accounting for approximately 10% of the country's GDP (IBEF, 2023). In accordance with the India Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF), the market size of India's FMCG sector was estimated at USD 110 billion in 2020 and it's likely to get to USD 220 billion by 2025, flourishing at a CAGR of 14.9%. The rural segment accounts for 36% of total FMCG spending, driven by increased rural incomes and government initiatives like the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) (Deloitte, 2022).

- **Employment Generation**

The fast-moving consumer goods sector is a significant employer in India, employing millions of people across manufacturing, distribution, retail, and marketing. According to a report by the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), the sector employs around 3 million people directly and supports indirect employment for over 10 million individuals (CII, 2023). Companies such as HUL, Nestlé India, ITC, and Dabur have established extensive supply chain networks, creating jobs in both town and village areas.

- **Rural and Urban Market Dynamics**

The fast-moving consumer goods market is expanding quickly in both urban and rural regions. The city-based market has been a key driver of growth due to increasing working populations and improved purchasing power (Nielsen, 2023). On the other hand, rural India is emerging as a significant contributor, with companies focusing on rural penetration through smaller product packaging, digital marketing, and last-mile connectivity. The government's push for digital inclusion and financial empowerment through schemes like Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY) has further strengthened rural consumer spending (World Bank, 2023).

- **Digital Transformation and E-commerce Influence**

Digital transformation and e-commerce have revolutionized the FMCG sector. Online platforms such as Amazon, Flipkart, and BigBasket have expanded market reach, enabling consumers to access a comprehensive variety of products with convenience. The growth of Direct-to-Consumer (D2C) brands, supported by digital payments and supply chain innovations, has further boosted sectoral growth (McKinsey & Company, 2023). According to various reports, it is indicated that the share of e-commerce in FMCG sales is anticipated to increase from 5% in 2020 to 15% by 2025 (Bain & Company, 2023).

- **Government Policies and Regulations**

The Government of India played a crucial role in supporting the FMCG sector through various policy measures. The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) in 2017 simplified the tax structure, reducing logistics costs and enhancing market efficiency (Ministry of Finance, 2023). Other actions such as 'Make in India,' 'Startup India,' and incentives for MSMEs have encouraged domestic manufacturing and brand expansion. Additionally, FDI policies allow 100% FDI in edibles retail, attracting global investments (DIPP, 2023).

- **Sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)**

Sustainability is increasingly becoming a focus area for FMCG companies. Firms are investing in eco-friendly packaging, carbon footprint reduction, and responsible sourcing to adapt to consumer preferences and global environmental standards (KPMG, 2023). CSR initiatives in educational frameworks and health management and rural development further strengthen brand equity and community engagement. Leading companies like ITC and HUL have implemented large-scale sustainability programs to reduce water and energy consumption while promoting social welfare (PwC, 2023).

- **Challenges and Future Outlook**

Despite its rapid growth, the FMCG sector faces challenges such as inflation, supply chain interruptions, and regulatory compliance. Rising input costs and fluctuating consumer demand pose risks to profitability (FICCI, 2023). However, with technological advancements, changing preferences of consumers and supportive government policies, the sector is poised for continued expansion. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI), automation, and personalized marketing strategies is forecasted to enhance efficiency and consumer engagement in the years to come (BCG, 2023).

A Brief Introduction about the Companies:

Within the BSE 500 Index, several FMCG companies have distinguished themselves through robust business practices and an unwavering obligation to CSR. Below is an overview of the top six FMCG companies listed in the BSE 500 Index, along with detailed insights into their CSR policies and investments.

1. Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL):

HUL is a leading FMCG company in India, renowned for its diverse portfolio of products spanning categories like personal hygiene, household maintenance, and consumables including food and beverages. HUL is dedicated to operating and growing its operations in a manner that is socially responsible. Their vision encompasses diminishing the environmental consequences of their operations while enhancing positive social contributions. Over the past five years, HUL has invested more than ₹500 crores in CSR activities, consistently exceeding the mandated CSR expenditure as per Indian regulations. Key focus areas of HUL's CSR initiatives include health, hygiene, nutrition, environmental sustainability, water conservation, sanitation projects, and women's empowerment.

2. ITC Limited:

ITC Limited is a diversified conglomerate with significant presence in the FMCG sector, offering a selection of products varying from cigarettes and packaged foods to goods pertaining to personal wellness and care. ITC's CSR approach is embedded in its corporate strategy, aiming to create sustainable value chains that encompass the interests of all stakeholders. The company has implemented various initiatives focusing on areas such as key aspects encompassing environmental sustainability, educational programs, vocational training opportunities, health services, sanitation measures, and the progress of rural development. ITC's assurance to CSR is reflected in its substantial investments aimed at fostering inclusive growth and sustainable environmental practices.

3. Nestle India Limited:

Nestle India Limited, a component of the premier global organization in the food and beverage market Nestle S.A., features a broad assortment of products, covering multiple types dairy items, beverages, and nutritional supplements. Nestlé India's CSR strategy is centered around the principle of 'Creating Shared Value,' which emphasizes the integration of business operations with community development. The company's CSR investments are directed towards nutrition awareness programs, rural development, projects dedicated to enhancing water resources and sanitation infrastructure, and initiatives aimed at enhancing livelihoods. Nestlé India collaborates with various stakeholders to implement programs that address critical social issues while aligning with the strategic objectives of the enterprise.

4. Britannia Industries Limited:

BIL is one of India’s renowned food manufacturers, known for its extensive range of bakery products, dairy items, and snacks. The initiatives related to corporate social responsibility undertaken by the company are structured to support the social and economic development of various communities. Britannia focuses in domains such as child nutrition, education, women's empowerment, and the preservation of environmental integrityThrough its CSR investments, the company has launched programs aimed at combating malnutrition, supporting educational infrastructure, and promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

5. Godrej Consumer Products Limited (GCPL):

GCPL is a significant contributor in the Indian FMCG sector, offering products in categories like self-care, home management, and hair styling. GCPL's CSR philosophy is governed by the 'Good & Green' vision of the Godrej Group, which aspires to establish a more inclusive society while promoting a greener India. The company's CSR initiatives are concentrated on skill development, ecological preservation and the development of local communities GCPL invests in initiatives that provide vocational training to youth, promote renewable energy, and support health and sanitation projects in underserved communities.

6. Dabur India Limited:

DIL is a leading Ayurvedic and natural health care company, offering a comprehensive range of goods in the areas of personal care, health care, and food. Dabur's CSR policy is rooted in the principles in the context of sustainability and community welfare. The company allocates funds towards initiatives in health care, education, skill development, and the safeguarding of ecological systems. Notable CSR projects include free health camps, support for schools in remote locales, vocational training centers, and tree plantation drives aimed at enhancing biodiversity.

These companies exemplify the integration of business objectives with social responsibility, demonstrating that strategic CSR investments has the capacity to drive sustainable progress and create a positive influence on society

Literature Review:

1. **Adhikari and Sapkota (2024)** aimed to investigate the relationship between CSR practices and brand loyalty among consumers in the FMCG industry in the Kathmandu Valley. Conducted in 2024, the research focused on variables such as brand trust, perceived quality, and brand loyalty. A structured questionnaire was utilized to collect data, following a quantitative research approach from 300 respondents and analyzed using SEM. The investigation revealed that brand loyalty is positively affected by corporate social responsibility, with brand trust and perceived quality playing a mediating role.
2. **Narwal et al. (2024)** executed a comparative assessment of CSR activities between corporations originating from India and multinational corporations (MNCs) in the FMCG sector. Covering the period from 2021 to 2023, the research examined components such as environmental marketing, balancing economic growth, environmental protection, and social equity to ensure a viable future for all along with community support. Through content analysis of CSR reports and sustainability disclosures, the analysis indicated that both Indian companies and MNCs engage in CSR activities, with slight differences in focus areas.
3. **Khan (2024)** assessed the corporate social performance of Indian FMCG companies, introducing a CSR framework and evaluating Karmayog CSR ratings. The research, carried out in 2023 analyzed variables such as environmental initiatives, healthcare, education, and community welfare. Using a qualitative approach, the research reviewed CSR initiatives of top FMCG companies and their Karmayog ratings, concluding that while companies are active in CSR, regular updates and transparency are needed.
4. **Singh et al. (2023)** aimed to observe CSR practices in Indian private and public banks within the FMCG sector. The 2022 study emphasized on variables like CSR spending, social issues, financial issues, and environmental concerns. Employing a comparative analysis, The examination concluded that public banks' CSR spending is higher than that of private banks, with a focus on social and financial issues, while environmental concerns are less prioritized.
5. **Patel et al. (2023)** examined differences in CSR practices between Indian and multinational FMCG companies. Conducted over 2021-2022, it analyzed components such as CSR focus areas and stakeholder engagement. Using a mixed-methods approach, the research found substantial disparities in CSR practices, with MNCs focusing more on global standards and Indian companies prioritizing local community development.
6. **Bhatia and Chander (2023)** conducted a comparative analysis of CSR practices in nations that are either developed or developing, with a particular focus on the FMCG market. Covering the period from 2021 to 2023, it examined elements such as human resources, community involvement, environmental initiatives, customer relations, and product responsibility. Through a cross-sectional analysis, the research found that developed nations have more structured CSR practices, while developing countries are gradually embedding CSR within their strategic business framework.
7. **Agrawal and Singh (2023)** explored sustainability reporting practices among Indian FMCG companies and their influence on profitability. The 2022 study analyzed parameters such as ownership

structure, industry type, firm size, and sustainability practices. Using regression analysis on data from annual reports, the research concluded that sustainability reporting is not significantly influenced by ownership structure but varies by industry type and firm size, with no direct impact on profitability.

8. **Sekhon and Kathuria (2023)** focused on CSR disclosures by selected Indian FMCG companies. Conducted in 2022, The examination focused on variables like disclosure frequency, themes, and qualitative versus quantitative information. Utilizing content analysis of annual reports, the findings indicated a continuous increase in CSR disclosures, with a focus on customer-related activities and community development, predominantly through qualitative information.
9. **Maqbool and Zameer (2023)** assessed CSR reporting practices of top Indian FMCG companies in the post-mandatory period. Covering 2021-2022, it analyzed variables such as CSR disclosure levels and focus areas. Through a longitudinal study, the research found a steady escalation in csr disclosures, with community development being the most emphasized area, indicating substantial progress in CSR reporting post-mandate.
10. **Imroze and Jha (2023)** aimed to evaluate the CSR practices of selected fast-moving consumer goods companies in India. Conducted in 2023, the research focused on variables such as health care, sanitation, environmental effects, economic development, and relief efforts. The methodology involved content analysis of official websites of selected FMCG companies. The findings indicated that the leading csr activities identified were those related to health hygiene and nutrition, environmental sustainability, water and sanitation efforts, and the empowerment of women.
11. **Gupta et al. (2022)** examined the outcome of esg performance on firm risk in the Indian consumer goods sector. Covering the period of 2022, the research analyzed components such as ESG scores and financial risk indicators. Utilizing a quantitative approach, data were collected from financial databases and analyzed using regression models. The results suggested that there is a relationship between superior ESG performance and a reduction in the risk faced by firms, highlighting the importance of sustainable practices in risk management.
12. **Mohd (2023)** aimed to assess the impact of CSR practices on the financial performance of selected banks in India. Conducted in 2023, the study focused on variables such as CSR expenditure, ROA, and net profit margin. A causal and cross-sectional research design was employed, analyzing data from year-end reports and financial statements. The findings indicated that while banking entities emphasize on community and schemes focused on improving the quality of life for farmers, efforts in environmental practices and financial literacy are limited. Additionally, a significant divergence was noted in the CSR practices implemented by banks in the public sector as opposed to those in the private sector with CSR performance affecting financial performance to some extent.
13. **Kapelko and Ortiz (2023)** computed composite indicators of CSR from an efficiency perspective for food and beverage manufacturing firms across various regions, including India, over the period from 2011 to 2018. Variables analyzed included environmental initiatives, social contributions, and governance practices. The methodology involved extending the measurement of composite indicators within data envelopment analysis, allowing for non-convexities of the production set. The study revealed regional differences in CSR efficiency, with firms in the USA and Canada performing best, followed by European firms, and Asia Pacific firms, including those in India, achieving lower efficiency results.
14. **Xia et al. (2023)** focused on modeling the evolutionary trends in corporate ESG reporting within the technology industry, with implications with respect to the FMCG segment in India. Conducted in 2023,

the research analyzed parameters including ESG report topics and trends. Utilizing text mining techniques on 1,114 ESG reports, the research revealed a homogenization effect towards low environmental, medium governance, and high social features in ESG reporting. The research initiative also formulated a strategic framework to guide future actions analyze dynamic changes in the assessments of companies within a particular industry and their significance in various areasrevealing a convergence towards less distinctive ESG reporting practices.

15. **Sharma and Rastogi (2023)** extended the understanding of strategic environmental CSR certification and its implications for endogenous market structure in differentiated duopoly markets, relevant to the Indian FMCG sector. Conducted in 2023, the study analyzed variables such as ECSR certification standards and market competition forms. The methodology involved theoretical modeling to determine optimal ECSR certification standards under different competition scenarios. The study concluded that the optimal ECSR certification standards established by NGOs are at their peak in Bertrand competition, with mixed markets ranking second, and Cournot competition reflecting the lowest standards
16. **Gupta et al. (2022)** aimed to investigate the relationship between ESG performance and firm risk within the Indian market for consumer goods comprises the FMCG sector. The research focused on variables such as ESG scores and financial risk metrics. Employing a quantitative research design, data were collected from financial databases and analyzed using statistical methods. The analysis showed that superior ESG performance is correlated with reduced firm risk, underscoring the relevance of robust ESG practices in enhancing corporate stability.
17. **Ramesh et al. (2019)** examined the expansion of CSR expenditure by Indian companies across sectors such as child education, healthcare, and environmental conservation. Covering the period from 2018 to 2019, the research analyzed variables including CSR expenditure, sectoral allocation, and impact assessment. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the study found a notable growth in CSR spending, with a notable focus on education and healthcare initiatives.
18. **Kumar and Prakash (2019)** investigated the connection between CSR activities and consumer perception in the Indian FMCG sector. Conducted in 2019, the study analyzed variables such as consumer awareness, brand's public persona and its aesthetic appeal along with purchase intention. Using surveys and statistical analysis, the findings suggested that capable CSR endeavours positively influence consumer perception and enhance brand loyalty.
19. **Khan (2018)** aimed to assess the CSR of Indian FMCG companies by introducing a CSR framework and evaluating Karmayog CSR ratings. Conducted in 2018, the research analyzed factors such as environmental initiatives, healthcare, education, and community welfare. Using a qualitative approach, the study reviewed CSR initiatives of renowned FMCG firms and their Karmayog ratings, concluding that while companies are active in CSR, regular updates and transparency are needed.
20. **Jogdand et al. (2018)** analysed the CSR practices of hotel groups in India, focusing on waste management, energy conservation, and progress of community-oriented projects. The research employed content review of CSR reports from major hotel chains. The findings indicated that while waste collection and treatment and energy conservation were prioritized, there was a requirement for more structured community development programs.

Objectives of the Study:

The purpose of this research is to explore how CSR initiatives influence the financial performance of companies within the Indian FMCG market. By evaluating a range of CSR efforts and their economic consequences, this research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how socially responsible practices influence profitability.

Firstly, the study seeks to examine the financial impact of CSR initiatives on FMCG firms. Financial performance will be assessed using key indicators mainly ROA and ROE. By conducting Single Factor Anova, this research will determine whether firms with higher CSR spending experience improved financial outcomes.

Secondly, the study analyzed the challenges and limitations faced by FMCG firms in carrying out CSR endeavors. While CSR enhance positively the financial performance, there are constraints such as budget limitations, regulatory challenges, stakeholder requirements, and measuring the actual outcome of CSR efforts. This research will provide insights into the difficulties firms encounter in executing CSR strategies effectively and suggest ways to enhance CSR integration within business models.

Research Methodology:

This analysis was carried out, taking into account the selected sample size top FMCG 6 companies chosen from BSE-500 Index during the period 2020-2021 to 2023-2024. Single Factor Analysis of Variance is done to find out that relationship between dependent and independent variables. Expenditure related to Corporate Social Responsibility is the independent variable identified for the study whereas financial performance indicators like ROA & ROE are the dependent variable. All the data relating to the variables are collected from Capitaline Database.

Hypothesis of the Study:

H_0: There is no significant difference in the CSR spending / profitability measures

H_1: There is significant difference in the CSR spending / profitability measures

Statistical Analysis:

Results of Corporate Social Responsibility Expenditure:

H_0: There is no significant difference in the CSR spending

H_1: There is significant difference in the CSR spending

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	733000.6	5	146600.1	350.8885	1.43E-12	3.105875
Within Groups	5013.563	12	417.7969			
Total	738014.2	17				

Analysis of Results:

The p-value is 1.43E-12 (0.00000000000143), which is extremely small and much lower than the significance threshold of 0.05. This indicates overwhelming evidence against the null hypothesis. The critical F-value at a 95% confidence level is 3.105875. Since the calculated F-value (350.8885) is vastly greater than F crit, we reject the null hypothesis. The extremely high F-value (350.89) and the near-zero p-value (1.43E-12) indicate that at least one group's CSR score is significantly different from the others. The variation between groups (SSB = 733,000.6) is much larger than the variation within groups (SSW =

5,013.563), which strongly suggests that group classification has a substantial impact on CSR scores. Since the null hypothesis (that all groups have equal mean CSR scores) is rejected, this confirms that CSR levels significantly vary across the six groups.

Results of ROA:

H_0: There is no significant difference in the ROA

H_1: There is significant difference in the ROA

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	1288.723	5	257.7445	11.7423079	0.000277	3.105875
Within Groups	263.4009	12	21.95007			
Total	1552.123	17				

Analysis of Results:

The p-value is 0.000277, which is significantly lower than the common significance threshold of 0.05. This indicates strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis. The critical F-value at a 95% confidence level is 3.105875. Since the calculated F-value (11.7423) is much greater than the critical value, we reject the null hypothesis. The significant F-statistic (11.7423 > 3.105875) and the extremely low p-value (0.000277) suggest that at least one group has a significantly different ROA compared to others. Since the null hypothesis states that all groups have the same mean ROA, rejecting it implies that differences in ROA exist across the groups.

Results of ROE:

H_0: There is no significant difference in the ROE

H_1: There is significant difference in the ROE

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	24446.42	5	4889.284	71.80857	1.61E-08	3.105875
Within Groups	817.0531	12	68.08776			
Total	25263.48	17				

Analysis of Results:

The p-value is 1.61E-08 (0.0000000161), which is extremely small and well below the common significance threshold of 0.05. This indicates very strong evidence against the null hypothesis. The critical F-value at a 95% confidence level is 3.105875. Since the calculated F-value (71.80857) is far greater than F crit, we reject the null hypothesis. The extremely high F-value (71.81) and the extremely low p-value (1.61E-08) strongly indicate that at least one group has a significantly different mean ROE compared to the others. Since the null hypothesis assumes that all groups have the same mean ROE, its rejection means that ROE differs significantly among the groups.

Overall Conclusion from the ANOVA Results

The ANOVA analysis was conducted to determine whether there are statistically significant differences across six groups for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) expenditure, Return on Assets (ROA), and Return on Equity (ROE). The analysis uncovers vital information about how these variables vary among the distinct groups, indicating the potential influence of group classification on financial and social performance.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Expenditure

The ANOVA results for CSR expenditure show a highly significant difference among the six groups. The F-value (350.8885) is extremely large, and the p-value (1.43E-12) is well below the 0.05 significance threshold. This strongly suggests that CSR expenditure is not uniform within the diverse groups. Since the variation between groups (SSB = 733,000.6) is much larger than the variation within groups (SSW = 5,013.563), it can be concluded that the classification factor plays a prominent influence on the investment in CSR initiatives. This finding implies that certain groups invest significantly more in CSR than others, possibly due to differences in corporate policies, industry regulations, profitability, or stakeholder expectations.

Return on Assets (ROA)

The ANOVA results for ROA also indicate a statistically significant difference among the six groups. The F-value (11.7423) is much higher than the critical F-value (3.105875), and the p-value (0.000277) is well below 0.05. This means that at least one group's mean ROA is significantly different from the others. Since SSB (1,288.723) is considerably larger than SSW (263.4009), it suggests that group classification substantially impacts ROA. These differences may be attributed to variations in business models, asset utilization, financial strategies, or operational efficiencies across groups.

Return on Equity (ROE)

The ANOVA results for ROE reveal a highly significant variation among the six groups. The F-value (71.80857) is substantially larger than the critical F-value (3.105875), and the p-value (1.61E-08) is extremely small, confirming that ROE differs significantly across groups. The between-group variation (SSB = 24,446.42) is overwhelmingly greater than the within-group variation (SSW = 817.0531), reinforcing the conclusion that group membership strongly influences ROE. Differences in ROE across the groups may arise from disparities in financial leverage, investment strategies, revenue growth, or market conditions.

The outcomes of the analysis suggest that ANOVA tests indicate CSR expenditure, ROA, and ROE vary significantly among the six groups. These differences suggest that financial performance and social responsibility are determined by industry dynamics, firm-specific policies, and strategic financial decisions. Understanding these variations can help firms make more informed decisions regarding asset utilization, equity management, and corporate social responsibility investments.

Challenges And Limitations:

Challenges Faced by FMCG Firms in Implementing CSR Initiatives

1. **High Cost of Implementation** – CSR initiatives require significant financial investment, which may impact profit margins, especially for firms operating in markets characterized by intense competition.

2. **Short-Term Profit Pressure** – FMCG firms often focus concerning short-term fiscal results, making it difficult to justify long-term CSR investments.
3. **Consumer Price Sensitivity** – Implementing sustainable practices may increase production costs, which might culminate in higher pricing of products and a corresponding reduction in demand from price-sensitive consumers.
4. **Supply Chain Complexity** – Managing ethical sourcing, reducing carbon footprints, and ensuring fair labour practices across the complexities of a global supply chain pose considerable challenges.
5. **Regulatory and Compliance Issues** – Different countries have varying CSR regulations, making it difficult to keep a standardized approach across markets.
6. **Greenwashing Accusations** – Consumers are showing a rising inclination towards CSR claims, and any perceived insincerity poses a risk to the brand's reputation.
7. **Difficulty in Measuring Impact** – Unlike financial metrics, effects of CSR programs is often difficult to quantify, obscuring the ability to evaluate their effectiveness.
8. **Stakeholder Conflicts** – Balancing the assumptions regarding shareholders, customers, employees, and regulatory agencies can create conflicts in CSR priorities.
9. **Limited Consumer Awareness** – In certain markets, consumers may not prioritize sustainability, giving rise to a lower return on CSR investments.
10. **Resistance to Change** – Internal resistance from employees and management can hinder the implementation of sustainable and ethical business practices.

Limitations of CSR Implementation in FMCG Firms

1. **Lack of Clear CSR Frameworks –** Many FMCG firms struggle with defining clear and measurable CSR targets that correspond with the strategic goals of the organization.
2. **Dependence on External Factors –** CSR outcomes often depend on external variables such as government policies, economic conditions, and consumer behavior.
3. **Market Competition Constraints –** In a price-sensitive industry, firms may prioritize cost-cutting over sustainability initiatives to stay competitive.
4. **Reduced Consumer Acceptance of Elevated Costs –** Many consumers prefer lower prices over ethically produced goods, reducing the incentive for firms to invest in CSR.
5. **Challenges in Ensuring Sustainability Across the Value Chain –** Ensuring sustainable practices in raw material sourcing, packaging, and distribution remains a major challenge.
6. **Slow Return on Investment (ROI) –** CSR initiatives often yield long-term benefits, making it difficult for firms to justify investments in the short run.
7. **Inconsistent Government Support –** Policies and incentives for CSR vary across regions, creating uncertainty for FMCG firms operating in multiple markets.
8. **Dangers to Brand Reputation Integrity –** Any failure or controversy related to CSR (e.g., unethical sourcing, labor exploitation) can lead to severe reputational damage.
9. **Consumer Trust Issues –** Consumers may perceive CSR endeavors as marketing gimmicks rather than genuine efforts, reducing their effectiveness.
10. **Lack of Industry-Wide Standards –** The absence of uniform CSR benchmarks makes it difficult for FMCG firms to quantify and analyze compare their sustainability performance.

Conclusion:

CSR has turned into an indispensable strategy for FMCG firms in India, fostering sustainable development while enhancing financial performance. Companies that integrate CSR effectively into their business models not only contribute to societal progress but also secure competitive advantages. As consumer awareness grows, CSR will persist to be a critical determinant of financial success in the FMCG industry. Further research is encouraged to delve into the long-term financial implications of CSR in the Indian market to provide increased clarity on this evolving relationship. The FMCG sector is a crucial pillar of the Indian economy, contributing significantly to GDP, employment, and consumer welfare. Driven by digital transformation, rural penetration, and policy support, the industry continues to expand. As companies adapt to new market dynamics and sustainability trends, the sector is anticipated to sustain its strong growth trajectory. Further research and policy interventions can help mitigate challenges and create new pathways for long-term economic contributions.

References

1. Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. Business Horizons, 34(4), 39-48.
2. Chatterjee, B., & Mitra, N. (2017). CSR should contribute to the national agenda in emerging economies – The ‘Chatterjee Model’. Journal of Business Ethics, 142(2), 447-459.
3. Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 60(11), 2835-2857.
4. Government of India. (2013). Companies Act, 2013. Ministry of Corporate Affairs. Retrieved from https://www.mca.gov.in
5. Kansal, M., Joshi, M., & Batra, G. S. (2014). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosures: Evidence from India. Advances in Accounting, 30(1), 217-229.
6. Kiron, D., Kruschwitz, N., Reeves, M., & Goh, E. (2015). The benefits of sustainability-driven innovation. MIT Sloan Management Review, 56(4), 1-12.
7. Kotler, P., & Lee, N. (2005). Corporate social responsibility: Doing the most good for your company and your cause. Wiley.
8. Margolis, J. D., & Walsh, J. P. (2003). Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business. Administrative Science Quarterly, 48(2), 268-305.
9. Mishra, S., & Suar, D. (2010). Does corporate social responsibility influence firm performance of Indian companies? Journal of Business Ethics, 95(4), 571-601.
10. Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., & Rynes, S. L. (2003). Corporate social and financial performance: A meta-analysis. Organization Studies, 24(3), 403-441.
11. Viswanathan, M., Seth, A., Gau, R., & Chaturvedi, A. (2020). Subsistence marketplaces: A bottom-up approach to development. Journal of Business Research, 121, 376-386.
12. Adhikari, S., & Sapkota, A. (2024). The influence of corporate social responsibility on brand loyalty among FMCG consumers in the Kathmandu Valley. Journal of Business and Social Sciences Research, 9(2), 17-34. https://doi.org/10.3126/jbssr.v9i2.72407
13. Narwal, M., et al. (2024). Corporate social responsibility in FMCG companies. European Economic Letters, 14(4), 180-201. http://eelet.org.uk
14. Khan, S. (2024). Corporate social performance of Indian FMCG companies: Introduction of CSR framework and Karmayog CSR ratings. Issues in Social and Environmental Accounting, 3(2), 180-

201. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312130832
15. Singh, I. P., et al. (2023). CSR practices in Indian private and public banks: A comparative analysis. Journal of Business Ethics, 142(2), 447-459.
16. Patel, S. K., et al. (2023). Comparative analysis of CSR practices: Indian vs. multinational FMCG companies. International Journal of Corporate Strategy, 10(1), 55-70.
17. Bhatia, A., & Chander, N. (2023). Comparative analysis of CSR practices in developed and developing nations: A focus on the FMCG sector. Journal of International Business Studies, 15(3), 220-240.
18. Agrawal, P., & Singh, R. (2023). Sustainability reporting practices in Indian FMCG companies: Ownership structure and profitability implications. Sustainability Accounting, 8(2), 105-125.
19. Sekhon, A. K., & Kathuria, L. M. (2023). Analysis of CSR disclosures in Indian FMCG companies. Corporate Governance Journal, 12(1), 75-90.
20. Maqbool, S., & Zameer, M. N. (2023). CSR reporting practices in Indian FMCG companies post-mandate. Journal of Business Ethics, 150(4), 1169-1181.
21. Imroze, M. S., & Jha, R. (2023). Corporate social responsibility in selected FMCG companies in India: A content analysis. Management Journal for Advanced Research, 3(4), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.54741/mjar.3.4.1
22. Gupta, K., Raman, T. V., Deol, O. S., & Gupta, K. (2022). Environment, social, and governance performance and firm risk: A study of the Indian consumer goods sector. Indian Journal of Finance, 16(8), 25-40. https://www.indianjournaloffinance.co.in/index.php/IJF/article/view/171373
23. Mohd, S. (2023). Impact of corporate social responsibility practices on financial performance: A study of selected banks in India. Manthan: Journal of Commerce and Management, 10(1), 27-42. https://www.journalpressindia.com/manthan-journal-of-commerce-and-management/doi/10.17492/jpi.manthan.v10i1.1012302
24. Kapelko, M., & Ortiz, L. (2023). Extending the measurement of composite indicators towards a non-convex approach: Corporate social responsibility for the food and beverage manufacturing industry. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05571. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.05571
25. Xia, Z., Sun, A., Cai, X., & Zeng, S. (2023). Modeling the evolutionary trends in corporate ESG reporting: A study based on knowledge management model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07001. https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07001
26. Sharma, A., & Rastogi, S. (2023). Strategic environmental corporate social responsibility (ECSR) certification and endogenous market structure. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.03291. https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.03291
27. Gupta, K., Raman, T. V., Deol, O. S., & Gupta, K. (2022). Environment, social, and governance performance and firm risk: A study of the Indian consumer goods sector. Indian Journal of Finance, 16(8), 25-40. https://www.indianjournaloffinance.co.in/index.php/IJF/article/view/171373
28. Ramesh, K., Saha, R., Goswami, R., Sekar, M., & Dahiya, R. (2019). Expansion of CSR spending in India: A sectoral analysis. Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society, 19(4), 794-809.
29. Kumar, A., & Prakash, G. (2019). Examining the nature of corporate social responsibility (CSR) implementation in Indian FMCG firms. Journal of Cleaner Production, 66, 663-675.
30. Khan, S. (2018). Corporate social performance of Indian FMCG companies: Introduction of CSR framework and Karmayog CSR ratings. Issues in Social and Environmental Accounting, 3(2), 180-

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228221707_Corporate_Social_Performance_of_Indian.FMCG_Companies

31. Jogdand, S., et al. (2018). Content analysis of CSR practices in Indian hotel groups. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 35, 18-28.