

International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Comparative Study of Physical Fitness among Sportsmen and Non-Sportsmen Students of Belagavi District in Karnataka

Dr. S.G. Praveenakumar¹, Dr. Ashok Kumar²

Abstract

In the present study, an attempt has been made to compare the physical fitness components namely speed, strength between sportsmen and Non-sportsmen student belonging to Belagavi District in Karnataka. The study was carried out on 50 female students 25 sportsmen and 25 non – sportsmen female of Belagavi district. The data was collected by different coaching camps. The age of the selected subjects ranged from 18 to 25 years. 50 meter dash test, and standing broad jump test were used to measures the selected physical fitness components of the students in order to realize the data t-test was used to analyze the data and investigator observed the significant different between sportsmen and non-sportsmen students of different selected physical fitness components.

Keywords: Comparative, Speed, Strength, Belagavi, Karnataka.

Introduction

The concept of fitness has a long and involved history. According to the literature on the Subject it can be traced to the work done by Charles Darwin of the survival of the fitness. Always the word fitness suggests the ability of an animal or a human to work and play with a

Maximum degree of physical efficiency and to be prepared to meet unforeseen danger or destruction.

Physical fitness is the capacity to do prolonged hard work and recover to same state of health is short duration of time. This is the result of the degree of strength, speed, endurance, agility, power and flexibility one possesses. These elements of physical fitness are useful for different games and sports physical fitness depends on several factors such as heredity, hygienic living nutrition and body man ewers of an individual. Amongst, these, man ewers

ever play activities differently.

The state of being fit or in condition is primary concern to any nation or people. Physical fitness as a term refers to the total dynamic physiological state of the individual, ranging on a

continuum from optimal human performance to serve debilitations and death. There are a number of fitness components that need to be developed. These are agility flexibility, muscular endurance, cardiovascular and respiratory, endurance, strength, power, speed and the correct maintenance of body weight. It is possible for athletic to have a great deal of one

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, KRCCH Arabhavi, Belagavi, (UHS,Bagalkot) Karnataka, India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, College of Horticulture, UHS Campus, GKVK, Bengaluru, India.



International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

component and very little of another. Also, when considering a wide range of sports certain components assume a considerable importance, for eg. The necessity for flexibility in gymnastics, the importance of strength in weight-lifting most sports of course, require a contribution from a number of components of fitness is varying degree.

Review of Related Literature

The win at-all-costs approach is well-documented in the literature of sports psychology. Vallerand and Losier (1994) suggest, "Playing to win at all costs may lead an athlele to cheat in order to reach his or her goal" (p.230). Furthermore, studies have shown that athletes point to their coach as having a heavy influence on their decisions to win-at-all-costs. The win-atall-costs approach may lead athletes to sacrifice all for his cause (Rudd & Mondello, 2006).

The Canadian Spori for Life movement, which tries to improving the quality of sports and physical activity in Canada, published a 7-stage Canadian model of Long-Term Athlete Development (LTAD). They argue, "Athletes' environment is geared to the short-term] outcome winning-and not to the process, and as an outcome there are bad habits developed from over competition focused on winning" (p-17). Indeed, professional athletes will endanger their health and sometimes their future by competing when injured1. Some professional athletes are willing to use drugs in order to improve their performance and increase their chance of winning. Using drugs puts the athlete's health and future reputation at risk". In 1999, the Department of Industry, Science and Resources in Australia published a report on professional sports in Australia. The report mentions the common use of drugs in professional sports and the Australia's anti-drugs in sport programs

Methodology

The purpose of the present study was to compare speed and strength component between sportsmen and non-sportsmen students of Belagavi District of Karnataka. To achieve the desire objective of the study only those player were selected from Belagavi District in Karnataka who was attending camps organize by the colleges. These data were collected by two physical fitness test i.e. 50 mt. dash and standing broad jump was use to compare these components of sportsmen and Non-sportsmen students. The age of the students ranged from 18 to 25 years.

Analysis and Interpretation of Data

Table 1: Comparison of Speed between Sportsmen and Non-sportsmen students of Belagavi district in Karnataka.

Students	No.	Mean	SD	SED	t
Sportsmen	25	9.54	0.58	0.11	3.126
Nonsportsmen	25	10.16	0.90	0.18	

Significance at 0.05 level

As shows in the table -1 Mean score of 50mt. dash test of sportsmen and non-sportsmen students were 9.5408 and 10.1652 respectively and SD was 0.58538 and 0.90290 and SED was 0.11708 and 0.18058 and t-value was 3.126 for significant at 0.05 level. It means that sportsmen students have better then non-sportsmen students in speed test.



International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Table2: Comparison of strength between sportsmen and non-sportsmen students of Belagavi district in Karnataka.

Students	No.	Mean	SD	SED	t
Sportsmen	25	1.81	0.09	0.01	0.51
Non-sportsmen	25	1.80	0.11	0.02	

Significance at 0.05 level

As shown in the table -2 mean score of 50mt dash test of sportsman and non - sportsmen students were 1.8160 and 1.8008 respectively and SD were 0.09929 and 0.11409 and SED were 0.01986 and 0.02282 and t value was 0.516 for significant at 0.05 level. It means that sportsmen students have better then non - sportsmen students in strength test.

Conclusion

It is evident that sportsmen student having more speed and strength then non sportsmen student of Belagavi District of Karnataka.

Reference

- 1. Kumaraj MH, Singh SS, Singh S, Firdyal JS. A: Study of selected volleyball skills in relation to specific strength; stature, age sports sciences 2014; 27(1).
- 2. Rathour. A comparative study of selected motor fitness variables of students study studying at senior secondary schools of rural and urban area. 2003; 21.
- 3. Devi. A comparative study of physical fitness and psychological traits of tribal and non tribal high school boys and girls 2000; 25.
- 4. Verma KK. A comparative study of adjustment and physical fitness variables of Hockey, Volleyball and basketball women players," research annual for movement 1999; 16(1).
- 5. Gahlawat OP. Analysis of physical fitness components and socio economics status of the wrestler in Harysna," Ph.D. thesis, K.U.K 1993.
- 6. Tyagi. Physical Fitness Norma of Delhi State School boys and Girls, 1993; 30.
- 7. Josepl BK. Relationship of power, agility, flexibility and measurement of selected body segments to volleyball playing ability, unpublished master's thesis, Jiwaji University, 1989.
- 8. Clark R, Opplinger BA, Mayhew JL, Haywood KM. Strength, Flexibility and Body composition difference between, age group sheimmers and non swimmers. Australian Journal of Science and Medicine in Sports 1986; 18(2).
- 9. Narain J. Kinanthropometric study of Indian Volleyball players of Different levels of competition, Unpublished, Thesis, Master's course of sports, N.I.S., Patiala, 1985.
- 10. Upton SJ, Hagon RD. Comarison of Physiological profile of Middle age women Distance Runner and Sedentary women 1983; 83-84.
- 11. George LR, Nequin ND. Physiological Profile of Two Champion of Ulteramarathoner. The Physician and Sports Medicine 1983; 63.
- 12. Mangla RN. Comparative study of physical and physiological variables of swimmers, badminton players and gymnasts. A thesis submitted to Netaji Subhash N.I.S. Patiala, 37.