

Intergenerational Links: Examining the Impact of Parental Socio-Economic Status on Children's Social Achievement in India

Tanishka Rajora¹, Rudrakshi Rajora²

^{1,2}Class 12th Guru Teg Bahadur Public School, Faridkot

Abstract

This paper explores the complex relationship between parental socio-economic status (SES) and the social achievements of children in India. While economic conditions, educational attainment, and occupational background of parents significantly influence a child's access to opportunities, other intersecting factors such as caste, gender, regional disparities, and policy interventions also shape outcomes. Drawing on longitudinal data, national surveys, and scholarly literature, this article investigates how SES impacts education, employment, and social mobility in contemporary India. The paper concludes by emphasizing the importance of targeted policy measures to mitigate inherited disadvantages and promote equitable social achievement.

Keywords: Socio-Economic Status, Social Achievement, Intergenerational Mobility, Education, Caste

1. Introduction

In India's stratified society, the socio-economic status (SES) of parents continues to exert a profound influence on the life trajectories of their children. Despite advancements in education and economic reforms, intergenerational mobility remains constrained for many, especially those from marginalized communities. This paper investigates the extent to which parental SES affects the social achievement of children—defined here as their educational attainment, occupational status, and social mobility.

2. Understanding Socio-Economic Status and Social Achievement

SES is typically assessed through a combination of income, educational qualifications, and occupational prestige. In India, SES is further complicated by caste, religion, and regional disparities. Social achievement refers to the accomplishments of individuals in areas such as education, career, social recognition, and ability to transcend socio-economic boundaries.

3. The Indian Context: Historical and Structural Dimensions

India's rigid caste system and history of economic inequality have contributed to persistent disparities in access to resources. While economic liberalization has created new opportunities, it has not uniformly translated into upward mobility across all SES groups. Children from upper-caste, urban, and educated families tend to have a head start in schooling, skill development, and employment.

4. Educational Attainment: The Foundation of Social Achievement

Parental SES strongly influences educational access and quality. Parents with higher education levels are more likely to invest in their children's education, provide academic support at home, and choose quality private schools. Dropout rates are significantly higher among students from lower SES backgrounds. Children of educated mothers are more likely to complete secondary and higher education. In contrast, lower SES families often face financial constraints, inadequate schooling infrastructure, and limited awareness of the value of higher education.

5. Occupation and Economic Mobility

Children from higher SES backgrounds are more likely to enter high-paying, secure professions due to better education, social capital, and professional networks. Informal networks—often determined by class and caste—play a critical role in job placements and entrepreneurship. Despite the expansion of the middle class, occupational mobility remains limited, especially for those from Dalit or tribal backgrounds.

6. Caste and Gender Intersections

Caste and gender serve as powerful mediators in the SES-social achievement link. Dalit and Adivasi children face double marginalization due to caste-based discrimination and economic deprivation. Girls from low SES families are less likely to complete schooling or participate in the formal labor market due to gendered expectations and early marriage.

7. Urban-Rural Divide

Urban areas offer better access to quality education, healthcare, and employment. Children in urban, affluent families benefit from these advantages, while rural youth from lower SES backgrounds often face poor school infrastructure, limited career guidance, and fewer economic opportunities.

8. Role of Public Policy and Affirmative Action

India's affirmative action policies—such as reservations in education and employment for SCs, STs, and OBCs—have had some success in promoting mobility. However, the effectiveness of these measures is uneven, with many eligible individuals still unaware of or unable to access these opportunities due to bureaucratic and social barriers.

9. Aspirations and Cultural Capital

Pierre Bourdieu's concept of "cultural capital" explains how children from higher SES families are socialized into habits and attitudes that align with success in formal institutions. In India, this is evident in the way upper-class families expose children to English, extracurriculars, and career planning—often inaccessible to lower SES groups. However, research also suggests rising aspirations among youth from low-income and marginalized groups, although these aspirations are often met with structural limitations.

10. Case Studies and Longitudinal Evidence

Data from the India Human Development Survey (IHDS) and National Sample Survey (NSS) provide valuable insights. IHDS (2005, 2012) reveals that while educational attainment has increased overall, the

correlation between parents' and children's occupations remains high. A study by Azam and Bhatt (2015) showed that intergenerational educational mobility in India improved only modestly between 1983 and 2004.

11. Emerging Trends and Digital Disruption

Digital access has opened new pathways for upward mobility. Online education platforms, coding bootcamps, and freelancing opportunities have allowed some children from low SES backgrounds to break traditional barriers. However, the digital divide persists, with many rural and poor children lacking devices or connectivity.

12. Recommendations for Reducing SES-Based Achievement Gaps

1. Early Childhood Interventions: Investments in preschool education, nutrition, and healthcare for low-income families.
2. Parental Education Programs: Educating parents on the importance of schooling, especially for girls.
3. Scholarships and Mentorship: Expanding need-based financial aid and peer mentoring programs.
4. Inclusive Pedagogy: Culturally responsive teaching to engage marginalized students.
5. Digital Access: Government-subsidized tablets and internet for underprivileged children.
6. Career Counselling: Targeted guidance in rural and low-income schools.

13. Conclusion

The social achievement of children in India remains tightly linked to the socio-economic status of their parents. While policy efforts and economic reforms have opened new doors, deeply embedded inequalities continue to hinder true mobility. A multi-pronged approach—addressing educational inequities, digital access, caste and gender discrimination, and cultural capital—is essential to ensure that a child's future is not predetermined by their birth.

References

1. Azam M., Bhatt V., Like Father, Like Son? Intergenerational Educational Mobility in India, *Demography*, 2015, 52 (6), 1929–1959.
2. Borooah V.K., Inequality in Health Outcomes in India: The Role of Caste and Religion, *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2010, 45 (38), 59–67.
3. Bourdieu P., The Forms of Capital, *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*, Greenwood, 1986.
4. Bradley R.H., Corwyn R.F., Socioeconomic Status and Child Development, *Annual Review of Psychology*, 2002, 53, 371–399.
5. Desai S., Kulkarni V., Changing Educational Inequalities in India in the Context of Affirmative Action, *Demography*, 2008, 45 (2), 245–270.
6. Deshpande A., *The Grammar of Caste: Economic Discrimination in Contemporary India*, Oxford University Press, 2011.
7. Drèze J., Kingdon G.G., School Participation in Rural India, *Review of Development Economics*, 2001, 5 (1), 1–24.
8. Hnatkovska V., Lahiri A., Paul S.B., Breaking the Caste Barrier: Intergenerational Mobility in India, *Journal of Human Resources*, 2013, 48 (2), 435–473.

9. Jeffrey C., Jeffery P., Jeffery R., Degrees without Freedom? Education, Masculinities and Unemployment in North India, Stanford University Press, 2008.
10. Jodhka S.S., Newman K.S., In the Name of Globalization: Meritocracy, Productivity and the Hidden Language of Caste, Economic and Political Weekly, 2007, 42 (41), 4125–4132.
11. Kingdon G.G., The Progress of School Education in India, Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 2007, 23 (2), 168–195.
12. Kumar K., Politics of Education in Colonial and Post-Colonial India, Routledge, 2016.
13. Kumar A., Patra R., Digital Inclusion for Education: India's Growing Digital Divide, Economic and Political Weekly, 2020, 55 (30), 20–23.
14. Ministry of Human Resource Development, Educational Statistics at a Glance, Government of India, 2020.
15. Munshi K., Strength in Numbers: Networks as a Solution to Occupational Traps, Review of Economic Studies, 2011, 78 (3), 1069–1101.
16. Nanda P., Gautam A., Verma R.K., Kumar S., Brahme D., Child Marriage, Education and Agency in India, ICRW Report, 2014.
17. Thorat S., Newman K.S., Caste and Economic Discrimination: Causes, Consequences and Remedies, Economic and Political Weekly, 2007, 42 (41), 4121–4124.
18. Thorat S., Dubey A., Has Growth Been Socially Inclusive during 1993–94–2009–10?, Economic and Political Weekly, 2012, 47 (10), 43–53.
19. India Human Development Survey, University of Maryland & NCAER, 2005, 2012.
20. National Sample Survey Office, Periodic Labour Force Survey, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India, 2018.