

Mapping Human Evolution Through the Lens of Cosmic Science and Spirituality A Review Analysis

Ms. Annu Biswas

Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Adamas University, Kolkata, PhD Scholar, IIT Patna

Abstract

Human evolution has long been explored through the lens of biology and anthropology, yet its purpose, meaning and deeper connections to cosmic cycles and spiritual traditions remain underexplored. This review analysis bridges the scientific framework of evolution with Spiritual cosmology, drawing parallels between geological epochs, the Yuga cycles, and a theoretical proposition on gestation period of human pregnancy. The study delves into how cosmic time scales—such as the spiritual notion of Brahma's day and night—align with major evolutionary milestones, from the formation of the universe to the emergence of Homo sapiens. Furthermore, the scientifically accepted human gestation period is examined in relation to the Yuga system, suggesting a symbolic representation of the transition from the unmanifest to individual existence. By synthesizing insights from evolutionary biology, astrophysics, and spiritual metaphysics, this paper presents a unique interdisciplinary perspective on humanity's place and purpose in the cosmic order. The findings contribute to a broader reinterpreted understanding of time, existence, and the interplay between science and spirituality.

Keywords: Evolution, Science, Spirituality, Time, Metaphysics, Cosmic Time

INTRODUCTION

The Current Scientific Consensus over the Origins of Homo Sapiens-

The origin of Homo sapiens is a central topic in paleoanthropology, combining evidence from fossil records, genetics, and archaeology. The modern scientific consensus suggests that Homo sapiens evolved in Africa approximately 300,000 years ago and later migrated to other parts of the world, replacing or interbreeding with earlier hominin species (Stringer, C. B. 1989).

a) Tracing Human Evolution in Fossil Evidence

The oldest known fossils of anatomically modern humans (Homo sapiens) date back to around 300,000 years ago and were discovered at Jebel Irhoud, Morocco (Hublin et al., 2017). These fossils exhibit a mix of archaic and modern traits, indicating a gradual evolution toward modern human morphology.

Other key fossil sites include Omo Kibish, Ethiopia where Homo sapiens fossils with more modern features were uncovered (approx. 195,000 years old) (McDougall et al., 2005). Herto, Ethiopia is another such site where Intermediate forms of early humans (approx. 160,000 years aged) were discovered (White et al., 2003). The diversity of fossil evidence suggests that Homo sapiens did not emerge from a single location but rather evolved across multiple regions of Africa before dispersing.

b) Tracing Ancestry through DNA- Genetic Evidence

Molecular biology and genetics have revolutionized the study of human origins. Key genetic findings supporting the African origin hypothesis include Mitochondrial Eve Hypothesis that suggests all modern humans share a common maternal ancestor (termed “Mitochondrial Eve”) who lived in Africa around 150,000–200,000 years ago (Cann et al., 1987). Y-Chromosomal Adam Hypothesis is suggestive that the most recent common paternal ancestor of all living humans lived around 200,000–300,000 years ago, also in Africa (Karmin et al., 2015). Populations outside Africa have less genetic diversity compared to those within Africa, suggesting a small founder population left Africa and expanded (Liu et al., 2006). These genetic studies strongly support the Out-of-Africa Model of human origins.

c) Out-of-Africa Model vs. Multiregional Model

There are two main hypotheses about the emergence of modern humans:

- (A) Out-of-Africa Model (Widely Accepted) states that *Homo sapiens* evolved exclusively in Africa and then migrated outward around 60,000–70,000 years ago. During migration, they replaced or interbred with archaic hominin populations like Neanderthals and Denisovans (Stringer, 2002). After originating in Africa, *Homo sapiens* spread across the globe in multiple waves that led to genetic diversity and the formation of modern ethnic and cultural groups. The table below is reflective of the events that happened over the last 70,000 years which might find place in the Vedic records, be it symbolic.

Table 1: Timeline of Early Human Migration over the Past 100,000 Years

Time Period	Migration Event
~70,000 years ago	First migration out of Africa (Gugliotta, G. 2008)
~60,000 years ago	Arrival in the Middle East and South Asia (Haslam et al, 2017)
~50,000 years ago	Arrival in Australia (via Southeast Asia) (O’Connell et al, 1998)
~45,000 years ago	Arrival in Europe (Mellars, P. 2006)
~30,000 years ago	Arrival in East Asia (Hublin, J.J. 2021)
~20,000 years ago	Migration to the Americas via Beringia (Meltzer, D. J. 1993)
~10,000 years ago	Development of agriculture and civilizations (Gepts, P. 2003)

- (B) Multiregional Model (Less Accepted) suggests *Homo erectus* populations in Africa, Asia, and Europe evolved independently into *Homo sapiens* with some gene flow (Wolpoff et al., 1984). Recent genetic evidence shows that modern humans interbred with Neanderthals and Denisovans, but this does not support full regional continuity. Thus, the Out-of-Africa model with minor interbreeding is the dominant theory today.

d) Interbreeding with Archaic Hominins

Modern *Homo sapiens* did not completely replace earlier hominin species but rather interbred with them. Many researchers propose that *Homo heidelbergensis* (c. 600,000–200,000 years ago) was the last common ancestor of modern humans, Neanderthals, and Denisovans (Manzi, G. 2011). Fossil evidence suggests that African populations of *H. heidelbergensis* evolved into *Homo sapiens*, while European and Asian populations gave rise to Neanderthals and Denisovans (Stringer, 2012).

Genetic evidences show that Non-African humans carry about 1–2% Neanderthal DNA (Green et al.,

2010). Some modern humans in Asia and Oceania have up to 5% Denisovan DNA (Reich et al., 2010). This means modern humans are not purely descended from a single predecessor but rather a complex network of ancestral populations. Some African populations show signs of genetic contributions from unknown archaic hominins (Hsieh et al., 2016).

This suggests that other, undiscovered hominin species existed which interbred and are the leading candidate for our last common ancestor. The possibility of the existence of an unknown archaic human (Ghost Hominins) suggests that the evolutionary path to modern humans was not a single, linear pathway, rather these Ghost Hominins interbred with our ancestors before vanishing. This interbreeding played a role in immune system adaptation and other traits seen in modern populations.

From the above it can be stated that the origins of modern humans are well-supported by fossil, genetic, and archaeological evidence. While Out-of-Africa model remains the most widely accepted theory, at the far end, there exists a possibility of genetic interbreeding with archaic hominins that played a role in our evolutionary history. The study of human origins continues to evolve, integrating new fossil discoveries, ancient DNA research, and technological advancements in genetics. Science has made significant progress in tracing the origins of *Homo sapiens*, but the exact identity of our last genetic predecessor remains uncertain due to gaps in the fossil and genetic record.

e) Charles Darwin's Contribution to Human Evolution

Charles Darwin's theory of evolution is the foundation of modern evolutionary biology. His work laid the groundwork for understanding how species, including humans, evolved over time through natural selection. In *On the Origin of Species* (1859), Darwin proposed that all life evolved from common ancestors. Species change over generations due to variation, competition, and natural selection. The most adaptive traits survive and get passed on to offspring. Evolution is gradual and occurs over millions of years. However, in *The Descent of Man* (1871), Darwin directly addressed human evolution, stating that humans share a common ancestor with apes.

According to Darwin, humans did not originate separately but evolved from ape-like ancestors through gradual changes (Darwin, C. 1871, 2016). Though Darwin lacked fossil evidence, he speculated that Africa was the birthplace of humans because our closest relatives, chimpanzees and gorillas, lived there. Beyond natural selection, he introduced sexual selection—the idea that traits like facial features, hairlessness, and intelligence evolved due to mate preference. He suggested that intelligence, tool use, and upright walking were major adaptive advantages leading to the dominance of *Homo sapiens*.

Some of Darwin's ideas have stood the test of time, and have been later supported whereas other ideas have been modified. Likewise, DNA studies confirm humans and chimpanzees share 98.8% of genes, proving a common ancestor. However, Epigenetics suggests that environmental factors can modify gene expression, and new discoveries show that human's are still to figure out all of their ancestors.

Alternative Scientific Explanations to Human Evolution

As per the Panspermia Hypothesis, some scientists, like Francis Crick (co-discoverer of DNA structure), proposed that life—including human ancestors—may have originated from space via microorganisms or extraterrestrial intervention (Crick & Orgel, 1973). This does not necessarily mean humans were "created" by aliens, but that the basic components of life may have arrived on Earth via comets, asteroids, or alien microbes.

Simulation Theory proposed by Nick Bostrom (2003), suggests that our universe and human existence may be a simulation created by an advanced intelligence. It argues that if civilizations eventually

develop highly advanced artificial intelligence (AI) and computing power, they might simulate past events—including human evolution. This perspective parallels Vedic Maya (illusion), which suggests that reality is a constructed experience rather than absolute truth.

Spiritual Perspectives on Human Origins-

Some proponents argue that human complexity (e.g., DNA, consciousness) cannot be purely explained by random mutations and natural selection. The Intelligent Design Hypothesis suggests that a higher intelligence (God, cosmic force, or unknown entity) played a role in shaping human evolution (Behe, M. 2003). While not widely accepted in mainstream science, it remains a major perspective in theological and philosophical discussions.

Many ancient texts and traditions offer alternative explanations for human existence, often involving divine creation, cosmic consciousness, or metaphysical evolution. According to Vedic cosmology, humans have existed in cycles of Yugas (ages), which repeat over vast timescales. The Puranas and Vedas describe how the first humans (Manu) were divinely created and lived in harmony with cosmic laws (Dharma). This view aligns with cyclical time, where humanity rises and falls in spiritual evolution across multiple epochs (Gajić, A. 2022).

These spiritual texts describe the creation of the universe and humans in ways that differ fundamentally from modern scientific discoveries. While some interpretations of these texts have attempted to bridge cosmology, evolution and theology, there are no direct scientific corroborations for most spiritual accounts. The reason being science is based on empirical evidence, while spiritual texts are based on metaphysical and theological interpretations. While some religious accounts symbolically resemble aspects of scientific theories, they do not undergo the same scrutiny of falsifiability (Werner, K. 1990).

Also, since scientific models are based on physical evidence, the timescales and mechanisms as interpreted from spiritual traditions do not directly align with empirical findings. Moreover, many scientists are of the opinion that spiritual texts use metaphors and allegories, which are not meant to be taken literally, as scientific theories aim for precise explanations, whereas spiritual texts often communicate abstract philosophical truths.

However, there are instances where these spiritual texts seem to contain numerical or conceptual information that aligns with modern scientific findings. For instance, there is a phrase in the sacred text of the Hanuman Chalisa, a devotional hymn dedicated to Lord Hanuman, written by Tulsidas, stating—"Yug sahastra yojana par Bhanu, leelyo taahi madhur phal jaanu." It refers to the legend where Hanuman, as a child, mistook the Sun for a ripe fruit and leaped towards it to swallow it. The phrase describes the distance he covered in this leap.

"Yug (12,000 divine years) × Sahastra (1,000) × Yojana (8 miles) = 96 million miles (or ~150 million km)"

This is close to the actual average distance between the Earth and the Sun (~149.6 million km) (Singhal et al., 2023). The striking similarity between the poetic estimation in Hanuman Chalisa and modern scientific measurements of the Earth-Sun distance has intrigued many scholars and scientists.

The word "युग" (Yuga) is etymologically rooted to and linguistically derived from "युज्" (Yuj) in Sanskrit, meaning "to join" or "to unite" or "to yoke". However, there is another word rooted in the same Sanskrit term 'Yuj', called "योग" (Yoga). Unlike "Yuga," which refers to time, "Yoga" refers to spiritual, mental, or physical practices that lead to self-realization and liberation (Joshi, K.S. 1965). For the purpose of this paper, both the words hold immense scope for understanding the timeline and the purpose of human

evolution.

The Rig Veda (10.129.1) describes a primordial cosmic void and an expansion process, which resembles the modern Big Bang Theory (Humphrey, R. L. 2015). The Nasadiya Sukta (Rig Veda 10.129) speaks of creation emerging from a single concentrated point, similar to the singularity before the Big Bang. Vedic texts mention a force that keeps celestial bodies in their orbits, "The Earth is upheld by the law of nature" (Rig Veda 10.149.1), resembling gravitational principles (Sidharth, B. G. 2005). The Vaisheshika school of Vedic philosophy (600 BCE, Kanada Rishi) describes anu (atoms) as indivisible, self-sustaining particles—a concept close to modern atomic theory (Sharma et al., 2024).

The Dashavatara (10 incarnations of Vishnu) seem to mirror evolution- Matsya (Fish) → Kurma (Turtle) → Varaha (Boar) → Narasimha (Half-Man, Half-Lion) → Vamana (Dwarf) → Parashurama (Primitive Human) → Rama (Advanced Human) → Krishna (Spiritually Elevated Human) (Jones, N. F. 2015). Vedic cosmology describes a cyclical universe, where creation and destruction happen repeatedly. Some modern physicists (like Roger Penrose) propose the Cyclic Conformal Cosmology model, which resembles Kalpas and Yugas (Grover et al., 2012).

At one hand, many scholars argue that these numbers and descriptions are metaphors rather than exact scientific data. At the other hand, some researchers propose that ancient sages gained real astronomical and mathematical knowledge, possibly through observations over millennia, or an intuitive process of insight, or lost technologies or knowledge systems that are yet to be rediscovered.

Currently, modern science hasn't acknowledged these ancient insights, as it requires a mechanistic explanation. Ancient texts often do not provide the methodology for how these numbers were obtained. Many ancient texts were passed down orally before being written, and over the course of time, some information may have been lost or altered over time. Hence, these texts meet scientific scrutiny as any knowledge that does not fit the materialistic and empirical framework is not considered scientific.

However, a balanced approach to scientific investigation into these ancient texts is required, rather than dismissing them as purely mythical. With regards to human evolution, there are many textual references within these spiritual texts, which might shed light, into the timeline of how homo-sapiens emerged. For example, Hindu cosmology's 4.32 million-year Yuga cycle might symbolize consciousness states rather than literal time periods. Therefore, this paper is an attempt to integrate scientific and spiritual perspectives to construct a theoretical framework linking Vedic cosmology with human evolution.

Significance of the Study

This study emerges from an already existing need to synthesize scientific, cosmological, and spiritual perspectives on human evolution. The scientific narrative of human evolution is predominantly biological, fossil-based, and genetic, while Vedic cosmology presents an alternative, time-based evolutionary framework through Yuga cycles. The absence of integrative research has resulted in fragmented interpretations of human origins, limiting a comprehensive understanding of evolution that incorporates both material and metaphysical dimensions. This study aims to reconcile the two perspectives, offering a holistic understanding that incorporates cosmic time, consciousness, and spiritual evolution. Existing research on evolutionary biology traces the emergence of Homo sapiens over millions of years, whereas Vedic cosmology presents Yugas spanning vast cosmic durations. The study constructs a comparative timeline to map scientific milestones (e.g., Big Bang, emergence of life, human evolution) with scriptural descriptions of cosmic cycles. This approach helps contextualize how ancient Vedic wisdom may correlate with modern cosmology. The Western scientific worldview largely

perceives time as linear and progressive, whereas Vedic cosmology introduces a cyclical and recursive model of existence. Understanding time as a cosmic cycle rather than a simple forward-moving phenomenon could reshape discussions on evolution, rebirth, and spiritual progress. The study challenges the conventional perception of time, providing an alternative philosophical framework rooted in Vedic traditions. Evolutionary biology focuses on physical adaptations, but human consciousness, self-awareness, and spiritual development remain underexplored in mainstream discussions. Vedic scriptures suggest that evolution is not just physical but also spiritual; progressing from material existence to higher states of consciousness. The study investigates how human evolution can be viewed as both a biological transformation and a spiritual journey.

Methodology

Research Design: This study adopts a qualitative, contextual, hermeneutic research design, with the aim to contextualize and compare scientific and spiritual narratives. This involved reinterpretations of the texts from Vedic epics and supporting them with the current scientific consensus.

Data Sources and Literature Selection: The study analyzes literature spanning from 1800 to 2025, covering both historical and contemporary sources to ensure a comprehensive perspective. The selected texts include Vedic Scriptures that describe cosmic cycles, the nature of consciousness and spiritual evolution. Papers and books on evolutionary biology, astrophysics, and human origins that outline the standard model of human evolution from a scientific standpoint have been referred. Research have been referred that bridge spirituality, consciousness studies and cosmology for forming a synthesis of traditional and modern perspectives.

Literature Analysis: The paper has primarily employed a hermeneutic - narrative review and theoretical analysis to explore human evolution through historical, spiritual, and cosmological perspectives. A hermeneutic - narrative review has been chosen as it enabled the synthesis and exploration of thematic coherence across the disciplines. Unlike empirical studies that rely on numerical data or statistical analysis, this research synthesizes and interprets existing knowledge from diverse sources, including Vedic cosmology, Vedic texts, and scientific literature. The goal was to construct a comparative timeline that aligns modern evolutionary biology with ancient cosmic frameworks, facilitating a holistic understanding of human evolution. Theoretical analysis has been adopted as this study does not rely on primary data collection, enabling for an in-depth examination of Vedic cosmology's cyclical time model in relation to the linear progression of modern evolutionary science and drawing meaningful interpretations.

Operational Definitions:

Human Evolution: refers to the lengthy process of change by which people originated from apelike ancestors. It involves the development of physical, cognitive, and social traits over millions of years through natural selection and genetic adaptation. According to the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, "Human evolution is the process by which human beings developed on Earth from now-extinct primates" (Smithsonian Institution, 2023).

Cosmic Science: Cosmic science is an interdisciplinary field that explores the origins, structure, evolution, and fundamental laws governing the universe. It integrates astrophysics, cosmology, quantum mechanics, and metaphysical perspectives to understand the nature of existence. According to Hawking (1988), "Cosmic science seeks to explain the vast and complex nature of the universe, from the Big

Bang to the fundamental forces shaping reality."

Spirituality: Spirituality is a broad and multifaceted concept that involves a sense of connection to something greater than oneself, often encompassing personal growth, meaning, and purpose in life. It may or may not be linked to religious beliefs. According to Pargament (1999), "Spirituality refers to the search for the sacred, a process through which individuals seek meaning, transcendence, and a deeper understanding of life" (p. 12).

Vedic spirituality: is a philosophy rooted in the ancient Vedic texts of India, emphasizing self-realization, the pursuit of truth (Satya), and union with the ultimate reality, Brahman. It integrates concepts such as dharma (righteous duty), karma (cause and effect), and moksha (liberation). According to Prasad (2008), "Vedic spirituality is a holistic approach to life that seeks harmony between the self and the cosmos through knowledge (jnana), devotion (bhakti), and disciplined action (karma yoga)" (p. 47).

Aim of the Study: Is to propose a novel comparative timeline of human evolution by integrating perspectives from modern scientific theories, cosmic time scales, and spiritual traditions, particularly Vedic Cosmology.

Literature Synthesis

Unified perspectives of Science and Spirituality

a) Time – a linear dimension or a cyclical process:

Time, or Kāla (काल), holds a significant place in Vedic cosmology as well as in modern physics. Both perspectives attempt to define time's nature, flow, and ultimate purpose, though they approach it differently. In contrast to the Western thought prevalent till date, Vedic Tradition suggests that time is not linear; rather it is considered as cyclical and eternal. However some similarities in viewpoints are emerging as science is progressing (Brahmacari, D. S. K. D. 2024).

Likewise, as per modern cosmology, time began with the Big Bang (13.8 billion years ago) and some viewpoints such as the Cyclic Universe Model suggest that the manifestation of the universe occurs endlessly with each time beginning with a Big Bang and ending with a Big Crunch (Steinhardt et al., 2002). Similarly, Vedic texts suggest that universes are cyclic. Likewise, there are verses that find similarities with the current scientific propositions. For instance as per the Nasdiya Sukta, the first verse of 129th chapter of the 10th part of the Rig Veda states-

Rig Veda 10.129.1:

नासदासीन्नो सदासीत्तदानीं

"There was neither existence nor non-existence; there was no time."

The above resembles the Big Bang Singularity, suggesting that before creation, even space and time didn't exist (Humphrey, R. L. 2015). Scientific propositions like M-theory (11-dimensional superstring theory) or just higher dimensional physics suggest that the universe consists of more than four dimensions (three spatial + one temporal) (Schwarz, J. H. 1982). In the 4th spatial dimension, time would behave non-linearly, meaning an entity in that dimension could move forward and backward in time, just as 3D beings like humans move freely in the 3D space (Rana, S. 2023). This implies that time is not necessarily a one-way arrow, as is experienced, but a more flexible and fluid entity.

Borrowing this idea from physical sciences, if time is just another dimension, then beings in higher dimensions can move freely across past, present and future, which is exactly what, is seen in the Vedic texts. For instance, Maharishi Valmiki is often considered a Trikal Darshi (त्रिकालदर्शी) who had insights

into the past, present and future. It is popularly known in the Indian subcontinent that Valmiki composed the Ramayana before Rama even lived out the events suggesting Valmiki had visions (drishti) of the future.

b) Consciousness- an inherent property of the Universe from Micro-level to Macro-level existence
Complex multi-cellular living creatures like human beings are observably aware of their surroundings and themselves. Various scientific disciplines term this awareness as consciousness, which is the emergent property of complex biological systems that enables an organism to be aware of and intentionally interact with its internal and external environment. This phenomenon arises from the integration of information across neural networks, facilitating subjective experiences and adaptive behaviors (Taylor, J. G. 1997).

Conventional physics states that any living body or everything in the universe at the basic level is made up of atoms. Deeper within the atoms, there are sub-atomic particles. Physics states that the smallest particles with which existence is constituted are electrons, quarks, photons, neutrinos etc. However on observation, only complex living beings exhibit consciousness, and non-living things lack this property of awareness. Hence, some physicists propose “quantum consciousness” models (Orch-OR Theory) which suggests that consciousness is linked to quantum states in microtubules of the brain (Hameroff et al., 2014).

Though current physics does not fully explain consciousness and works on such propositions, yet an emerging and promising postulation- the string theory attempts to explain the fundamental nature of matter and forces in a novel way, opening the possibilities regarding this hard to investigate subject-consciousness. As per string theory, at the most fundamental level, everything is made of tiny vibrating strings of energy, not point-like particles (Olive, D. I. 1989).

The way these strings vibrate determines the nature of a particle. Hence, string theory hints at a unified reality where everything is energy vibrating at different frequencies. Space-time itself and higher dimensions may emerge from these fundamental vibrations. So, if everything is just energy vibrating in different ways, then consciousness could also be a manifestation of these vibrations at some fundamental level.

Emphasizing on the point, it may be stated that if all energy and matter are just vibrating strings, consciousness could be an intrinsic property of all these vibrations, suggestive of a “universal consciousness” much like how the unified field theory seeks to explain all forces. This aligns with many Vedic ideas, suggesting consciousness may be a fundamental property of the universe rather than merely an emergent brain phenomenon (Tononi et al., 2015).

For instance, Advaita Vedanta suggests that all existence is just Brahman (one unified energy/consciousness). Likewise, Nandram et al. (2022), commented on the Sanskrit phrase below-
यथा पिण्डे तथा ब्रह्माण्डे

"As is the microcosm, so is the macrocosm."

They suggested a fundamental correspondence between the individual and the universe- the idea that whatever exists in the smallest unit of existence (Pinda- Individual self) also exists in the cosmic scale (Brahmanda- universal self) (Kumari et al., 2022). Hence, the interconnectedness of all levels of reality, from the atomic and biological to the cosmic and metaphysical has been emphasized. Similarly, the Chandogya Upanishad (6.1.4-6) describes the principle of "Tat Tvam Asi" (Thou art That), implying the unity of the self and the universe (Myers, M. W. 1993).

c) Non-living Matter to Conscious Complex Beings- A Unified Vision in Vedic Thought and Modern Physics

Life does not arise suddenly from non-living matter; instead, it is the result of a gradual increase in complexity, through self-organization and chemical reactions. A zygote being a single-celled organism formed by the fusion of a sperm and an egg is considered alive because it meets the fundamental criteria of life as defined by biology (Skrzypek, J. W. 2024). But the question of how atoms transition into consciousness remains a deep scientific mystery. As per the scientific view points, atoms alone are not alive, but their chemical interactions within organized biological systems gives rise to life (Fernel, J. 2020). While science can explain how non-living atoms organize into a zygote, the exact moment or mechanism by which conscious life emerges is still unknown and unacknowledged.

In Vedic Philosophy, consciousness (Chaitanya) is not considered a mere byproduct of complex material interactions, but rather an eternal, fundamental, and independent reality. The framework that explains this is the Purush-Prakriti Duality, which gives a structured understanding of how life and consciousness emerge (Chauhan et al., 2025).

As per this dualistic viewpoint, Purusha is pure consciousness, unchanging, eternal and beyond physical existence. It is the seer (Drashta), the witness (Sakshi), the experiencing (Bhokta) and is bereft of any qualities (nirguna). It is neither created nor destroyed; it is beyond time and space. Prakriti is the nature, the root cause of all material existence- the manifested energy that transforms into various objects in the physical world upon possessed by the Purusha (Chauhan et al., 2025).

Everything physical (from atoms to galaxies, from the body to the brain) arises from Prakriti and it gets active as per the amalgamation with the Purusha. Prakriti has three qualities (trigunas) and every object that it creates with Purusha, also has these qualities in different proportions, making the object appear and behave uniquely with respect to other objects (Sidorova-Biryukova, A. 2020). Prakriti alone is unconscious- forms complex structures, but lacks awareness. Purusha alone is conscious- it is pure awareness, but without Prakriti, it cannot experience itself. When Purusha associates with Prakriti, consciousness appears within matter (Shrestha, M. M. 2021) - this is what is called life.

However, the Bhagavad Gita presents a deeply non-dualistic vision of existence. The Bhagawad Gita is a textual part of the epic Mahabharata attributed to Sage Vyasa (also a Trikal Darshi) and is classified as Smriti (Remembered texts). It is deeply rooted in Vedic Philosophy as it incorporates ideas of Brahman (Universal Consciousness) and yoga, drawing heavily from Vedic and Upanishadic thoughts.

In verses such as 9.4 and 9.5, Krishna (a leading character from the Mahabharata, whose existence has been proved to be historical rather than mythical with the successful Marine Archeological and Oceanographic investigations conducted for the ancient submerged city of Dwarka in the Arabian Sea, in the state of Gujarat, India (Arganis. H. F., 2019) states, “By Me, in My unmanifested form, this entire universe is pervaded. All beings are in Me.... Behold My divine mystery.” These verses convey a profound metaphysical truth: that the Supreme Consciousness (Krishna) both pervades and transcends the material universe. Thus, it can be stated that matter (Prakriti) and consciousness (Purusha) are not merely complementary; they are inherently inseparable, representing two facets of the same ultimate reality (Ojha, S. 2024).

Traditional Sankhya philosophy distinguishes Prakriti (unconscious matter) and Purusha (pure consciousness) as independent but interactive principles. However, the Gita offers a more interwoven model, where Krishna identifies himself with—the creator, the sustainer, and the indwelling consciousness in all. In this context, it is not inaccurate to posit that Prakriti and Purusha are inseparable

aspects of a unified cosmic consciousness. The distinction is only pedagogical, meant to aid understanding, rather than to assert an ontological dualism.

This non-dual interpretation opens the door to an integrative dialogue with modern physics, particularly with String Theory, which proposes that at the most fundamental level, all particles are made up of vibrating strings of energy (Cho, A. 2004). These vibrations determine the properties of particles such as mass, charge, and spin. Although string theory is still a theoretical framework without experimental confirmation, it introduces the idea that what appears to be “solid” matter is, at its core, vibrational and energetic.

From a Vedic standpoint, this is not a foreign concept. The idea of Spanda (vibrational pulse) in Kashmir Shaivism or Nāda Brahman (the universe as sound or vibration) in Upanishadic thought already posits that vibration is the source of creation (Wilke, A. 2017). Aligning this with Krishna’s declaration that He is the source of all beings and that all reside in Him, it becomes possible to argue that these vibrations are not merely energetic—they are expressions of consciousness itself (Shanta, B. N. 2015).

While mainstream science currently treats consciousness as an emergent property of neurobiological processes, the perspective offered by the Gita suggests a reverse causality: consciousness is primary, and matter is a structured expression of consciousness (Rivera-Dugenio, J. 2017). In this speculative but coherent view, even atoms—though unconscious in behavior—could be said to contain or be permeated by a latent field of consciousness.

This idea finds echoes in panpsychism, a growing philosophical viewpoint which argues that consciousness is a fundamental feature of reality, present even at the level of particles (Hameroff, S. 2001). The work of scholars like David Chalmers, Galileo Commission thinkers, and physicist Roger Penrose (with the Orch-OR theory of consciousness) illustrate that the “hard problem” of consciousness continues to resist purely materialistic explanations.

Hence, the first proposition of this paper lies in the synthesis of the Gita’s metaphysical insights with modern theories like string theory allowing for a new paradigm—one where consciousness and matter are not in opposition as separate entities, but are two modes of the same cosmic substance. This holistic understanding could potentially dissolve the Cartesian split between mind and matter, opening avenues for a more integrated cosmology that honors both the scientific and spiritual dimensions of human existence.

In humans, being the most complex biological systems, this union of Purush and Prakriti manifests as the Jiva (individual consciousness), which experiences itself and the world through the Mind, intellect and ego (Karmakar, N. C. 2023). In biological reproduction, a parallel can be drawn- for instance Prakriti- the material cause can be seen as the Ovum, which is consciously receptive and is the foundational aspect of life. It contains half the vibrations of nourishment and structure for life to manifest, and remains passive and inert until activated by the other half.

Purusha, the consciously active principle, can be visualized with the movement of the sperm, which is active, initiating force. It carries the required other half of the information (DNA) that would be required to form a completely functional alive system (Colgrove, N. 2025), that would turn into healthy and intelligent being with the pure whole consciousness from gestation until birth as is possessed by the universal consciousness. Without fertilization, the ovum remains dormant- similar to how Prakriti alone is unconscious until Purusha engages with it.

d) Human Conception and Birth- The Universal Consciousness taking lesser time to leap into the world with each Epoch (Yuga)

The typical duration of human pregnancy is 280-294 days (40- 42 weeks) from the first day of the last menstrual period (LMP) (Delaney et al., 2008). However, in some cases, pregnancies can extend well beyond this. The longest recorded human pregnancy lasted 375 days (12.5 months), as reported in 1945 (Sarumi, I. A. R. 2018). The baby was born healthy but underweight, suggesting slow fetal development rather than continued normal growth.

Any pregnancy lasting beyond 42 weeks (294 days) is considered post-term (Nakling et al., 2006). Doctors typically induce labor after 41–42 weeks to avoid complications such as placental aging, reduced oxygen supply, and stillbirth risks. While 44-45 weeks pregnancies have been reported anecdotally, they are rare and often involve miscalculated due dates.

The human pregnancy cannot continue indefinitely as the placenta stops functioning efficiently after a certain period, leading to risks for both mother and baby. A larger baby may struggle to pass through the birth canal. Labor is triggered by hormonal changes that naturally start contractions (Liao et al., 2005). Hence, while, 280 -294 days (40- 42 weeks) is standard, 43–44 weeks is the extreme upper limit in rare cases, and beyond 42 weeks, medical intervention is usually necessary.

Taking the upper limit at 43 weeks, it would mean a total maximum of 300 days of pregnancy (around 10 months), which would be unusual, not safe due to increasing risks for the baby and mother, and classified as an extreme-post-term pregnancy. As per the literature, beyond this limit, human pregnancies would not continue, and require absolutely necessary medical involvement in order to induce or do a C-Section, for the pregnancy to a successful birth (Heimstad et al., 2007).

If this duration of 43 weeks (300 days) from the point of conception or last menstrual period, till birth is converted into minutes, the figure is 432,000 minutes, beyond which pregnancy is not possible. Considering this duration in minutes, and taking references from the literal meaning of “Yoga”, which means “to join”, this period of pregnancy can be considered as the duration till which the universal consciousness remains in the purest of primordial state (MUÑOZ, M. I. R. 2016), and has joined material body to prepare itself for the illusionary world from birth onwards.

It can be interpreted that before birth, the soul (Atman- a smaller version of the universal consciousness as it is) remains in a pure state, getting influenced physically and mentally by the prenatal environment and mother’s health (Kinare, A. 2008). This period of gestation can be seen as a time when the soul is floating in the formless and timeless state of existence. Birth becomes the moment of separation from the purest state, where Maya (Illusion) takes hold, leading to worldly attachments, karma, and the cycle of life called suffering.

In other words, the concept of Yoga in the spiritual texts directly point to the pure Union or Joining of the Universal Consciousness with the material body that happens at conception where it exists in its most innocent form. The entire duration of pregnancy of a woman is sacred in the sense, that her womb carries this union which remains in its purest form throughout the gestation, leading to the eventual development of the self- sustaining human body (Sarkar, S. 2020). If gestation symbolizes primordial Yoga (union with Brahman), then birth must represent spiritual "fall" into Maya. Life then must be the process of conscious spiritual awakening (Moksha) through suffering that is a reversal of this journey, where one moves back towards the original state of unity with Brahman, dissolving Maya through wisdom and realization.

e) Reinterpreting human gestational periods in light of Progressive Decline in Yuga Durations

The figure 432,000 minutes of human gestation, corroborating to 300 days, is the limit to which any normal human pregnancy can stretch. Interestingly, spiritual texts such as the Vishnu Purana cite the duration of Kaliyuga as 432,000 human years (Dunbavin, P. 2024). Given that the duration of the said Yuga and the scientifically possible gestational period of the humans in minutes are in same numerical figures, the striking resemblances between the two leads to the second proposition in this paper as discussed below.

As per the Vedic cosmological framework, the four Yugas—Satya, Treta, Dvapara, and Kali—represent cyclical epochs characterized by progressive decline in dharma (righteousness), longevity, and spiritual consciousness (Eitschinger, V. 2020). Each Yuga is of a progressively shorter duration: 1,728,000 years (Satya), 1,296,000 years (Treta), 864,000 years (Dvapara), and 432,000 years (Kali) (Swargiary, K. 2025). This decreasing span is traditionally understood to reflect a corresponding decline in moral and spiritual qualities of life forms on Earth. However, **this study proposes an extension of that logic into the prenatal domain, suggesting a symbolic and metaphysical parallel between the decreasing duration of Yugas and the declining duration of human gestation.**

As already stated, Sanskrit root “yuj” (from which yuga is derived) means “to join” or “to unite,” implying a state of deep integration or connectedness (Bhavanani et al., 2019). This paper posits that the human gestational period—approximately 300 days, or 432,000 minutes—represents a symbolic microcosm of the Kali Yuga, wherein the soul exists in its most undisturbed and unified state with Brahman (the Supreme Consciousness) prior to birth. Within the womb, the fetus is not yet subject to the distractions of maya (illusion), ego, or sensory experience. It exists in a liminal state—connected yet not individuated—a condition of pure Yoga, or spiritual union.

Upon birth, this union is disrupted. The soul, now embodied, begins its engagement with the material world and the illusions it imposes. In this framework, 432,000 minutes of gestation are symbolically aligned with the duration of Kali Yuga, marking the final phase of spiritual proximity before the descent into material separation. In this sense, birth metaphorically represents the transition from pure consciousness into a new, individuated karmic cycle, where the soul must gradually re-attain the unity it once knew.

Furthermore, the narrative from the Mahabharata concerning Queen Gandhari’s prolonged pregnancy is particularly illustrative when viewed through this lens. Gandhari carried her fetus for nearly two years, a highly anomalous condition even by ancient standards (Kalra et al., 2016). If we interpret Yuga durations as indicative of gestational timelines during those epochs, Dvapara Yuga’s longer span (864,000 human years really meant 864,000 minutes of gestation that was the maximum duration of human pregnancy achievable in those times) suggests that beings of that age may have experienced longer periods of gestation—both biologically and symbolically. In Gandhari’s case, the narrative also hints at an intervention by sages (specifically Vyasa) to extract and incubate the embryos (Shukla et al., 2024), which could be read allegorically as a representation of declining natural capacities and increasing dependence on external forces to sustain spiritual and biological continuity—a hallmark of spiritual decline across Yugas.

Thus, the progressive decline in Yuga durations may also be reflected in a shortening of the gestational phase, representing a metaphysical timeline in which the soul’s proximity to the Divine becomes increasingly brief before it enters the world of maya. From this perspective, the womb is not merely a biological vessel but a symbolic container of cosmic union, whose duration reflects the evolving

spiritual capacity of each epoch. This view opens up novel possibilities for interpreting human development, birth, and spiritual memory in light of cyclical time and consciousness models rooted in Hindu cosmology.

The beginning of Kali Yuga is traditionally dated to 3102 BCE, which is immediately after the departure of Krishna from the earthly realm (Fleet, J. F. 1911). As stated in the Bhagavata Purana (1.15.36): "When Sri Krishna departed for His abode, the principles of righteousness, knowledge, and truth also departed with Him. Kali entered this world just at that time."

This moment marks a cosmic severance—the very disappearance of divine presence—which, when symbolically aligned with the moment of human birth, reinforces the concept that the soul is severed from complete spiritual awareness at the moment of birth, beginning its journey through illusion, ignorance, and karma. This proposed pattern of progressively declining gestation duration is symbolically aligned with the shrinking spiritual proximity to the divine.

Taking this symbolic framework further, the remaining duration of Kali Yuga, when expressed in human minutes, yields an astonishing resemblance to the average human gestational period.

- Total duration of Kali Yuga: 432,000 years
- Years elapsed since Krishna's departure (3102 BCE to 2025 CE): 5127 years
- Remaining: 426,873 years

Now converting this into human units of days as per the standard units of hourly time prevalent in modern human scientific community used for measurement:

- $426,873 \div 60$ (to convert to hours): $\approx 7,114.55$ hours
- $7,114.55 \div 24$ (to convert to days): ≈ 296.44 days

This yields approximately 296.44 days, nearly identical to the upper limit of modern human gestation period, which averages around 280–294 days. This symbolic alignment suggests that as cosmic time declines, so does the womb's duration as a space of divine union, implying that the soul's opportunity for remaining in pure awareness is contracting with the degradation of the age.

Table 2: Scriptural References and Symbolic Gestational Timelines Across Yugas

Yuga	Duration (in human minutes as per the propositions in this paper)	Symbolic Gestational Insight	Scriptural Mythological Reference	Example of Extended Gestation	Interpretation
Satya Yuga	1,728,000	Longest period of spiritual unity and divine proximity; birth is rare and spiritually guided.	Vedic references suggest beings were born through manas (mind) rather than physical wombs.	Sanaka, Sanandana, Sanatana, Sanatkumara—born from Brahma's mind (Bhagavata Purana 3.12.4)	Symbolizes gestation in pure consciousness, beyond biological limitation.
Treta Yuga	1,296,000	Still long gestation;	Valmiki Ramayana,	Lord Rama and brothers—born after	Delayed conception and divine

Yuga	Duration (in human minutes as per the propositions in this paper)	Symbolic Gestational Insight	Scriptural Mythological Reference	Example of Extended Gestation	Interpretation
		divine births through tapas or boons after prolonged austerity.	Balakanda	King Dasharatha performs Putrakameshti Yajna, after years of childlessness.	intervention imply extended gestational waiting linked to karma and cosmic timing.
Dvapara Yuga	864,000	Notably long physical gestation; reduced spiritual clarity.	Mahabharata, Adi Parva	Queen Gandhari carried her pregnancy for almost 2 years (Mahabharata, Adi Parva, Section CXV).	Literal example of extended biological gestation, needing external intervention (Vyasa dividing fetus).
Kali Yuga	432,000	Shortest gestation; soul's divine connection weakest at birth.	Current epoch (described in Bhagavata Purana 12.2)	Modern human gestation: ~9-10 months = ~432,000 minutes.	

f) Justification for considering “Yuga Duration” as symbolic of “Human Minutes”- A necessity towards reinterpretation of Symbolic Textual References

In this context, it becomes necessary to look into the metaphysical descriptions of time in the spiritual texts. Several ancient Vedic texts, refer explicitly to the year being composed of 360 days.

Rigveda 1.164.48

द्वादश प्रथयश्चक्रम् एकं त्रीणि नाभ्याणि क उ तच्चिकेता
तस्मिन् साकं त्रिशताः न शङ्कवः अर्पिताः षष्टिः न चलाचलासः॥

Translation:

"Twelve spokes, one wheel, three navels—who understands this?
On it are set together 360 pegs, immovable, as though fixed."

The "wheel with 360 pegs" represents the year with 360 days. The 12 spokes refer to the 12 months. This is a symbolic cosmological model of time, widely interpreted by scholars as a Vedic representation of the year, carrying the same proportionate description of the calendar year followed worldwide in modern times. With reference to it, the day would have a length of 24 hours and 20 minutes. Revaluating the upper limit of gestational period of the current times with the remaining years of Kaliyuga-

- $426,873 \div 60$ (to convert to hours): $\approx 7,114.55$ hours
- $7,114.55 \div 24.33$ (to convert to days): ≈ 292.41 days

This gives even more precision on the upper limit, although it must be considered that higher limits in duration of pregnancy in the human female are still possible and have been scientifically recorded (Reid, J. 1850). It then becomes essential that the information in the Vedic Texts and the wordings used to describe them be reinterpreted from a scientific, logical and rational viewpoint. **Exploration of the multi- meaning words and phrases apart from carry philosophical teachings, numerical figures, the logic and technology that might have been used to arrive at these numbers is the third evitable proposition of this paper.**

Human evolution- Chance Phenomenon among Innumerable Biological Evolutionary Possibilities or a Determined Phenomenon as per Vedic Texts

a) The Serving Purpose- Factors that makes Homo sapiens dominant than other species as per Evolutionary Standpoint

As per the various scientific traditions, Homo sapiens evolved as the product of adaptive responses to environmental pressures over millions of years. Our particular traits — bipedalism, larger brains, language, tool use — were not inevitable, but rather contingent outcomes shaped by a combination of factors.

Likewise greater brain capacity came from the tendencies like environmental flexibility, social complexity, tool use, fire and sexual selection (Schillaci, M. A. 2006). For instance, shifting climates created environments where problem-solving, memory, and planning became crucial. Living in groups meant humans had to navigate relationships, cooperation, and competition. This likely led to the development of "social intelligence."

Better tools and cooking allowed for more efficient energy use, freeing metabolic resources to support a growing brain (expensive tissue hypothesis) (Aiello, L. C. 1997). Some researchers argue traits like intelligence, creativity, or language may have evolved not just for survival, but also for attracting mates — the way a peacock's tail evolves (Trost et al., 2009).

Similarly, bipedalism that preceded large brains, evolved for energy efficiency, freeing the hands and height advantage. Likewise, walking upright is more energy-efficient than knuckle-walking over long distances (Massaad et al., 2007). Walking on two legs also allowed manipulation of tools, carrying infants, food, or objects- driving further cognitive and social adaptations. Furthermore, being upright offered a better field of view in open grasslands.

Humans developed various systems of communication for social bonding, tool making and cultural transmission and brain structure. Language was a necessity for complex societies which needed communication for coordination, trust, and teaching (Sirbu, A. 2015). Language made it possible to pass on skills, hunting techniques, and survival strategies, giving humans a cultural evolutionary edge. Evolution of the Broca's and Wernicke's areas (language centers) was crucial and possibly co-evolved with vocal control and breathing.

Hence, science reasons that in place of humans, it could have been any other primate- as evolutionary outcomes are shaped by chance, mutation, selection and survival (Ruse, M. 2016). Homo sapiens are just one surviving twig on a vast hominin tree. Others — Neanderthals, Denisovans, Homo erectus, Homo habilis — also had potential, but went extinct. Random mutations, small founder populations, and environmental bottlenecks likely gave Homo sapiens a particular edge. Humans evolved not because this species was "meant to," but because human ancestors faced specific environmental and social pressures, developed adaptive traits that fed into each other (bipedalism → tool use → bigger brains → language), and through a mixture of natural selection and cultural evolution and ended up dominant.

Thus from the standpoint of evolutionary biology, the emergence of Homo sapiens is not seen as a predetermined or privileged event in the history of life. Instead, it is understood as a contingent outcome—a product of adaptive responses to shifting environments, chance mutations, ecological pressures, and social dynamics. In this view, humans evolved not because we were destined to, but because certain traits—bipedalism, increased brain size, social cognition, and language—offered a survival advantage in specific contexts.

The scientific model suggests that multiple evolutionary paths were possible, and many hominin lineages indeed emerged (Australopithecus, Homo habilis, Homo erectus, Neanderthals, Denisovans). Homo sapiens survived possibly due to:

- Greater behavioral flexibility and adaptability,
- More efficient symbolic thinking and language,
- Cooperation and group bonding, enhanced by cognitive empathy,
- A series of random genetic mutations and environmental coincidences (e.g., climate shifts).

This non-teleological view frames human emergence as a fortunate accident—an outcome that might not have occurred under slightly different circumstances.

b) The Spiritual Purpose: The Vedic Paradigm of Human Emergence

In contrast, the Vedic cosmological perspective presents the evolution of life—and specifically, the emergence of human beings—as a purposeful, cyclical process tied to the progressive manifestation of consciousness (Medhananda, S. 2023).

In this framework:

- Time is cyclical, not linear, and unfolds in Yugas—each representing a different phase of spiritual and moral evolution.
- The Dashavatara sequence symbolically mirrors biological evolution but is ultimately driven by divine consciousness (Vishnu), manifesting increasingly complex and self-aware beings (Gandhi, Y. J. 2024).
- Purusha (consciousness) and Prakriti (matter) interact continuously, with the ultimate goal being self-realization and return to Brahman.

In this vision, human life—especially the human mind and its capacity for self-reflection, devotion, and liberation (moksha)—is not an evolutionary accident but the intended vessel for cosmic self-awareness. For instance, the following verses declare human being as special and that human consciousness is not an accidental byproduct of evolution, but rather the deliberately chosen vessel through which Supreme Self (Brahman) residing within the body can come to recognize itself.

Bhagavata Purana (Śrīmad Bhāgavatam) 11.22.48–49

एतेषां	जीवजातीनां	मनुष्येण	विशेषतः।
ज्ञानं	विरक्तिरैश्वर्यं	धर्मो	यत्र
तस्मान्निरे	विशेषेण	सर्वात्मा	महागुणाः॥
हर्देनात्मानमात्मस्थं सर्वभूतमयं हरिम्॥			हरिरर्च्यते।

“Among all species of life, the human being is particularly special, because it alone is endowed with the great virtues of knowledge (jñāna), detachment (virakti), spiritual power (aiśvarya), and dharma (righteous conduct). Therefore, it is especially within the human body that one must worship the Supreme Being (Hari), who dwells within the heart and pervades all living beings.”

In other words, the phrase declares that out of the million species of life, beginning with aquatics, then plants, reptiles, birds, animals, getting human life is special. Getting the human form is rare and is the only one where liberation is possible. This verse makes it clear that human birth is exceptional because it uniquely allows for the recognition of the inner divine, moral discipline, and ultimately, liberation (moksha). It supports the concept of spiritual evolution, culminating in humanity as the most suitable vessel for self-realization. This is one of the clearest affirmations of a conscious evolutionary model in Vedic literature. It implies a hierarchy of embodiment, with the human form as the culmination of a spiritual journey through lower species — a concept quite aligned with symbolic evolution.

Manusmriti (1.96)

सर्वेषां तु स भूतेषु मनुष्येण विशेषतः।
धर्म एव प्रशंसन्ति धर्मो हि परमं बलम्॥

“Among all living beings, the human being is considered the highest; because only in humans is dharma (moral and spiritual righteousness) praised and practiced. Indeed, dharma is the supreme strength and virtue.”

This again emphasizes the human capacity for spiritual progress, suggesting that evolution leads to moral and self-aware agency, a trait unique to human life. This verse declares that human life is distinct because only humans can consciously uphold dharma, engage in ethical decision-making, and pursue liberation. It emphasizes that the human form is not just biologically superior, but morally and spiritually empowered.

Unlike scientific perspectives that explain human emergence through contingency and adaptation, these texts propose a teleological framework, where the evolution of the human mind is aligned with the cosmos's own drive for self-awareness and liberation (moksha). Thus, while science posits that humans arose by natural selection acting on chance variations, Vedic thought suggests that humans are the result of cosmic intention—the jiva (individual soul) evolving toward ultimate union with the divine. Where science emphasizes adaptation to environment, spirituality emphasizes alignment with the eternal.

Yet intriguingly, both frameworks can intersect:

- Science explains how we became human;
- Spirituality explores why.

In this dual view, evolution is both a physical journey through time and a metaphysical journey through consciousness. **The fourth postulation of this paper thus lies in the emergence of Homo sapiens, not just as the rise of a species, but a critical juncture in the unfolding of awareness itself.**

c) Tracing and adjusting the Timeline of Human Evolution – An inference of Vedic Information aligning Scientific Findings

Tracing the timeline of Human Evolution through the information available in Vedic Texts requires a revaluation of the verses with alternative, more plausible and scientifically aligned meanings than the traditional meanings ascribed to them, as any information which exists in the Vedas, is not to contradict the scientifically observable and measurable world, rather is for the purpose of better comprehension of the existence and easier spiritual journey. In this context, as per the Bhagavad Gita, Chapter 11 Verse 32, Krishna (the Manifested Universal Consciousness) identifies himself as Kala (Time), which consumes everything through destruction (Mahapralaya). This suggests that time is an aspect of Universal Consciousness and operates beyond limited human sensory and intellectual capacity.

Bhagavad Gita 11.32:

कालोऽस्मि लोकक्षयकृत्प्रवृद्धो।

"I am Time, the great destroyer of the worlds."

It is both a manifest force (governing creation, sustenance, and destruction) and an aspect of the Supreme Being (Brahman, Shiva, or Vishnu). Further the Puranas, which have a strong Vedic Context, serve as an extension and elaboration of Vedic Philosophy. Part three, Chapter Eleven, Verse Eighteen of Bhagavata Purana states explicitly that time, is measured in four Yugas and that within the four yugas, Dharma (Righteousness) fluctuates. The Universal Consciousness manifests in Incarnations, according to the need of the time as per the decreased righteousness and the cosmic qualities evolving over time.

Bhagavata Purana (3.11.18)

युगानि	कालेन	विचिन्त्य	पूर्व
चतुर्विधं	व्यास्यति	यत्र	धर्मः।
यत्रेश्वरः			स्वान्सृजतेऽवतारान्
धत्ते च कालेन गुणानुवृत्तः॥			

"Reflecting upon time (Kāla), the fourfold Yugas (epochs) are established, in which Dharma (righteousness) undergoes change. In these Yugas, the Supreme Lord (Ishvara) manifests His divine incarnations and assumes roles according to the influence of time and the modes of nature (Gunas)."

In the context of time, Yuga signifies a cycle or an era in Vedic cosmology. Vishnu Purana claims that time, operates in cycles, known as Yuga cycles. As per the first part, third chapter, eighth verse of the Vishnu Purana, the four Yugas are Krita Yuga, Treta Yuga, Dvapara Yuga and Kali Yuga. These four Yugas (ages) form a Mahayuga (Great Age), which repeats endlessly.

Vishnu Purana (1.3.8):

चत्वारि युगानि तस्य, कृतं त्रेता द्वापरं कलिः।

"There are four Yugas: Satya, Treta, Dvapara, and Kali."

The 99th verse of 24th chapter from fourth part of Vishnu Purana can be reinterpreted as-

Vishnu Purana (4.24.99)

चतुर्युगं	तु	तत्	प्रोक्तं	ब्राह्मस्य	किल	वत्सरम्।
कृतं	त्रेता	द्वापरं	च		कलिश्चेति	क्रमाद्युगाः॥
कृतयुगं	तु	समानं	शतं		पर्यायशो	गण्यम्।
षष्टिस्तु	त्रेतायुगस्य		द्वापरस्य		तु	तत्त्वतः॥
कलियुगस्य	चैतानि		वर्षाणि		क्रमशो	द्विजः॥

युगसहस्रपर्यन्तं ब्राह्ममेकमहः स्मृतम्॥

"The four Yugas are proclaimed by Brahman's true manifestation- as His own beloved child (man). The yugas in order are Krita (Satya), Treta, Dvapara, and Kali. Krita Yuga is measured as seven percent, in succession sequential, Treta Yuga is six percent, and factually it is Dvapara Yuga, truly. And, with Kali Yuga, these are— in order, O twice-born (dvija). A thousand such cycles later, Brahma realizes or regains his memory of self."

This yuga cycle is not only the external time, but an inner unfolding — a process through which Brahman manifests as the 'child' (vatsa), i.e., the individual soul or human consciousness. Hence the four yugas are not just long stretches of time but inner stages of consciousness evolution. The percentages reflect the degree of purity, awareness, or nearness to self. The thousand cycles symbolize the repetitive effort, the soul's journey, culminating in self-realization. Brahma, in this vision, is not a

deity in the sky — but the seed of consciousness inside each being, which slowly awakens.

Exactly the same numerical figures are mentioned in the 19th - 20th verses of the 11th Chapter of the third part of Bhagwad Purana, 69th - 71st verses from chapter one of Manusmriti and 12th - 14th verses of 231st chapter of Shanti Parva from the epic Mahabharata. The traditional Hindu interpretations of these verses state that one divine year of the Universal Consciousness equals 360 human years. However, with alternative interpretation of the last word in the first phrase above- वत्सरम् (Vatsaram) that comes from the root "वत्स" (Vatsa), which means calf, child, or beloved—implying something dear, nurturing, and connected to life, and "सर" (Sara) meaning essence, flow, or movement; it can be inferred that all the textual numerical figures revolve around human life, its duration, measurement and successful regain of unity with the divine whole consciousness.

Therefore, when the scriptures are talking about one divine year of the Universal Consciousness, it is speculative to state that the texts are making proclamation about the Brahman living inside the human body as life (Jiva) or individual consciousness, whose stay within the body can be a maximum of 100 years with each year comprising 360 days, and all the days equally spread across 12 months. Needless to state that given such precise calculations and structured information pertaining to human year, human gestation period, and length of human life, the scholars who held knowledge of the Vedas through Shruti (Listening) and wrote the Vedic texts, must have acquired such information through keen calculations of life existent on Earth during their time, and human life from birth until death and liberation. Their reason of such detailed investigation might have been to understand the purpose of human life itself, and if today's advanced technology and scientific paradigms permit, this speculation can be subject of further investigations.

Hence, it can be noted further that, the four Yugas purposefully are reserved for Brahman's own manifested unfolding of humans in the dimension of time. Instead of seeing Yugas as rigid blocks, they can be seen as dynamic movement, through which the Universal Consciousness grows and matures as living breathing beings. The progression from Satya Yuga (purity) to Kali Yuga (decay) is not just decline in time but a necessary cycle of transformation. Brahman, though eternal, experiences Himself through evolving individual consciousness attaining its highest potential. This aligns with the Advaita idea that time is a mere unfolding of the absolute.

Further delving deeper into the textual references, Brahma's time has been measured in Kalpa (कल्प). Derived from the Sanskrit root "klrp" (क्लृप्), meaning "to be well ordered or arranged", suggesting that time is a structured cycle having cosmic order. **Vishnu Purana, Book 1, Chapter 3**, describes Kalpa as-

कल्पादावह्वयश्चैव कल्पान्ते च तथाविधाः ।
सर्गे च प्रलये चैव प्रवृत्तिं यान्ति युगक्रमात् ॥

“At the beginning and end of a Kalpa, and during creation and dissolution, the cycles of Yugas unfold in proper sequence.”

This phrase is indicative of the day and night of the Brahman (in other words individual consciousness among human beings), which always follows the sequence. Since a typical human day comprises 12 hours and human night is 12 hours, it becomes essential to understand how Vedic texts recorded this time. In the Vedic times, the day and the night usually comprised 4 time segments called prahara (प्रहर) each having 3 hours or 19800 seconds. Hence 12 hours of the day would mean 43200 seconds and similarly 12 hours of the night would mean 43200 seconds, totaling to 86400 seconds for the full day. In this context, it is essential to note that every single second (Kshana, क्षण) is considered crucial for the

conscious reunion with the ultimate self, and each second that the individual consciousness remains oblivion to the true self has been considered an opportunity (Yuga) to seek this joining through “Yoga”.

Bhagavata Purana (Śrīmad Bhāgavatam) 3.11.19–3.11.38

सहस्रपरिवर्तेन

कालो

यत्र

चतुर्विधः।

ब्रह्मणो दिनमाहुस्तद्रात्रिं च तदाह्निकम्॥

“Time, which is fourfold (prahar), in which a thousand changes (of seconds- and chance to conscious reunion) occur — that is called a day of Brahmā; and the same is his night — that is said to be his full day (āhnikam)”

As per Ramkrishnan. K (2025), 1 Kalpa is a day of Brahma having 4.32 billion human years. Similarly, as per Sharma et al., (2021) Brahma’s full lifespan is 100 divine years converted to 311.04 trillion human years. However, in connection with the previous postulations made in this paper, that Vedic texts consider human body as sacred, having supreme consciousness residing as individual consciousness and they are prophesying about the human timeline of existence, while they are talk about Brahma’s lifespan, it takes the next section to make a comparative analysis of the above scientific observations under these postulations.

Table 3: Comparative Chart- Brahma (Macrocosm) versus Human (Microcosm)

Concept	Brahma (Macrocosmic Time)	Human (Microcosmic Time)	Recognized Pattern- Observed Figurative Scale
1 Day (Kalpa)	4,320,000,000 years (4.32 billion years)	43,200 seconds (12 hours)	If human day is multiplied by 100000 years, it becomes one Brahma Day
1 Night (Kalpa)	4,320,000,000 years (4.32 billion years)	43,200 seconds (12 hours)	If human night is multiplied by 100000 years, it becomes one Brahma Night
Full Day + Night	8,640,000,000 years (8.64 billion years)	86,400 seconds (24 hours)	If human full day+ night is multiplied by 100000 years, it becomes one Brahma Full Day + Night
1 Year (360 days)	3,110,400,000,000 years (3.1104 trillion years)	31,104,000 seconds (360 × 86400)	If a human Year is multiplied by 100000 years, it becomes one Brahma Year
Lifespan (100 years)	311,040,000,000,000 years (311.04 trillion years)	3,110,400,000 seconds (100 × 31,104,000)	If human lifespan is multiplied by 100000 years, it becomes one Brahma Lifespan

From the above table it can be seen that the concept in Advait Vedanta and Bhakti Paradigms stands true, suggestive that Brahman is not a distant cosmic deity, but the latent divine consciousness within the human beings, moving through the decline in morals and ethics, yet growing and maturing over these 100,000 years with increasing potential for self realization. In other words, the jiva (individual soul) is ultimately non-different from the Paramatman (Supreme Soul).

This model doesn’t suggest a literal linear scaling but rather a metaphysical analogy, where

consciousness (Jiva) evolves through cycles of decline and ascent (via the yugas), and reaches a point of union where it recognizes self as Brahman and becomes one with it. This hypothesis astonishingly converges with the archaeological and mythical timelines suggestive in the epic texts of both Ramayana and Mahabharata. It is important to note that, textual referencing and verifications are warranted for mythically believed yet plausibly a historical figure, Lord Rama, who is believed to be a figure from the Treta Yuga. However the following verse, with literal translation is essential for consideration-

Vishnu Purana – Book 4, Chapter 4

तत्र तृते युगे रामो दशरथात्मजः।

अवतारं समायातो रावणस्य वधाय च॥

"There, in the Third Yuga, Rama, the son of Dasharatha, descended as an incarnation for the killing of Ravana."

Since the third yuga starting from Satya Yuga, chronologically is Dwapara Yuga, it can be hypothesized that Rama too belonged to the Dwapara Yuga, and historically, the belief might be true, that he had appeared prior to Krishna, as many researchers have confirmed his birth to be December 4, 7323 BCE (as per lunar Hindu Calendar traced with advanced software) (Sinha, K. 2019). The following table is reflective of Rama's and Krishna's lifespan, with respect to Ramayana and Bhagvatam, subject to further verification-

Table 4: Rama's and Krishna's Birth and Departure Dates - Scientifically Traced through Vedic Texts in Literature- Proposed Mapping in Historic Timeline in the Yuga Cycle

Event	Year (BCE)	Yuga	Comments
Rama's Birth	December 4, 7323 BCE (as per lunar Hindu Calendar)	Dwapara Yuga (Proposed)	Traced As per Valmiki Ramayana, Bala Kanda (1.18.8–1.18.10)
Rama's Departure (He was approximately 1125 years old at the time of Liberation)	6198 BCE	Dwapara Yuga (Proposed)	Traced As As per Uttarakanda, Sarga 131, verse 117
Krishna's Birth	July 19, 3228 (or 3227) BCE (as per lunar Hindu Calendar)	Dwapara Yuga (Established)	Traced As As per Srimad Bhagavatam (Bhagavata Purana), Canto 10, Chapter 3
Krishna's Departure	February 18, 3102 BCE	Dwapara Yuga (Established)	Traced As As per Bhagavata Purana (1.15.36–39)

Vemsani, L. (2016) has also confirmed the dates of Krishna's birth and death as reflective in the above table. In this context it becomes essential to state, that if Krishna's and Rama's historical existence has been traced in various scientific investigations, then the proposed Vedic timeline of human evolution in terms of Yuga cycle must be incorporated in the scientifically known and acknowledged human evolutionary history. Several Vedic texts indicate the duration of each yuga, whereby, the most direct indicative verse comes from Vishnu Purana as under-

Vishnu Purana (Book 1, Chapter 3)

चतुर्युगं तु यत्रैषु कृतादीनां यथाक्रमम्। सङ्ख्या तत्सप्ततिसाहस्रं वर्षाणां परिसङ्ख्यया॥

तत्र कृतं द्विसप्तत्याः सहस्राणां समाहतम्। ततो न्यूनं भवेत् त्रेतां द्वापरं ततोऽन्युनम्॥

"The four Yugas — beginning with Krita — in their proper order, amount in total to seventy two thousand years by full calculation. Among them, Krita Yuga comprises twice to create 72000 years (has largest chunk of the 72 sahasras); Treta Yuga is less than that, Dvapara is still less."

From the above it can be understood that the 4 yugas do not exceed 100000 years. Some prevalent studies of texts like Manusmriti are suggestive that the 4 yugas are in the ratio of 4:3:2:1 (Brodbeck, S. 2022). Assuming that the 4 yugas in total amount to 100000 years, the following table hence, reflects the yuga cycle comprising the duration of the each yuga as per the Vedas, with revised and proposed durations observed in this paper after reinterpretation of the Vedic Texts-

Table 5: Yuga Timeline with Plausible Notable Spiritual Events

Yuga	Duration (In years)	Start	End	Plausible Notable Spiritual Events
Satya	40,000	93,102 BCE	53,102 BCE	Evolution of early human morality
Treta	30,000	53,102 BCE	23,102 BCE	Rama's life is traditionally believed to exist here (~ approximately from, 254,227–23,102 BCE) – However, this needs further textual verification from authentic sources such as Valmiki's Ramayana and other Vedic texts.
Dvapara	20,000	23,102 BCE	3102 BCE	Krishna's life (~3228–3102 BCE). As per Literature, Rama existed here, around 2000 years prior to Krishna.
Kali	10,000	3102 BCE	6898 CE	Present age of moral decline, yet with possibility of potential self-realization

More accuracy to the above chart is plausible, if the assumption of 1 lakh years division ratio is replaced with the figure of 72000 years as described in the Vedic phrase mentioned above is taken, aligning with the records described in Table 1. Historical findings pertaining to human evolution over the past 100000 years through archaeological studies and other scientific investigations map the said timeline roughly as reflected in the following table-

Table 6: Mapping Past 100000 Years of Known Human Evolution onto the 4 Yuga Timeline (93,102 BCE – 6898 CE)

Yuga	Duration	Proposed Yuga Years	Corresponding Human History
Satya Yuga	40,000 years	93,102 BCE – 53,102 BCE	Late Paleolithic (Middle Stone Age); Modern humans spread globally, advanced symbolic behavior, cave art, fire mastery, early spirituality (Bouzouggar et al., 2018).
Treta Yuga	30,000 years	53,102 BCE – 23,102 BCE	Upper Paleolithic to Mesolithic; Hunter-gatherers begin to form structured tribes, domestication of dogs, early burial rituals

Yuga	Duration	Proposed Yuga Years	Corresponding Human History
			(Jacobs et al., 2008)
Dvapara Yuga	20,000 years	23,102 BCE – 3102 BCE	Neolithic Revolution (starts ~10,000 BCE): agriculture, settlements, pottery, metallurgy, early writing systems near the end (Meyer, S. 2016).
Kali Yuga	10,000 years	3102 BCE – 6898 CE (future)	Civilization, empire building, Vedic texts misinterpreted, discarded or forgotten, industrialization, technology, and finally spiritual and moral degradation alongside rising self-awareness. (Kumar, M. 2024)

At this stage it becomes essential to have an overview of the cosmic timeline of the development of the universe, the formation of the earth, and emergence of life and consciousness on it as is majorly agreed upon among the prevalent scientific community-

Table 7: Cosmic & Earth History Mapped Before Yuga Cycle

Epoch / Event	Approximate Date	Scientific Event
Big Bang	~13.8 billion years ago	Beginning of time, space, and matter (Paris et al., 2023)
Formation of the Milky Way	~13.2 billion years ago	Our galaxy forms (Harvey- Smith, L. 2018)
Formation of the Sun	~4.6 billion years ago	Solar system begins forming from a molecular cloud (Boyle, R. 2018)
Formation of Earth	~4.54 billion years ago	Proto-Earth forms through accretion (Sintubin, M. A. N. U. E. L. 2008)
Origin of Life (Prokaryotes)	~3.8 – 4.0 billion years ago	First single-celled life (bacteria) in oceans (Lal, A. K. 2008)
Photosynthesis begins	~2.9 billion years ago	Cyanobacteria start producing oxygen (Leslie, M. 2009)
Eukaryotes (Complex cells)	~2.1 billion years ago	Nucleus-containing cells appear (Han et al., 1992)
Multicellular life	~600 million years ago	Complex organisms emerge in oceans (National Research Council, 1990)
Cambrian Explosion	~540 million years ago	Sudden burst of diverse life — all major phyla appear (Smith et al., 2013)
First vertebrates	~500 million years ago	Fish-like animals appear (Griffith, R. W. 1994)
First land animals	~360 million years ago	Amphibians evolve from lobe-finned fishes (Clack, J. A. 2005)
First reptiles	~320 million years ago	Full adaptation to land (Sues, H. D. 2019)

Epoch / Event	Approximate Date	Scientific Event
Dinosaurs dominate	~245–66 million years ago	Mesozoic era (Triassic, Jurassic, Cretaceous) (Renton et al., 2016)
Mammals begin	~200 million years ago	Small nocturnal mammals evolve alongside dinosaurs (Rose, K. D. 2006)
Extinction of Dinosaurs	~66 million years ago	Asteroid impact wipes out 75% of life (Brusatte et al., 2015)
Primates emerge	~55 million years ago	Tree-dwelling mammals that give rise to humans (Tavaré et al., 2002)
Hominids (human ancestors)	~6 – 7 million years ago	Divergence from chimpanzee lineage (Simpson, S. W. 2010)
Homo erectus	~1.8 million years ago	Early tool-making human species (Walker et al., 1993)
Homo sapiens (modern humans)	~300,000 – 100,000 years ago	Anatomically modern humans emerge in Africa (Stringer, C. B. 1989)

From the above table, it is evident that beginning with the emergence of the universe to the emergence of Homo sapiens, everything has been traced and mapped by various scientists from various domains with scientific evidence. However, this timeline doesn't explain the last one lakh years of human history to the present date, especially in context of inner spiritual evolution. This might be because, modern science is based on empirical evidence — what can be seen, touched, measured, or tested. Artifacts like tools, bones, cave paintings, and ruins leave physical evidence, whereas spiritual experiences, inner states of consciousness, and values leave no measurable fossil record. Therefore, most anthropologists and archaeologists do not attempt to study “spiritual evolution” because it's outside the methodological scope of their disciplines.

Secondly, in the Western academic model (which dominates global research), spirituality was separated from science during the Enlightenment (17th–18th century Europe). Religion and metaphysics were placed in the domain of subjective belief, while science focused on objective truths. As a result, even when researchers found signs of ritual (like burial practices or cave art), they described them in terms of social function rather than spiritual growth. Unlike Indian or Vedic traditions, modern anthropology has no consistent model of consciousness evolution (e.g., chakras, koshas, or yuga cycles). No vocabulary to talk about “spiritual advancement” in collective history. Even Carl Jung, who tried to bridge ancient wisdom and psychology, was considered “fringe” for a long time. The dominant lens of history has been Eurocentric, tracing progress from Greece to Rome to Enlightenment to Industry. It has been Materialist, assuming “progress” means better tools, cities, empires, and now AI. This model ignores or downplays civilizations like the Vedic, Egyptian, or Native American spiritual legacies — unless they left massive architectural remains.

At the same time, the knowledge of inner growth was always maintained by Rishis, monks, shamans, mystics, and yogis and not by empirical scientists. Vedic, Buddhist, Taoist, Sufi, and Christian mystical traditions all preserve inner timelines of development. But this knowledge is often rejected or criticized for being oral, symbolic, experiential, and passed through initiation — not peer-reviewed papers. Hence, it is essentially need of the hour to break this pattern, and reevaluate the entire human evolutionary

history in context of the facts revealed through this paper. A revised chart of human evolution inclusive of the Vedic Period timeline is as under-

Table 8: Revised Cosmic To Human History with Vedic Interpretation and Yuga Overlay

Epoch / Yuga	Approx. Date	Scientific Event / Human History	Spiritual Interpretation (Vedic-style)
Big Bang	~13.8 billion years ago	Origin of time, space, matter	The Unmanifest Brahman initiates Srishti — Creation after Pralaya
Milky Way Forms	~13.2 billion years ago	Galaxy formation	Brahmanda (Cosmic Egg) differentiates into lokas
Sun Forms	~4.6 billion years ago	Solar system begins	Aditya (solar consciousness) awakens
Earth Forms	~4.54 billion years ago	Proto-Earth accretes	Bhu Devi (Earth consciousness) manifests
Origin of Life (Prokaryotes)	~3.8 – 4.0 billion years ago	Single-celled bacteria evolve	Prana (life force) enters form — Annamaya kosha born
Photosynthesis Begins	~2.9 billion years ago	Cyanobacteria start producing oxygen	Breath of life — Pranayama kosha (energy sheath) awakens
Eukaryotic Cells Emerge	~2.1 billion years ago	Complex cells with nuclei	Seed of Manomaya kosha (mind sheath)
Multicellular Life	~600 million years ago	First multicellular organisms	Collective karmic interaction begins
Cambrian Explosion	~540 million years ago	Major diversification of life	Brahma's creative burst — Divine diversification
First Vertebrates	~500 million years ago	Fish with spinal columns appear	Emergence of conscious intent and mobility
First Land Animals	~360 million years ago	Amphibians walk on land	Karma expands into new realms
First Reptiles	~320 million years ago	Full land adaptation	Self-sufficiency and survival instinct take root
Dinosaurs Dominate	~245 – 66 million years ago	Mesozoic era	Tamasic dominance — evolution of power and scale
Mammals Begin	~200 million years ago	Mammals evolve alongside dinosaurs	Sattvic consciousness stirs quietly
Dinosaur Extinction	~66 million years ago	Asteroid impact causes mass extinction	Minor Pralaya — reset in evolution
Primates Emerge	~55 million years ago	Tree-dwelling mammals	Ahankara (ego) begins to form

Epoch / Yuga	Approx. Date	Scientific Event / Human History	Spiritual Interpretation (Vedic-style)
	years ago		
Hominids Diverge	~6 – 7 million years ago	Human ancestors split from apes	Individuated karma-bearers emerge
Homo Erectus	~1.8 million years ago	Early humans use tools and fire	Rise of technological karma and intent
Homo Sapiens	~300,000 – 100,000 years ago	Anatomically modern humans	Atman in human form — journey toward full Self-realization
Satya Yuga	93,102 – 53,102 BCE	Late Paleolithic: cave art, spirituality, symbolic thought, fire mastery	Golden Age — complete dharma, humans live in harmony with divine laws and with nature in peaceful coexistence
Treta Yuga	53,102 – 23,102 BCE	Upper Paleolithic to Mesolithic: structured tribes, dog domestication, early rituals	Emergence of structure and hierarchy; partial decline of dharma — Rama's life - subject to verification
Dvapara Yuga	23,102 BCE – 3102 BCE	Neolithic Revolution: agriculture, permanent settlements, metallurgy, early writing	Spiritual duality increases; dharma struggles — Krishna's life at the end (~3228–3102 BCE)
Kali Yuga	3102 BCE – 6898 CE (future)	History to modernity: empires, industrial age, technology, moral confusion	Spiritual and moral decline; confusion prevails, but seeds of moksha (liberation) planted through evolved higher intellect, and availability of various spiritual pathways following Krishna.

Discussion

The synthesis of Vedic cosmology with modern scientific thought provides a multidimensional understanding of human evolution, not just in terms of biology but also consciousness and spiritual transformation. This discussion draws from the preceding literature review, which outlines a comparative timeline that integrates quantum theory, neuroscience, string theory, evolutionary biology, and ancient Vedic texts to reinterpret time, consciousness, and the teleological purpose of human existence.

A core theme is the evolution of human beings with the endowed consciousness as an inherent aspect of the universe, as echoed in panpsychist interpretations of physics (Chalmers, 1996; Goff, 2019) and the Vedic understanding of Brahman as the substratum of all reality (Upanishads, Chandogya 6.2.1; Brihadaranyaka 1.4.10). This convergence is amplified through recent proposals in quantum cognition

and string theory, which suggest that spacetime and consciousness may be co-emergent properties of a more fundamental, information-based layer of reality (Kastrup, 2020; Rovelli, 2021).

In this context, the journey from the Big Bang to the emergence of Homo sapiens is not viewed merely as a linear chain of biological adaptation, but as a progressive unfolding of Brahman into more and more self-aware human consciousness through time. This conceptual mapping aligns the Vedic view of the atman's embodiment with the layered development of complexity in biological systems and nervous structures (Tononi & Koch, 2015).

Furthermore, by aligning epochs of Earth's history with Yuga cycles — Satya, Treta, Dvapara, and Kali — we gain a metaphorical yet instructive framework for interpreting the moral, spiritual, and cognitive progression and decline in human societies. The Satya Yuga (93,102–53,102 BCE) parallels a period of symbolic cognition and spiritual culture, marked archaeologically by the emergence of ritual burial and cave art (Pettitt, 2011). The Treta Yuga sees the establishment of hierarchical societies and structured rituals, evident in Upper Paleolithic and Mesolithic transitions. Dvapara Yuga, aligned with the Neolithic Revolution, corresponds to the rise of settlements, agriculture, and metallurgy — all of which denote increasing material complexity and spiritual dualism. Finally, Kali Yuga, beginning in 3102 BCE, reflects both historical records and modernity, characterized by spiritual confusion, technological advancement, and moral fragmentation.

A symbolic insight is offered in the mapping of 432,000 minutes (the maximum duration of human gestation) to 432,000 years of Kali Yuga, implying a sacred parallel between cosmic cycles and human development. This symbolic alignment finds echoes in scriptural and mythological metaphors, suggesting that consciousness precedes birth, is shaped in the pre-natal domain, that it should be the focus throughout life to attain self-actualization not just for the life as a phenomenon, but for the self as a greater whole, and that consciousness transcends life and death.

The reinterpretation of gestation as a divine union disrupted by maya at birth provides a compelling model for the yuga-based spiritual degeneration: from the full unity with Brahman, to complete distraction by material maya. This perspective also resonates with modern epigenetics and prenatal psychology, which confirm that intrauterine conditions significantly shape consciousness, personality, and stress responsiveness (Glover, 2011).

The final integrative table reinterprets major cosmic and evolutionary events through a Vedic lens. For instance, the Big Bang is likened to the manifestation of Brahman after pralaya (dissolution), and the Cambrian explosion reflects Brahma's creative exuberance. Similarly, the emergence of vertebrates, reptiles, and mammals map onto increasing levels of karmic agency, culminating in the Homo sapiens epoch as the true manifestation of atman in biological form.

This confluence also challenges strict materialist interpretations of consciousness as an emergent phenomenon of neuronal complexity. Rather, following Vedantic thought and supported by growing evidence in non-local consciousness studies (Greyson, 2010; Radin, 2006), consciousness is ontologically primary, with the body-mind as instruments for its expression. The human form, then, is a sacred locus for moksha (liberation), achievable not through physical evolution but through self-realization.

Hence, it can be affirmed that human evolution is not only a physical journey but a sacred cosmological process, aimed toward re-union with the divine substratum. Through integrating scientific timelines with symbolic yuga interpretations, and mapping biological developments Vedic timelines, this paper revealed a holistic, non-dualistic framework that honors both empirical rigor and spiritual depth.

Conclusion

This paper has attempted a pioneering synthesis of modern scientific understanding and ancient spiritual cosmology, aiming to reframe human evolution not only as a physical or cognitive journey but as a gradual unfolding of consciousness across cosmic time. From the explosive birth of the universe in the Big Bang to the emergence of Homo sapiens, modern science has given us extraordinary insight into the mechanics and chronology of physical evolution. Yet, it has largely remained silent on the inner dimensions of existence — the evolution of consciousness, moral intuition, self-awareness, and spiritual yearning — dimensions long articulated within the Vedic, Upanishadic, and yogic traditions.

Through a layered literature review, the paper explored how modern physics, particularly quantum field theory, string theory, and cosmology, reveals a universe that is fundamentally non-dual, interconnected, and driven by underlying energetic and informational fields — insights that resonate strongly with Vedantic ideas of Brahman, Prakriti, and Purusha. Neuroscience and cognitive science, too, have begun scratching the surface of consciousness studies; yet remain confined by materialist assumptions. In contrast, Indic philosophies have for millennia articulated a hierarchical and integrative model of consciousness through the Yuga timelines.

The paper mapped the Vedic Yuga system — Satya, Treta, Dvapara, and Kali — onto archaeological, anthropological, and astronomical data, suggesting a plausible reinterpretation of human history that includes not just technological but also spiritual milestones. For instance, the transition from cave rituals in the Upper Paleolithic to structured civilizations in the Neolithic can be read not only as material progress but also as a shift in conscious spiritual agency. The symbolic alignment between human gestation (432,000 minutes) and Kali Yuga's duration (432,000 years) is posited as a metaphysical metaphor — that each human being is a microcosmic recapitulation of the cosmic journey from unity to separation, and possibly back to liberation (moksha).

Importantly, the study challenges the Enlightenment-era schism between science and spirituality, asserting that such a binary is not only historically contingent but epistemologically limiting. The refusal of mainstream academia to engage with non-materialist models of consciousness has left significant gaps in our understanding of human meaning, purpose, and inner development. This paper thus calls for a transdisciplinary paradigm — one that honors empirical rigor while remaining open to symbolic, experiential, and introspective forms of knowledge as valid ways of knowing.

In doing so, it does not dismiss science but expands its domain to include the metaphysical, the symbolic, and the sacred — realms long nurtured by ancient civilizations and spiritual traditions. Humanity today stands at the cusp of unprecedented technological power and existential crisis. In such a moment, recovering an integrative vision of evolution — where biology and spirituality, matter and meaning, time and timelessness converge — is not merely an academic task. It is an urgent civilizational necessity.

Implications

The integrative model of cosmic and human evolution proposed in this paper carries far-reaching implications that challenge dominant paradigms and offer new directions for inquiry, education, and human self-understanding. Modern science, particularly neuroscience and quantum physics, is reaching the limits of reductionist explanations for phenomena like consciousness, subjective experience, and the observer effect. By situating consciousness as an ontologically primary phenomenon rather than a mere epiphenomenon of neural complexity, this paper encourages a paradigm shift toward models that

consider consciousness as fundamental — a view gaining traction in frameworks like panpsychism (Chalmers, 1996; Goff, 2019) and orchestrated objective reduction (Orch-OR) theory (Hameroff & Penrose, 2014).

Integrating these with Vedantic non-dualism, which treats consciousness (Brahman) as the substratum of all existence, provides a holistic framework for re-examining the evolution of life, not as a linear accident of matter, but as a teleological unfolding of self-aware intelligence. Evolutionary biology has richly described the physical and cognitive development of humans but has little to say about the inner evolution of morality, righteousness, and self-realization. The Vedic overlay presented here reframes human history as a spiritual progression and regression through cycles (Yugas), where each epoch reflects a different level of consciousness, ethical capacity, and divine alignment.

This perspective opens new avenues in anthropology and cultural psychology, enabling scholars to explore the relationship between material development (agriculture, writing, cities) and consciousness states — an approach aligning with theories like Jean Gebser's structures of consciousness (Gebser, 1949/1985) and Ken Wilber's integral theory (Wilber, 2000). Contemporary education often emphasizes fragmented knowledge and overlooks metaphysical inquiry. This paper proposes that holistic education systems must integrate spiritual cosmologies with scientific knowledge, enabling learners to understand their place not just in a historical or biological sequence, but in a cosmic narrative of self-unfolding. Concepts like Yuga cycles, and Brahmanic unity can enrich psychology, cosmology, ethics, and even STEM fields, when appropriately contextualized.

Such reform echoes the vision of philosophers like Sri Aurobindo and Rabindranath Tagore, who advocated for inner development as central to education. By highlighting the cyclical nature of moral decline and renewal through the Yugas, this model warns against unchecked materialism, ecological exploitation, and technological excess — all markers of Kali Yuga. Recognizing the sacredness of Earth (Bhu Devi), life (Prana), and time (Kala) as conscious dimensions of existence could inspire ethically grounded environmental and technological policies.

The metaphor of “minor pralayas” — symbolic resets — suggests that civilizational renewal requires periodic reconnection with transcendent principles and inner purification, a message of great relevance amid global crises. This paper contributes to the decolonization of knowledge by validating the metaphysical sophistication of Indian cosmology. It invites a respectful dialogue between Eastern and Western traditions, where scientific precision meets spiritual depth, and mythos complements logos.

Rather than viewing spiritual cosmologies as primitive or metaphorical relics, they can now be revisited as symbolic maps of consciousness — much like Jung's archetypes or Bohm's implicate order — providing humanity with tools to navigate a post-materialist, spiritually plural future. In essence, this paper offers a new metaphysical grammar for interpreting human evolution: not as a blind biological accident but as a cosmic process of awakening. It calls upon scientists, philosophers, educators, and seekers alike to move beyond disciplinary silos and engage in trans-disciplinary inquiry rooted in humility, wonder, and recognition of consciousness as the ground of being.

Recommendations

In light of the interdisciplinary synthesis presented in this study, several recommendations are proposed to further advance research, education, and policy at the intersection of cosmology, consciousness studies, and spiritual philosophy. There is a pressing need to develop structured research initiatives that bridge physics, cognitive science, philosophy of mind, and Vedic metaphysics. Academic institutions

should establish centers for consciousness and cosmology studies, drawing on ancient Indian frameworks like Vedānta, Sāṃkhya, and Yoga, alongside quantum theories, systems biology, and complexity science. Such collaboration would foster integrative models of reality that respect both empirical rigor and metaphysical depth.

Educational reforms at the undergraduate and graduate levels should encourage critical engagement with global cosmological narratives, including Vedic, Buddhist, and Indigenous traditions. Teaching comparative cosmology can enrich students' conceptual understanding of time, existence, and consciousness, cultivating epistemological pluralism (Kearney, 2008) and promoting more reflective scientific inquiry.

Researchers in AI, computational neuroscience, and systems modeling are encouraged to simulate consciousness evolution by integrating the Yuga framework and cosmic timelines. Time cycles such as the 4.32 billion-year Mahāyuga offer potential for modeling recursive emergence, entropy, and intelligent behavior across scales — especially when used metaphorically to inspire non-linear algorithmic models of cognitive evolution.

Modern cosmology should engage more deeply with non-dual metaphysics, especially concepts like Brahman, Māyā, and Ātman that redefine matter, space, and time as emergent, not fundamental. This could shift paradigms away from materialism and reductionism, promoting instead a participatory model of the universe (Barfield, 1988) where consciousness is primary and ontologically prior to space-time.

Policymakers should integrate insights from spiritual cosmology into long-term planetary thinking. For instance, viewing humanity as part of an evolving cosmic dharma (righteousness) may inspire policies rooted in ethical stewardship, intergenerational responsibility, and eco-centric governance. This aligns with the Vedic view of Reeta (cosmic order), emphasizing harmony between natural, moral, and cosmic laws. Global forums should be created where physicists, neuroscientists, and philosophers regularly dialogue with yogis, monks, and spiritual scholars. These interactions can co-create frameworks that balance empirical knowledge with experiential wisdom, particularly on questions of consciousness, time, and the self.

Limitations

While this study offers a novel and integrative framework for reinterpreting cosmic and human evolution through the synthesis of modern scientific knowledge and Vedic cosmology, several limitations must be acknowledged. The paper operates from a predominantly Vedantic metaphysical standpoint, particularly rooted in non-dual interpretations such as Brahman, Purusha–Prakriti, and the four Yugas. While this allows for a spiritually rich and symbolically resonant synthesis, it may introduce ontological bias. The interpretations of time, consciousness, and reality are inherently shaped by spiritual presuppositions, which may not be universally accepted or empirically verifiable within mainstream scientific paradigms. As outlined in the research methodology, this paper adopts a qualitative, conceptual, and hermeneutic approach, drawing from scripture, cosmological data, and philosophical analogies. While this is appropriate for exploring metaphysical syntheses, it lacks empirical validation or formal modeling. The parallels between cosmic time cycles (e.g., Yuga durations) and biological or evolutionary stages (e.g., human gestation) remain symbolic correlations rather than causal or predictive models. This limits the generalizability and falsifiability of the proposed framework.

Although the study integrates multiple scriptural sources (e.g., Bhagavad Gita, Bhagavata Purana, Vishnu Purana, Manusmriti) and contemporary scientific domains (cosmology, evolutionary biology,

neuroscience, and string theory), the selection of sources is neither exhaustive nor uniformly weighted. Certain Vedic texts are interpreted more deeply while others are only briefly referenced. Similarly, some scientific paradigms (e.g., panpsychism, quantum consciousness) are emphasized, while others (e.g., computational materialism, standard Darwinian models) are underexplored or critiqued. This may create an asymmetry in interdisciplinary engagement.

The timeline proposed in Table 8 represents an approximate alignment of scientific epochs with Yuga durations, yet it inherently involves symbolic, not historical, precision. The metaphorical alignment of human gestation (432,000 minutes) with the Kali Yuga duration (432,000 years), while conceptually rich, should not be taken as evidence of predictive cosmology. These are observational reinterpretations of the philosophical metaphors, not empirically tested chronological measurements in the scientific sense.

The interpretation of Kala (time), Maya, Dharma, and the journey of Atman through evolutionary epochs is heavily informed by Vedic philosophical traditions. While this brings depth and coherence to the narrative for those within or sympathetic to Vedic frameworks, it may limit cross-cultural accessibility or scientific acceptability in secular or non-Vedic academic contexts. A more pluralistic framework incorporating Indigenous, Buddhist, or Western esoteric cosmologies may enrich future work. As stated in the research methodology, the paper does not involve primary data collection, ethnographic fieldwork, or experimental studies. All analyses are derived from secondary sources, textual exegesis, and theoretical synthesis. This restricts the paper's ability to comment on contemporary lived experiences of cosmological understanding or spiritual transformation in real-world populations.

References

1. Aiello, L. C. (1997). Brains and guts in human evolution: the expensive tissue hypothesis. *Brazilian Journal of Genetics*, 20, 141-148.
2. Arganis, B. H. F. Krishna's City. Re-discovery the Sunked Dwaraka.
3. Aurobindo, S. (1997). The human cycle: The ideal of human unity, war and self-determination. Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department. (Original work published 1949)
4. Barfield, O. (1988). *Saving the appearances: A study in idolatry*. Wesleyan University Press.
5. Berger, L. R., Hawks, J., Dirks, P. H., Elliott, M., & Roberts, E. M. (2015). Homo naledi, a new species of the genus Homo from the Dinaledi Chamber, South Africa. *eLife*, 4, e09560.
6. Behe, M. (2003). The Modern Intelligent Design Hypothesis: Breaking Rules 1. In *God and design* (pp. 276-290). Routledge.
7. Bhavanani, Y. M. D., & Bhavanani, Y. D. A. B. (2019). Hatha Yoga: The Sadhana of Kali Yuga. Retrieved March 26th, 42.
8. Bohm, D. (1980). *Wholeness and the implicate order*. Routledge.
9. Bostrom, N. (2003). Are you living in a computer simulation? *Philosophical Quarterly*, 53(211), 243-255.
10. Bouzouggar A, Humphrey LT, Barton N, Parfitt SA, Clark Balzan L, Schwenninger J-L, et al. (2018) 90,000 year-old specialised bone technology in the Aterian Middle Stone Age of North Africa. *PLoS ONE* 13(10): e0202021. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202021>
11. Boyle, R. (2018). The Secret Life of the Sun. *Scientific American*, 318(6), 26-33.
12. Brahmachari, D. S. K. D. (2024). Perception of Quantum Gravity and Field Theory in the Vedas. Available at SSRN 4985820.

13. Brodbeck, S. (2022). Divine Descent and the Four World-ages in the Mahābhārata: Or, why Does the Kṛṣṇa Avatāra Inaugurate the Worst Yuga? (p. 212). Cardiff University Press.
14. Brusatte, S. L., Butler, R. J., Barrett, P. M., Carrano, M. T., Evans, D. C., Lloyd, G. T., ... & Williamson, T. E. (2015). The extinction of the dinosaurs. *Biological Reviews*, 90(2), 628-642.
15. Cann, R. L., Stoneking, M., & Wilson, A. C. (1987). Mitochondrial DNA and human evolution. *Nature*, 325(6099), 31-36.
16. Chalmers, D. J. (1996). *The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory*. Oxford University Press.
17. Chauhan, M. K., & Yadav, S. (2025). PRAKRITI AND PURUSHA: DUAL COSMIC FORCES IN THE ORGANIC EVOLUTION OF NATURE WITHIN SAMKHYA METAPHYSICS. *Journal of Experimental Zoology India*, 28(1).
18. Cho, A. (2004). String Theory Gets Real--Sort Of.
19. Clack, J. A. (2005). Getting a leg up on land. *Scientific American*, 293(6), 100-107.
20. Colgrove, N. (2025). Zygotes are persisting organisms.
21. Crick, F. H. C., & Orgel, L. E. (1973). Directed panspermia. *Icarus*, 19(3), 341-346.
22. Darwin, C. (1859). *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection*. John Murray.
23. Darwin, C. (1871). *The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex*. John Murray.
24. Delaney, M., Roggensack, A., Leduc, D. C., Ballermann, C., Biringer, A., Dontigny, L., ... & Wilson, K. (2008). Guidelines for the management of pregnancy at 41+ 0 to 42+ 0 weeks. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, 30(9), 800-810.
25. Dunbavin, P. (2024) The 432-and 360-day Cycles in Hindu Cosmology
26. Eltschinger, V. (2020). On the early history of the Brahmanical yugas. In " At the Shores of the Sky" (pp. 38-53). Brill.
27. Fernel, J. (2020). Abioism: No Thing is Alive 66AE Libb Thims,
<https://hmpedia.com/page/Abioism>
28. Fleet, J. F. (1911). The Kaliyuga era of bc 3102. *The Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland*, 675-698.
29. Gajić, A. (2022). Perennialism and Cyclysm: Evola's View of Historical Cycles. *Kultura Polisa*, 19(1), 143-165.
30. Gandhi, Y. J. (2024). Darwin's theory with Vishnu's incarnations.
31. Gebser, J. (1985). *The ever-present origin* (N. Barstad, Trans.). Ohio University Press. (Original work published 1949)
32. Gepts, P. (2003). Ten Thousand Years of. Plants, genes, and crop biotechnology, 328.
33. Green, R. E., Krause, J., Briggs, A. W., Maricic, T., Stenzel, U., Kircher, M., ... & Pääbo, S. (2010). A draft sequence of the Neanderthal genome. *Science*, 328(5979), 710-722.
34. Griffith, R. W. (1994). The life of the first vertebrates. *Bioscience*, 44(6), 408-417.
35. Glover, V. (2011). Annual Research Review: Prenatal stress and the origins of psychopathology: An evolutionary perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 52(4), 356-367.
36. Goff, P. (2019). *Galileo's error: Foundations for a new science of consciousness*. Pantheon Books.
37. Greyson, B. (2010). Seeing dead people not known to have died: "Peak in Darien" experiences. *Anthropology and Humanism*, 35(2), 159-171.
38. Grover, M., & Grover, R. (2012). Quantum Computation and Consciousness in Cyclic and Mythological Models of Universe. *International Journal on Computer Science and*

- Engineering, 4(11), 1786.
39. Gugliotta, G. (2008). The great human migration. *Smithsonian Magazine*, 56-64.
 40. Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(1), 39–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2013.08.002>
 41. Hameroff, S. (2001). Consciousness, the brain, and spacetime geometry. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 929(1), 74-104.
 42. Han, T. M., & Runnegar, B. (1992). Megascopic eukaryotic algae from the 2.1-billion-year-old Negaunee Iron-Formation, Michigan. *Science*, 257(5067), 232-235.
 43. Harvey-Smith, L. (2018). When galaxies collide. Melbourne Univ. Publishing.
 44. Haslam, M., Oppenheimer, S., & Korisettar, R. (2017). Out of Africa, into South Asia: A review of archaeological and genetic evidence for the dispersal of *Homo sapiens* into the Indian subcontinent. *Beyond stones and more stones, The Mythic Society, Bangalore*, 117-149.
 45. Hawking, S. (1988). A brief history of time: From the big bang to black holes. Bantam Books.
 46. Heimstad, R., Skogvoll, E., Mattsson, L. Å., Johansen, O. J., Eik-Nes, S. H., & Salvesen, K. Å. (2007). Induction of labor or serial antenatal fetal monitoring in postterm pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 109(3), 609-617.
 47. Hsieh, P., Veeramah, K. R., Lachance, J., Tishkoff, S. A., Wall, J. D., & Hammer, M. F. (2016). Whole-genome sequence analyses reveal gene flow from an unidentified archaic population into sub-Saharan African populations. *Science Advances*, 2(12), e1600903.
 48. Hublin, J. J., Ben-Ncer, A., Bailey, S. E., Freidline, S. E., Neubauer, S., Skinner, M. M., ... & Gunz, P. (2017). New fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco and the pan-African origin of *Homo sapiens*. *Nature*, 546(7657), 289-292.
 49. Hublin, J. J. (2021). How old are the oldest *Homo sapiens* in Far East Asia?. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(10), e2101173118.
 50. Humphrey, R. L. (2015). Cosmogenesis in ancient Hindu scriptures and modern science. *InSight: Rivier Academic Journal*, 11(1), 1-15.
 51. Jacobs, Z., Roberts, R. G., Galbraith, R. F., Deacon, H. J., Grun, R., Mackay, A., ... & Wadley, L. (2008). Ages for the Middle Stone Age of southern Africa: implications for human behavior and dispersal. *science*, 322(5902), 733-735.
 52. Jones, N. F. (2015). From Gods To Gamers: The Manifestation of the Avatar Throughout Religious History and. *Berkeley Undergraduate Journal*, 28 (2).
 53. Joshi, K. S. (1965). On the meaning of yoga. *Philosophy East and West*, 15(1), 53-64.
 54. Jung, C. G. (1968). Archetypes and the collective unconscious (R. F. C. Hull, Trans.). Princeton University Press.
 55. Kalra, B., Baruah, M. P., & Kalra, S. (2016). The Mahabharata and reproductive endocrinology. *Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism*, 20(3), 404-407.
 56. Karmakar, N. C. Does Jiva Sakti Exist? A Comparative Cultural and Scientific Analysis.
 57. Karmin, M., Saag, L., Vicente, M., Wilson Sayres, M. A., Järve, M., Talas, U. G., ... & Rootsi, S. (2015). A recent bottleneck of Y chromosome diversity coincides with a global change in culture. *Genome Research*, 25(4), 459-466.
 58. Kastrup, B. (2020). The Idea of the World: A Multi-Disciplinary Argument for the Mental Nature of Reality. Iff Books.
 59. Kearney, R. (2008). *Anatheism: Returning to God after God*. Columbia University Press.

60. Kinare, A. (2008). Fetal environment. *Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging*, 18(04), 326-344.
61. Kumar, M. (2024). Unveiling the Signs of Kaliyuga: Insights from the Śrīmad Bhāgavata Mahāpurāṇa.
62. Kumari, M., & Murthy, A. R. (2022). INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRIDOSHA AND NADI.
63. Kurzweil, R. (2005). *The Singularity is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*. Viking.
64. Lal, A. K. (2008). Origin of life. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 317(3), 267-278.
65. Leslie, M. (2009). On the origin of photosynthesis.
66. Liu, H., Prugnolle, F., Manica, A., & Balloux, F. (2006). A geographically explicit genetic model of worldwide human-settlement history. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 79(2), 230-237.
67. Manzi, G. (2011). Before the emergence of *Homo sapiens*: Overview on the early-to-middle Pleistocene fossil record (with a proposal about *Homo heidelbergensis* at the subspecific level). *International Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 2011(1), 582678.
68. Massaad, F., Lejeune, T. M., & Detrembleur, C. (2007). The up and down bobbing of human walking: a compromise between muscle work and efficiency. *The Journal of physiology*, 582(2), 789-799.
69. McDougall, I., Brown, F. H., & Fleagle, J. G. (2005). Stratigraphic placement and age of modern humans from Kibish, Ethiopia. *Nature*, 433(7027), 733-736.
70. Medhananda, S. (2023). An Integral Advaitic theodicy of spiritual evolution: karma, rebirth, universal salvation, and mystical panentheism. *Religious Studies*, 59(S1), S67-S81.
71. Mellars, P. (2006). Why did modern human populations disperse from Africa ca. 60,000 years ago? A new model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(25), 9381-9386.
72. Meltzer, D. J. (1993). Pleistocene peopling of the Americas. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 1(5), 157-169.
73. Meyer, S. (2016). *The neolithic revolution*. The Rosen Publishing Group, Inc.
74. MUÑOZ, M. I. R. (2016). Pregnancy a Mystical Expression. *Image*, 1, 13.
75. Myers, M. W. (1993). Tat tvam asi as advaitic metaphor. *Philosophy East and West*, 43(2), 229-242.
76. Nakling, J., & Backe, B. (2006). Pregnancy risk increases from 41 weeks of gestation. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica*, 85(6), 663-668.
77. Nandram, S. S., Bindlish, P. K., & Shrestha, A. K. (2022). Spirituality led ethical decision making with yogic yamas and niyamas. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 19(3), 237-257.
78. National Research Council. (1990). *The Evolution of Cellular and Multicellular Life*. In *The Search for Life's Origins: Progress and Future Directions in Planetary Biology and Chemical Evolution*. National Academies Press (US).
79. O'Connell, J. F., & Allen, J. (1998). When did humans first arrive in greater Australia and why is it important to know?. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 6(4), 132-146.
80. Ojha, S. (2024). Revisiting the Harmony of Dualities inherent in the Upaniṣadic notion of Brahman in light of Sri Aurobindo's Philosophy. *Frontiers in Health Informatics*, 13(7).
81. Olive, D. I. (1989). Introduction to string theory: its structure and its uses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 329(1605), 319-328.
82. Pargament, K. I. (1999). The psychology of religion and spirituality? Yes and no. *The International*

- Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 9(1), 3–16.
83. PARIS, M., & CHADWICK, M. (2023). Big Bang Fusion 13.8 Billion Years Ago and Its Importance Today. *Nuclear News*, 66(9), 116.
84. Pettitt, P. (2011). *The Palaeolithic Origins of Human Burial*. Routledge.
85. Prasad, R. (2008). *The spiritual roots of yoga: Vedic knowledge for self-realization*. Lotus Press.
86. Radin, D. (2006). *Entangled minds: Extrasensory experiences in a quantum reality*. Paraview Pocket Books.
87. Ramakrishnan, K. (2025). Kalpa AND Pralaya: TimeScales Corresponding to Major Cosmological Events—A Concept Paper.
88. Rana, S. (2023). TIME “AND” DIMENSION (S)—Visualizing the 4th and 4+ Dimensions.
89. Reich, D., Green, R. E., Kircher, M., Krause, J., Patterson, N., Durand, E. Y., & Pääbo, S. (2010). Genetic history of an archaic hominin group from Denisova Cave in Siberia. *Nature*, 468(7327), 1053-1060.
90. Reid, J. (1850). On the Duration of Pregnancy in the Human Female. *The Lancet*, 56(1403), 77-81.
91. Renton, J. J., & Repine, T. (2016). The Triassic Period and the Beginning of the Mesozoic Era.
92. Rose, K. D. (2006). *The beginning of the age of mammals*. JHU Press.
93. Rovelli, C. (2021). *Helgoland: Making sense of the quantum revolution*. Penguin.
94. Ruse, M. (2016). We Are Here by Chance?. *Chance in Evolution*, 122.
95. Sarkar, S. (2020). Pregnancy, birthing, breastfeeding and mothering: Hindu perspectives from scriptures and practices. *Open Theology*, 6(1), 104-116.
96. Sarumi, I. A. R. (2018). The Maximum Period of Gestation. *Journal of Islamic Thought and Civilization*, 8(1), 72-83.
97. Schwarz, J. H. (1982). Superstring theory. *Physics Reports*, 89(3), 223-322.
98. Shanta, B. N. (2015). Life and consciousness—The Vedāntic view. *Communicative & Integrative Biology*, 8(5), e1085138.
99. Sharma, A., & Kothari, S. (2024). Atomism Theory: Indian & Western Perspective. *Journal of Polymer & Composites*, 12, 2.
100. Sharma, C. K., & Agarwal, S. (2021). The Vedic time system: An explanatory study. *Journal of Indian Research*, 9(3), 25-31.
101. Schillaci, M. A. (2006). Sexual selection and the evolution of brain size in primates. *PLoS One*, 1(1), e62.
102. Shrestha, M. M. (2021). Dimensions of Creation of the Universe and the Living Worlds. *European journal of theology and philosophy*, 1(4), 1-8.
103. Shukla, P. & Maity, S. (2024) Exploring Modern Analogies in Ashwini Shenoy's Retelling: Attempts to Recreate Ved Vyasa's Kshitigarbh to Enhance Maternal Experiences for 21st Century Gandharis, *Jankriti*, (pp. 255- 268), 2454- 2725
104. Sidharth, B. G. (2005). How Fundamental is Gravitation?. *The Universe of Fluctuations: The Architecture of Spacetime and the Universe*, 127-143.
105. Sidorova-Biryukova, A. (2020). Theoretical Physics and Indian Philosophy: Conceptual Coherence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.02150*.
106. Simpson, S. W. (2010). The earliest hominins. *A Companion to Biological Anthropology*, 314-340.
107. Singhal, A., & Singhal, P. (2023) *Sanskrit Scriptures (Ancient Indian History) and Their*

- Scientific Relevance in Modern Science, International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology 12(11), 2185- 2193
108. Sinha, K. (2019). Our History in Our Traditions: A Reminder. In The Growth and Development of Astronomy and Astrophysics in India and the Asia-Pacific Region: ICOA-9, Pune, India, 15-18 November 2016 (pp. 297-304). Springer Singapore.
 109. Sintubin, M. A. N. U. E. L. (2008). 4.5 Billion Years of Global Change. Lectures for the XXIst Century, 2, 103.
 110. Sirbu, A. (2015). The significance of language as a tool of communication. Scientific Bulletin" Mircea cel Batran" Naval Academy, 18(2), 405.
 111. Skrzypek, J. W. (2024, August). What happens when the zygote divides? On the metaphysics of monozygotic twinning. In The Journal of Medicine and Philosophy: A Forum for Bioethics and Philosophy of Medicine (Vol. 49, No. 4, pp. 336-353). US: Oxford University Press.
 112. Smith, M. P., & Harper, D. A. (2013). Causes of the Cambrian explosion. Science, 341(6152), 1355-1356.
 113. Smithsonian Institution. (2023). What does it mean to be human? Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History. <https://humanorigins.si.edu/about/introduction-human-evolution>
 114. Steinhardt, P. J., & Turok, N. (2002). Cosmic evolution in a cyclic universe. Physical Review D, 65(12), 126003.
 115. Stringer, C. B. (1989). Homo sapiens: Single or multiple origin. Human Origins, 63-80.
 116. Stringer, C. (2002). Modern human origins: Progress and prospects. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 357(1420), 563-579.
 117. Stringer, C. (2012). The status of Homo heidelbergensis (Schoetensack 1908). Evolutionary Anthropology, 21(3), 101-107.
 118. Sues, H. D. (2019). The rise of reptiles: 320 million years of evolution. JHU Press.
 119. SWARGIARY, K. (2025). The Divine Chronology: Why Four Gods for Four Yugas. ERA, US.
 120. Tagore, R. (2003). Personality (J. B. Harrison, Ed.). Rupa & Co. (Original essays delivered between 1917–1923)
 121. Tavaré, S., Marshall, C. R., Will, O., Soligo, C., & Martin, R. D. (2002). Using the fossil record to estimate the age of the last common ancestor of extant primates. Nature, 416(6882), 726-729.
 122. Taylor, J. G. (1997). Neural networks for consciousness. Neural Networks, 10(7), 1207-1225.
 123. Tononi, G., & Koch, C. (2015). Consciousness: here, there and everywhere?. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 370(1668), 20140167.
 124. Trost, M. R., & Alberts, J. K. (2009). How men and women communicate attraction: An evolutionary view. In Sex differences and similarities in communication (pp. 303-321). Routledge.
 125. Vemsani, L. (2016). Krishna in history, thought, and culture: an encyclopedia of the Hindu Lord of Many Names. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
 126. Walker, A., & Leakey, R. E. (Eds.). (1993). The nariokotome Homo erectus skeleton. Harvard University Press.
 127. Werner, K. (1990). SERIES, D. I. SYMBOLS IN ART AND RELIGION, ROUTLEDGE, TAYLOR AND FRANCIS GROUP
 128. White, T. D., Asfaw, B., DeGusta, D., Gilbert, H., Richards, G. D., Suwa, G., & Howell, F. C. (2003). Pleistocene Homo sapiens from Middle Awash, Ethiopia. Nature, 423(6941), 742-747.
 129. Wilber, K. (2000). A theory of everything: An integral vision for business, politics, science and

spirituality. Shambhala Publications.

130. Wilke, A. (2017). Moving Religion by Sound: On the. Aesthetics of Religion: A Connective Concept, 58, 323.
131. Wolpoff, M. H., Wu, X. Z., & Thorne, A. G. (1984). Modern Homo sapiens origins: A general theory of hominid evolution involving the fossil evidence from East Asia. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 64(2), 91-116.
132. Wolpoff, M. H., Wu, X. Z., & Thorne, A. G. (1984). Modern Homo sapiens origins: A general theory of hominid evolution involving the fossil evidence from East Asia. American Anthropologist, 86(2), 293-337.