The Dynamics of Job Stress in Indian Banking Sector: Challenges and Coping Mechanisms

Ms. Deksha Verma[1], Dr. Shipra[2], Dr. B.S Bhatia[3]

[1]Research Scholar, School of Management & Commerce, RIMT university Mandi gobindgarh
[2]Associate Professor, School of Management & Commerce, RIMT university Mandi gobindgarh
[3]Pro Chancellor (Academic), RIMT University mandi gobindgarh punjab

Abstract

This study seeks to explore the concept of job stress within the Indian context, aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding of its nature, causes, and consequences across diverse sectors. Given India's rapidly evolving economic landscape and its multifaceted workforce, the research delves into the theoretical underpinnings of job stress, drawing from established models such as the job demand-control model, effort-reward imbalance model, and person-environment fit theory, among others. By contextualizing these frameworks within India's socio-cultural and organizational settings, the study highlights the unique stressors faced by Indian employees, ranging from hierarchical organizational structures and work-life imbalance to job insecurity and role ambiguity. The findings underscore the urgent need for context-specific strategies and interventions. Practical implications are presented for managers and organizational leaders, offering insights into mitigating stress through policy reforms, supportive leadership, and the promotion of employee well-being initiatives. This research contributes to the growing body of literature on occupational stress in emerging economies and provides a foundation for future inquiry into culturally informed stress management practices.

Keywords: Job stress; job demand resource model; job demand control model; effort reward imbalance model; person-environment fit; conversation of resource theory

1. Introduction

Job stress, characterized as harmful physical and emotional responses that occur when job demands surpass a worker's abilities, resources, or needs, is a growing concern in today's rapidly evolving work environments (Lamontagne et al., 2007). Job stress influences not just the individual but also significantly affects organizational performance, culture, and sustainability (Kim & Jung, 2022; Kukovec et al., 2021). As tasks become increasingly complex and competitive, employees often face heightened expectations, excessive workloads, and ambiguous role definitions, all of which intensify stress (Lin & Ling, 2018). Researchers recognize occupational stress as a principal factor in employee dissatisfaction and burnout, a phenomenon that has escalated in both developed and developing countries (Ohue et al., 2021). In India, characterized by hierarchical organizational structures and high workloads, job stress presents a substantial issue affecting employee productivity and organizational effectiveness (Rajan, 2021). Prolonged stress in employees can result in physiological ailments such as cardiovascular disease, hypertension, gastrointestinal disorders, and diminished immune function (Stefanaki et al., 2018). Employees may experience anxiety, depression, emotional fatigue, irritability,

and reduced cognitive function, all of which impair their decision-making abilities and interpersonal relationships in the workplace (Ceschi et al., 2017). Chronic professional stress can result in burnout, defined as a syndrome that includes emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment, which severely undermines an employee's engagement and overall job performance (Leiter&Maslach, 2017). In India, economic transformations and technological advancements are rapidly changing the nature of labor, with these trends becoming increasingly apparent across numerous sectors (Erumban et al., 2019).

The organizational consequences of job stress are as substantial. Increased stress levels among employees are strongly correlated with reduced productivity, higher absenteeism, elevated turnover rates, and lower organizational commitment (Ekienabor, 2016). Organizations experiencing chronic stress within their workforce may suffer from reduced workplace morale, strained labor-management relations, and a harmful work environment, collectively eroding corporate culture and resilience (Sonenshein&Nault, 2024). Furthermore, the economic ramifications of work stress are significant. Literature emphasizes that stress-related absenteeism and presenteeism, when employees are physically present but mentally disengaged, lead to billions of dollars in lost productivity for businesses annually (Dobson et al., 2020). In India, enterprises face additional challenges due to insufficient mental health infrastructure and the widespread stigma associated with psychological disorders, which discourages many employees from seeking professional help (Pandya et al., 2022). Consequently, stress-related issues are often underreported and inadequately handled in Indian workplaces (Iqbal et al., 2025). Occupational stress negatively affects the quality of corporate decision-making and inventiveness (Nasir et al., 2022). Employees under stress exhibit diminished motivation to take initiative, communicate effectively, or engage in innovative problem-solving, all of which are critical for organizational agility and enduring competitiveness (Kleen et al., 2006). Moreover, work stress adversely affects the psychological bond between employers and employees(Lee & Han, 2020). When employees perceive that their organization disregards their well-being, they may experience feelings of betrayal and disengagement, leading to a reduction in organizational citizenship behaviors, which are voluntary efforts that contribute to organizational success (Spector & Fox, 2002). In contrast, when organizations acknowledge stress as a critical concern and implement supportive policies such as flexible work schedules, employee assistance programs, and mental health counselling, employees are more likely to exhibit loyalty, commitment, and discretionary effort, thus cultivating a positive organizational environment(Harknessetal., 2025).

The socio-cultural environment profoundly affects the experience and outcomes of job stress (Oke& Dawson, 2012). In collectivist societies like India, household responsibilities, cultural expectations, and job insecurity can intensify workplace stress (Shah &Rajadhyaksha, 2016). Indian employees often have challenges in balancing professional and household obligations, leading to work-family conflict, a significant predictor of job dissatisfaction and intents to depart from the organization (Aboobaker et al., 2017). Moreover, gender dynamics in Indian workplaces lead to disparate stress levels, as women often face increased demands from dual obligations and inadequate organizational support for work-life integration (Akanji et al., 2020). These contextual factors necessitate a nuanced approach to stress management that considers cultural, organizational, and human dimensions.

This study is an attempt to understand the concept of job stress within the Indian context. We also seek to explore the different theoretical frameworks that explain the foundations of job stress, and how these

apply to India's diverse and dynamic workforce. The study aims to uncover the challenges prevalent in Indian workplaces and provide practical implications for managers to address this pervasive issue.

2. Review of Stress Theories and Literature

Various key theories in organizational behavior and human resource management are essential for understanding job stress. In this study, we have examined some of the main theories to explore job stress within the Indian banking sector and to assess their relevance in the context of the current research.

2.1 Job Demand-Control (JDC) model

JDC model (Karasek, 1979) remains one of the foundational theories for understanding job stress. This model explains stress as the result of a dynamic interaction between two primary job characteristics: job demands and job control. Job demands refer to the psychological stressors involved in accomplishing work tasks, which include workload intensity, tight deadlines, and mental pressure (Ricciardelli& Carleton, 2022). Job control, on the other hand, refers to the extent to which employees have the autonomy to make decisions about how to perform their work, and the flexibility to use their skills and judgment.The model proposes that employees experience the highest levels of stress, termed as "high-strain jobs," when they face high demands but have little control over their work. This imbalance often leads to negative outcomes like burnout, anxiety, and even physical health problems such as cardiovascular disease(Häusser et al., 2010). Conversely, "active jobs," which involve high demands paired with high control, are seen as less stressful and even beneficial, as they can stimulate personal growth, learning, and job satisfaction (Vassos et al., 2019).

In the Indian work environment, where many organizations follow a top-down, hierarchical structure, employees frequently face high workloads but have limited autonomy in decision-making (Anand&Vohra, 2022). Such conditions make the JDC model especially relevant for understanding job-related stress in India. It highlights the critical role that organizational design, leadership style, and workplace culture play in influencing employee stress(Nanda &Nagasubramaniyan, 2023). Indian managers, therefore, can use this framework to rethink how much decision-making power and flexibility they allow their subordinates, and potentially reduce stress by promoting more empowerment and participation at various levels of the organization (Raj, 2023).

2.2 Effort-Reward Imbalance (ERI) Model

ERI model (Siegrist, 2002) is a prominent framework in occupational health psychology that elucidates the emergence of stress due to inequitable reciprocity in the workplace. This concept posits that workplace stress arises from an imbalance between the effort an employee expends and the rewards they receive. The rewards encompass not only monetary remuneration (income) but also non-monetary benefits, including recognition, job security, career growth prospects, and respect from peers and superiors (Siegrist& Li, 2016). The fundamental premise of the ERI model posits that employees anticipate equity in return for their dedication and effort. When employees frequently invest substantial effort, which include working extended hours, assuming additional tasks, or sacrificing personal time, but receive inadequate or insufficient incentives, they regard this as an inequitable and discouraging experience (Siegrist& Li, 2017). This apparent disparity induces emotional distress, diminishes motivation, and may lead to significant repercussions for both physical and mental health, including burnout, anxiety, depression, and cardiovascular disease. A significant element of the ERI model is the notion of "over-commitment," which denotes a personal coping style marked by excessive ambition, a pronounced need for validation, and a robust desire for control. Employees that are excessively committed frequently exceed reasonable boundaries, even without adequate compensation. This personal

characteristic can exacerbate the stress response, rendering these individuals especially susceptible to the adverse effects of effort-reward imbalance (Siegrist, 2017).

The ERI paradigm is very pertinent in the Indian workplace scenario. Organizations especially in competitive industries such as information technology, finance, and education, frequently require prolonged working hours, multitasking, and perpetual availability. Nonetheless, these endeavours do not consistently receive commensurate acknowledgment or protection (Kinman, 2019). Furthermore, the conventional work culture in India often normalizes excessive labour while undervaluing employee well-being, potentially resulting in chronic stress and discontent (Gabriel et al., 2022). The ERI paradigm thus functions as a robust tool for understanding the psychological and health effects of perceived injustice, especially in contexts where rewards are few or unevenly allocated (Topa et al., 2016). It underscores the need of equitable HR practices and the necessity for management to acknowledge and address the emotional and professional requirements of its employees (VanLange et al., 2023).

2.3 Person-Environment (PE) Fit Theory

PE Fit Theory, (Caplan& Harrison, 1993), elucidates job stress as stemming from the incongruence, or "misfit," between an individual and their work environment. This theory posits that employees encounter stress when there is a misalignment between their personal attributes (including abilities, values, aspirations, or requirements) and the attributes of their job or company (such as work demands, culture, or available resources). The concept is straightforward: a superior alignment between the individual and the environment correlates with increased employee satisfaction and reduced stress levels. In contrast, a poor fit generates tension and discomfort, resulting in job stress and potentially detrimental effects on mental and physical health (Edwards et al., 1988).

The approach emphasizes two significant types of fit: objective fit and subjective fit. Objective fit pertains to the tangible compatibility determined by quantifiable criteria, such as the alignment of an employee's qualifications with the job specifications. Subjective fit, conversely, pertains to the employee's perception of the alignment between themselves and the job. Despite good objective compatibility, if the employee perceives a lack of belonging or support, tension may still ensue (Edwards, 2008).

This concept is particularly pertinent in the Indian setting, characterized by significant diversity in workplaces shaped by regional cultures, hierarchical structures, and social conventions. An employee with a collaborative, team-oriented background may experience stress in a rigid, hierarchical workplace that restricts individual expression (Parker et al., 2017). A discord between an employee's requirement for work-life balance and an organizational culture that incentivizes extended hours may result in unhappiness and burnout (Chiang et al., 2024). The P-E Fit Theory assists managers in recognizing that job stress encompasses not only workload but also the alignment of employee expectations, identity, and environment (Mackey et al., 2017).

2.4 Transactional Model of Stress and Coping

The Transactional Model of Stress and Coping, (Lazarus &Folkman, 1987), conceptualizes stress as a dynamic interplay between the individual and their environment, rather as a static or automatic response to external stimuli. This paradigm posits that stress occurs when an individual perceives a situation as burdensome or beyond their capacity to manage (Laubmeier et al., 2004). It presents a psychological perspective on stress, highlighting that it is not merely the existence of a stressor, but rather the

individual's interpretation and management of that stressor that dictates whether it becomes distressing (Quine&Pahl, 1991). The paradigm delineates two fundamental phases of cognitive appraisal:

Primary assessment includes the process by which an individual assesses whether an event or circumstance poses harm, threat, or challenge. An employee may perceive a sudden rise in workload as either a threat to their well-being or a challenge that fosters progress(Obbarius et al., 2021). Secondary appraisal includesthe process in which an individual assesses their available coping resources and alternatives (Abu-Shosha& Al-Kalaldeh, 2020). This encompasses internal resources (such as resilience or talents) and external resources (such as social support or organizational assistance).

According to these evaluations, individuals employ coping methods, which are typically categorized into: Problem-focused coping: directed towards mitigating the source of stress (e.g., time management, soliciting assistance, or altering work practices).

Emotion-focused coping: designed to regulate emotional reactions to the stressor (e.g., relaxation, expression, or evasion)(Hulbert-Williams et al., 2013).

This paradigm is particularly illuminating in the Indian organizational setting as it considers the subjective experiences of employees, many of whom navigate intricate socio-cultural factors. Indian employees may encounter pressures stemming from familial expectations, hierarchical workplace dynamics, and inflexible authority systems (Avcıoğlu et al., 2019). These contextual elements profoundly influence individuals' perceptions of stress and the coping strategies they select. The approach elucidates why two employees in analogous positions may encounter markedly varied stress levels, contingent upon their interpretations and responses to their surroundings. Consequently, it offers a significant foundation for researchers and administrators to comprehend and enhance employee well-being via customized coping resources and stress-reduction strategies(Cash & Gardner, 2011).

2.5 Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

JD-R Model (Bakker &Demerouti, 2017) offers a thorough and flexible framework for examining job stress in various work environments and professions. This paradigm posits that each occupation possesses distinct job demands and job resources, and the equilibrium, or lack thereof, between the two influences the degree of stress or motivation encountered by employees(Lesener et al., 2019). Job demands denote the elements of work that necessitate prolonged physical, emotional, or cognitive exertion, thereby incurring certain physiological and psychological costs. Examples encompass substantial workload, time constraints, emotional distress, or role ambiguity. Excessive demands, if not well managed, can result in energy depletion, burnout, and declining mental health (Bauer et al., 2014). Conversely, job resources encompass the physical, psychological, social, or organizational elements of a job that assist people in managing demands, attaining work objectives, and fostering personal growth and development (Koroglu&Ozmen, 2022). These may encompass assistance from supervisors or co-workers, independence in decision-making, performance evaluations, and prospects for professional development. When resources are adequate, they mitigate the adverse effects of job demands while simultaneously enhancing employee engagement, resilience, and performance (TB-Rattrie& Kittler, 2014).

In the Indian organizational setting, where employees frequently encounter several and intersecting demands which include extended working hours, ambiguous positions, elevated performance expectations, and restricted autonomy, the JD-R model provides significant insights (Iqbal et al., 2025). Numerous Indian businesses, contends with challenges including understaffing and insufficient

emotional support networks (Sengupta et al., 2021). Utilizing the JD-R model enables firms to accurately pinpoint the particular stressors impacting their workforce and to execute focused actions, such as augmenting task control, fostering team cohesion, or refining recognition systems (Schaufeli, 2017). Consequently, the JD-R model facilitates the diagnosis of job-related stress and assists in formulating effective methods to avert burnout and promote well-being, rendering it especially pertinent and applicable in modern Indian workplaces (Rashmi&Kataria, 2023).

2.6 Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory

COR Theory (Hobfoll, 1989) serves as a significant paradigm for comprehending the emergence of stress and its impact on humans, especially in high-pressure occupational settings. The notion posits that individuals are inherently driven to obtain, preserve, and protect important resources. Resources may be concrete, including financial assets, time, and physical energy, or intangible, encompassing emotional support, social standing, and employment stability(Westman et al., 2004). Stress arises from the actual depletion of resources, the imminent fear of resource loss, or an insufficiency of resources to satisfy external demands (Hobfoll et al., 2018). COR asserted that the psychological ramifications of resource loss surpass those of resource gain, indicating that the apprehension or occurrence of resource depletion can substantially exacerbate mental distress and burnout (Holmgreen et al., 2017). This theory is particularly effective in elucidating how employees experience stress in professional environments when faced with excessive workloads, insufficient managerial or peer support, or interpersonal disputes that deplete their emotional resources (Halbesleben et al., 2014). Moreover, the relentless endeavour to safeguard or recover depleted resources may engender a detrimental loop of tiredness and diminished output (Doane et al., 2012).

In the Indian setting, the COR theory possesses significant implications. Employees frequently operate under resource-limited situations, particularly in public sector organizations, micro, small, and medium firms, and rural or semi-urban businesses (Molecke&Pinkse, 2017). The accumulation of numerous duties, extended working hours, restricted prospects for professional advancement, and external socio-economic pressures exacerbate the risk of resource depletion. This renders individuals more susceptible to stress and burnout (Penney et al., 2011). Consequently, COR theory offers a comprehensive elucidation of chronic workplace stress in India and emphasizes the necessity for firms to not only mitigate resource depletion but also augment access to supportive systems, including mentoring, flexible work arrangements, and recognition initiatives, to alleviate stress (Jin et al., 2018).

3. Discussion

The current study aims to explore the concept of job stress within the Indian banking sector, focusing on its key challenges and the coping strategies employed by employees and organizations.

3.1 Key challenges

The Indian banking sector faces considerable stress, as personnel contend with many obstacles that exacerbate their mental and physical strain. These difficulties are complex, mirroring the evolving characteristics of the financial sector and the distinct socio-cultural context of India.

A significant stressor encountered by personnel in this area is excessive workload. The Indian banking sector is marked by increasing demands for customer service and financial objectives (Bowling &Kirkendall, 2012). As digitization advances, personnel are anticipated to manage intricate activities, oversee substantial transaction volumes, and provide outcomes within stringent time constraints. The pressure to achieve performance objectives, including sales targets and revenue growth, intensifies this

stress (Hassan et al., 2024). Moreover, employees frequently encounter role uncertainty and role conflict. In numerous banks, workers are obligated to manage various functions, including customer service, administrative duties, and sales, without distinct delineations between activities. This ambiguity frequently results in confusion and frustration, as employees find it challenging to prioritize 2024activities and manage conflicting requests efficiently (Melin et al., 2014).

A notable factor exacerbating stress in the Indian banking sector is the extended working hours. A considerable number of staff in both public and private sector banks exceed their designated working hours, particularly during peak periods or while addressing end-of-month or year-end objectives (Kamath et al., 2003). The perpetual demand to remain accessible to customers, particularly in high-traffic branches, frequently results in burnout and exhaustion. Prolonged exposure to occupational stress, without sufficient recuperation time, heightens the risk of chronic stress. The corporate culture significantly influences stress levels in Indian banks (Bezbaruah, 2015). The hierarchical structure of numerous banking institutions results in employees frequently encountering restricted autonomy and diminished decision-making authority, culminating in sentiments of dissatisfaction and powerlessness. In such circumstances, a lack of a supportive work culture can hinder employees from voicing concerns or seeking assistance for stress-related difficulties. The absence of emotional support may foster a feeling of isolation, so exacerbating stress (Crowley et al., 2014).

Furthermore, interpersonal conflict constitutes a significant stressor within the Indian banking sector. The pressure to achieve personal and collective objectives can occasionally lead to strained relationships and detrimental workplace dynamics among employees (Piar& Chand, 2014). Employees frequently experience stress stemming from management style, as certain bosses employ a top-down approach that restricts open communication and fosters a fear-driven workplace atmosphere. The obstacles encountered by employees in rural and semi-urban regions are exacerbated by insufficient infrastructure and resources, which not only increases their burden but also impairs their capacity to perform their duties successfully (Katić et al., 2019). The swift progression of technological innovations induces tension, compelling personnel to perpetually enhance their skills to remain proficient with emerging banking software, mobile applications, and digital tools. The continuous necessity for skill development, coupled with the pressure to achieve performance objectives, engenders a persistent sense of strain for several personnel (Baysak&Yener, 2015).

3.2 Practical implications

Based on the literature the current study offers some practical solutions regarding the challenges faced by organizations and employees in relation to the management of stress.Coping mechanisms inside organizations are essential for controlling and alleviating stress, particularly in high-pressure industries such as banking.

Within the Indian banking sector, employees encounter numerous stressors, including excessive workloads, stringent deadlines, prolonged working hours, and the pressure to achieve performance targets; thus, effective coping strategies are crucial for sustaining employee well-being and organizational productivity. Organizations may implement a blend of individual-centred, organizational-level, and environmental techniques to assist employees in managing stress, thereby fostering a healthy workplace culture and enhancing performance outcomes.

A fundamental strategy for stress management is providing employees with resources to individually address stress. The predominant approach encompasses stress management training, mindfulness practices, and resilience-building seminars. Providing stress management training enables people to

cultivate personal coping mechanisms for effectively handling job strain. These programs may encompass skills such as time management, prioritization, and relaxation activities, including deep breathing and guided meditation. Moreover, mindfulness methods have experienced considerable popularity in recent years. Mindfulness training facilitates employees' concentration on the present, alleviating anxiety and enhancing emotional management. Practicing mindfulness enables employees to regulate their reactions to stressors, resulting in more measured responses to workplace issues. Resilience training is a crucial method that aids employees in cultivating the psychological fortitude required to recover from challenging circumstances and maintain an optimistic perspective, especially in high-pressure conditions.

At the organizational level, it is essential for banking institutions to establish a supportive atmosphere that mitigates stressors and enhances well-being. Employee assistance programs are a vital organizational technique that aids employees in managing personal and occupational stress. Employee assistance programs provide confidential counselling, financial guidance, mental health support, and legal aid, equipping employees with comprehensive options to address stress effectively. Furthermore, providing flexible work arrangements has gained significance in relation to work-life balance. Due to technological advancements and the capacity for remote work, banks can provide employees with flexibility regarding work hours and location. This alleviates transportation stress and allows employees to effectively manage their personal lives, so diminishing burnout and enhancing overall workplace satisfaction.

Establishing a healthy work culture is crucial for mitigating stress. A culture that promotes open communication, openness, and mutual respect can substantially alleviate workplace stress. When employees perceive support from their bosses and peers, they are more inclined to exhibit motivation and resilience in high-pressure situations. Consistent feedback systems and performance evaluations offer employees clarity regarding their responsibilities and performance standards. Explicit communication regarding performance objectives and expectations helps mitigate role uncertainty and stress; ensuring staff understand their responsibilities and can plan accordingly. An additional beneficial method at the organizational level is the enhancement of workplace social support. Promoting cooperation and collaboration fosters a supportive network among employees, reducing feelings of isolation and enhancing connectivity. Organizations can cultivate camaraderie and support among employees through team-building events, mentorship programs, and peer support groups. In the banking business, where employees frequently experience isolation due to the industry's hierarchical structure, social support can alleviate stress and foster a sense of belonging and security.

Work-environmental methods concentrate on altering the physical and organizational framework of the workplace to mitigate stressors. In the Indian banking sector, characterized by high-stress work environments due to extended hours, stringent regulatory mandates, and persistent customer demands, enhancing the physical workspace is a viable method to alleviate stress. For instance, offering ergonomic furnishings and tranquil areas for employees to take brief respites helps alleviate physical discomfort and mental exhaustion. Moreover, establishing restorative environments within the workplace, such as relaxation rooms or informal gathering spaces, can provide employees with the opportunity to rejuvenate during breaks, thereby assisting them in managing stress throughout the day.

Employment redesign could be helpful for organizations to mitigate stress. Job stress in the banking business frequently stems from role ambiguity, excessive job demands, or insufficient autonomy. By restructuring positions to incorporate diverse responsibilities, enhanced autonomy, and well-defined

roles, banks can empower workers to feel more in command of their work and less inundated. For example, interspersing tedious chores with stimulating and significant work helps maintain employee engagement and mitigate feelings of ennui or burnout. Furthermore, fostering a culture of acknowledgment and incentives can enhance morale. Employees who see acknowledgment for their efforts are less prone to feelings of resentment or disengagement, both of which can contribute to stress. Recognition programs, whether financial or non-financial, can enhance employees' sense of worth and motivation. Ultimately, alleviating excessive workloads is a crucial method for stress management in the banking business. Managers must guarantee that workloads are feasible, reasonable, and equitably allocated among teams. Establishing attainable objectives and expectations, together with providing sufficient support at peak periods, can mitigate burnout and overload. Managers should promote regular breaks and time off for staff to recoup, preventing the onset of chronic stress. As Indian banks mature, implementing these techniques will enhance employee well-being and foster organizational success by cultivating a more engaged and resilient staff.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, mitigating occupational stress in the Indian banking sector necessitates a comprehensive strategy that integrates individual, organizational, and environmental coping mechanisms. The industry is seeing heightened demands from globalization, technology, and evolving customer expectations, resulting in elevated stress levels among employees that can adversely affect their well-being and the overall effectiveness of the firm. Implementing stress management programs, providing flexible work arrangements, cultivating a supportive work culture, and restructuring job responsibilities are critical methods that help mitigate stress and bolster employee resilience. Moreover, fostering a supportive work atmosphere by enhancing physical spaces and alleviating excessive workloads can substantially mitigate job-related stress. Implementing these tactics enables firms to promote employee mental and physical health, increase productivity, mitigate burnout, and ultimately attain superior organizational performance. Therefore, the effective execution of these coping mechanisms is essential for alleviating stress and fostering long-term growth and stability in the Indian banking industry.

References

1. Aboobaker, N., Edward, M. and Pramatha, K.P., 2017. Work–family conflict, family–work conflict and intention to leave the organization: Evidences across five industry sectors in India. Global Business Review, 18(2), pp.524-536.
2. Abu Shosha, G.M. and Al-Kalaldeh, M., 2020. The transactional model of stress and coping as guidance for understanding adolescent patients' experience with thalassemia: Case report. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing, 33(1), pp.49-54.
3. Akanji, B., Mordi, C. and Ajonbadi, H.A., 2020. The experiences of work-life balance, stress, and coping lifestyles of female professionals: insights from a developing country. Employee Relations: The International Journal, 42(4), pp.999-1015.
4. Anand, A. and Vohra, V., 2022. What helps me cope with work–family conflict at my high-performance work system in India: A thematic analysis of sociocultural factors. Vikalpa, 47(1), pp.38-52.
5. Avcıoğlu, M.M., Karanci, A.N. and Soygur, H., 2019. What is related to the well-being of the siblings of patients with schizophrenia: An evaluation within the Lazarus and Folkman's

Transactional Stress and Coping Model. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 65(3), pp.252-261.

6. Bakker, A.B. and Demerouti, E., 2017. Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. Journal of occupational health psychology, 22(3), p.273.

7. Bauer, G.F., Hämmig, O., Schaufeli, W.B. and Taris, T.W., 2014. A critical review of the job demands-resources model: Implications for improving work and health. Bridging occupational, organizational and public health: A transdisciplinary approach, pp.43-68.

8. Baysak, B. and Yener, M.İ., 2015. The relationship between perceived leadership style and perceived stress on hospital employees. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 207, pp.79-89.

9. Bezbaruah, S., 2015. Banking on equality: Women, work and employment in the banking sector in India. Routledge.

10. Bowling, N.A. and Kirkendall, C., 2012. Workload: A review of causes, consequences, and potential interventions. Contemporary Occupational Health Psychology: Global Perspectives on Research and Practice, Volume 2, 2, pp.221-238.

11. Caplan, R.D. and Van Harrison, R., 1993. Person-environment fit theory: Some history, recent developments, and future directions. Journal of social issues, 49(4), pp.253-275.

12. Cash, M.L. and Gardner, D., 2011. Cognitive hardiness, appraisal and coping: comparing two transactional models. Journal of managerial Psychology, 26(8), pp.646-664.

13. Ceschi, A., Demerouti, E., Sartori, R. and Weller, J., 2017. Decision-making processes in the workplace: How exhaustion, lack of resources and job demands impair them and affect performance. Frontiers in psychology, 8, p.313.

14. Chiang, C.H., Hong, J.C., Chang, K.W. and Tai, K.H., 2024. The Role of Job Fit, Job Stress, and Teaching Self-Efficacy in Teacher Well-Being During the Implementation of a New Curriculum. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, pp.1-11.

15. Crowley, M., Payne, J.C. and Kennedy, E., 2014. Working better together? Empowerment, panopticon and conflict approaches to teamwork. Economic and Industrial Democracy, 35(3), pp.483-506.

16. Doane, L.S., Schumm, J.A. and Hobfoll, S.E., 2012. The positive, sustaining, and protective power of resources: insights from conservation of resources theory. In Handbook of social resource theory: Theoretical extensions, empirical insights, and social applications (pp. 301-310). New York, NY: Springer New York.

17. Dobson, M., Schnall, P., Rosskam, E. and Landsbergis, P., 2020. Work-related burden of absenteeism, presenteeism, and disability: an epidemiologic and economic perspective. In Handbook of disability, work and health (pp. 251-272). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

18. Edwards, J.R., 2008. 4 person–environment fit in organizations: An assessment of theoretical progress. Academy of Management Annals, 2(1), pp.167-230.

19. Edwards, J.R., Caplan, R.D. and Harrison, R.V., 1998. Person-environment fit theory: Conceptual foundations, empirical evidence, and directions for future research. Theories of organizational stress, 28, pp.28-67.

20. Ekienabor, E.E., 2016. Impact of job stress on employees' productivity and commitment. International journal for research in business, management and accounting, 2(5), pp.124-133.

21. Erumban, A.A., Das, D.K., Aggarwal, S. and Das, P.C., 2019. Structural change and economic growth in India. Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 51, pp.186-202.

22. Gabriel, A.S., Arena Jr, D.F., Calderwood, C., Campbell, J.T., Chawla, N., Corwin, E.S., Ezerins, M.E., Jones, K.P., Klotz, A.C., Larson, J.D. and Leigh, A., 2022. Building thriving workforces from the top down: A call and research agenda for organizations to proactively support employee well-being. Research in personnel and human resources management, pp.205-272.

23. Halbesleben, J.R., Neveu, J.P., Paustian-Underdahl, S.C. and Westman, M., 2014. Getting to the "COR" understanding the role of resources in conservation of resources theory. Journal of management, 40(5), pp.1334-1364.

24. Harkness, A.M., Long, B.C., Bermbach, N., Patterson, K., Jordan, S. and Kahn, H., 2005. Talking about work stress: Discourse analysis and implications for stress interventions. Work & Stress, 19(2), pp.121-136.

25. Hassan, Z., Ali, A. and Imran, M., 2024.Influence of Workload and Level of Stress on Work Life Balance, Organizational Commitment and Performance among the Employees in Higher Education Context of Maldives. Journal Of Economics And Business Management, 3(12), pp.15-30.

26. Häusser, J.A., Mojzisch, A., Niesel, M. and Schulz-Hardt, S., 2010. Ten years on: A review of recent research on the Job Demand–Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. Work & Stress, 24(1), pp.1-35.

27. Hobfoll, S.E., 1989. Conservation of resources: a new attempt at conceptualizing stress. American psychologist, 44(3), p.513.

28. Hobfoll, S.E., Halbesleben, J., Neveu, J.P. and Westman, M., 2018. Conservation of resources in the organizational context: The reality of resources and their consequences. Annual review of organizational psychology and organizational behavior, 5(1), pp.103-128.

29. Holmgreen, L., Tirone, V., Gerhart, J. and Hobfoll, S.E., 2017. Conservation of resources theory: Resource caravans and passageways in health contexts. The handbook of stress and health: A guide to research and practice, pp.443-457.

30. Hulbert-Williams, N.J., Morrison, V., Wilkinson, C. and Neal, R.D., 2013. Investigating the cognitive precursors of emotional response to cancer stress: Re-testing Lazarus's transactional model. British journal of health psychology, 18(1), pp.97-121.

31. Iqbal, J., Parray, Z.A. and Bharadwaj, S., 2025, January. Toxic workplaces, tarnished outcomes: understanding the effects of bullying on job outcomes. In Evidence-based HRM: a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship. Emerald Publishing Limited.

32. Jin, M.H., McDonald, B. and Park, J., 2018. Person–organization fit and turnover intention: Exploring the mediating role of employee followership and job satisfaction through conservation of resources theory. Review of Public Personnel Administration, 38(2), pp.167-192.

33. Kamath, K.V., Kohli, S.S., Shenoy, P.S., Kumar, R., Nayak, R.M., Kuppuswamy, P.T. and Ravichandran, N., 2003. Indian banking sector: Challenges and opportunities. Vikalpa, 28(3), pp.83-100.

34. KarasekJr, R.A., 1979. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. Administrative science quarterly, pp.285-308.

35. Katić, I., Knežević, T., Berber, N., Ivanišević, A. and Leber, M., 2019. The impact of stress on life, working, and management styles: how to make an organization healthier?. Sustainability, 11(15), p.4026.

36. Kim, J. and Jung, H.S., 2022. The effect of employee competency and organizational culture on employees' perceived stress for better workplace. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(8), p.4428.

37. Kinman, G., 2019. Effort-reward imbalance in academic employees: Examining different reward systems. International Journal of Stress Management, 26(2), p.184.

38. Kleen, J.K., Sitomer, M.T., Killeen, P.R. and Conrad, C.D., 2006. Chronic stress impairs spatial memory and motivation for reward without disrupting motor ability and motivation to explore. Behavioral neuroscience, 120(4), p.842.

39. Koroglu, Ş. and Ozmen, O., 2022.The mediating effect of work engagement on innovative work behavior and the role of psychological well-being in the job demands–resources (JD-R) model. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration, 14(1), pp.124-144.

40. Kukovec, D., Milfelner, B., Mulej, M. and Šarotar-Žižek, S., 2021.Model of socially responsible transfer of parent organization culture to the subsidiary organization in a foreign cultural environment concerning internal communication, stress, and work satisfaction. Sustainability, 13(14), p.7927.

41. Lamontagne, A.D., Keegel, T., Louie, A.M., Ostry, A. and Landsbergis, P.A., 2007.A systematic review of the job-stress intervention evaluation literature, 1990–2005. International journal of occupational and environmental health, 13(3), pp.268-280.

42. Laubmeier, K.K., Zakowski, S.G. and Bair, J.P., 2004. The role of spirituality in the psychological adjustment to cancer: A test of the transactional model of stress and coping. International journal of behavioral medicine, 11, pp.48-55.

43. Lazarus, R.S. and Folkman, S., 1987.Transactional theory and research on emotions and coping. European Journal of personality, 1(3), pp.141-169.

44. Lee, M.S. and Han, S.L., 2020. The effects of relationship bonds on bank employees' psychological responses and boundary-spanning behaviors: An empirical examination of the JD–R model. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 38(3), pp.578-599.

45. Leiter, M.P. and Maslach, C., 2017. Burnout and engagement: Contributions to a new vision. Burnout research, 5, pp.55-57.

46. Lesener, T., Gusy, B. and Wolter, C., 2019. The job demands-resources model: A meta-analytic review of longitudinal studies. Work & Stress, 33(1), pp.76-103.

47. Lin, M. and Ling, Q., 2018. Is role stress always harmful? Differentiating role overload and role ambiguity in the challenge-hindrance stressors framework. Tourism Management, 68, pp.355-366.

48. Mackey, J.D., Perrewé, P.L. and McAllister, C.P., 2017. Do I fit in? Perceptions of organizational fit as a resource in the workplace stress process. Group & Organization Management, 42(4), pp.455-486.

49. Melin, M., Astvik, W. and Bernhard-Oettel, C., 2014. New work demands in higher education. A study of the relationship between excessive workload, coping strategies and subsequent health among academic staff. Quality in Higher Education, 20(3), pp.290-308.

50. Molecke, G. and Pinkse, J., 2017. Accountability for social impact: A bricolage perspective on impact measurement in social enterprises. Journal of Business Venturing, 32(5), pp.550-568.

51. Nanda, P.K. and Nagasubramaniyan, G., 2023. Development of a comprehensive stress assessment tool for Indian public sector employees. Future Business Journal, 9(1), p.86.

52. Nasir, J., Ibrahim, R.M., Sarwar, M.A., Sarwar, B., Al-Rahmi, W.M., Alturise, F., Samed Al-Adwan, A. and Uddin, M., 2022.The effects of transformational leadership, organizational innovation, work stressors, and creativity on employee performance in SMEs. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, p.772104.

53. Obbarius, N., Fischer, F., Liegl, G., Obbarius, A. and Rose, M., 2021. A modified version of the transactional stress concept according to Lazarus and Folkman was confirmed in a psychosomatic inpatient sample. Frontiers in psychology, 12, p.584333.

54. Ohue, T., Aryamuang, S., Bourdeanu, L., Church, J.N., Hassan, H., Kownaklai, J., Pericak, A. and Suwannimitr, A., 2021. Cross-national comparison of factors related to stressors, burnout and turnover among nurses in developed and developing countries. Nursing open, 8(5), pp.2439-2451.

55. Oke, A. and Dawson, P., 2012. The role of socio-cultural norms in workplace stress: An empirical study of bank employees in Nigeria. International Journal of Management, 29(1), p.314.

56. Pandya, A., Khanal, N. and Upadhyaya, M., 2022. Workplace mental health interventions in India: A rapid systematic scoping review. Frontiers in Public Health, 10, p.800880.

57. Parker, S.K., Van den Broeck, A. and Holman, D., 2017. Work design influences: A synthesis of multilevel factors that affect the design of jobs. Academy of Management Annals, 11(1), pp.267-308.

58. Penney, L.M., Hunter, E.M. and Perry, S.J., 2011. Personality and counterproductive work behaviour: Using conservation of resources theory to narrow the profile of deviant employees. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 84(1), pp.58-77.

59. Piar Chand, M. and Chand, P.K., 2014. Job Stressors as predictor of Counterproductive work behaviour in Indian banking sector. International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management, 3(12), pp.43-55.

60. Quine, L. and Pahl, J., 1991. Stress and coping in mothers caring for a child with severe learning difficulties: A test of Lazarus' transactional model of coping. Journal of community & applied social psychology, 1(1), pp.57-70.

61. Raj, P., 2023. Workers' Participation in Management Towards Empowerment and Organizational Success: Insights from South Asian Countries. Maharishi Journal of Law and Society.

62. Rajan, D., 2021. Organization Structure and Management Practice Related Factors Causing Heavy Workload: An Empirical Study Among Sanitary Workers. London Journal of Social Sciences, (1), pp.99-129.

63. Rashmi, K. and Kataria, A., 2023. The mediating role of work-life balance on the relationship between job resources and job satisfaction: perspectives from Indian nursing professionals. International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 31(5), pp.1316-1342.

64. Ricciardelli, R. and Carleton, R.N., 2022. A qualitative application of the Job Demand-Control-Support (JDCS) to contextualize the occupational stress correctional workers experience. Journal of Crime and Justice, 45(2), pp.135-151.

65. Schaufeli, W.B., 2017. Applying the Job Demands-Resources model: A 'how to'guide to measuring and tackling work engagement and burnout. Organizational dynamics, 46(2), pp.120-132.

66. Sengupta, S., Tewari, D., Mohyuddin, S., Patel, P. and Prikshat, V., 2021.Delving into the dichotomous trajectory of Indian women flexpatriates: using the lens of JD-R theory. Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 8(4), pp.387-406.

67. Shah, G. and Rajadhyaksha, U., 2016.Global cities, work and family collectivism and work-family conflict in India. South Asian Journal of Global Business Research, 5(3), pp.341-361.

68. Siegrist, J. and Li, J., 2016. Associations of extrinsic and intrinsic components of work stress with health: a systematic review of evidence on the effort-reward imbalance model. International journal of environmental research and public health, 13(4), p.432.

69. Siegrist, J. and Li, J., 2017. Work stress and altered biomarkers: a synthesis of findings based on the effort–reward imbalance model. International journal of environmental research and public health, 14(11), p.1373.

70. Siegrist, J., 2002. Effort-reward imbalance at work and health. In Historical and current perspectives on stress and health (pp. 261-291). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

71. Siegrist, J., 2017. Applying occupational health theories to educational stress and health: Evidence from the effort-reward imbalance model. Educator stress: An occupational health perspective, pp.223-235.

72. Sonenshein, S. and Nault, K., 2024. When the symphony does jazz: How resourcefulness fosters organizational resilience during adversity. Academy of Management Journal, 67(3), pp.648-678.

73. Spector, P.E. and Fox, S., 2002. An emotion-centered model of voluntary work behavior: Some parallels between counterproductive work behavior and organizational citizenship behavior. Human resource management review, 12(2), pp.269-292.

74. Stefanaki, C., Pervanidou, P., Boschiero, D. and Chrousos, G.P., 2018. Chronic stress and body composition disorders: implications for health and disease. Hormones, 17, pp.33-43.

75. TB Rattrie, L. and G. Kittler, M., 2014. The job demands-resources model and the international work context–a systematic review. Journal of Global Mobility, 2(3), pp.260-279.

76. Topa, G., Guglielmi, D. and Depolo, M., 2016. Effort–reward imbalance and organisational injustice among aged nurses: a moderated mediation model. Journal of Nursing Management, 24(6), pp.834-842.

77. Van Lange, P.A., Joireman, J., Parks, C.D. and Van Dijk, E., 2013. The psychology of social dilemmas: A review. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 120(2), pp.125-141.

78. Vassos, M., Nankervis, K., Skerry, T. and Lante, K., 2019. Can the job demand-control-(support) model predict disability support worker burnout and work engagement?. Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability, 44(2), pp.139-149.

79. Westman, M., Hobfoll, S.E., Chen, S., Davidson, O.B. and Laski, S., 2004. Organizational stress through the lens of conservation of resources (COR) theory. In Exploring interpersonal dynamics (Vol. 4, pp. 167-220). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.