International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Critical Study of the Pros and Cons of British Economic Policy in India

Dr. Balaraj Saraf

M.A., Ph.D., Associate Professor, Dept. of Economics, S.P. & JMB Degree College - SHORAPUR – 585224., District- Yadagiri. State- Karnataka

Abstract
British colonial economic policy in India between 1757 and 1947 produced long-term structural changes in the Indian economy. While there were some benefits such as modern infrastructure and institutional reforms, they were mostly subordinated to British imperial interests. This paper critically examines these policies, exploring their benefits and disadvantages through historical records and statistical data. It concludes that while India inherited some structural advancement from the colonial period, they were far outweighed by the exploitation of India's human and natural resources. The study uses quantitative indicators to assess the real economic cost of colonialism.

KEYWORDS: British regime, Codification of laws, Economic drain. British colonial rule, inaugurated formally after the Battle of Plassey in 1757, left a mixed legacy in India's economic history. The East India Company and later the British Crown initiated policies that restructured the Indian economy. However, these policies had a dual character—while modernizing elements were introduced, they simultaneously resulted in the systematic impoverishment of the subcontinent. A deeper analysis of statistical data from the colonial period helps illuminate this paradox.

Pros of British Economic Policy in India

1. Development of Infrastructure

One of the most cited positive aspects of British rule is the development of modern infrastructure. The British built extensive railway networks, roads, ports, and telegraph systems. The Indian railway system, initiated in 1853, became the fourth-largest in the world by the early 20th century and remains a critical component of the nation's economy British rule did result in significant infrastructure development:

- By **1901**, India had **over 25,000 miles of railway lines**; this expanded to **56,000 miles by 1947** (Thorner 219).
- The total length of metalled roads increased from **1,600 miles in 1837** to **over 100,000 miles by the 1940s** (Roy 87).
- Telegraph lines, introduced in 1851, covered **over 107,000 miles** by 1902, facilitating commercial communication and administrative control.

(Thorner 217). These developments connected remote areas, facilitated trade, and laid the groundwork for industrial growth.

2. Introduction of Modern Education and Legal Systems

The British established Western-style education and introduced a legal and administrative system that is still in use today. The introduction of English education created a new class of educated Indians, which later played a significant role in the freedom struggle. Furthermore, the codification of laws and establishment of the Indian Civil Services introduced a standardized governance model (Chandra et al. 384).

- The number of English-educated Indians grew substantially, from **less than 10,000 in 1850** to **over 2 million by 1947** (Chandra et al. 387).
- Universities in Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras, established in 1857, produced graduates who later became freedom fighters and reformers.

This class of English-speaking Indians was instrumental in shaping post-independence governance and civil services.

3. Commercial and Industrial Awakening

Though largely centered around British interests, the economic changes spurred the beginnings of a capitalist class in India. Indian entrepreneurs like J.N. Tata and G.D. Birla emerged during the later colonial period, establishing textile mills and other industries, partly due to the infrastructural support and commercial policies of the British (Roy 113).

----- Growth of Indian Capitalist Class

Though restricted by British monopoly firms, Indian entrepreneurship emerged:

- By 1915, Indian-owned textile mills accounted for **75% of the total spindleage** in Bombay (Bagchi 129).
- The **Tata Iron and Steel Company (TISCO)** was established in 1907 and by 1946 had a capacity of **1 million tons per year**, making India the 11th largest steel producer.

Cons of British Economic Policy in India

1. Deindustrialization and Economic Drain

One of the most devastating impacts of British economic policy was the systematic deindustrialization of India's traditional industries. The handloom textile industry, once a global leader, was decimated by the import of cheap British machine-made goods under policies of free trade that favored British manufacturers (Bagchi 121). Additionally, India was turned into a raw material supplier and a market for British goods, leading to economic stagnation.

The economic drain theory proposed by Dadabhai Naoroji highlighted how wealth was systematically transferred from India to Britain without adequate returns. The British extracted revenue through taxes, trade surpluses, and home charges, leaving India impoverished despite its resources (Naoroji 46).

Deindustrialization and Decline of Indigenous Crafts

- Between **1800 and 1850**, India's share in world manufacturing fell from **24.5% to 2%**, while Britain's rose from **1.8% to 23%** (Maddison 57).
- Bengal's once-thriving textile industry was devastated: textile exports from India dropped from **33% in 1800** to **less than 2% by 1900** (Roy 105).

The decline in artisanal employment led to mass rural migration and poverty.

The Economic Drain and Capital Flight

Dadabhai Naoroji estimated that **over £200 million** was drained from India annually in the late 19th century—through remittances, pensions, and profits sent to England (Naoroji 51).

- **In 1931**, India's total exports stood at **Rs. 236 crore**, but a significant portion of the foreign exchang e earned was used to pay "Home Charges" to Britain (Bagchi 143).

- This contributed to **per capita income stagnation**: from **Rs. 196 in 1867** to only **Rs. 201 by 1946**, showing virtually no growth in living standards (Roy 134).

2. Agricultural Distress and Famines

The colonial focus on cash crops like indigo, cotton, and opium, combined with high taxation, worsened agrarian distress. The traditional agrarian economy was disrupted, leading to food shortages and recurrent famines. The Great Famine of 1876–78 and the Bengal Famine of 1943, which led to millions of deaths, are grim examples of the mismanagement and indifference of colonial economic policy (Sen 210).

Famines, Agriculture, and Taxation

- Between **1854 and 1901**, over **25 million people** died in famines (Sen 217).
- The land revenue in Bengal increased from **Rs. 2.8 crore in 1793** to **Rs. 5.6 crore by 1856**, often exceeding **50% of the agricultural output** (Chandra 265).
- Export-oriented cash cropping reduced food production. For instance, during the Bengal Famine (1943), while **4 million people died**, India was exporting **70,000 tons of rice** (Bose and Jalal 184)

3. Unequal Development and Regional Imbalance

British economic policies fostered uneven development. While port cities like Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras saw some economic growth due to trade and industry, rural areas remained impoverished. The focus on export-oriented agriculture and infrastructure that served British trade routes ignored the need for balanced internal development (Bose and Jalal 156).

Regional Imbalance and Urban Bias

- Cities like Bombay and Calcutta thrived due to British trade policy, but **80% of Indians lived in rural poverty**, with minimal access to health or education (Roy 125).
- The rural literacy rate in 1947 was below **12%**, and only **8% of Indians** had access to institutional healthcare (Chandra 423).

Conclusion

British economic policy in India served the imperial goal of enriching Britain at the expense of the Indian economy. Although infrastructure and institutions introduced under British rule later facilitated Indian development, their original purpose was colonial control and economic extraction. Statistical data overwhelmingly show that British rule led to deindustrialization, impoverishment, and stagnation. The legacy of regional inequality, low industrial base, and rural poverty persisted long after independence, underscoring the enduring impact of colonial economic mismanagement.

References:

1. Bagchi, Amiya Kumar. *The Political Economy of Underdevelopment*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
2. Bose, Sugata, and Ayesha Jalal. *Modern South Asia: History, Culture, Political Economy*. Routledge, 2021.
3. Chandra, Bipan, et al. *India's Struggle for Independence: 1857–1947*. Penguin Books, 1989.
4. Maddison, Angus. *Contours of the World Economy, 1–2030 AD: Essays in Macro-Economic History*. Oxford University Press, 2007.

5. Naoroji, Dadabhai. *Poverty and Un-British Rule in India*. Publications Division, Government of India, 1962.
6. Roy, Tirthankar. *The Economic History of India: 1857–1947*. Oxford University Press, 2011
7. Sen, Amartya. *Poverty and Famines: An Essay on Entitlement and Deprivation*. Oxford University Press, 1981.
8. Thorner, Daniel. "The Pattern of Railway Development in India." *The Economic History Review*, vol. 7, no. 2, 1954, pp. 217–231.