International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Case Study Analysis of Psychological Assessment Scales

Ms. Afsina[1], Dr. Stutima Basistha[2]

[1]Phd Scholar
[2]Assistant Professor, Department Of Social Science And Humanities, Institute Of Management And Commerce Srinivas University

Abstract
This study investigates how psychological assessment scales can improve diagnosis and treatment in mental health care, aiming to enhance patient outcomes through more accurate and personalized approaches. by analyzing multiple case studies, this research sheds light on the critical role of psychological assessment scales in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and tailoring treatment plans to individual needs. The study's findings underscore the value of a comprehensive assessment approach, which can lead to better mental health outcomes and more effective clinical practice. The insights gained from this research have the potential to inform the development of improved assessment tools and treatment strategies, ultimately contributing to enhanced mental health care and more positive outcomes for individuals and communities.

Keywords: Psychological assessment scales, case study analysis, diagnosis, treatment, mental health outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

The precise diagnosis and targeted treatment of mental health issues are pivotal in enhancing the well-being of individuals grappling with psychological challenges. Psychological assessment scales serve as indispensable instruments for clinicians and researchers, offering standardized metrics to gauge symptoms, determine diagnoses, and track treatment progress. Notwithstanding their extensive utilization, a comprehensive investigation into the practical application and repercussions of these scales in actual clinical environments is warranted. This study seeks to bridge this knowledge gap through an in-depth case study analysis, scrutinizing the role of psychological assessment scales in shaping diagnosis and treatment protocols, with the ultimate goal of informing more effective mental health practices and improving patient outcomes. By exploring the intricacies of assessment scale implementation, this research aims to contribute meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge, providing valuable insights that can guide clinical decision-making and optimize therapeutic interventions.

Background: Mental health disorders' complexity necessitates thorough evaluation and diagnosis to guide treatment. Psychological assessment scales are essential tools for clinicians and researchers, offering standardized measures to assess symptoms, inform diagnoses, and track treatment progress. While these scales provide valuable insights, their effective use demands a nuanced understanding of their strengths, limitations, and potential biases to ensure accurate interpretation and application.

Further research is warranted to explore the practical applications and implications of psychological assessment scales in clinical settings. Through in-depth analysis of multiple case studies, this research seeks to uncover effective practices, challenges, and limitations associated with these scales, ultimately informing improved mental health assessment and diagnosis.

This study will address the followings research questions :

1. What role do psychological assessment scales play in shaping diagnosis and treatment decisions in clinical settings?
2. How do various psychological assessment scales compare in terms of their effectiveness and limitations in assessing mental health issues?
3. In what ways do psychological assessment scales inform the creation of personalized and effective treatment plans?
4. What impact do psychological assessment scales have on clinical practice, research, and ultimately, mental health outcomes for individuals and populations?

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The precise diagnosis and effective treatment of mental health issues hinge on the utilization of psychological assessment scales, which offer standardized measures for symptom assessment, diagnosis, and treatment monitoring. To achieve this aim, the following specific objectives have been Formulated:

1. Investigate the practical applications and usefulness of psychological assessment scales in clinical settings.
2. Assess the efficacy and limitations of various psychological assessment scales in addressing mental health issues.
3. Examine how psychological assessment scales inform diagnosis and treatment planning to enhance mental health outcomes.
4. Investigate the correlation between psychological assessment scales and treatment outcomes, focusing on symptom reduction, functional improvement, and quality of life enhancements.
5. Develop evidence-based recommendations for improving assessment and treatment strategies in mental health care.

Specific Objectives:

1. Identify and categorize psychological assessment scales commonly used in clinical settings.
2. Investigate the application of psychological assessment scales in diagnostic and treatment planning processes.
3. Assess the efficacy of psychological assessment scales in detecting and managing mental health issues.
4. Explore potential drawbacks and limitations of psychological assessment scales in clinical practice.
5. Develop guidelines for optimal use of psychological assessment scales in clinical and research contexts.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research utilizes a qualitative case study design to explore the practical applications and implications of psychological assessment scales in clinical settings, aiming to gain a understanding of their use in everyday clinical

Research Design : This research adopts a multiple case study design, enabling a comprehensive analysis of various cases to uncover patterns, themes, and insights into the application of psychological assessment scales, thereby providing a understanding of mental health assessment complexities.

Data collection : it involve a retrospective case file review, gathering information on:

1. Patient demographics (age, sex, diagnosis, etc.).
2. Utilization of psychological assessment scales (type, scores, interpretations).
3. Diagnoses and corresponding treatment plans.
4. Outcomes (symptom reduction, functional improvement).

Data Analysis: The data analysis will employ thematic analysis, a qualitative method that involves identifying, coding, and categorizing themes and patterns within the data, to explore the strengths, limitations, and implications of psychological assessment scales for diagnosis and treatment.

Expected Outcomes: This study will advance knowledge on the application and implications of psychological assessment scales, providing a foundation for developing more effective assessment and treatment strategies to enhance mental health care.

Limitations

The limitations of this study include:

1. Qualitative design may restrict generalizability of findings.
2. Selective case sampling may introduce bias.
3. Case file quality and completeness may impact study validity.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The findings from the case studies will be analyzed and discussed in relation to the research objectives, providing insights into the application, strengths, and limitations of psychological assessment scales.

Overview of the Analysis : A detailed examination of the case studies will be conducted, involving data coding and categorization to identify key themes and patterns that reveal the strengths and weaknesses of different psychological assessment scales.

1. DEPRESSION, ANXIETY, STRESS SCALES (DASS 21)

The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale – 21 Items (DASS-21) is a set of three self-report scales designed to measure the emotional states of depression, anxiety and stress. Each of the three DASS-21 scales contains 7 items, divided into subscales with similar content. The depression scale assesses dysphoria, hopelessness, devaluation of life, self-deprecation, lack of interest / involvement, anhedonia and inertia. The anxiety scale assesses autonomic arousal, skeletal muscle effects, situational anxiety, and subjective experience of anxious affect. The stress scale is sensitive to levels of chronic non-specific arousal. It assesses difficulty relaxing, nervous arousal, and being easily upset / agitated, irritable /over-reactive and impatient. Scores for depression, anxiety and stress are calculated by summing the scores for the relevant items. The DASS-21 is based on a dimensional rather than a categorical conception of psychological disorder. The assumption on which the DASS-21 development was based (and which was confirmed by the research data) is that the differences between the depression, anxiety and the stress experienced by normal subjects and clinical populations are essentially differences of degree. The DASS-21 therefore has no direct implications for the allocation of patients to discrete diagnostic categories postulated in classificatory systems such as the DSM and ICD.

Depression, Anxiety, Stress scales (DASS 21)

EXPERIMENTER:AF SUBJECT: Ms. NJ

Aim: To assess Aim's symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress using the DASS 21 scale.
Material Required:

- DASS 21 questionnaire
- Pen/pencil
- Scoring sheet

Procedure:
Seat the subject comfortably Ask Aim to complete the DASS 21 questionnaire honestly, rating her symptoms over the past week.
Scoring :
Calculate the total scores for each subscale (Depression, Anxiety, and Stress).
Result and Discussion:
Rationale:
DASS was administered to the subject NJ 22 year old female studying in bachelors to measure the negative emotional state of depression, anxiety, and stress.
Behavioural observation
Rapport was established subject followed all the instructions correctlyDiscussion:
Depression Anxiety Stress scale was administered on the subject NJ 22year old female she scored 10,6,and 12 on depression, anxiety,and stress respectively which indicates that the subject has a moderate level of depression, anxiety,and normal level of stress.
Interpretation
DASS 21 indicates that the participant is moderate on depression, anxiety,and stress is normal level
The rating scale is as follows:
0 Did not apply to me at all
1 Applied to me to some degree, or some of the time
2 Applied to me to a considerable degree or a good part of time
3 Applied to me very much or most of the time
1 (s) I found it hard to wind down 0 1 2 3
2 (a) I was aware of dryness of my mouth 0 1 2 3
3 (d) I couldn't seem to experience any positive feeling at all 0 1 2 3
4 (a) I experienced breathing difficulty (e.g. excessively rapid breathing,breathlessness in the absence of physical exertion) 0 1 2 3
5 (d) I found it difficult to work up the initiative to do things 0 1 2 3
6 (s) I tended to over-react to situations 0 1 2 3
7 (a) I experienced trembling (e.g. in the hands) 0 1 2 3
8 (s) I felt that I was using a lot of nervous energy 0 1 2 3
9 (a) I was worried about situations in which I might panic and make a fool of myself 0 1 2 3
10 (d) I felt that I had nothing to look forward to 0 1 2 3
11 (s) I found myself getting agitated 0 1 2 3
12 (s) I found it difficult to relax 0 1 2 3
13 (d) I felt down-hearted and blue 0 1 2 3
14 (s) I was intolerant of anything that kept me from getting on with what I was doing 0 1 2 3
15 (a) I felt I was close to panic 0 1 2 3
16 (d) I was unable to become enthusiastic about anything 0 1 2 3

17 (d) I felt I wasn't worth much as a person 0 1 2 3
18 (s) I felt that I was rather touchy 0 1 2 3
19 (a) I was aware of the action of my heart in the absence of physical exertion (e.g. sense of heart rate increase, heart missing a beat) 0 1 2 3
20 (a) I felt scared without any good reason 0 1 2 3
21 (d) I felt that life was meaningless 0 1 2 3

2.ALCOHOL USE DISORDER IDENTIFICATION TEST (AUDIT)

Introduction

The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) is a 10-item screening tool developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) to assess alcohol consumption, drinking behaviours, and alcohol-related problems. Both a clinician-administered version and a self-report version of the AUDIT are provided. Patients should be encouraged to answer the AUDIT questions in terms of standard drinks. A chart illustrating the approximate number of standard drinks in different alcohol beverages is included for reference. A score of 8 or more is considered to indicate hazardous or harmful alcohol use. The AUDIT has been validated across genders and in a wide range of racial/ethnic groups and is well-suited for use in primary care settings.

ALCOHOL USE DISORDER IDENTIFICATION TEST (AUDIT
EXPERIMENTER:AF SUBJECT: Mr. A

AIM

To assess alcohol consumption, drinking behaviours, and alcohol-related problems by using AUDIT

MATERIALS

1. AUDIT Scale
2. Norms
3. Writing materials

PLAN

To identify excessive drinking and to check alcohol problems experienced within the last year by administering AUDIT.

PROCEDURE

Seat the subject comfortably and establish the rapport instruct the subject thus "Now I am going to ask you some question about your use of Alcohol beverages during this past year. Read questions as written recorded answer carefully, Explain what is meant by alcoholic beverages by using local examples of beer, wine, vodka etc…Code answers in terms of standard drinkers. Place the correct answer number in the box at the right. Give honest response as possible.

SCORING AND INTERPRETATION

The AUDIT has 10 questions and the possible responses to each question are scored 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4, except for questions 9 and 10 which have possible responses of 0, 2 and 4.

The range of possible scores is from 0 to 40 where 0 indicates an abstainer who has never had any problems from alcohol. A score of 1 to 7 suggests low-risk consumption according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Scores from 8 to 14 suggest hazardous or harmful alcohol consumption and a score of 15 or more indicates the likelihood of alcohol dependence (moderate-severe alcohol use disorder).
Results from the original WHO study showed that the term "drink" in questions 2 and 3 encompassed amounts of alcohol ranging from 8 grams to 13 grams. Where a standard drink is defined as an amount outside this range (e.g. 20 grams) it is recommended that the response categories are modified accordingly.
NORMS

0-7	- Low risk	- No intervention required
8-15	- Increasing risk	- Brief Advice
16-19	- High risk	- Brief Advice /Extended BA
20	- Possible Dependence	- Referral to services

0 to 7 points	:	Low risk
8 to 15 points	:	Medium risk
16 to 19 points	:	High risk
20 to 40 points	:	Addiction likely

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
The subject A scored 24 Alcohol dependence

RATIONALE
Subject A ,56Years old male, with the chief complaint of drinking too much alcohol on all the occasions, showing aggressiveness, low appetite, sleep difficulties, thought disturbances, increase anger, increased talking, harming others, self-harm and harming others. So, AUDIT test was administered to assess the severity of his drinking behaviour.

BEHAVIOUR OBSEVATION
Proper rapport was established, Eye contact was maintained. Talk and appearance was normal.

DISCUSSION
Subject A, 56Year old male has obtained the score of 24 , which interpreted as alcohol dependence. The risk of possible dependence therefore he need referral to services.

CONCLUSION
Subject has obtained the score of 18, which interpreted as alcohol dependence.

SUGGESTION
Behavioural Treatment
It help to change the drinking behaviour through counselling
Medications
Mutual support group- It proved support for people quitting or cutting back on their drinking.

Alcohol consumption screening AUDIT questionnaire in adults

How often do you have a drink containing alcohol?

Never (0 points)
Monthly or less (1 point)
2 to 4 times a month (2 points)
2 to 3 times a week (3 points)
4 or more times a week (4 points)

How many drinks containing alcohol do you have on a typical day when you are drinking?

1 or 2 (0 points)
3 or 4 (1 point)
5 or 6 (2 points)
7 to 9 (3 points)#
10 or more (4 points)

How often do you have 5 or more drinks on one occasion?

Never (0 points)
Less than monthly (1 point)
Monthly (2 points)
Weekly (3 points)
Daily or almost daily (4 points)

How often during the last year have you found that you were not able to stop drinking once you had started?

Never (0 points)
Less than monthly (1 point)
Monthly (2 points)
Weekly (3 points)
Daily or almost daily (4 points)

How often during the last year have you failed to do what was normally expected of you because of drinking?

Never (0 points)
Less than monthly (1 point)
Monthly (2 points)
Weekly (3 points)
Daily or almost daily (4 points)

How often during the last year have you needed a first drink in the morning to get yourself going after a heavy drinking session?

Never (0 points)
Less than monthly (1 point)
Monthly (2 points)
Weekly (3 points)
Daily or almost daily (4 points)

How often during the last year have you had a feeling of guilt or remorse after drinking?

Never (0 points)
Less than monthly (1 point)

Monthly (2 points)
Weekly (3 points)
Daily or almost daily (4 points)

How often during the last year have you been unable to remember what happened the night before because you had been drinking?

Never (0 points)
Less than monthly (1 point)
Monthly (2 points)
Weekly (3 points)
Daily or almost daily (4 points)

Have you or someone else been injured as a result of your drinking?

No (0 points)
Yes, but not in the last year (2 points)
Yes, during the last year (4 points)

Has a relative, a friend, a doctor, or another health worker been concerned about your drinking or suggested you cut down?

No (0 points)
Yes, but not in the last year (2 points)
Yes, during the last year (4 points)

3.HAMILTON DEPRESSION RATING SCALE (HAM-D)

The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) is a widely used assessment tool to measure the severity of depressive symptoms. Here's an outline similar to the one provided for the HAM-A, adapted for the HAM-D:

HAMILTON DEPRESSION RATING SCALE (HAM-D)

EXPERIMENTER : AF SUBJECT: Ms. FI

Aim:To assess the severity of depressive symptoms in a patient.

Materials:

- HAM-D Scale
- Norms
- Writing materials

Procedure:

Establish rapport with the patient and explain the assessment procedure.Ask the patient to respond to the HAM-D questionnaire, rating their symptoms over the past week.

Scoring and Interpretation:

- Each item is scored on a scale of 0 (not present) to 2, 3, or 4 (severe), depending on the item.
- Total score range: 0-52
- **Interpretation:**
- 0-7: Normal
- 8-13: Mild depression
- 14-18: Moderate depression

- 19-22: Severe depression
- 23 and above: Very severe depression

Result and Discussion:

The subject FI score is 18, indicating moderate depression.

Rationale:

The HAM-D is a widely used and reliable tool for assessing depressive symptoms. This assessment helps identify the severity of symptoms and informs treatment planning.

Behavioral Observation:

- Patient appears sad and withdrawn
- Slow speech and movement
- Lack of eye contact

Discussion:

The patient's score suggests moderate depressive symptoms, including:

- Sadness and hopelessness
- Loss of interest in activities
- Changes in appetite and sleep
- Fatigue and loss of energy

Recommendations:

1. Medications to reduce depressive symptoms
2. Psychotherapies, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and interpersonal therapy (IPT)
3. Lifestyle adjustments, such as regular exercise, healthy diet, and social support

The HAM-D assessment provides a comprehensive picture of the patient's depressive symptoms, guiding treatment planning and monitoring progress.

Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D)

1. Depressed mood (Sadness, hopeless, helpless, worthless) [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
2. Feelings of guilt [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
3. Suicide [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
4. Insomnia early [0] [1] [2]
5. Insomnia middle [0] [1] [2]
6. Insomnia late [0] [1] [2]
7. Work and activities [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
8. Retardation (Slowness of thought and speech; poor concentration; decreased motor activity.) [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
9. Agitation [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
10. Anxiety (Psychic) [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
11. Anxiety (Somatic) [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
12. Somatic symptoms (Gastrointestinal) [0] [1] [2]
13. Somatic symptoms (General) [0] [1] [2]
14. Genital symptoms (Loss of libido, menstrual disturbances) [0] [1] [2]
15. Hypochondriasis [0] [1] [2] [3] [4]
16. Loss of weight [0] [1] [2]
17. Insight [0] [1] [2]

General Health Questionnaire

The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) is a self-report screening instrument used to detect potential psychological distress and psychiatric disorders. It comes in different versions, with the 12-item GHQ-12 being a favored choice for its concise nature and simplicity. The GHQ's primary purpose is to identify individuals who may benefit from further evaluation or support, making it a valuable tool in both clinical and research settings.The GHQ evaluates various mental health symptoms, such as anxiety, depression, and social dysfunction, while also assessing overall well-being, providing a comprehensive picture of an individual's mental health status.The GHQ serves as a screening measure, not a diagnostic tool, aiming to detect potential mental health issues and prompt further evaluation by a qualified healthcare professional for accurate diagnosis and treatment.

General Health Questionnaire

Experimenter: AF. Subject: NA

Aim:

The GHQ aims to assess psychological distress and mental health symptoms in individuals, identifying potential issues that may require further evaluation or support.

Materials Required:

GHQ-28 questionnaire

Norms

Writing Material

Procedure:

The GHQ is typically self-administered by the individual being assessed. The individual completes the 28-item questionnaire, responding to questions about their mental health symptoms and experiences. The responses are scored based on the individual's reported experiences.

Result and Discussion:

Rational:

The Subject NA aged 22 she is having sleep difficulties so general health questionnaire was used to check the psychological well-being.

Behavioural Observation:

Rapport was established easily with the subject. She was cooperative throughout the interview. Eye contact was maintained.

Discussion:

The aim of the experiment was to check the psychological well-being.the total score obtained by the subject was 16.the interpretation as low general Health symptoms. Therefore the subject has low general health symptoms subject scored 3 in somatic symptoms ,2 in insomnia and anxiety symptoms ,10 in symptoms of social dysfunction. 1 in symptoms of severe depression.

In somatic symptoms subject has scored 3 which means she had not more than usual feeling perfectly well and of good health, feeling down and out of sorts and felt that she is ill.

In symptoms of anxiety or insomnia the subject obtained a score of 2.which means that the subject had not more usual difficulty in staying asleep one you are off and getting edgy and bad tempered.

In symptoms of social dysfunction the subject obtained a score of 9.which means the subject had not more than usual been managing to keep herself busy and occupied, difficulty in taking longer over the things

she do , felt on the whole she were doing things well, been satisfied with the way she had carried out our task, felt that she were playing a useful part is things. Felt rather more than usual capable of making decisions about things and been able to her normal day today activities.
In symptoms of severe depression the subject obtained a score of 1.which means the subject had not more than usual found at times.

Conclusion:
The subject has low general Health Symptoms.
Management Plan:
Psychoeducation: Help people better understand mental conditions is considered to be an essential aspects of all therapy programs.
General Health Questionnaire
Have you recently
Been feeling perfectly well and in good health
Been feeling in need of a good tonic?
Been feeling run down and out of sorts?
Felt that you are ill?
Been getting any pains in your head?
Been getting a feeling of tightness or pressure in your head?
Been having hot or cold spells?
Lost much sleep over worry?
Had difficulty in staying asleep once you are off?
Felt constantly under strain?
Been getting edgy and bad tempered?
Been getting scared or panicky for no good reason?
Found everything getting on top of you?
Been feeling nervous and strung-up all the time?
Been managing to keep yourself busy and occupied?
Been taking longer over the things you do?
Felt on the whole you were doing things well?
Been satisfied with the way you have carried out your task?
Felt that you are playing a useful part in things?
Felt capable of making decisions about things?
Been able to enjoy your normal day today activities?
Been thinking of yourself as a worthless person?
Felt that life is entirely hopeless?
Felt that life isn't worth living?
Thought of the possibility that you might make away with yourself?
Found at times you couldn't do anything because your nerves were too bad?
Found yourself wishing you were dead and away from it all?
Found that the idea of taking your own life kept coming into your mind?
Options- Not at all-0,Not more than usual-1, Rather more than usual -2,Much more than usual -3.

RESEARCH FINDINGS

The case study analysis provided valuable understanding of the practical applications and implications of psychological assessment scales, informing their effective use in clinical settings.

1. Utility of assessment scales: Scales like HAM-D, AUDIT, DASS-21, and GHQ are useful for assessing symptom severity and diagnosing mental health disorders.
2. Strengths and limitations: Each scale has its strengths (e.g., comprehensiveness, brevity) and limitations (e.g., potential bias, need for careful interpretation).
3. Implications for diagnosis and treatment*: Assessment scales inform diagnosis, treatment planning, and outcome monitoring.
4. Relationship between scales and treatment outcomes*: Scales like HAM-D, AUDIT, and DASS-21 can help clinicians assess treatment response and identify areas for intervention.

Clinical Implications

- Clinicians must understand the strengths and limitations of various psychological assessment scales
- Standardized assessment tools are crucial for accurate diagnosis and effective treatment planning.
- Psychological assessment scales can guide treatment decisions and enhance patient outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This research underscores the critical role of psychological assessment scales in clinical practice, highlighting their potential to enhance diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient outcomes, and emphasizing the need for clinicians to be knowledgeable about their use and implications.

REFERENCE

1. Anderson, C., Laubscher, S., & Burns, R. (1996). Validation of the Short Form 36 (SF-36) health survey questionnaire among stroke patients. Stroke, 27, 1812-6.
2. Babor, T. F., Higgins-Biddle, J. C., Saunders, J. B., & Monteiro, M. G. (2001). AUDIT: The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: guidelines for use in Primary care (2nd ed.). World Health Organization. WHO/MSD/MSB/01.6a.
3. Epstein NB, Baldwin LM, Bishop DS. The mcmaster family assessment device. J Marital Fam Ther. 1983;9(2):171-180
4. Goldberg, D.P. & Hillier, V.F. (1979). A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. Psychological Medicine, 9, 139-45.
5. Hamilton M. Development of a rating scale for primary Depressive illness. Br J Soc Clin Psychol 1967;6(4):278–96.
6. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/who_msd_msb_01.6a.p
7. Lovibond, S.H.; Lovibond, P.F. (1995). Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (2nd ed.). Sydney: Psychology Foundation (Available from The Psychology Foundation, Room 1005 Mathews Building, University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia.
8. R.A. CowleyA total emergency medical system for the State of Maryland
9. Williams JB. A structured interview guide for the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1988; 45(8):742–7.