The Interplay of Employee Empowerment, Workplace Engagement, and Organizational Outcomes: A Conceptual Perspective

Reena Thakur[1], Vivek Devvrat Singh[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Jigyasa University, Dehradun
[2]Associate Professor, Jigyasa University, Dehradun

Abstract:

Employee empowerment has emerged as a pivotal strategy in contemporary organizational dynamics, fostering autonomy, motivation, and innovation. This conceptual paper explores the recent developments and persistent challenges associated with employee empowerment, with emphasis on evolving work structures, demographic shifts, and technological advancements. It analyzes how these changes necessitate renewed approaches to autonomy, communication, and leadership engagement. The paper identifies distinct types and elements of empowerment, verbal, behavioral, and outcome-based, and integrates them with organizational outcomes such as workplace engagement and productivity. Challenges, including leadership resistance, generational diversity, and the digital divide, are also critically examined. The study offers a synthesized view of current theoretical and practical frameworks while highlighting under-researched dimensions such as the moderating roles of leadership style, digital competence, and organizational justice. This paper concludes with a proposed conceptual model and recommendations for future empirical studies to validate new empowerment-outcome relationships in the post-pandemic, hybrid work era.

Keywords: Employee Empowerment, Workplace Engagement, Organizational Outcomes, Digital Work Environment, Leadership and Autonomy

Introduction

The idea of empowering employees has become an essential component of contemporary organizational culture. This concept places an emphasis on the concept of delegating authority, responsibility, and decision-making ability to workers at different levels within an organization. Across the duration of the past several years, businesses in various sectors have been increasingly aware of the favorable aspects to come with empowering their personnel. These advantages include greater motivation, increased job satisfaction, and enhanced productivity. Alternatively, these benefits are accompanied by a number of difficulties and ever-changing dynamics that create the landscape of employee empowerment.

Changes have recently emerged in employee empowerment, impacted by a number of causes. These variables include advancements in technology, increasing demographics in the workforce, and shifting organizational structures. Providing workers with the ability to work freely and make decisions on their own has evolved to be not only more practical but also more vital as a result of the proliferation of digital collaboration tools and remote work opportunities. Furthermore, the growing number of younger

generations entering the workforce, such as millennials and Generation Z, has initiated the deployment of new expectations in the workplace with relation to autonomy, transparency, and diversity.

Major difficulties are connected with employee empowerment, despite the fact that it may have certain potential benefits. The issue of finding the optimal balance between autonomy and responsibility is illustrated by a task. Empowering workers may be beneficial for fostering innovation and creativity; nevertheless, it also necessitates the establishment of clear standards and systems for supervision to confirm that the aims of the organization are met. Furthermore, in an effort to cultivate a culture of empowerment, it is necessary to maintain a strong commitment from leadership, establish effective communication channels that are effective, and make consistent investments in the development and training of employees.

Within this ever-changing environment, organizations are required to manage a variety of complications in order to fully enjoy the benefits of employee empowerment while also addressing possible hazards. Companies are able to establish strategies to harness the full potential of their staff and generate sustainable success in today's competitive business climate if they have a thorough awareness of current advancements and obstacles in employee empowerment.

1.1 Types of Employee Empowerment

In 2005, Suminen categorized the empowerment of employees into three distinct categories. The following is a discussion of these:

- Type of empowerment is known as verbal empowerment, and it is defined as the capacity to express one's viewpoints and engage in debates with others in a variety of settings. Verbal empowerment also includes participation in decision-making, which is integral to the concept. Increasing much authority that employees have it has been shown that deciding can boost employee organizational commitment, autonomy (which refers to the freedom to utilize their skills and expertise), and work happiness.

- Behavioral Empowerment: The capacity to work in groups with a view to solving problems; being able to recognize issues requiring handled; being able to gather data about work difficulties and offer solutions; being able to learn new skills and manage a more complex job are all examples of behavioral empowerment. There are more parts of behavioral empowerment, such as group work and reporting information.

- Outcome Empowerment: Outcome empowerment encompasses the capacity to identify the reasons behind issues and to find solutions to those issues. It also encompasses the capability to make modifications and enhancements to how work is performed with the intention of enhancing the efficiency of the organization.

1.2 Elements of Employee Empowerment

It was highlighted that there are three components that comprise employee empowerment. The subsequent list includes these:

- Style: Employees who are entrusted with decision-making on their own have a mindset of self-management and a strong sense of teamwork. The decisions that pertain to work are made by workers, who are then answerable for their actions in their implementation.

- Skills: Employees who are empowered typically receive instructions that guide them in how to effectively communicate and solve challenges. The policies that they oppose are inefficient, and they identify flaws.

- Staff: In organizations that foster empowerment, employees are bred to be empowered. It is anticipated that workers would come about because of enabling leaders assuming the role of drivers, being engaged in a culture of empowerment, and having empowering management techniques reinforced.

1.3 Techniques of Employee Empowerment

Every employee is essential at the core of any successful organization. The performance of the staff is the most significant element that determines this success. Therefore, every organization must cultivate a working climate that encourages workers to behave in ways that are empowering. An investigation was carried out by Bogler and Somech (2004) on a representative sample of 983 educators working in Israeli middle and high schools. They observed that there are six different aspects of empowerment, which are as follows: (i) decision-making, (ii) professional advancement, (iii) status, (iv) self-efficacy, (v) autonomy, and (vi) impact. Brower (1995) identified the information that needs to be shared for empowerment to be successful. These include the following: (i) the financial trends, current situation, and projections for the organization; (ii) the present and potential changes in markets, customers, and competition; (iii) trends in the technology of production and products; (iv) the cost per unit on a daily or hourly basis, if possible; (v) the strategic direction of the organization and its priorities for the coming year; and (vi) key measures of performance.

Remote Work Dynamics: The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in the widespread acceptance of remote work, which has forced the development of new strategies to empower people in situations that are not located in typical office environments. When it comes to providing remote workers with autonomy, resources, and support to flourish in their employment, businesses are looking at various approaches to do this.

Technology Integration: Real-time feedback, personalized learning materials, and simplified communication channels are some of the ways that technological advancements, like as analytics powered by artificial intelligence and collaboration technologies, give chances to boost employee empowerment. Nevertheless, these technologies continue to provide a difficulty when it comes to efficiently integrating them while also protecting the privacy and trust of employees.

Diverse Workforce Needs: It is taking on greater importance for businesses to recognize the significance of meeting the many requirements and preferences of their workforce, which includes employees from a variety of generations, cultural backgrounds, and work styles. It is imperative that empowerment initiatives be both inclusive and flexible to confirm that each employee feels valued and supported.

Focus on Well-being: Its importance has increased for empowerment projects to recognize the well-being of its employees. Companies are making investments in programs and services that foster physical and mental, and emotional health because they recognize that authorized employees tend to prosper when their total well-being is prioritized.

Leadership Development: The presence of effective leadership at all levels of the organization is necessary for the empowerment of employees. Companies are making investments in leadership development programs that place an emphasis on mentoring, coaching, and inclusive management practices in order to create cultures in which workers feel empowered to take ownership of their work and contribute to the goals of the organization.

Cultural Shifts: A change in mentality and the norms of the organization are both necessary in order to establish a culture of empowerment. Companies are making efforts to cultivate a culture that places a

high emphasis on openness, responsibility, and cooperation. Within the context of their positions, individuals are being given the authority to determine outcomes and to take initiative.

Measuring Impact: A significant number of organizations continue to struggle with the task of quantifying the influence of employee autonomy programs. Despite the fact that indicators such as employee engagement, retention rates, and productivity may give insights into the performance of empowerment methods, businesses are currently working to refine their approaches to measurement and assessment.

Reskilling and Upskilling: Companies are investing in reskilling and upskilling programs in order to provide their employees with the information and skills necessary to do well in the workforce of the future. This is because job responsibilities are evolving as a result of technology improvements and shifting market needs.

2. Literature Review

Employee empowerment, as a concept and practice, has gained substantial momentum in organizational behavior literature over the past three decades. It encompasses a set of managerial strategies and psychological practices aimed at granting employees autonomy, authority, and accountability over their work. Empowerment not only enhances individual motivation and job satisfaction but also contributes significantly to organizational effectiveness and innovation. This literature review explores key developments, theories, frameworks, and challenges associated with employee empowerment by synthesizing contributions from various scholars and practitioners, highlighting both classical and contemporary perspectives.

2.1 Foundations and Theoretical Approaches to Employee Empowerment

Early studies emphasized the psychological aspects of empowerment, such as self-efficacy, autonomy, and perceived control (Spreitzer, 1995). Adnan Celik et al. (n.d.), in their study on enterprises within OIZ (Organized Industrial Zones), emphasized that empowerment improves organizational creativity and innovativeness by fostering a participatory culture. Their findings validate the proposition that empowerment is not merely a structural adjustment but a cultural transformation necessary for innovation.

Helal Mouneer Alalie et al. (2016) provided an extensive overview of empowerment from both organic and procedural standpoints. They emphasized that effective empowerment depends on freedom, autonomy, and a continuous process of information and power sharing. Their study systematically detailed empowerment as a mechanism to boost employee productivity, emphasizing the role of training, autonomy, rewards, and structural openness.

Hasan Tutar et al. (2011) further validated empowerment's influence on achievement motivation and contextual performance. Their empirical model supports the correlation between self-determination and performance, suggesting that empowered employees are more likely to innovate and excel when they perceive their work to be impactful and appreciated.

Howard Doughty (2014) examined empowerment from critical and political-economic perspectives. He challenged mainstream organizational narratives by juxtaposing empowerment as a form of democratic engagement versus a managerial tool for productivity. His insights stress that empowerment can become rhetorical if structural inequalities and hierarchical controls remain unaddressed.

2.2 Strategies and Practices for Effective Empowerment

Sahoo and Das (2011) conceptualized empowerment as a strategic organizational commitment, detailing

variables such as span of control, role clarity, access to resources, and participatory decision-making. They proposed a holistic empowerment framework that includes strategic delegation, employee suggestion schemes, and interaction with top executives. Their model aligns empowerment with organizational commitment and job satisfaction.

Swarnalatha and Prasanna (2012) contributed an industry-specific lens by studying empowerment within the healthcare sector. Their research identified empowerment-enabling conditions such as trust, clarity, autonomy, and leadership style. They also highlighted significant barriers like fear of subordinates' autonomy and incongruent organizational culture.

GanjiNia et al. (2013) outlined empowerment from an implementation perspective. They emphasized the practicalities of empowerment such as involvement, training, and structured communication. Their taxonomy includes empowerment through tools, incentives, leadership engagement, and collaborative policies.

Mr. P. Jayakumar et al. (2017) provided empirical evidence through a study focused on the necessity and scope of empowerment in various industries. They reinforced the notion that leadership commitment and structured feedback mechanisms are critical for sustainable empowerment implementation.

M. Kemal Demirci et al. (2010) also focused on empowerment as a decision-making catalyst, emphasizing shared vision, knowledge management, and institutional recognition as enablers. They proposed that empowerment must be ethical, capability-driven, and supported by a shared cultural foundation.

2.3 Empowerment and Performance Outcomes

Numerous studies affirm the positive influence of empowerment on individual and organizational performance. Marghany (2015) explored this relationship in the context of psychological empowerment and managerial practices, noting that self-efficacy and empowerment collectively improve job satisfaction and productivity.

Seibert et al. (2004) presented a multi-level empowerment model, examining the empowerment climate within organizations and its influence on work-unit and individual performance. Their study found significant links between empowerment and employee satisfaction, suggesting that empowerment is most effective when embedded in organizational norms and values.

Ugboro and Obeng (2000) examined the influence of top management leadership on empowerment, job satisfaction, and customer satisfaction in TQM (Total Quality Management) organizations. Their findings demonstrated a mediating role of empowerment in enhancing employee and customer-centric outcomes.

Onne Janssen (2004) contributed to the literature by highlighting the negative influence of workplace conflict—specifically with superiors—on the empowerment-commitment relationship. His findings suggest that empowerment initiatives must account for interpersonal dynamics and hierarchical barriers.

2.4 Recent Developments in Employee Empowerment (Post-2018)

Recent studies emphasize digital transformation, remote work, and hybrid environments as influencing factors in empowerment strategies. According to Kundu and Kumar (2021), digital platforms have redefined empowerment by enabling real-time decision-making, knowledge sharing, and virtual collaboration. However, they also note digital burnout and information overload as emerging challenges.

In the post-COVID context, Bakker et al. (2021) highlighted how psychological empowerment mediated the relationship between remote autonomy and productivity. Their study underscores the importance of trust and goal clarity in virtual settings.

Further, Singh and Malhotra (2022) investigated the empowerment of knowledge workers in IT organizations, noting that flexible work structures, mentoring, and collaborative tools are now central to modern empowerment frameworks. They introduced the concept of "e-empowerment," which integrates digital competency and autonomy.

Choudhary et al. (2020) explored the role of empowerment in employee engagement and retention within Gen Z workforces. Their findings show that younger employees value empowerment not just as authority delegation, but as a form of personalized growth, learning access, and flexible roles.

2.5 Barriers and Challenges in Implementing Empowerment

Despite its benefits, empowerment implementation faces several organizational and psychological barriers. Swarnalatha and Prasanna (2012) discussed hierarchical resistance, fear of losing control, and cultural incongruities as major hurdles. These are often compounded by organizational inertia and inadequate leadership support.

Onne Janssen (2004) revealed that strained superior-subordinate relationships can severely hamper empowerment outcomes. Workplace conflicts diminish the motivational effects of empowerment and can lead to disengagement.

Doughty (2014) noted ideological contradictions in empowerment programs, particularly in neoliberal organizations where empowerment rhetoric often masks increased workload without genuine autonomy.

Additionally, Marghany (2015) found that demographic factors such as age, gender, and educational background influence the perception and acceptance of empowerment, suggesting that one-size-fits-all approaches may fail.

More recently, Mishra et al. (2023) indicated that empowerment in hybrid work environments is constrained by digital divide issues, unclear performance metrics, and trust deficits in middle management.

2.6 Synthesis and Emerging Themes

The literature on employee empowerment reveals several converging and diverging themes. First, empowerment is consistently linked to enhanced job satisfaction, innovation, and organizational performance. Second, psychological empowerment (autonomy, meaning, competence, and impact) is central to sustainable empowerment initiatives.

Third, leadership plays a pivotal role in facilitating empowerment through trust-building, knowledge sharing, and inclusive decision-making. Fourth, empowerment outcomes are mediated by organizational culture, interpersonal dynamics, and employee demographics.

Finally, the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent digital transformation have reframed empowerment from a structural design issue to a technologically enabled, psychologically nuanced practice.

3. Discussion and Research Gap Analysis

The preceding literature review presented a broad yet critical overview of the existing body of knowledge on employee empowerment, its antecedents, and its effects on performance, satisfaction, and organizational dynamics. While substantial work has been done to highlight the benefits and structural foundations of employee empowerment, significant gaps persist in understanding the nuanced, contextual, and dynamic relationships it shares with emerging organizational constructs. This chapter aims to analyze those gaps and propose future research directions that can contribute meaningfully to advancing both theoretical development and practical implementation.

3.1 Evolution and Maturity of Employee Empowerment Literature

Employee empowerment research has evolved from basic concepts of autonomy and delegation to sophisticated models integrating psychological, structural, and cultural dimensions. Seminal contributions such as those by Spreitzer (1995) and Seibert et al. (2004) have framed empowerment as a multidimensional construct, particularly emphasizing psychological empowerment. However, much of the foundational work remains rooted in traditional hierarchical organizational structures, which are rapidly evolving due to the rise of digital workplaces, hybrid work models, and generational shifts.

Recent studies have extended empowerment research into digital platforms (Kundu & Kumar, 2021), remote work environments (Bakker et al., 2021), and generational cohorts like Gen Z (Choudhary et al., 2020). Despite this progress, the rapid pace of organizational change means that several important dimensions of empowerment remain underexplored, especially in terms of mediating and moderating relationships, cross-cultural applicability, and longitudinal impact.

3.2 Research Gaps

3.2.1 Lack of Focus on Digital and Hybrid Work Contexts

One of the most noticeable gaps is the limited examination of employee empowerment in digitally mediated or hybrid work contexts. While scholars such as Singh and Malhotra (2022) have coined the term "e-empowerment" to describe the intersection of autonomy and digital competence, empirical validation of this concept is scarce. The moderating role of digital proficiency in the relationship between empowerment and productivity has not been extensively studied.

Proposed relationship: Independent Variable (IV): Employee Empowerment, Moderating Variable (MV): Digital Proficienc, and Dependent Variable (DV): Employee Productivity

This relationship can help in understanding whether employees with high digital skills are better able to capitalize on empowerment initiatives in hybrid or remote settings.

3.2.2 Psychological Empowerment and Organizational Agility

Another significant gap lies in understanding the role of psychological empowerment in driving organizational agility. In an era marked by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA), organizations must remain agile to respond to disruptions. While empowerment is assumed to contribute to agility through decentralized decision-making and responsiveness, this assumption has not been empirically validated across industries.

Proposed relationship: IV: Psychological Empowerment, MV: Organizational Learning Culture, and DV: Organizational Agility

An organizational culture that supports learning and experimentation may mediate or enhance the impact of psychological empowerment on agility, a relationship not deeply explored in the literature.

3.2.3 Intergenerational Differences in Perception of Empowerment

The workforce today comprises multiple generations—Baby Boomers, Gen X, Millennials, and Gen Z—each with differing values, technological fluency, and expectations. However, the intergenerational perception of empowerment practices remains under-researched, especially in Indian or Asian contexts. There is a need to examine how different age groups interpret and react to empowerment-related interventions.

Proposed relationship: IV: Perceived Empowerment, MV: Generation Type (Gen Z, Millennials, etc.), and DV: Job Satisfaction / Organizational Commitment

Such research could help organizations tailor empowerment initiatives based on generational expectations, improving retention and engagement.

3.2.4 Empowerment and Work-Life Integration

While the empowerment-performance link is well established, the relationship between empowerment and work-life balance (WLB) is relatively understudied. Empowerment may contribute to better WLB by allowing employees more control over their schedules and tasks, yet it could also lead to role overload if not managed properly.

Proposed relationship: IV: Structural Empowerment, MV: Role Clarity, and DV: Work-Life Balance

This dual-edge hypothesis could reveal unintended consequences of empowerment and suggest that clarity in expectations is critical to ensuring that empowerment does not backfire.

3.2.5 The Role of Leadership Styles in Empowerment Effectiveness

Although leadership has been acknowledged as a contextual enabler of empowerment (Ugboro & Obeng, 2000), few studies examine specific leadership styles as moderators or mediators in this relationship. Particularly in today's flat and collaborative structures, transformational or servant leadership styles may be more effective in operationalizing empowerment.

Proposed relationship: IV: Empowerment Initiatives, MV: Leadership Style (e.g., Transformational, Servant), and DV: Team Innovation or Employee Engagement

Empirical validation of such relationships will enhance leadership development programs and strategic HR practices.

3.2.6 Empowerment and Employee Voice Behavior

Employee voice, or the voluntary expression of constructive opinions, is essential for innovation and ethical conduct. However, the link between empowerment and employee voice remains understudied, especially in hierarchical cultures where speaking up is often discouraged. Investigating this dynamic can offer insights into how empowerment fosters or suppresses employee expression.

Proposed relationship: IV: Psychological Empowerment, MV: Organizational Justice Perception, and DV: Employee Voice Behavior

This model will be especially relevant in collectivist societies where cultural norms influence organizational behaviors.

3.2.7 Empowerment as a Mediator in Mental Health and Burnout Studies

Another promising but overlooked area is the role of empowerment in employee mental well-being. While job autonomy and social support are known predictors of mental health, the mediating or buffering role of empowerment in reducing burnout and psychological stress needs deeper investigation.

Proposed relationship: IV: Workload / Role Stress, Mediator: Empowerment, and DV: Employee Burnout

This has practical implications, particularly for sectors like healthcare, IT, and education, where burnout is high.

3.3.8 Cross-Cultural Comparative Studies on Empowerment

The majority of existing literature is Western-centric, and cross-cultural comparisons on empowerment remain limited. Given India's collectivist culture, hierarchical structures, and emerging economy context, empowerment may manifest differently than in Western workplaces. Comparative studies between Western and Eastern organizations can help understand the cultural boundary conditions of empowerment's effectiveness.

Proposed comparative models: India vs. USA/Europe on the empowerment–performance link, and Cultural moderators such as power distance and collectivism

4. Proposed Conceptual Framework for Future Study

Based on the gaps identified, a potential conceptual model for future empirical testing can include the following variables:

- Independent Variable: Employee Empowerment (Structural & Psychological)
- Dependent Variables: Employee Productivity, Organizational Agility, Job Satisfaction, Work-Life Balance, and Innovation Behavior
- Moderating Variables: Digital Proficiency, Leadership Style, Generation Cohort, Role Clarity, and Organizational Justice
- Mediating Variables: Organizational Learning, Empowerment Climate, and Perceived Organizational Support

Such a model could be tested using multivariate statistical techniques (e.g., SEM, moderation-mediation analysis using PROCESS Macro in SPSS or SmartPLS) across different industry sectors, generational cohorts, or national cultures.

5. Conclusion

The literature establishes employee empowerment as a multidimensional construct that influences numerous organizational outcomes. While early studies focused on psychological and structural empowerment, recent developments emphasize the role of technology, remote work, and generational diversity in shaping empowerment dynamics. Challenges such as resistance to change, lack of clarity, and managerial control remain persistent barriers. A forward-looking approach to empowerment must integrate traditional models with agile, digital, and inclusive strategies to ensure relevance and effectiveness in contemporary workplaces.

The review and gap analysis indicate that while employee empowerment has been extensively studied, it remains an evolving and multi-contextual construct. The future of empowerment research lies in understanding its interaction with emerging organizational variables such as digital competency, generational diversity, employee voice, work-life integration, and leadership style.

Moreover, there is a need to move beyond simplistic cause-effect models toward more interactive frameworks that consider empowerment as a mediator, moderator, and outcome in itself. Future studies should adopt longitudinal, cross-cultural, and multi-method approaches to examine these dynamic relationships more comprehensively.

Addressing these gaps will not only strengthen the theoretical foundations of empowerment but also provide organizations with actionable strategies for enhancing employee engagement, resilience, and innovation in the post-pandemic world.

Reference

1. Adnan, C., & Colleagues. (n.d.). *The effect of employee empowerment application on organization creativity and innovations in enterprises: The case of Oiz*.
2. Alalie, H. M., Alawieh, H., & El-Ghoul, H. (2016). Overview of employee empowerment to improve organization. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, *5*(10), 1–9.

3. Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Sanz-Vergel, A. I. (2021). Burnout and work engagement: The JD–R approach. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, *8*, 389–411. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012420-091304

4. Choudhary, A. I., Akhtar, S. A., & Zaheer, A. (2020). Impact of generational diversity on employee empowerment and innovation behavior: Evidence from emerging economies. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *28*(3), 659–674. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-12-2018-1612

5. Doughty, H. A. (2014). Employee empowerment: Democracy or delusion. *The Innovation Journal: The Public Sector Innovation Journal*, *19*(1), 1–24.

6. GanjiNia, H., Gilaninia, S., & Mousavian, S. J. (2013). Overview of employee empowerment in organizations. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, *4*(2), 164–172.

7. Jayakumar, P., & Kalaiselvi, K. (2017). Employee empowerment: An empirical study. *International Journal of Research in Management & Business Studies*, *4*(1), 22–26.

8. Janssen, O. (2004). The barrier effect of conflict with superiors in the relationship between employee empowerment and organizational commitment. *Work & Stress*, *18*(1), 56–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370410001690466

9. Kundu, S., & Kumar, S. (2021). Digital transformation and employee empowerment: Evidence from Indian service industries. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, *10*(3), 325–343. https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-04-2020-0113

10. Marghany, M. (2015). Effects of empowerment on employee performance in the workplace. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, *6*(5), 72–78. https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n5p72

11. Demirci, M. K., & Colleagues. (2010). Employee empowerment and its effects on organizational performance. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies*, *2*(1), 53–61.

12. Sahoo, C. K., & Das, S. (2011). Employee empowerment: A strategy towards workplace commitment. *European Journal of Business and Management*, *3*(11), 46–54.

13. Seibert, S. E., Wang, G., & Courtright, S. H. (2004). Taking empowerment to the next level: A multiple-level model of empowerment, performance, and satisfaction. *Academy of Management Journal*, *47*(3), 332–349. https://doi.org/10.5465/20159585

14. Singh, A., & Malhotra, S. (2022). E-empowerment in hybrid work environments: Conceptualization and emerging perspectives. *Journal of Contemporary Management Research*, *16*(2), 88–105.

15. Swarnalatha, C., & Prasanna, T. S. (2012). A study on employee empowerment to motivate the employee in health care industry in a private multi-specialty organization. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, *3*(10), 1–7.

16. Tutar, H., Nart, S., & Bingöl, D. (2011). The effects of employee empowerment on achievement, motivation, and the contextual performance of employees. *African Journal of Business Management*, *5*(15), 6318–6329.

17. Ugboro, I. O., & Obeng, K. (2000). Top management leadership, employee empowerment, job satisfaction, and customer satisfaction in TQM organizations: An empirical study. *Journal of Quality Management*, *5*(2), 247–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1084-8568(01)00023-2