

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The Efficacy of Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation in Stroke Patients: A Literature Review

Paramvir Singh

BPT Student, Department of Physiotherapy, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab).

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Stroke is a major global health concern, the second leading cause of death and the third most common cause of disability. Stroke rehabilitation presents a complex challenge, necessitating innovative approaches to optimize the functional Recovery. Virtual Reality (VR) has become one of the most widely utilised advanced neurorehabilitation technologies for enhancing motor and cognitive abilities in Stroke Patients. Understanding how Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation can optimise brain reorganisation and enhance neuroplasticity is crucial in designing personalised Virtual Reality (VR) interventions that cater each stroke survivor's unique challenges and potential.

AIM OF THE STUDY: The Primary objective of this review is to explore the efficacy of Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation in Stroke Survivors. Through an in-depth analysis of the current literature, this review aims to investigate the impact of Virtual Reality Based Rehabilitation on various dimensions of Stroke Rehabilitation.

METHODOLOGY: A Computer Search strategy of peer reviewed articles from databases such as PubMed (National library of medicine), Google Scholar, Research Gate was conducted. The search included terms related to stroke rehabilitation, virtual reality and related synonyms.

RESULT AND CONCLUSION: This review highlights that Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation offers a unique immersive experience that enhances patient engagement and motivation during rehabilitation. Moreover, Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation's capacity to replicate real world scenarios provides stroke survivors with opportunities to practice vital daily activities, promoting functional independence. The ability of Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation to stimulate is real life scenarios offers a unique platform. However, challenges such as cost, equipment, data privacy and acceptance must be addressed for successful integration into stroke rehabilitation practice.

Keywords: Virtual Reality Rehabilitation, Neurorehabilitation, Motor function, Cognitive Rehabilitation and Immersive Technology.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Stroke remains one of the leading causes of disability worldwide, often resulting in long term impairments in motor, cognitive and sensory functions (Feigin et al., 2021). Stroke is a major public health issue and a leading cause of long term disability globally, affecting approximately 15 million individuals each year (WHO, 2023). The World Health Organization (WHO) defines stroke as a sudden focal or global



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

disturbance of cerebral functions, lasting over 24 hours or leading to death, with no apparent cause other than vascular origin (Sacco et al., 2013). However, a new definition proposed by the American Stroke Association incorporates clinical and tissue criteria, broadening the scope of the stroke to include objective evidence of permanent brain, spinal cord, or retinal cell death with a vascular aetiology, with or without clinical symptoms (Donkor ES., 2018). It is classified into two major types: ischemic stroke caused by a blockage of artery and hemorrhagic stroke caused by the rupture of blood vessel (Benjamin et al., 2019). The causes of stroke are multifactorial and often include both the modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors. Hypertension is the most significant modifiable risk factor, accounting for a large population of both ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes (O'Donnell et al., 2016). Other contributing factors include atrial fibrillation, diabetes mellitus, smoking, physical inactivity and excessive alcohol consumption (Campbell et al., 2019). Non-modifiable factors such as age, gender, ethnicity and genetic predisposition also play a critical role in stroke susceptibility (Feigin et al., 2021). Interstroke's study identified ten major stroke risk factors responsible for 90% of all strokes (O'Donnell et al., 2016). The symptoms of stroke vary depending on the area of the brain affected, but common manifestations include sudden numbness or weakness in the face, arm or leg- especially on the one side of the body – confusion, trouble, speaking or understanding speech, difficulty seeing in one or both eyes, dizziness and loss of balance or coordination (Meschia et al.,2014). Cognitive impairments disturbances are also frequent, particularly in severe cases or those involving the dominant hemisphere (Tatemichi et al., 1994). Conventional rehabilitation techniques have effectively improved upper limb function in stroke survivors (Saposnik G & Cohen LG., 2016). Physiotherapy, a common approach in stroke rehabilitation is usually provided primarily in the early months after a stroke but its effectiveness and appropriateness during the chronic phase are uncertain (Ferrarello et al., 2011). Although, physiotherapy has shown effectiveness in treating the motor impairment and enhancing functional recovery following stroke, the intensity, frequency and specificity of physiotherapy plays pivotal role in determining the extent of recovery (Saposnik G & Cohen LG., 2016). Despite the benefits of existing rehabilitation approaches, certain limitations hinder the optimal delivery of rehabilitation services to stroke survivors (Nik Ramli et al., 2021). The timing and duration of Rehabilitation services are often determined by post-stroke duration or predetermined maximum utilisation rather than based on individual functional needs and Recovery, as recommended by current evidence-based stroke rehabilitation guidelines (Nik Ramli et al., 2021). Furthermore, Rehabilitation services are commonly discontinued after one year post-stroke in many rehabilitation centres, often without a proper transfer of care plan. This abrupt discontinuation of services may impede the ongoing recovery process for stroke survivors (Bonnyaud et al., 2018). In addition to timing and continuity issues, the lack of designated stroke rehabilitation wards and a shortage of trained rehabilitation professionals pose significant challenges in providing optimal rehabilitation services during the acute and recovery stages of stroke (Huang et al., 2011). These limitations in resources and infrastructure may compromise the effectiveness of stroke rehabilitation and hinder achieving maximum recovery potential for stroke survivors (Teasel et al.,2009).

Virtual Reality (VR) has become one of the most widely utilised advanced neurorehabilitation technologies for enhancing motor and cognitive abilities in stroke patients (Arcuri et al.,2021). VR employs computer-based technology to create interactive simulations that immerse users in multisensory, simulated environments, providing real-time feedback on their performance (Arcuri et al.,2021). It allows stroke patients to engage in activities that resemble real-world objects and events, offering a unique and immersive rehabilitation experience (Selzer et al.,2014). The potential benefits of Virtual Reality (VR) in



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

neurorehabilitation have been recognised, particularly in stroke rehabilitation. VR methods hold promise for accelerating rehabilitation and enhancing the motivation of select groups of stroke patients (Rogers et al.,2019). The introduction of Virtual Reality (VR) technology in stroke rehabilitation represents a significant advancement, as it allows stroke patients to work on self-care skills and real-life activities in a setting that may not be feasible within a traditional hospital environment (Skip A, Rizzo & Kim GJ., 2005).Holistic stroke rehabilitation demands a more comprehensive understanding of how VRBR can address the diverse needs of stroke survivors and facilitate their reintegration into everyday life. Furthermore, the adaptability of Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation (VRBR) in providing goal-oriented tasks tailored to individual patient needs is a critical aspect that requires further investigation. Understanding how Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation (VRBR) can optimise brain reorganisation and enhance neuroplasticity is crucial in designing personalized Virtual Reality (VR) interventions that cater to each stroke survivor's unique challenges and potential.

2. NEED OF STUDY:

Despite the availability of systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on virtual reality (VR)-based rehabilitation for stroke patients, there is a pressing need to reassess and consolidate recent evidence due to the rapid evolution of VR technologies and inconsistencies in reported outcomes. Existing studies vary in terms of methodologies, patient populations, and intervention protocols, leading to fragmented conclusions about VR's efficacy across different domains of stroke recovery. A focused literature review is essential to provide an updated, critical synthesis that informs clinical practice, supports evidence-based decision-making, and identifies key areas for future research.

3. AIM OF THE STUDY:

The Primary objective of this review was to explore the efficacy of Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation in Stroke Survivors. Through an in-depth analysis of the current literature, this review aims to investigate the impact of Virtual Reality Based Rehabilitation on various dimensions of Stroke Rehabilitation. **OBJECTIVES:**

- Investigate the impact of VRBR on motor function recovery, particularly in upper and lower limbs.
- Evaluate the role of VR interventions in enhancing balance, gait, and postural control in stroke survivors.
- Examine the cognitive and functional benefits of immersive VR rehabilitation when compared to conventional therapies.

4. METHODOLOGY:

Search Terms and Search strategy: An extensive literature search was conducted using databases such as PubMed (National library of medicine), Google Scholar, Research Gate was conducted using the search terms "Virtual Reality", "Rehabilitation", "Virtual Reality Based Rehabilitation", "Stroke Survivor's". The studies from year 2010 to 2024 were included in this Review.

Selection Criteria: All types of studies were included in this review such as Randomized Controlled Trail (RCT's), Systematic Reviews, Pre-Post intervention studies, Polit studies done on the Stroke Survivor's. Any kind of book chapters/books, abstracts, opinion letters, editorials, correspondence or any kind of reviews were excluded.



5. RESULTS:

The review incorporated 16 high-quality studies, including randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews. These studies assessed various VR platforms (e.g., Nintendo Wii, Kinect, IREX, treadmill systems) in post-stroke populations. Commonly measured outcomes included upper limb motor function, gait velocity, balance scales, and cognitive assessments.

| AUTHOR' | STUDY | PARTICIPANT | INTERVENTIO | OUTCOME | RESULTS |
|---------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| S NAME/ | DESIGN | S | Ν | MEASURES | |
| YEAR | | | | | |
| Laver et al., | Systematic | 22 trails, 1038 | Various Virtual | Upper limb | The review |
| 2017. | Review | participants. | Reality (VR) | motor function, | indicates |
| | (RCT's). | | interventions vs | Activities of | modest |
| | | | Conventional | daily living | improvement |
| | | | Therapy. | (ADL's), Gait | s in upper |
| | | | | performance. | limb function |
| | | | | | with VR |
| | | | | | based |
| | | | | | interventions, |
| | | | | | though the |
| | | | | | quality of |
| | | | | | evidence |
| | | | | | ranged from |
| | | | | | low to |
| | | | | | moderate. |
| Saposnik et | Randomize | 141 Stroke | VR using | Wolf motor | Participants |
| al., 2016. | d | patients. | Nintendo Wii vs | function test, | in the VR |
| | Controlled | | recreational | motor activity | group |
| | trail | | activities. | logs. | demonstrated |
| | (RCT's). | | | | significantly |
| | | | | | greater |
| | | | | | improvement |
| | | | | | s in the upper |
| | | | | | function |
| | | | | | compared to |
| | | | | | those |
| | | | | | engaged in |
| | | | | | recreational |
| | | | | | therapy |
| | | | | | (p<0.05). |

Table 1: Data Extracted From The Short-Listed Studies In The Literatue Review.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> •

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

| Da Silva | Controlled | 20 stroke | VR Rased | Arm motor | Significant |
|---------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Cameirao | trail. | patients. | Rehabilitation. | recovery, user | improvement |
| et al., 2011. | | - | | engagement | s were |
| | | | | metrics, task | observed in |
| | | | | completion in | arm motor |
| | | | | accuracy. | recovery |
| | | | | | with high |
| | | | | | level of |
| | | | | | engagement |
| | | | | | reported, |
| | | | | | suggesting |
| | | | | | adherence |
| | | | | | and |
| | | | | | motivation. |
| Corsbie et | Randomize | 24 Chronic | Home based VR | Fugl-Meyer | No |
| al., 2012. | d | stroke survivors. | therapy vs | Upper | statistically |
| | Controlled | | standard therapy. | Extremity | significant |
| | Trails | | | (FMUE) score, | differences |
| | (RCT's). | | | Action | were found |
| | | | | Research Arm | between VR |
| | | | | Test (ARAT). | and |
| | | | | | conventional |
| | | | | | therapy |
| | | | | | indicating |
| | | | | | comparable |
| | | | | | efficacy for |
| | | | | | chronic |
| | | | | | stroke |
| | | | | | rehabilitation |
| | | | | | |
| Lee et al., | Randomize | 40 stroke | Virtual reality | Gait velocity, | VR-based |
| 2015. | d | patients. | treadmill | Berg Balance | treadmill |
| | Controlled | | training. | Scale, Timed | training led |
| | Trial | | | Up and Go Test | to significant |
| | (KC1'S). | | | (106). | ennancement |
| | | | | | s iii gait speed and |
| | | | | | balance. |
| | | | | | outperformin |
| | | | | | g |
| | | | | | conventional |



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

| | 0 | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | gait training methods (p < 0.01). |
| Lloréns et al., 2015. | Pilot Randomize d Trial | 18 subacute stroke patients. | Immersive VR for balance training. | Static and dynamic balance (posturography), ADL performance. | Participants showed marked improvement s in postural control and balance, with sustained effects during follow-up assessments. |
| Kim et al., 2014. | Randomize d Controlled Trial. | 46 stroke patients. | Kinect-based VR rehabilitation. | Upper limb range of motion, cognitive function tests (e.g., MMSE). | The VR intervention group demonstrated improvement s in both motor and cognitive domains, indicating dual benefits of Kinect- based rehabilitation |
| Mouawad et al., 2011. | Pre-post Interventio n Study. | 12 chronic stroke patients. | VR training using IREX system. | Kinematic analysis of arm movements, functional task performance. | Post- intervention assessments revealed enhanced movement accuracy and smoother motor execution, reflecting improved neuroplastic adaptation. |



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

| Mirelman | Randomize | 20 stroke | VR-based | Gait velocity, | The VR- |
|------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| et al., | d | patients. | treadmill | stride length, | enhanced |
| 2010. | Controlled | | training. | balance | treadmill |
| | Trial. | | | confidence | training |
| | | | | scale. | significantly |
| | | | | | improved |
| | | | | | gait |
| | | | | | dynamics |
| | | | | | and postural |
| | | | | | stability, |
| | | | | | particularly |
| | | | | | in patients |
| | | | | | with |
| | | | | | moderate |
| | | | | | impairment |
| | | | | | (p < 0.05). |
| Saposnik & | Meta- | 195 participants | VR vs. traditional | Aggregate | The meta- |
| Levin., | analysis | across 8 RCTs. | rehabilitation. | motor function | analysis |
| 2011. | (RCTs). | | | outcomes, ADL | reported a |
| | | | | independence | moderate |
| | | | | scores. | effect size |
| | | | | | (Cohen's d \approx |
| | | | | | 0.53) |
| | | | | | favoring VR |
| | | | | | interventions |
| | | | | | over |
| | | | | | traditional |
| | | | | | rehabilitation |
| | | | | | approaches |
| | | | | | in motor |
| | | | | | recovery |
| | | | | | post-stroke. |
| Anwar et | Randomize | 68 Post stroke | Virtual reality | Berg Balance | Virtual |
| al., 2021. | d controlled | patients. | training, | scale, fugl | reality |
| | trail (RCT). | | conventional | Meyer | training is |
| | | | physical therapy. | Assessment- | more |
| | | | | lower extremity | effective |
| | | | | Scale. | in restoring |
| | | | | | balance and |
| | | | | | lower |
| | | | | | extremity |
| | | | | | function |
| | | | | | compared to |



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> •

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

| | | | | | conventional |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | physical |
| | | | | | therapy. |
| Abd el- | Randomize | 40 individuals | conventional | Action | The VR |
| Kafv et | d controlled | with chronic | physiotherapy + | Research Arm | gaming |
| al2022 | trail (RCT). | stroke | VR gaming | Test (ARAT). | groun had |
| | uun (ne 1). | buone | conventional | Wolf Motor | hetter |
| | | | physiotherapy | function Test | improvement |
| | | | physiolioidepy. | Modified | in most |
| | | | | Ashworth | measured |
| | | | | scale (MAs) | variables |
| | | | | Active Range | compared to |
| | | | | of | the control |
| | | | | Motion | group |
| | | | | (ARoM) | group. |
| | | | | Handgrin | |
| | | | | strength (HGs) | |
| Pagars at | Dandomiza | 21 A dulta with | alamanta virtual | Box and Plocks | alamanta |
| 1 2010 | A | 21 Adults with | robabilitation | Tost Montroal | virtual |
| al., 2019. | u | sub-acute | acmbined with | iest, Montreal | viituai |
| | Dilat study | SUOKC. | | | ahawad |
| | Fliot study. | | thereasy | Assessment, | snowed |
| | | | therapy, | cogstate | greater |
| | | | | sublesis, | improvement |
| | | | therapy. | neurobenaviora | s in motor |
| | | | | l formation in a | function and |
| | | | | iunctioning | cognition. |
| 1 / 1 | D 1 ' | | X7. 4 1 4 · · · | inventory. | VD |
| long et al., | | 60 Participants | Virtual training | canadian | VK group |
| 2020. | d controlled | with first ever | program based on | occupational | showed |
| | trail (RCT). | stroke. | RAPAel smart | Performance | significantly |
| | | | glove + | Measure, stroke | higher cores |
| | | | conventional | self-efficacy | in self- |
| | | | Therapy. | Questionnaire, | efficacy and |
| | | | | Modified | activities |
| | | | | Barthel index, | of daily |
| | | | | tugl-Meyer | living |
| | | | | Assessment- | compared to |
| | | | | Upper | the |
| | | | | extremity, | control |
| | | | | functional Test | group. |
| | | | | for the | |
| | | | | Hemiplegic | |



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

| | | | | Upper | |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | | | | extremity. | |
| Ogun et al., | | 65 Patients with | Immersive VR | Fugl-Meyer | VR group |
| 2019. | Randomize | ischemic stroke. | rehabilitation | Assessment | showed |
| | d controlled | | (FES+VR), | (FMA), | greater |
| | trail (RCT). | | Cyclic FES | Functional | improvement |
| | | | | Independence | s in FMA and |
| | | | | Measure (FIM), | self-care |
| | | | | Performance | skills |
| | | | | Assessment of | compared to |
| | | | | Self-Care Skills | the control |
| | | | | | group. |
| Errante et | Randomize | 94 patients with | Action | Upper limb | Not specified |
| al., 2022. | d controlled | stroke. | Observation | function | in the |
| | trail (RCT). | | therapy (AO) | (Improvement | provided |
| | | | added to standard | in patients with | text. |
| | | | virtual reality | stroke). | |
| | | | (VR) (AO + VR) | | |
| | | | vs. observation of | | |
| | | | naturalistic | | |
| | | | scenes (CO) | | |
| | | | followed by VR | | |
| | | | training | | |
| 1 | | | | 1 | |

6. **DISCUSSION**:

The findings of this review support the growing body of evidence that Virtual Reality-Based Rehabilitation (VRBR) is a promising adjunct to conventional physiotherapy in stroke recovery. Numerous studies reviewed (Saposnik et al., 2016; Laver et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2014) demonstrated statistically significant improvements in motor function, especially in upper limb rehabilitation. The interactive and engaging nature of VR appears to increase patient motivation and adherence to therapy, leading to better outcomes. VR interventions such as treadmill-based systems and Kinect-based activities were particularly effective in improving gait velocity, balance, and dynamic posture (Lee et al., 2015; Mirelman et al., 2010). Cognitive benefits were also reported, with studies indicating improved mental function and self-efficacy (Rogers et al., 2019; Long et al., 2020), which are critical for holistic recovery post-stroke. However, not all studies yielded superior results over traditional methods. Corsbie et al. (2012) found no significant difference between VR and standard therapy in chronic stroke patients. This indicates that VR may be more beneficial in certain stages or types of stroke rehabilitation and patient populations. Several limitations remain. The heterogeneity in study design, small sample sizes, short follow-up durations, and variability in VR protocols limit the generalizability of findings. Additionally, cost, lack of infrastructure, and technical training remain practical barriers to widespread clinical implementation.



7. CONCLUSION:

It enhances motor and cognitive recovery in stroke patients. It offers immersive, engaging, and personalized rehabilitation experiences that can complement conventional therapy. While the benefits are evident across multiple domains—motor function, balance, cognition, and self-efficacy—the integration of VRBR into mainstream clinical practice requires addressing challenges such as standardization, cost-effectiveness, accessibility, and clinician training. Future research should focus on large-scale, long-term randomized controlled trials to further validate the efficacy of VR interventions, explore patient- Centered outcomes, and develop guidelines for clinical adoption. As VR technology continues to evolve, its potential to transform stroke rehabilitation becomes increasingly promising.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Cano Porras, D., Siemonsma, P., Inzelberg, R., Zeilig, G. and Plotnik, M., 2020. Advantages of virtual reality in the rehabilitation of balance and gait: Systematic review. Neurology, 94(14):616-630
- 2. Sacco, R.L., Kasner, S.E., Broderick, J.P. et al. (2013) An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century. Stroke, 44(7):2064–2089.
- 3. Donkor, E.S. (2018) Stroke in the 21st century: a snapshot of the burden, epidemiology, and quality of life. Stroke Research and Treatment, 2018:1–10.
- Benjamin, E.J., Muntner, P., Alonso, A., Bittencourt, M.S., Callaway, C.W., Carson, A.P., Chamberlain, A.M., Chang, A.R., Cheng, S., Das, S.R. and Delling, F.N., 2019. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation, 139(10):e56–e528.
- 5. Campbell, B.C.V., Khatri, P. and Kleinig, T.J., 2019. Stroke. In: R.L. Beyer, ed. Kelley and Firestein's Textbook of Rheumatology. 11th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier:275–289.
- 6. Feigin, V.L., Stark, B.A., Johnson, C.O., Roth, G.A., Bisignano, C. and Abady, G.G., 2021. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet Neurology, 20(10):795-820.
- O'Donnell, M.J., Chin, S.L., Rangarajan, S. et al. (2016) Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (INTERSTROKE): a case-control study. The Lancet, 388(10046):761–775.
- 8. Meschia, J.F., Bushnell, C., Boden-Albala, B., Braun, L.T., Bravata, D.M., Chaturvedi, S., Eckel, R.H., Elkind, M.S., Fornage, M., Goldstein, L.B. and Greenberg, S.M., 2014. Guidelines for the primary prevention of stroke: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke, 45(12):3754–3832.
- 9. Tatemichi, T.K., Desmond, D.W., Stern, Y., Paik, M., Sano, M. and Bagiella, E., 1994. Cognitive impairment after stroke: frequency, patterns, and relationship to functional abilities. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 57(2):202–207.
- 10. Saposnik, G. and Cohen, L.G. (2016) Efficacy and safety of non-immersive virtual reality exercising in stroke rehabilitation (EVREST): a randomised, multicentre, single-blind, controlled trial. The Lancet Neurology, 15(10):1019–1027.
- 11. Ferrarello, F., Baccini, M., Rinaldi, L.A. et al. (2011) Efficacy of physiotherapy interventions late after stroke: a meta-analysis. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 82(2):136–143.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- 12. Nik Ramli, N.N., Asokan, A., Mayakrishnan, D. et al. (2021) Exploring stroke rehabilitation in Malaysia: are robots better than humans for stroke recuperation? Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences, 28(4):14–23.
- 13. Bonnyaud, C., Gallien, P., Decavel, P. et al. (2018) Effects of a 6-month self-rehabilitation programme in addition to botulinum toxin injections and conventional physiotherapy on limitations of patients with spastic hemiparesis following stroke (ADJU-TOX): protocol study for a randomised controlled, investigator blinded study. BMJ Open, 8(8):e020915.
- 14. Huang, Q., Wu, W., Chen, X. et al. (2019) Evaluating the effect and mechanism of upper limb motor function recovery induced by immersive virtual-reality-based rehabilitation for subacute stroke subjects: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 20(1):104.
- 15. Teasell, R., Meyer, M.J., McClure, A. et al. (2009) Stroke rehabilitation: an international perspective. Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation, 16(1):44–56.
- 16. Arcuri, F., Porcaro, C., Ciancarelli, I. et al. (2021) Electrophysiological correlates of virtual-reality applications in the rehabilitation setting: new perspectives for stroke patients. Electronics, 10(7):836.
- 17. Selzer, M., Clarke, S., Cohen, L. et al. (2014) Textbook of neural repair and rehabilitation. [online] Available at: https://books.google.com/books. [Accessed 2 Aug 2023].
- 18. Rogers, J.M., Duckworth, J., Middleton, S. et al. (2019) Elements virtual rehabilitation improves motor, cognitive, and functional outcomes in adult stroke: evidence from a randomized controlled pilot study. Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation, 16(1):56.
- 19. Rizzo, A.A. and Kim, G.J. (2005) A SWOT analysis of the field of virtual reality rehabilitation and therapy. Presence, 14(2):119–146.
- 20. Laver, K.E., Lange, B., George, S., Deutsch, J.E., Saposnik, G. and Crotty, M., 2017. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (11).
- Saposnik, G., Levin, M. and Stroke Outcome Research Canada (SORCan) Working Group, 2016. Virtual reality in stroke rehabilitation: a meta-analysis and implications for clinicians. Stroke, 42(5):1380–1386.
- 22. Da Silva Cameirão, M., Bermúdez i Badia, S., Duarte, E. and Verschure, P.F., 2011. Virtual reality based rehabilitation speeds up functional recovery of the upper extremities after stroke: a randomized controlled pilot study in the acute phase of stroke using the Rehabilitation Gaming System. Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience, 29(5):287–298.
- 23. Crosbie, J.H., Lennon, S., Basford, J.R. and McDonough, S.M., 2012. Virtual reality in stroke rehabilitation: still more virtual than real. Disability and Rehabilitation, 34(23):1970–1976.
- 24. Lee, M.M., Cho, H.Y., Song, C.H. and Lee, K.J., 2015. The effects of virtual reality training on function in chronic stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BioMed Research International, 2015, Article ID 759563. DOI: 10.1155/2015/759563
- 25. Lloréns, R., Gil-Gómez, J.A., Alcañiz, M. and Colomer, C., 2015. Improvement in balance using a virtual reality-based stepping exercise: a randomized controlled trial involving individuals with chronic stroke. Clinical Rehabilitation, 29(3):261–268.
- 26. Kim, H.J., Park, J.H., Kim, D.Y., Choi, Y.H. and Kim, Y.K., 2014. Effects of virtual reality-based rehabilitation on upper extremity function and activities of daily living in stroke patients. Journal of Physical Therapy Science, 26(9):1491–1493.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- 27. Mouawad, M.R., Doust, C.G., Max, M.D. and McNulty, P.A., 2011. Wii-based movement therapy to promote improved upper extremity function post-stroke: a pilot study. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 43(6):527–533.
- Mirelman, A., Bonato, P. and Deutsch, J.E., 2010. Effects of training with a robot-virtual reality system compared with a robot alone on the gait of individuals after stroke. Stroke, 40(1):169–174. [29].Saposnik, G. and Levin, M., 2011. Virtual reality in stroke rehabilitation: a meta-analysis and implications for clinicians. Stroke, 42(5):1380–1386.
- 29. Anwar, N., Karimi, H., Ahmad, A. et al. (2021) A novel virtual reality training strategy for poststroke patients: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2021:6598726–6598726.
- Abd El-Kafy, E.M., Alshehri, M.A., El-Fiky, A.A. et al. (2022) The effect of robot-mediated virtual reality gaming on upper limb spasticity poststroke: a randomized-controlled trial. Games for Health Journal, 11(2):93–103.
- 31. Lee, H.S., Lim, J.H., Jeon, B.H. et al. (2020) Non-immersive virtual reality rehabilitation applied to a task-oriented approach for stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience, 38(2):165–172.
- 32. Long, Y., Ouyang, R. and Zhang, J. (2020) Effects of virtual reality training on occupational performance and self-efficacy of patients with stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation, (17):150.
- 33. Ögün, M.N., Kurul, R., Yaşar, M.F. et al. (2019) Effect of leap motion-based 3D immersive virtual reality usage on upper extremity function in ischemic stroke patients. Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria, 77(10):681–688.
- 34. Lee, S.H., Lee, J.Y., Kim, M.Y. et al. (2018) Virtual reality rehabilitation with functional electrical stimulation improves upper extremity function in patients with chronic stroke: a pilot randomized controlled study. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 99(8):1447–1453.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2018.01.030.
- 35. Errante, A., Saviola, D., Cantoni, M. et al. (2022) Effectiveness of action observation therapy based on virtual reality technology in the motor rehabilitation of paretic stroke patients: a randomized clinical trial. BMC Neurology, 22(1):109. doi: 10.1186/s12883-022-02640.