

# Existential Dimensions of 21st-Century Globalisation: A Philosophical Inquiry

**Dr. Dasarath Murmu**

Assistant Professor, Department of Philosophy, Suri Vidyasagar College

## Abstract

This paper investigates the existential challenges posed by 21st-century globalisation, analysing how intensified flows of capital, information and displacement reshape human freedom, identity and ethical responsibility. It engages classical existentialist thinkers—Sartre, Heidegger, Camus—alongside contemporary sociologists like Bauman and Beck, while also integrating critical perspectives from non-European philosophers such as Fanon and Dussel. Through a methodology that combines phenomenological analysis, critical theory and transcultural critique, the paper traces how global conditions intensify alienation, fragment identity and constrain authentic agency. Applying these frameworks to concrete realities—including digital hyperconnectivity, refugee displacement and diasporic identity—it argues that globalisation produces not only structural but also deep existential dislocations. In response, the paper proposes an ethical-existential mode of being grounded in responsibility, situated freedom and transboundary solidarity. It concludes that globalisation, though fraught with risk and fragmentation, can become a space for renewed existential praxis if engaged with critically and ethically.

**Keywords:** globalisation; existentialism; alienation; risk society; digital anxiety; diaspora; refugee; authenticity; transcultural critique; Sartre; Fanon; Dussel.

## Introduction

The accelerating interconnectedness of the 21st century has reshaped the conditions of human existence. While earlier historical epochs experienced gradual shifts through expanding trade networks, imperial conquests and industrial revolutions, the present moment is marked by the velocity and simultaneity of global digital communication, financial speculation and transnational migration. These global processes dissolve the traditional boundaries of the nation-state, compress spatial and temporal distances, and subject individuals to abstract and often opaque systems of power. In this complex context, existential questions concerning freedom, identity and meaning appear with renewed urgency.

Existentialist philosophers provide powerful conceptual resources for understanding the crises and possibilities of human subjectivity under these circumstances. Jean-Paul Sartre posits that “existence precedes essence”, a position that affirms the radical contingency of human life and the imperative to create meaning through free choice [1]. Martin Heidegger frames human existence as *Dasein*, a being always already situated in a specific world, historically conditioned and finite [2]. These frameworks emerged in a Europe shadowed by war, fascism and the breakdown of metaphysical certainties. However, they did not account for the full force of globalisation as it manifests in the late-capitalist and digital age. In the post-Cold War period, theorists such as Zygmunt Bauman and Ulrich Beck extended existential concerns into the terrain of sociology. Bauman introduces the concept of *liquid modernity*, in which social

bonds erode, traditional roles dissolve and the individual navigates a world of shifting norms and precarious affiliations [3]. Beck diagnoses a *risk society*, characterised by invisible threats—climate catastrophe, economic collapse, pandemics—that transcend geographic borders and generate a pervasive atmosphere of uncertainty [4]. These analyses clarify the existential unease generated by a world where technological advancement coexists with ontological insecurity.

To avoid a Eurocentric orientation, this paper brings existential analysis into dialogue with non-European philosophical traditions. Frantz Fanon explores the condition of racialised existence under colonialism, where the subject experiences *thrownness* not merely as metaphysical fact but as historical violence [5]. Enrique Dussel critiques the universalist pretensions of Western modernity and proposes a philosophy of liberation rooted in the concrete experiences of subaltern communities in Latin America [6]. By integrating these thinkers, the present inquiry adopts a *transcultural existential critique*, one that investigates the diverse ways globalisation shapes lived reality across contexts of power, race and geography.

This study proceeds in four sections. The first situates globalisation in historical perspective, tracing the shift from industrial modernity to a hyperconnected network society. The second outlines core existential concerns—alienation, identity crisis, constrained freedom and the pursuit of authenticity—through the lens of Sartre, Heidegger, Bauman, and Beck. The third examines specific manifestations of existential disruption in the global age, such as digital alienation, diasporic dislocation and the refugee experience. It draws on Fanon and Dussel to extend the analysis beyond European frameworks. The fourth addresses the ethical and political dimensions of these conditions, exploring responsibility within a risk society and the possibilities for cross-cultural solidarity and emancipatory agency.

This paper aims to make a distinct contribution to existential thought by demonstrating that globalisation transforms not only political and economic structures but also the phenomenological texture of everyday life. It argues that only a transcultural and interdisciplinary approach can address the existential challenges of the present. The conclusion proposes an affirmative mode of being grounded in authenticity, ethical responsibility and solidarity beyond cultural borders.

## I. Historical Backdrop of Global Processes

The historical emergence of globalisation began with trade, colonial expansion and industrial capitalism. Karl Marx observed in the 19th century that capitalism, by revolutionising the means of production, dislocated traditional social relations and compelled individuals into transnational systems of labour and exchange [7]. However, early globalisation retained links to the industrial economy and nation-state frameworks.

The post-war period marked a decisive transition with the rise of technologies that collapsed time and space. Anthony Giddens described this transformation as “time-space distancing”, wherein interactions unfold across vast distances without losing immediacy [8]. The growth of jet travel, containerised shipping and digital communication systems laid the infrastructure for contemporary global interconnectedness. Zygmunt Bauman interprets this evolution as a shift from “solid modernity”, defined by durable institutions and long-term social bonds, to “liquid modernity”, where such forms dissolve under rapid economic and technological change [3]. In Bauman’s terms, social structures no longer provide reliable orientation; instead, individuals confront a landscape of constant flux, forced to adapt and reconfigure identities in response to market volatility and shifting norms.

Ulrich Beck advances a parallel critique through his concept of the “risk society” [4]. For Beck, the central challenge of modernity no longer lies in scarcity but in managing risks that emerge from industrial progress

itself—radioactive waste, financial instability, climate collapse. These risks transcend borders and undermine the nation-state's capacity to regulate consequences. Individuals experience existential anxiety not only due to economic precariousness but also because their well-being depends on opaque systems beyond their control.

Manuel Castells further extends this analysis through his notion of the “network society”, where digital technologies reconfigure social relations around electronically mediated flows [9]. The “space of flows” displaces traditional communities, replacing place-bound relations with transnational connectivity. Although information travels rapidly, depth of engagement often diminishes. Castells highlights how the speed of circulation leads to fragmented experiences, undermining sustained dialogue and collective memory.

In sum, the historical backdrop to contemporary globalisation features an interweaving of liquid modernity, risk society and network acceleration. These processes generate new existential conditions: uprootedness, uncertainty, loss of trust in institutions and disorientation in identity. The next section will explore how these historical dynamics give rise to core existential concerns under global conditions.

## **II. Core Existential Themes under Global Conditions**

Globalisation intensifies existential concerns already central to 20th-century thought, but in ways that demand theoretical expansion and critical engagement. This section explores four core themes—alienation, identity crisis, constrained freedom and the pursuit of authenticity—through both classical existentialist frameworks and contemporary critiques. The section also clarifies the philosophical methodology employed here, namely a fusion of phenomenological analysis, critical theory and transcultural existential critique.

Existentialism, broadly understood, engages with human beings as finite, embodied agents who must take responsibility for their existence within a world not of their own choosing. This analysis adopts a *phenomenological* orientation by investigating how lived experience is structured through global forces. It also draws from *critical theory*, particularly in examining ideological conditions that obscure freedom. Finally, it introduces a *transcultural critique*, which highlights how existential categories shift when applied to subjects situated in colonial, postcolonial, or diasporic realities.

### **A. Alienation and the Loss of Ground**

Alienation traditionally refers to the estrangement of individuals from their labour, communities, or selves. In Karl Marx's materialist critique, alienation emerges from capitalist structures that dispossess workers of control over production and creativity [7]. Existentialists such as Sartre and Heidegger reframe alienation ontologically—as the individual's confrontation with a world that lacks inherent meaning or a stable frame of reference [1, 2].

Bauman extends this into the context of globalisation. In *liquid modernity*, he writes, institutions that once anchored identity—such as extended family, stable employment, or national belonging—dissolve at a pace faster than individuals can adapt [3]. This generates chronic instability and an inability to “settle” into coherent life projects.

However, Bauman has faced critique for portraying global life as uniformly disintegrative. Critics argue that new solidarities, digital communities, or grassroots movements suggest not total disintegration but the emergence of alternate structures of meaning. These critiques, while not invalidating Bauman's diagnosis, compel a more nuanced interpretation: alienation in globalisation is uneven, contingent and culturally inflected.

Frantz Fanon provides a critical corrective. His theory of colonial alienation describes how imperial power reshapes the colonised subject's identity from the inside, producing a self-alienation far more intimate than Marx's or Sartre's frameworks account for [5]. Here, alienation is not abstract but racialised, linguistic and embodied. Under postcolonial globalisation, these dynamics persist in diasporic subjects who navigate multiple cultural codes, often without full belonging in any.

### **B. Identity Crisis and Fragmentation**

Under globalisation, identity formation becomes fragmented, polymorphic and contingent. Stuart Hall observes that cultural identities are not fixed essences but shifting positions within discourses shaped by history and power [10]. This theoretical insight resonates with Bauman's depiction of the self as plural, transient and often performative in liquid modernity [3].

While this fluidity can empower self-invention, it also exacerbates anxiety. As existentialists argue, freedom without grounding can lead to vertigo. Heidegger warns that *Dasein*, when absorbed in the impersonal norms of *das Man* ("the they"), risks inauthenticity [2]. Sartre's notion of *bad faith* similarly captures the tendency to flee from freedom into roles or identities that provide comfort but deny responsibility [1].

The tension becomes sharper in diasporic and postcolonial contexts. Fanon describes a colonial subject perpetually alienated by the internalisation of foreign norms and language [5]. In contemporary diasporas, individuals often experience "double consciousness", a term coined by W.E.B. Du Bois, to describe the oscillation between cultural belonging and exclusion [11].

### **C. Freedom and Structural Constraint**

Sartre asserts that human beings are "condemned to be free"—they must choose, even when no clear options present themselves [1]. Yet critics of Sartre have long pointed out that his emphasis on absolute freedom risks obscuring the material and structural conditions that limit agency. Simone de Beauvoir and Maurice Merleau-Ponty, for example, challenge Sartre's tendency to understate embodiment, social location and historical determinacy.

In the globalised present, structural constraints are amplified. Digital surveillance, precarious labour markets and climate emergencies restrict the range of possible actions. Ulrich Beck's "manufactured uncertainties" describe a world in which individuals feel responsible for navigating risks they did not create and cannot control [4]. This creates a paradox: individuals must choose amid options framed by systemic forces that remain opaque and unaccountable.

A transcultural existential critique highlights that these constraints are unevenly distributed. For instance, migrants and refugees face structural constraints far more acute than citizens of stable nation-states. To apply Sartrean freedom uniformly without regard for these disparities risks reproducing privilege within philosophical discourse. Thus, the concept of freedom must be reinterpreted in light of vulnerability, systemic violence and unequal access to agency.

### **D. Authenticity in a Globalised World**

Heidegger's notion of authenticity demands that *Dasein* appropriate its existence consciously, resisting immersion in the anonymous world of *das Man* [2]. In globalisation, the pull of the anonymous becomes stronger: algorithmic governance, consumer branding and digital identity all mediate the self through impersonal systems.

Maurice Merleau-Ponty reminds us that authenticity is not merely cognitive but embodied [12]. The globalised subject experiences displacement not only mentally but physically, through migration, dislocation and digital abstraction. Authenticity, then, involves rooting one's being in both locality and

finitude—choosing amid global complexity without losing the bodily, relational and historical specificity that grounds meaning.

In sum, these existential themes—alienation, fragmented identity, constrained freedom and the search for authenticity—constitute the phenomenological terrain of 21st-century globalisation. However, their expression varies depending on cultural, racial and geopolitical location. A transcultural critique does not discard existential concepts; it retools them to account for structural inequality, historical trauma and the pluralisation of lived experience.

### **III. Contemporary Manifestations of Existential Dislocation**

Globalisation materialises not only as an economic or technological process but as a transformation of everyday experience. This section applies the conceptual frameworks discussed earlier to specific global phenomena: the always-online condition, refugee displacement and diasporic identity. Each instance demonstrates how existential anxieties—rooted in freedom, meaning and authenticity—manifest under global pressures.

#### **A. Digital Hyperconnectivity and the “Always-Online” Condition**

The network society, as defined by Manuel Castells, relies on flows of data, information and attention rather than physical proximity [9]. Smartphones, social platforms and algorithmic systems construct a lifeworld where disconnection becomes nearly impossible. While Castells celebrates the emancipatory potential of digital networks, critics note that hyperconnectivity engenders new burdens: digital fatigue, surveillance and identity fragmentation.

This condition amplifies what Viktor Frankl once described as the “existential vacuum”—a state of inner emptiness arising when life lacks meaning [13]. The compulsive needs to check notifications or accumulate “likes” exemplifies Sartrean *bad faith*, as users evade existential responsibility through digital distraction [1].

Zygmunt Bauman characterises this state as “liquid fear”—a condition in which individuals fear disconnection and social irrelevance, yet cannot locate lasting attachment [14]. Heidegger’s notion of *Geworfenheit*, or thrownness, now extends to algorithmic environments, where users are “thrown” into platforms that shape choices invisibly and constantly recalibrate relevance [2].

Philosophers of technology, such as Hubert Dreyfus, argue that digitally mediated existence flattens embodied engagement [15]. Expertise, for Dreyfus, arises through *situated coping*, not abstract rules. Social media replaces bodily, nuanced interaction with performative snapshots. As Jean-Luc Nancy observes, “being singular plural” in the digital age often results in depersonalised exposure rather than reciprocal recognition [16].

#### **B. The Refugee Crisis and the Absurd**

Displacement—whether through war, climate catastrophe, or persecution—exemplifies the existential condition of absurdity. Albert Camus defines the absurd as the human demand for meaning confronting an indifferent universe [17]. The refugee faces bureaucratic indifference, suspended legal status and physical precarity, often without clear resolution or belonging.

Heidegger’s *angst*—the state in which being becomes uncanny and ungrounded—becomes literal in refugee camps, where inhabitants experience time as stalled and space as hostile [2]. Sartre’s insistence on freedom within constraint remains potent here: refugees must choose how to navigate moral and practical impossibilities, even if their options are profoundly limited [1].



Fanon's analysis of the colonised psyche sheds light on the long-term psychological effects of displacement [5]. Colonial structures that dehumanise persist in refugee management systems: controlled mobility, imposed dependency, and misrecognition. The existential pain of displacement thus includes not only physical hardship but the erosion of subjectivity.

### **C. Diasporic Identity and Double Consciousness**

Diaspora communities live with what W.E.B. Du Bois famously called “double consciousness”—the experience of seeing oneself through the eyes of another [11]. Migrants often construct hybrid identities in response to cultural dislocation. Stuart Hall argues that identity in diaspora is not fixed but negotiated across history, memory and power [10].

This negotiation heightens existential anxiety. Who am I when I belong partially to multiple cultures and fully to none? Heidegger's concept of *being-toward-death* suggests that Dasein projects meaning despite finitude. Diasporic identity, too, involves projecting selfhood despite cultural liminality [2].

Enrique Dussel's call for “transmodernity” challenges Eurocentric assumptions of identity and universality [6]. Diaspora subjects construct meaning not by assimilation into dominant narratives but through solidarity and resistance. Fanon reminds us that reclaiming voice amid imposed narratives is itself an existential act of freedom [5].

In all three cases—digital disconnection, refugee displacement and diaspora identity—globalisation produces not merely structural problems but existential conditions. These crises demand more than policy responses; they require philosophical recognition of suffering, choice and the human need for meaning in a world of fragmentation.

## **IV. Ethical and Political Dimensions of Global Existence**

The existential consequences of globalisation call not only for diagnosis but also for ethical and political engagement. This section explores how global conditions generate moral responsibility, reconfigure agency and invite solidarities that resist the structural alienation described earlier. Drawing on existential ethics, political philosophy and postcolonial critique, it reaffirms that the quest for authenticity and freedom must remain collective as well as individual.

### **A. Responsibility in a Risk Society**

Ulrich Beck's “risk society” reveals a condition in which individuals bear responsibility for dangers they neither initiated nor can control [4]. The ethics of responsibility, therefore, must exceed the legal frameworks of blame or liability. Emmanuel Levinas offers a profound corrective by positing that ethical responsibility begins not with choice but with the encounter with the Other [18].

From a Levinasian perspective, globalisation makes ethical demands through the visibility of distant suffering. Images of refugees, famine, or ecological disaster evoke a face that “calls” the subject into response. While Sartre argues that freedom precedes responsibility, Levinas inverts this: we are always already obligated [1, 18]. In this way, ethics becomes an existential structure—not a rational deduction, but a response grounded in vulnerability.

However, critics such as Zygmunt Bauman caution against the aestheticisation of suffering. The spectacle of distant pain may overwhelm or desensitise rather than inspire solidarity [14]. Thus, ethical responsibility must resist abstraction. It must translate recognition into commitment, even in the absence of institutional support.

### **B. Possibilities of Global Solidarity**

Solidarity in a globalised world must be grounded in what Paul Ricoeur calls “mutual recognition”, a

dialogical exchange that affirms both sameness and difference [19]. Ricoeur's ethics of narrative identity suggests that solidarity requires understanding the Other's story, not as a mirror of one's own, but as a challenge that expands one's horizon.

Transcultural existential critique amplifies this ethic. Enrique Dussel insists that solidarity cannot emerge from Eurocentric universals, but must arise from engagement with the lived struggles of the oppressed [6]. Solidarity must include epistemic humility—a willingness to learn from non-Western modes of being, knowing and resisting.

Fanon, too, argues that authentic solidarity demands decolonisation—not only of institutions but of consciousness. It entails confronting the internalised hierarchies that structure global imagination [5]. Sartre's preface to *The Wretched of the Earth* signals this shift by declaring that "Europe is morally, spiritually indefensible" unless it opens itself to the Other [20].

### C. Agency and Grassroots Praxis

Agency in the global era must be redefined not as sovereign control but as situated resistance. Simone de Beauvoir argues that freedom becomes meaningful only when it affirms others' freedom [21]. In this light, existential agency includes collective struggle, solidarity movements and what Paulo Freire calls "conscientisation"—the awakening of critical consciousness [22].

Grassroots movements—climate justice, migrant rights, indigenous resistance—embody existential praxis. They transform alienation into action, despair into dialogue. They enact what Sartre describes as "committed freedom"—freedom tethered to history, injustice and the Other [1].

Authenticity, then, does not require withdrawal into the self. It requires ethical engagement with structural reality. It requires constructing meaning not despite global fragmentation, but through resistance to it.

### V. Conclusion: Toward an Authentic Global Mode of Existence

This inquiry has examined the existential conditions generated by 21st-century globalisation through a multi-perspectival lens grounded in phenomenology, critical theory and transcultural engagement. It has traced how forces such as digital acceleration, forced migration and cultural dislocation have reshaped core human concerns—freedom, identity, alienation and authenticity. Rather than applying classical existentialist concepts uncritically, the paper has reinterpreted them through non-European thinkers and contemporary conditions, foregrounding the complexity and plurality of global existence.

The key insight emerging from this analysis is that globalisation does not merely fragment identity or dissolve belonging—it also expands the existential field. It compels individuals to confront the burden of choice under structural constraint, to seek authenticity amid commodified selfhood and to affirm solidarity beyond parochial attachments. In this terrain, freedom cannot mean detachment from context; it must mean ethical action grounded in historicity, embodiment and vulnerability.

An authentic global mode of existence, then, requires a reorientation of values. First, it affirms ethical responsibility as the foundation of subjectivity—not as reactive guilt, but as proactive openness to the Other. Second, it recognises that agency emerges not from sovereign autonomy but from relational interdependence. Third, it commits to practices of resistance—intellectual, political and cultural—that challenge dehumanising systems without reducing persons to abstract victims.

Such a vision resonates with Simone de Beauvoir's view that freedom realises itself only in the freedom of others [21], and with Paulo Freire's conviction that liberation must be co-created with the oppressed [22]. It calls for a renewed existential praxis: one that does not flee into despair or distraction, but affirms the meaning-making capacities of situated, suffering and hopeful beings.

Globalisation, in this light, becomes not only a site of alienation but a condition of possibility. It demands that we rethink existence—not in isolation, but in plural, entangled and ethical terms. The challenge before us is not to reject global complexity, but to dwell within it authentically, responding to the world with clarity, care and creative responsibility.

## References

1. Jean-Paul Sartre, *Being and Nothingness: An Essay on Phenomenological Ontology*, translated by Hazel E. Barnes, Routledge, 1943, 2007 edition, pp. xxv–xxvi.
2. Martin Heidegger, *Being and Time*, translated by John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson, Harper & Row, 1927, 1962 edition, p. 58.
3. Zygmunt Bauman, *Liquid Modernity*, Polity Press, 1998, pp. 1–20.
4. Ulrich Beck, *World Risk Society*, Polity Press, 2000, p. 13.
5. Frantz Fanon, *Black Skin, White Masks*, translated by Charles Lam Markmann, Grove Press, 1952, 1967 edition, p. 24.
6. Enrique Dussel, *The Invention of the Americas: Eclipse of “the Other” and the Myth of Modernity*, translated by Michael D. Barber, Continuum, 1993, p. 85.
7. Karl Marx, *Capital: A Critique of Political Economy, Volume I*, translated by Ben Fowkes, Penguin Classics, 1867, 1990 edition, p. 170.
8. Anthony Giddens, *The Consequences of Modernity*, Stanford University Press, 1990, p. 64. [9] Manuel Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society*, 2nd edition, Wiley-Blackwell, 2000, p. 16.
9. Stuart Hall, “Cultural Identity and Diaspora,” in *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence & Wishart, 1996, pp. 222–237.
10. W.E.B. Du Bois, *The Souls of Black Folk*, Norton, 1903, 1986 edition.
11. Maurice Merleau-Ponty, *Phenomenology of Perception*, translated by Colin Smith, Routledge, 1945, 1962 edition, p. xvii.
12. Viktor Frankl, *Man’s Search for Meaning*, Beacon Press, 1959, p. 103.
13. Zygmunt Bauman, *Liquid Fear*, Polity Press, 2006, pp. 4–6.
14. Hubert L. Dreyfus, *What Computers Can’t Do: A Critique of Artificial Reason*, Harper & Row, 1972, revised edition.
15. Jean-Luc Nancy, *Being Singular Plural*, translated by Robert D. Richardson and Anne E. O’Byrne, Stanford University Press, 2000, p. 18.
16. Albert Camus, *The Myth of Sisyphus*, translated by Justin O’Brien, Vintage, 1942, 1955 edition, pp. 24–28.
17. Emmanuel Levinas, *Totality and Infinity: An Essay on Exteriority*, translated by Alphonso Lingis, Duquesne University Press, 1961, p. 197.
18. Paul Ricoeur, *The Course of Recognition*, translated by David Pellauer, Harvard University Press, 2005, pp. 3–6.
19. Jean-Paul Sartre, “Preface,” in Frantz Fanon, *The Wretched of the Earth*, Grove Press, 1961, pp. 7–26.
20. Simone de Beauvoir, *The Ethics of Ambiguity*, translated by Bernard Frechtman, Citadel Press, 1947, p. 96.
21. Paulo Freire, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, translated by Myra Bergman Ramos, Continuum, 1970, 2000 edition, p. 67.