

Beyond the Capital: Examining the Impact of Decentralized Governance and Legal Frameworks on Fostering Local Startup Ecosystem in Bangladesh – A Case Study of Sylhet Division

Md Sajjadur Rahman

Student, Department of Public Policy & Public Relations, Dong-A University.

Abstract

Bangladesh's burgeoning startup ecosystem, while exhibiting significant growth, remains heavily centralized in Dhaka. This thesis investigates the critical role of decentralized governance and the influence of national legal frameworks, as interpreted and implemented at the local level, in fostering a vibrant startup ecosystem in regions beyond the capital, with a specific case study of Sylhet Division. It argues that while national policies provide a foundational legal structure, genuine decentralization, characterized by empowered local governments with financial autonomy and tailored policy implementation, is crucial for addressing unique regional challenges and leveraging local opportunities to cultivate sustainable entrepreneurial growth. This research will explore the existing governance structures, relevant legal instruments, prevalent challenges, and inherent opportunities within Sylhet, ultimately proposing a comprehensive set of recommendations for policymakers to strategically nurture its burgeoning startup scene, contributing to a more equitable and inclusive national economic development.

Keywords: Decentralization, Legal Frameworks, Startup Ecosystem, Local Governance

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background and Context

Bangladesh has witnessed a remarkable rise in its startup landscape over the past decade, driven by a young, tech-savvy population, increasing internet penetration, and a growing middle class. However, this growth has been disproportionately concentrated in Dhaka, creating a metropolitan bias in resource allocation, talent pooling, and investment attraction. This centralization overlooks the significant potential of other regions, which possess distinct economic drivers, cultural nuances, and localized challenges that startups could uniquely address. The need for a diversified and inclusive national startup ecosystem necessitates a deeper examination of how governance and legal frameworks can be adapted and implemented at a decentralized level.

1.2 Problem Statement

The over-reliance on Dhaka as the primary startup hub limits inclusive economic growth and fails to

harness the entrepreneurial potential present in other divisions. While national initiatives like Startup Bangladesh Limited and the Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA) aim for nationwide impact, their effectiveness in fostering truly localized ecosystems depends heavily on the responsiveness and capacity of decentralized governance structures. Specifically, in Sylhet Division, understanding how the interplay between national legal frameworks and local administrative practices either facilitates or impedes startup growth is crucial. Current literature often discusses general startup challenges in Bangladesh, but a focused analysis on the impact of decentralization and legal frameworks in a specific regional context like Sylhet is lacking.

1.3 Research Objectives

This thesis aims to:

Analyze the current state of decentralized governance in Bangladesh, particularly its practical implications for local economic development and innovation in Sylhet Division.

Evaluate the impact of national legal and regulatory frameworks on the establishment and growth of startups within Sylhet Division, considering local interpretations and implementation.

Identify the specific challenges and unique opportunities for fostering a local startup ecosystem in Sylhet Division.

Propose actionable recommendations for local and national policymakers to enhance decentralized governance and adapt legal frameworks to effectively support the Sylhet startup ecosystem.

1.4 Significance of the Study

This research contributes to the growing body of literature on regional innovation and decentralized development. By focusing on Sylhet, it provides a nuanced understanding of how broader national policies interact with local realities. The findings will be valuable for policymakers, local government bodies, entrepreneurs, investors, and academic institutions seeking to replicate successful regional development models and promote balanced economic growth across Bangladesh. Furthermore, it offers understandings into overcoming the "little to no mentoring and incubation support beyond Dhaka and Chittagong" challenge.

1.5 Research Questions

To explore the dynamics of decentralized governance and legal frameworks in shaping regional startup ecosystems, this study is guided by the following key research questions:

What is the current state of decentralized governance in Sylhet Division, and how does it impact local economic development and innovation?

How are national legal and regulatory frameworks—such as company registration, taxation, intellectual property, and labor laws—interpreted and implemented at the local level in Sylhet?

What are the specific challenges and opportunities for fostering a vibrant startup ecosystem in Sylhet Division?

How can national and local policymakers adapt governance and legal mechanisms to better support the development of regional startup ecosystems beyond the capital?

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Framework: Decentralization and Economic Development

This section delves into theories of decentralization, exploring how the devolution of power and resources to sub-national entities can stimulate local economic activity.

Fiscal Decentralization

Fiscal decentralization is a fundamental concept in public finance theory, involving the transfer of revenue and expenditure responsibilities from central to regional and local levels of government. This includes the right to impose and collect taxes and independently determine the focus areas of expenses. Proponents argue that this devolution can significantly enhance both allocative and productive efficiency in public goods provision.

Allocative efficiency is achieved when the production of goods and services aligns with the preferences of consumers and producers, maximizing social welfare. Local governments, being closer to their citizens, possess superior information regarding local preferences, enabling them to tailor public service provision more precisely to individual needs, thereby improving overall well-being. Productive efficiency, on the other hand, suggests that subnational authorities, with their intimate knowledge of local conditions and experience in providing specific public goods, can produce these services at a lower cost. Furthermore, increased horizontal and vertical fiscal competition among decentralized entities can limit the size of the public sector and its potential for rent-seeking, fostering a more conducive environment for market development and economic growth. However, the implementation of fiscal decentralization is not without its complexities and potential drawbacks. A critical tension exists between granting autonomy and ensuring accountability. Local policymakers may be inclined to overspend if they can finance marginal expenditures through central transfers or shared revenues, a phenomenon known as the "common pool problem," which can lead to a deficit bias. Similarly, the "soft budget constraint" problem arises when local politicians anticipate central government bailouts, thereby undermining their incentives to behave in a fiscally responsible manner. These issues indicate that simply devolving financial power without robust accountability mechanisms can lead to fiscal indiscipline and potentially undermine macroeconomic stability.

The decentralization of fiscal policies also carries risks for national macroeconomic stabilization and income redistribution. If a substantial portion of taxes or spending is managed by subnational governments, or if policies diverge across different governmental levels, the central government's ability to implement effective stabilization measures can be compromised. Centralized stabilization policies typically allow for better coordination, exploit economies of scale (e.g., access to larger tax bases and better borrowing conditions), and provide opportunities for risk-sharing. This implies that while decentralization can boost local efficiency, it introduces risks to national macroeconomic stability if not carefully managed.

Administrative Decentralization

Administrative decentralization involves the transfer of managerial and administrative functions from the central government to local units. A primary motivation for this form of decentralization is to overcome the inefficiencies inherent in a centralized "one-size-fits-all" approach to policymaking, taxation, and public service delivery. By bringing decision-making closer to the people, administrative decentralization can improve allocative and technical efficiency by tailoring policies and services to the specific preferences and needs of diverse regions and localities. This proximity also enhances inclusiveness and responsiveness, shortening the "long route of accountability" between the public sector and its constituents. Empirical evidence suggests a positive correlation between administrative decentralization and local economic growth. For instance, a quasi-natural experiment in China, involving "counties power expansion (CPE)" reform, demonstrated a significant increase in per capita GDP, primarily attributed to increased investments and the attraction of more private and foreign firms. This indicates that when local authorities are empowered with devolved administrative functions, they

can create a more responsive and business-friendly environment. However, a significant caveat is that the positive effects on economic growth can be undermined if there is weak supervision of financial funds, potentially leading to the diversion of fiscal revenue for illegal uses. This highlights that while autonomy is beneficial, it must be accompanied by strong oversight.

Despite its potential, administrative decentralization is not a panacea. Its success is contingent on various factors, including the capacity of local institutions and the strength of accountability relationships. Capacity constraints, weak accountability frameworks, and other public sector management challenges can significantly influence the outcomes, sometimes preventing the realization of intended benefits. The effectiveness of administrative decentralization in fostering local economic growth hinges on whether local government bodies are genuinely empowered to make decisions and implement policies without excessive central interference. This necessitates a shift from deconcentration, where central governments merely delegate tasks without significant autonomy, to true devolution, characterized by autonomous local decision-making and robust local capacity.

Political Decentralization

Political decentralization refers to the empowerment of local elected bodies and increased citizen participation in local governance. This process can involve a vertical transfer of authority from the central government to local governments or a horizontal sharing of authority among executive, judicial, and legislative systems. Advocates argue that politically decentralized states are more agile, competitive, and better equipped to adapt to innovation, as local governments can design innovation policies tailored to specific regional needs. This form of decentralization is also seen as enhancing democratic voice, equality, and liberty from higher authority, bringing decision-making closer to the sub-national levels and acting as a counterpoint to globalization. However, the potential contribution of decentralization to technological innovation is not universally agreed upon in the literature. Some studies suggest that decentralized states are not necessarily more innovative than centralized ones, implying that other factors, such as international pressure, may play a more significant role. In developing countries, political decentralization frequently encounters substantial challenges. These include limited autonomy, over-dependence on central government, political interference, and insufficient public participation. Often, what is termed "decentralization" in these contexts is, in practice, closer to "deconcentration," where the central government retains overriding powers and issues directives without genuinely consulting local governments. This creates a significant gap between the democratic intent of political decentralization and its practical outcome.

The success of political decentralization for fostering local development and innovation is also closely tied to public trust and accountability. If citizens perceive a lack of participation in decision-making or witness corruption and misuse of public resources, their engagement and ownership of development initiatives diminish. This suggests that the perception of effective governance and accountability is as crucial as the formal mechanisms for the success and sustainability of political decentralization. To achieve its full potential, political decentralization requires not only formal structures but also a genuine commitment to empowering local elected bodies, fostering citizen engagement, and reducing political interference in local development decisions.

Innovation Ecosystem Theory

An innovation ecosystem is conceptualized as a dynamic network of actors and resources that interact to create, diffuse, and apply knowledge and technologies. It comprises not only economic agents and relations but also non-economic elements such as technology, institutions, sociological interactions, and

culture. A highly developed innovation ecosystem enables participants to operate beyond firm boundaries, facilitating the transformation of knowledge into innovation. The core elements of an innovation ecosystem include:

Actors: Diverse entities such as governments, firms (including startups and suppliers), universities, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and local communities. **Networks:** Collaboration channels, supply chains, and online platforms that facilitate interaction among actors. **Resources:** Essential inputs such as funding, infrastructure, talent, and data. **Key components that foster innovative activity within such an ecosystem include:**

Cluster Development: This refers to the geographic concentration of interconnected firms, suppliers, and institutions within a particular industry. Clusters are instrumental in driving innovation, enabling cumulative knowledge formation, and attracting qualified labor. **University-Industry Collaboration:** Developing research and development (R&D) cooperation between universities and industries is expected to significantly increase innovation output and ensure a pipeline of skilled talent. **Culture to Innovate:** This structural component is fostered by a skilled and educated labor force and can be indicated by high-skill migration patterns. Governments play a pivotal role in fostering innovation ecosystems through various strategies. These include policy orchestration (crafting adaptive regulations like regulatory sandboxes), providing funding and incentives (grants, tax credits, matching funds), standardizing data and interoperability, leveraging digital platforms and artificial intelligence, and establishing innovation and living labs. These ecosystems are crucial in modern public policy because they promote agility through rapid prototyping and feedback loops, enhance resilience through distributed problem-solving, and ensure inclusivity by engaging multiple stakeholders, leading to more equitable outcomes. Countries with robust innovation ecosystems often experience higher GDP growth rates. A deeper examination of innovation ecosystems reveals the critical interdependence of "hard" and "soft" infrastructure. While physical infrastructure like innovation hubs and funding mechanisms are essential, the "soft" elements—such as a culture of innovation, strong collaborative networks, and effective university-industry linkages—are equally vital. Simply providing physical space without simultaneously nurturing these intangible aspects can limit the ecosystem's ability to foster a truly vibrant startup environment. This highlights that sustainable ecosystem development requires a holistic approach that integrates both tangible and intangible components.

Furthermore, the government's role extends beyond direct financial injections. It functions as a crucial "orchestrator," creating an enabling environment where diverse actors can connect and thrive. This involves designing adaptive regulations, simplifying compliance procedures, and fostering collaboration platforms. This orchestration role is particularly important in developing country contexts, where bureaucratic hurdles and regulatory inefficiencies can stifle innovation regardless of capital availability.

Table 2.1: Typology of Decentralization and its Economic Implications

Type of Decentralization

Fiscal Decentralization

Administrative Decentralization

Political Decentralization

Table 2.2: Key Components of an Innovation Ecosystem

Component Category

Core Elements

Actors

Networks

Resources

Key Structural Components

Cluster Development

University-Industry Collaboration

Culture to Innovate

The tables above provide a structured overview of the theoretical underpinnings of decentralization and innovation ecosystems. Table 2.1 clarifies the distinct types of decentralization, outlining their mechanisms, intended benefits, and inherent challenges. This framework is essential for understanding the complexities of implementing decentralized governance in a developing country context. Table 2.2 dissects the innovation ecosystem into its core elements and key structural components. This breakdown highlights that a thriving ecosystem is a multifaceted construct, encompassing not just financial and infrastructural elements but also crucial "soft" factors like culture and collaboration. These conceptual frameworks serve as a foundation for the empirical analysis, allowing for a structured assessment of how closely Sylhet's reality aligns with theoretical ideals and what elements are missing or underdeveloped.

2.2 Global and Regional Perspectives on Decentralized Startup Ecosystems

Examining successful decentralized startup ecosystems globally and regionally offers valuable lessons for fostering entrepreneurial growth beyond capital cities.

Global Examples

Many prominent innovation hubs exist outside traditional national capitals. Silicon Valley (USA), London (UK), Singapore, Canada, Germany, Tokyo, Tel Aviv (Israel), Seoul (South Korea), and Berlin (Europe) are recognized for their vibrant startup environments. These locations often share common characteristics: they are "Talent Magnets" attracting a young, multicultural, and skilled workforce; "Research & Development Powerhouses" with strong university linkages and networks for knowledge sharing; and "Venture Capital Hotbeds" attracting significant investment into businesses and R&D. Specific examples illustrate diverse approaches to decentralization. Switzerland, for instance, stands out for its decentralized structure, with no single city dominating its startup landscape, yet it ranks 9th globally in 2025, demonstrating that a distributed model can lead to strong overall performance. Estonia has successfully implemented a decentralized identity verification system, which has significantly reduced verification times and attracted a large user base, showcasing the power of targeted decentralized technological solutions. Dubai has strategically positioned itself as a smart city and innovation hub through initiatives like the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC) for fintech, Dubai Silicon Oasis as a free zone for technology companies, and the Dubai Future Foundation supporting accelerators. Similarly, Saudi Arabia's NEOM project, a \$500 billion initiative, aims to create a new economic zone focused on renewable energy, biotechnology, and advanced manufacturing, underpinned by a business-friendly environment designed to attract international talent and investment. In Africa, Nairobi, Kenya, has emerged as East Africa's leading hub for entrepreneurial growth. Its success is attributed to youthful talent, robust tech infrastructure, and a dynamic investor network. The country's supportive policy framework, including the approved Startup Bill of 2022, provides tax incentives, simplifies credit access, and establishes a platform for startups to secure essential resources. Significant infrastructure investments, such as the \$500 million Tatu City project, and initiatives like the digital nomad visa, further bolster its appeal. Vietnam's Saigon serves as a compelling case study for leveraging decentralized digital technologies. It is a commercial and tech hub

where cryptocurrency usage is notably high among freelancers. Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies offer fast, low-cost global payments, enabling entrepreneurs to access global markets and capital, and providing financial sovereignty for small businesses. The country has seen the rise of successful blockchain startups, and new crypto-friendly legislation, coupled with state incentives, continues to nurture this ecosystem. These examples collectively underscore that governments play a crucial orchestrating role in ecosystem building, extending beyond direct funding. Their influence through policy, regulatory frameworks, and strategic infrastructure development enables other actors to thrive. This suggests that sustainable regional ecosystem development requires governments to focus on creating an enabling environment through adaptive regulations, simplified compliance, and strategic infrastructure (both physical and digital) that reduces friction for startups.

Regional Examples (India)

India offers pertinent regional examples of decentralized startup ecosystem development. Kerala has quietly built one of the world's most inclusive and impactful startup ecosystems over the past decade. This achievement is rooted in strong government support, student-led innovation through over 530 Innovation and Entrepreneurship Development Centres (IEDCs), and a deliberate focus on rural inclusion through initiatives like LEAP Co-works. The state's Direct Procurement Policy, which allows startups to work directly with government departments, and the establishment of the Super FabLab (the first and largest outside the US) providing world-class prototyping tools, have been instrumental. This model emphasizes grassroots development and inclusivity, demonstrating that innovation can emerge from diverse regions, not just capital cities.

Furthermore, India's Tier-II and Tier-III cities are increasingly becoming hotbeds for startups. This transformation is driven by rapid digital penetration, with over 800 million internet users, making digital resources accessible to entrepreneurs in smaller towns. These cities also offer a significant cost advantage due to lower operational expenses, allowing startups to extend their financial runway. Crucially, they represent untapped markets where local entrepreneurs, with a deep understanding of community-specific challenges, are crafting tailored solutions in sectors like agritech, edtech, and fintech. The rise of local incubators, accelerators, and a new breed of micro-VCs and angel investors focusing on early-stage regional startups further fuels this growth. Success stories like Zoho (headquartered in Tenkasi, Tamil Nadu) illustrate that a startup's location no longer dictates its potential, and building from smaller towns can even be a strategic advantage for those targeting the vast non-metro Indian market. These regional successes highlight that decentralization is a vital strategy not just for improving economic efficiency but also for fostering inclusive economic growth and regional resilience. By harnessing diverse local potentials and addressing specific regional challenges, decentralized models can reduce metropolitan bias and promote more equitable national development.

Challenges in Achieving Effective Decentralization

Despite the compelling arguments and successful examples, achieving effective decentralization, particularly in developing countries, faces numerous hurdles. Common challenges include financial dependency on central governments, pervasive political influence, and limited public participation in local governance. In many developing contexts, including African nations, decentralization often remains more theoretical than practical. Local authorities frequently possess restricted autonomy, with central governments maintaining significant control over local affairs, including human resource hiring and directives on how local-source revenue should be spent. This financial over-dependence means that local authorities struggle with poor revenue collection and rely heavily on intergovernmental transfers,

limiting their ability to implement development plans based on local priorities. Political interference further compromises the capacity of local governments to autonomously plan, implement, and monitor development projects, often leading to uneven development. Moreover, limited citizen participation in development decisions means that plans often originate from central or local councils rather than from the communities themselves, resulting in poor ownership of initiatives. Corruption, misuse of public resources, and a lack of accountability are also persistent problems, as citizens often have limited power to hold political leaders accountable. This situation often reflects "deconcentration" – where central governments merely delegate tasks – rather than true "devolution" – where genuine authority and autonomy are transferred. Beyond these governance issues, broader systemic challenges hinder decentralized growth. Frequent changes in tax and VAT policies create an atmosphere of uncertainty, making long-term planning difficult for businesses and eroding investor confidence. Furthermore, excessive bureaucracy, with some businesses requiring up to 27 licenses to commence operations, acts as a major deterrent for new ventures, significantly slowing private sector growth. This suggests that even if local governments are empowered, the overarching national regulatory environment must be stable, predictable, and agile. Without this, local efforts to support startups will be hampered by a difficult national operating environment.

2.3 Legal and Regulatory Landscape for Startups in Bangladesh

Understanding the national legal and regulatory frameworks is crucial for assessing their impact on startup growth, particularly in regions like Sylhet.

Company Registration Laws

In Bangladesh, startups can choose from common legal structures such as sole proprietorship, partnership, or private limited company. The process for registering a business generally involves several steps: obtaining a name clearance certificate from the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies and Firms (RJSC), drafting the Memorandum of Association (MOA) and Articles of Association (AOA), opening a provisional bank account, submitting the necessary documents to RJSC, and finally obtaining the incorporation certificate. Post-registration, businesses must secure a Trade License from the relevant City Corporation or Union Parishad, obtain a Tax Identification Number (TIN) from the National Board of Revenue (NBR), register for VAT if their annual turnover exceeds BDT 3 million, and acquire any other industry-specific licenses or permits. However, the process is often characterized by significant challenges. Businesses frequently encounter excessive bureaucracy and complex, time-consuming regulatory procedures, sometimes requiring up to 27 licenses to commence operations. This serves as a major deterrent for new businesses and slows private sector growth. A notable structural issue is the centralization of the RJSC. While plans exist for future divisional offices, Sylhet currently falls under the jurisdiction of the Dhaka office. This means that even fundamental administrative functions for business formation are centralized, compelling Sylhet-based entrepreneurs to navigate a distant and potentially cumbersome process. This centralized bureaucratic structure acts as a de-facto barrier to regional growth, hindering the ease of doing business outside the capital.

Taxation Policies and Incentives for Startups

Bangladesh has made strides in establishing a supportive policy environment for entrepreneurship, including the approval of the National Youth Entrepreneurship Development Policy 2025. Registered startups in Bangladesh are entitled to several tax advantages aimed at easing their financial burden during initial growth phases. These include exemption from specific income calculation methods, the ability to carry forward losses for up to nine consecutive assessment years, and a reduced minimum tax

rate of 0.1% of turnover, which is considerably lower than standard rates. Additionally, simplified reporting requirements are offered if tax officials are granted access to accounting systems for turnover verification. To qualify for these benefits, a business must be formally registered with the NBR as a "Startup," meet specific turnover thresholds (not exceeding BDT 100 crore annually), be incorporated under the Companies Act, 1994, and be involved in innovation, development, or commercialization of new IP-driven products, without being formed through amalgamation or demerger of existing companies. Despite these incentives, entrepreneurs frequently voice concerns. Many early-stage startups are compelled to pay turnover taxes even when operating at a loss, indicating a high early-stage tax burden that can stifle nascent ventures. There is also a perceived lack of a clear regulatory distinction between startups and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), which means that policies designed for more established SMEs may inadvertently burden agile startups. Furthermore, business leaders highlight that frequent changes in tax and VAT policies create an atmosphere of uncertainty, making long-term planning difficult and eroding investor confidence. This suggests a discrepancy between the policy's intent and its practical impact on nascent businesses, where the benefits may not be fully realized due to implementation challenges or a mismatch with the realities of early-stage growth.

Intellectual Property (IP) Laws and their Enforcement

Bangladesh has enacted several intellectual property laws to respect and protect creations of the mind, aligning with international standards. Key legislation includes the Copyright Act, 2000 (amended in 2005), the Patent and Design Act, 1911, the Trademarks Act, 2009, and the Geographical Indication (Registration and Protection) Act, 2013. These laws provide protection for various forms of IP, such as patents for inventions, trademarks for brands and logos, copyrights for original works of authorship, industrial designs for aesthetic aspects, geographical indications for origin-based products, and trade secrets for confidential business information. Strategic IP protection is considered crucial for high-tech startups, as it drives growth, ensures sustainability, maintains a competitive edge, attracts investment, and mitigates legal risks, often representing the core value of the company. The Customs Act, 1969, also includes enforcement mechanisms for trade-related IP provisions concerning import and export infringement. However, the effectiveness of IP protection in Bangladesh is significantly hampered by enforcement challenges. There is a general struggle to cope with the increasing demands from IP rights-holders to upgrade enforcement systems. In practice, developing countries like Bangladesh face high transaction costs, complex procedural requirements, and a lack of technical knowledge or resources, which impede effective enforcement. Specifically, piracy and enforcement challenges persist due to limited public awareness, bureaucratic delays, weak enforcement mechanisms (including a lack of training and resources for law enforcement agencies), and judicial delays that slow down the resolution of IP cases. This creates an "innovation disincentive," as creators and innovators may be reluctant to invest in R&D and product development if their creations are not adequately protected, ultimately undermining their potential for commercialization and growth and discouraging emerging talent.

Labor Laws and their Applicability to Startups

Labor and employment laws in Bangladesh are primarily governed by the Bangladesh Labor Act of 2006 (amended) and the Bangladesh Labor Rules of 2015. These laws cover various aspects, including employee rights, salaries, benefits, compensation for accidents and injuries, and other employment matters. Key provisions include requirements for employment contracts, specified working hours (48 hours per week, with a maximum of 10 hours per day and 60 hours per week including overtime), timely wage payments, and entitlements to various leaves (casual, sick, annual, festival, maternity). Employers

are mandated to provide appointment letters and identity cards to their employees. The Department of Inspection for Factories and Establishments (DIFE) is the responsible agency for enforcing these labor laws, ensuring welfare, safety, and health in workplaces, and also providing guidance to employers and workers. A significant challenge for startups, however, is the absence of a clear regulatory distinction between startups and SMEs in labor laws. This means that early-stage startups, which are typically lean and agile, are often subjected to the same compliance requirements as larger, more established businesses. This can impose an undue "compliance burden" and administrative overhead, diverting scarce resources and management attention away from core product development and market validation. While labor laws are essential for worker protection, their rigid application to nascent ventures can inadvertently stifle growth and innovation.

Startup Funding Regulations and the Role of Entities like Startup Bangladesh Limited and the iDEA Project

Bangladesh has several national initiatives aimed at fostering startup funding. Startup Bangladesh Limited (SBL) serves as the first and only flagship venture capital fund of the ICT Division, sponsored by the government. Its mission includes developing Bangladesh's venture capital ecosystem, providing capital and guidance to early-stage tech companies, creating employment, and promoting entrepreneurship. SBL has launched initiatives like "Shotoborshe, Shoto Asha" to invest significantly in startups and hosts regional Youth Startup Summits, including in Sylhet, to facilitate pitching, showcasing, and networking. The Innovation Design and Entrepreneurship Academy (iDEA) Project also provides crucial mentoring and funding support. It offers tiered funding, from grants of up to Tk. 10 lakh for viable ideas to equity/convertible debt investments of up to Tk. 1 crore for seed-stage and Tk. 5 crore for growth-stage startups, with specific criteria for investment selection. iDE (International Development Enterprise), a development partner, has also been active in Sylhet, supporting micro, small, and medium enterprises through programs focused on enterprise development and market system strengthening, often in collaboration with the Government of Bangladesh. Recent initiatives by Bangladesh Bank have further revamped startup financing, significantly raising the maximum loan ceiling from Tk 1 crore to Tk 8 crore, with tiered limits based on the startup's operational age. These loans come with affordable interest rates (capped at 4% for clients, with banks accessing funds at 0.5% from Bangladesh Bank). The central bank also plans to form a venture capital company for equity financing, funded by a portion of scheduled banks' annual net profits, and has created a Tk 500 crore refinancing facility for banks and financial institutions. Regulatory support includes exemption from the Internal Credit Risk Rating System (ICRRS) for startups until June 30, 2030. Despite these efforts, significant funding challenges persist. Bangladesh's startup funding per capita remains very low compared to countries like Singapore and India. A critical issue is the "expertise gap" in financial institutions; traditional banks often lack the specialized knowledge to evaluate early-stage startups, where lending decisions are based on business plans and potential rather than collateral, making risk assessment difficult. Furthermore, age restrictions still exclude entrepreneurs under 21 from some schemes. For Sylhet specifically, a "capital paradox" is evident: while the division receives substantial remittances, which are a major source of economic growth, these funds are predominantly channeled into "risk-free investments" like land rather than productive SMEs, leading to credit constraints for local businesses. This represents a significant missed opportunity to leverage existing local wealth for high-growth, innovation-driven ventures.

Policies by Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA) and their Reach beyond Dhaka

The Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA), established under the Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park

Authority Act-2010, is mandated to create investment-friendly environments and generate employment through the development of high-tech industries nationwide. Its mission includes establishing international standard infrastructure, fostering a conducive business environment, developing an IT/ITES industrial ecosystem, and providing one-stop services. BHTPA has set up 28 Hi-Tech Parks (HTPs), Software Technology Parks (STPs), and IT Training and Incubation Centers across the country, with several already completed and operational. Incentives offered include exemptions from income tax, VAT, customs duties, and stamp duties, along with foreign exchange transaction benefits. For startups, BHTPA aims to develop an innovation ecosystem by providing infrastructure, dedicated space, free internet, electricity, utilities, and other fringe benefits. It actively organizes regional "Startup Idea Pitching" competitions, including in Sylhet, connecting thousands of startups and providing free space and mentoring to selected ventures. The authority also focuses on human resource development, aiming to train a large number of young people and establishing specialized labs in universities to bridge the gap between academia and industry. The Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Hi-Tech Park in Sylhet is a key initiative, currently under construction/development on a significant land area. It is envisioned to include administrative buildings, public amenities, residential facilities, recreational zones, and sustainable infrastructure. While the park offers incubation facilities, a critical challenge is the "infrastructure-utilization gap": despite the park's readiness and incentives, a limited number of organizations have established operations within it. This suggests a "build-it-and-they-will-come" fallacy, where physical infrastructure alone is insufficient to catalyze a vibrant ecosystem. There is a general observation of "little to no mentoring and incubation support beyond Dhaka and Chittagong," implying that the support offered may not be comprehensive enough to attract a critical mass of innovative companies or foster a vibrant ecosystem beyond the physical space.

Table 2.3: Overview of National Legal and Regulatory Frameworks for Startups in Bangladesh

Key Legal Area

Company Registration

Taxation

Intellectual Property (IP)

Labor Laws

Startup Funding

Hi-Tech Park Policies

The table above provides a consolidated view of the national legal and regulatory landscape impacting startups in Bangladesh. It highlights the existing frameworks and incentives while critically identifying the operational challenges and gaps that hinder their effectiveness, particularly in regional contexts. This comprehensive snapshot is essential for understanding the complexities entrepreneurs face and serves as a direct reference for formulating targeted policy recommendations.

2.4 Challenges and Opportunities for Startup Growth in Bangladesh (General & Regional)

The growth of the startup ecosystem in Bangladesh, while promising, is characterized by a distinct set of challenges and opportunities, with significant regional disparities.

Common Hurdles

Bangladeshi startups generally face several pervasive hurdles. Access to finance remains a primary concern, with complaints about high early-stage tax burdens forcing even loss-making startups to pay turnover taxes. This is compounded by a lack of skilled professionals, which founders struggle to attract as their startups grow. Mentorship and incubation support, particularly beyond the major cities of Dhaka

and Chittagong, are notably scarce. The regulatory environment is often complex and cumbersome, with excessive bureaucracy and inconsistent policies creating uncertainty and eroding investor confidence. This includes a lack of clear regulatory distinction between startups and SMEs, which can lead to inappropriate application of laws. Furthermore, weaknesses in governance, such as limited public participation in local decision-making, corruption, and misuse of public resources, also impede entrepreneurial development. The ineffective enforcement of intellectual property laws also discourages innovation and investment.

Regional Disparities and Exacerbated Challenges

These common hurdles are often exacerbated in regions beyond Dhaka. The scarcity of mentoring and incubation support is particularly acute outside the capital. Regional startups face limited access to local seed funding and angel investors. A significant challenge is the "brain drain" of skilled talent, who often migrate to Dhaka or abroad in search of better opportunities, creating a "chicken-and-egg" problem: without a vibrant local ecosystem, talent leaves; without talent, it is harder to build an ecosystem. This is further compounded by a general lack of strong industry-academia linkages in regional educational institutions, which results in skills gaps and limited R&D support for local startups. Culturally, there is often a preference for stable jobs over entrepreneurial risk-taking, which acts as a barrier to youth entrepreneurship in regions like Sylhet, where financial risk is a critical de-motivator. Local governance structures in these regions also face challenges, including financial crises, political influence hindering development projects, inadequate staff, poor logistics, and low capacity.

Opportunities

Despite these challenges, Bangladesh's startup landscape is ripe with opportunities. The country boasts a young, tech-savvy population, increasing internet penetration, and a growing middle class, providing both a talent pool and a burgeoning consumer base. Government initiatives, including Startup Bangladesh Limited, the Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA), and the iDEA Project, demonstrate a commitment to fostering entrepreneurial growth nationwide. The rapid growth in digital literacy and the lower operational costs in Tier-II and Tier-III cities present compelling advantages for regional startups, allowing them to target untapped markets with grassroots-driven innovation. The rise of local incubators, accelerators, and micro-VCs in these emerging regions, coupled with inspiring success stories from regional startups in India (e.g., Zoho, iDreamCareer), further validates this potential.

Sylhet Specific Opportunities

Sylhet Division possesses unique opportunities that can be leveraged for startup growth. A significant advantage is its substantial diaspora, whose remittances constitute a major source of economic growth for the region. This represents a vast, largely untapped capital pool that, if effectively channeled, could fuel local startup investment. This is often referred to as the "diaspora dividend," where not just financial capital but also human capital and networks from the diaspora can be leveraged. The division also boasts distinct economic sectors that offer fertile ground for innovation. Its traditional tea industry, with over 150 tea gardens including some of the world's largest, provides opportunities for agritech and food processing startups. The region's tourism potential, with attractions like migratory birds and haors, can be a focus for tourism-tech and hospitality ventures. Furthermore, Sylhet contains vast proven natural gas reserves and has seen recent discoveries, which could open avenues for startups in energy efficiency and related technologies. Existing development partners like iDE are already supporting local micro, small, and medium enterprises in agriculture and sanitation, providing a foundation of grassroots support

and enterprise development experience. The government's commitment through the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park and regional pitching programs further indicates a willingness to support regional startup growth. These unique sectoral strengths offer a strategic advantage for Sylhet's startups to develop specialized, locally relevant solutions that address specific regional challenges. This contrasts with a "one-size-fits-all" approach and allows for tailored incubation, funding, and mentorship that understand these sectors. The potential for "demand-side" policy interventions, such as encouraging local government and large businesses (e.g., tea estates, tourism operators) to procure innovative solutions from local startups, could further de-risk early-stage ventures and stimulate growth, moving beyond a purely supply-side focus on funding and infrastructure.

Chapter 3: Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative case study design, focusing on Sylhet Division. The approach allows for in-depth, contextual analysis of how decentralized governance and legal frameworks shape the development of local startup ecosystems.

3.2 Case Selection Justification

Sylhet Division was selected due to:

A unique socio-economic profile (e.g., remittance economy, tourism, tea industry),
A growing startup interest with institutional initiatives like the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park,
Its potential as a representative regional hub beyond Dhaka.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

a. Document Analysis

Government publications (laws, policies, national budget papers),
Reports by Startup Bangladesh Limited and BHTPA,
Articles from economic think tanks and research journals,
News media reporting on Sylhet's economic and startup developments.

b. Key Informant Interviews

Participants (n = 15):

5 Local Government officials (City Corporation, District Administration, Union Parishad)
4 Startup founders based in Sylhet
2 Representatives from Sylhet Chamber of Commerce
2 Officials from BHTPA and Startup Bangladesh Ltd
2 Academics specializing in economic decentralization and innovation

Sampling Technique:

Purposive Sampling: Experts and stakeholders directly involved in governance or the startup ecosystem in Sylhet were selected for relevance.

Interview Method:

Semi-structured interviews (30 minutes each),
Conducted in person and google form,
Audio-recorded with participant consent and transcribed verbatim.

Ethical Considerations:

Verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Participant identities were anonymized to maintain confidentiality.

Data was securely stored and used solely for research purposes.

3.4 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was conducted using Braun & Clarke's (2006) method:

Familiarization with data (reading transcripts),

Coding (manually and with NVivo software),

Theme identification (e.g., "legal bottlenecks," "centralized decision-making," "local innovation gaps"),

Reviewing and defining themes,

Integrating themes with the research questions.

Chapter 4: Findings and Analysis

This chapter presents the detailed findings and analysis of the current state of decentralized governance, the application and impact of legal frameworks, and the specific challenges and opportunities within Sylhet's startup ecosystem.

4.1 Decentralized Governance in Sylhet: Reality vs. Rhetoric

The examination of decentralized governance in Sylhet reveals a notable disparity between the theoretical aspirations of devolution and the practical realities of local autonomy and effectiveness.

The Financial Autonomy and Decision-Making Power of Sylhet's Local Government Bodies

Sylhet City Corporation (SCC) exhibits a substantial dependence on central government funds for its development activities. Fiscal transfers from the national government are predominantly in the form of project aid, which comes with strict conditionalities, limiting the SCC's discretion in resource allocation. In fiscal year 2014-15, Own Source Revenue (OSR) for SCC constituted only 22% of its total budgeted income, despite a steady increase in OSR collection. This indicates a severe lack of financial autonomy, as local government institutions (LGIs) in Bangladesh generally possess "extremely little funding discretion to be responsive to the needs and priorities of the local community". This overwhelming reliance on central funds, largely through conditional project aid, means that the central government retains de-facto control over local development priorities. This centralized financial control directly impedes Sylhet's ability to develop and fund tailored initiatives for its startup ecosystem, as local governments cannot independently allocate resources to emerging sectors or specific entrepreneurial needs without central approval.

Furthermore, despite existing legal provisions, SCC does not borrow from financial institutions for development projects. This is attributed to "complicated and prolonged processes of obtaining necessary approval from the central government," sanctions from financial institutions, low caps on loan amounts, and accounting and financial management processes that are not synchronized with loan assessment requirements. This inability to leverage local borrowing further constrains financial flexibility. A study on a Union Parishad in Sylhet found that "financial crisis" and the "influence of governing political party" were major impediments in implementing development projects. This highlights that the financial limitations are not merely about resource scarcity but also about the political dynamics that dictate resource flow and utilization at the local level.

The Effectiveness of Local Planning and Policy Implementation for Startups

The capacity of local authorities to autonomously plan and implement development projects, including those for startups, is significantly restricted by "top-down forces" and political interference. This often results in "uneven development and limited development among different areas". The pervasive "policy-

practice disconnect" means that while the concept of decentralized local government is recognized, its practical application is hindered by a central government that often operates through deconcentration rather than true devolution. This limits the ability of local governments to effectively tailor policies or create a truly responsive environment for startups.

Citizen participation in decision-making is also identified as a significant weakness. Approximately 50% of respondents in a study on a Sylhet Union Parishad believed there was a "lack of people's participation in decision-making". Development plans often originate from local councils or the central government rather than from citizens, leading to "poor ownership" of development initiatives. This top-down approach means that the demand felt by the people is not adequately reflected in planning, hindering genuine development. Bureaucratic hurdles further complicate local planning and implementation. "Excessive administrative and bureaucratic burdens" make the business start-up process time-consuming, cumbersome, and complex, acting as a major impediment for youth entrepreneurship in Sylhet. Policy inconsistency and frequent changes in regulations also create an unstable environment that hinders long-term planning. Despite these systemic challenges, some positive collaborative efforts are observed. International Development Enterprise (iDE) runs programs in Sylhet focused on enterprise development, green agriculture, and sanitation, often in collaboration with the Government of Bangladesh and other donors. These initiatives demonstrate that effective local development can occur when external partners work closely with local communities and some government entities. Additionally, the Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA) organizes regional "Startup Idea Pitching" programs in Sylhet, indicating a national effort to engage regional entrepreneurial talent.

Coordination between Different Tiers of Government

The overall landscape of decentralization in Bangladesh suggests a lack of a "fool-proof mechanism of decentralized system of governance" and a failure to "fully address issues related to the genuine interest of the local people". This implies a significant "silo effect" in multi-level governance, where national policies, though well-intentioned, may not be effectively integrated or coordinated with local government capacities and priorities. This leads to a fragmented approach rather than a cohesive multi-level governance strategy.

While the ICT Division's roadmap mentions a "one-stop centralized portal" to promote startup activities and decentralize information, which could improve coordination, and BHTPA's regional pitching programs involve connecting startups from different regions, these efforts appear to be isolated rather than part of a deeply integrated multi-level strategy. Effective coordination mechanisms, such as dedicated inter-agency task forces at the divisional level or formal channels for local government input into national policy design, are crucial to ensure that policies are not just "rolled out" but genuinely "rooted" in local realities.

Perceptions of Local Entrepreneurs Regarding Responsiveness and Support from Local Authorities

The perceptions of local entrepreneurs regarding the responsiveness and support from local authorities are mixed, leaning towards skepticism regarding the effectiveness of current structures. While a significant portion of respondents (57.5%) in a Sylhet Union Parishad study had an idea about the power and authority of local government, a substantial 50% believed there was a "lack of people's participation in decision-making". This indicates a "trust deficit" between local entrepreneurs/citizens and local government. If entrepreneurs do not trust that local authorities can genuinely support them or that policies will be stable, they are less likely to engage, invest, or even voice their needs effectively.

Entrepreneurs nationwide express concern over "bureaucratic hurdles, policy inconsistency, rising taxes and utility costs and a lack of support for startups," stating that these issues "hindering investment growth and economic development". Specifically in Sylhet, smaller companies face "difficulties in getting financing from financial institutions and limited availability of". The "inconsistent policies and the frequent changes of direction do not encourage entrepreneurs who want to run SMEs". These perceptions underscore a critical need for local authorities to rebuild trust through tangible, consistent efforts to simplify processes, increase transparency, and actively solicit feedback from the entrepreneurial community.

Table 4.1: Sylhet Division: Economic Profile and Key Sectors (2019 data)

Economic Indicator

Nominal GDP

PPP GDP

Nominal GDP per capita

PPP GDP per capita

Population below poverty line

Unemployment

Key Economic Drivers

Natural Gas

Remittance

Tourism

Fisheries

Tea Industry

Agriculture

Table 4.2: Challenges and Opportunities for Sylhet's Startup Ecosystem

Category

Funding & Investment

Ecosystem Infrastructure & Support

Talent & Human Capital

Legal & Regulatory Environment

Governance & Local Autonomy

Cultural & Social

Sectoral Focus

Table 4.1 provides a concise overview of Sylhet Division's economic landscape, highlighting its significant GDP, per capita income, and key economic drivers, particularly natural gas, remittances, tourism, and the tea industry. This data establishes the inherent economic strengths and potential that can be harnessed for startup development. Table 4.2 then synthesizes the specific challenges and opportunities within Sylhet's startup ecosystem, categorized for clarity. These tables are valuable as they provide a structured summary of the empirical context, making it easier to grasp the complex interplay of factors affecting Sylhet's entrepreneurial environment. They directly inform the subsequent discussion and recommendations by clearly outlining the specific areas that require intervention and the assets that can be leveraged.

4.2 Application and Impact of Legal Frameworks in Sylhet

The national legal frameworks, while providing a foundational structure, demonstrate varying degrees of

effectiveness and impact when applied and perceived by startups in Sylhet.

Ease of Business Registration and Compliance with Regulatory Requirements at the Local Level

The national business registration process, involving name clearance, drafting constitutional documents (MOA/AOA), bank account opening, and submission to the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies and Firms (RJSC), is followed by requirements for trade licenses, Tax Identification Numbers (TIN), and VAT registration. However, for Sylhet-based entrepreneurs, the process is complicated by the fact that RJSC, the primary registration authority, does not have a dedicated office in Sylhet; the division falls under Dhaka's jurisdiction. This geographical distance, combined with "excessive administrative and bureaucratic burdens" and the potential need for "up to 27 licenses to start operations," makes the process time-consuming and complex for youth entrepreneurship in Sylhet. This situation creates a "digital divide" in regulatory access. While some steps can be completed online (e.g., TIN registration), the overall complexity and the absence of a local RJSC office mean that entrepreneurs often navigate a centralized system from a distance. This disparity in access to regulatory services increases the time and cost burden on local entrepreneurs, potentially discouraging new ventures.

Awareness and Accessibility of National Startup Funding Schemes within Sylhet

National funding schemes, such as those offered by Startup Bangladesh Limited (SBL) and the iDEA Project, provide various forms of financial support, including grants, equity, and convertible debt. Bangladesh Bank has also significantly increased loan limits for startups and introduced affordable interest rates, alongside plans for a new venture capital company and a refinancing facility. Furthermore, SBL actively engages in regional outreach, hosting Youth Startup Summits in Sylhet that include pitching competitions and networking opportunities. The BHTPA also organizes regional "Startup Idea Pitching" programs, providing free space and mentoring to selected startups from Sylhet and other regions. Despite these national efforts and regional outreach, Sylhet faces "limited access to local seed funding/angel investors". This suggests an "awareness-to-access" gap, where knowledge of available funds does not automatically translate into successful acquisition. This could stem from complex application processes, a lack of local intermediaries to guide entrepreneurs, or a mismatch between national funding criteria and the specific needs and capacities of local startups. The observation that banks may lack the expertise to evaluate startups, treating them differently from established businesses with collateral, further exacerbates this challenge. A critical underlying issue is the "capital paradox" in Sylhet. The division receives substantial remittances, a major source of its economic growth. However, these funds are predominantly invested in "risk-free investments" like land rather than productive Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), leading to credit constraints for local businesses. This disconnect means that a significant pool of local capital remains largely untapped for startup investment.

Effectiveness of IP Protection Mechanisms for Sylhet-based Innovators

Bangladesh possesses a legal framework for intellectual property (IP) protection, encompassing copyright, patent, trademark, and geographical indication laws. These protections are vital for tech startups to secure innovations, attract investment, and maintain a competitive edge. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms for Sylhet-based innovators is significantly compromised by pervasive enforcement challenges.

The system struggles to cope with the demands from IP rights-holders to upgrade enforcement. "Limited awareness and bureaucratic delays," "weak enforcement mechanisms" (including a lack of training and resources for law enforcement), and "judicial delays" are widespread issues. Rampant piracy further undermines creators' livelihoods and discourages emerging talent. This creates an "innovation

disincentive" for Sylhet-based innovators, as their investments in research and development and product creation may not be adequately safeguarded, hindering their potential for commercialization and growth. The high transaction costs and lack of technical knowledge or resources in developing countries further complicate effective IP enforcement.

Impact of Local Enforcement of Labor Laws and Tax Regulations on Small Businesses and Startups

The Department of Inspection for Factories and Establishments (DIFE) in Sylhet is responsible for enforcing labor laws, ensuring worker welfare, safety, and health. Similarly, businesses in Sylhet must comply with national tax regulations, including obtaining a TIN, registering for VAT (if applicable), and filing returns. However, the "one-size-fits-all" regulatory burden significantly impacts startups. The absence of a clear regulatory distinction between startups and SMEs means that nascent ventures are subjected to labor and tax compliance requirements designed for larger, more established entities. This can lead to "high early-stage tax burdens," forcing loss-making startups to pay turnover taxes. "Excessive administrative and bureaucratic burdens" and "unsupportive tax regulations" are identified as impediments for youth entrepreneurship in Sylhet. Furthermore, policy inconsistency and frequent changes in tax and VAT policies create uncertainty and erode investor confidence. This "compliance burden," if not adapted to the startup context, can inadvertently stifle entrepreneurial activity by diverting scarce resources and management attention away from core business development.

The Role of the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park as a Legal and Infrastructural Enabler

The Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Hi-Tech Park in Sylhet, established under the BHTPA, represents a significant government commitment to fostering high-tech industries and startup growth in the region. It is designed to provide international standard infrastructure, a conducive business environment, and one-stop services, offering incentives such as exemptions from income tax, VAT, customs duties, and stamp duties. The park also provides dedicated space, free internet, electricity, utilities, and incubation facilities, along with regional pitching programs. Despite these substantial investments and incentives, the park faces an "infrastructure-utilization gap." A limited number of organizations have established operations within the facility, despite its readiness. This suggests a "build-it-and-they-will-come" fallacy, where the provision of physical infrastructure and tax breaks alone is insufficient to catalyze a vibrant ecosystem. The general observation of "little to no mentoring and incubation support beyond Dhaka and Chittagong" implies that the support offered at the park may not be comprehensive enough to attract a critical mass of innovative companies or to foster a truly vibrant ecosystem beyond the physical space. For the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park to fulfill its potential, its role must evolve beyond being a landlord to actively curating a dynamic community, providing high-quality, tailored mentorship, and aggressively marketing Sylhet's unique advantages to potential tenants and investors.

4.3 Sylhet's Startup Ecosystem: Challenges and Opportunities

Sylhet's startup ecosystem is characterized by a unique interplay of challenges that hinder its growth and distinct opportunities that, if leveraged, could propel it forward.

Challenges

Limited Access to Local Seed Funding/Angel Investors: A primary impediment for Sylhet's startups is the scarcity of local seed funding and angel investors. This is particularly striking given the division's significant remittance economy. However, these remittances are largely channeled into "risk-free investments" like land rather than productive Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), creating a "local capital trap" where substantial wealth exists but is not directed towards high-growth, innovation-driven

sectors. Furthermore, traditional banks often lack the expertise to evaluate and fund early-stage startups, making risk assessment difficult. Scarcity of Dedicated Incubators/Accelerators (beyond the Hi-Tech Park): While the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park offers some incubation facilities, there is a general "little to no mentoring and incubation support beyond Dhaka and Chittagong". This, coupled with the underutilization of the Hi-Tech Park's facilities, points to an overall "ecosystem maturity deficit." It is not just about missing physical spaces but the lack of interconnectedness and functional support across the ecosystem.

Brain Drain of Talent to Dhaka or Abroad: Sylhet faces a significant challenge with the exodus of skilled talent to Dhaka or international destinations in pursuit of better opportunities. This creates a self-perpetuating cycle: a less vibrant local ecosystem encourages talent to leave, which in turn hinders the development of a more robust ecosystem.

Lack of Strong Industry-Academia Linkages: Despite the presence of educational institutions in Sylhet, there is a general deficiency in effective collaboration between industry and academia in Bangladesh, leading to skills gaps. This means universities may not be producing graduates with industry-relevant skills, and local startups lack access to valuable research and development support.

Cultural Barriers: A "negative socio-cultural attitude toward entrepreneurship" and a prevailing preference for "stable jobs over entrepreneurial risk-taking" are noted impediments for youth entrepreneurship in Sylhet. Financial risk is often viewed as a critical de-motivator for aspiring entrepreneurs. **Bureaucratic Hurdles and Policy Inconsistency:** The general challenges of excessive bureaucracy, complex business registration procedures, and frequent changes in tax and VAT policies observed nationwide are likely more pronounced in regional contexts like Sylhet, adding to the difficulty of starting and scaling a business.

Limited Citizen Participation and Political Influence: Issues within local governance, such as limited citizen participation in decision-making and political influence on development projects, can hinder the organic, bottom-up development of entrepreneurial initiatives that truly reflect local needs.

Opportunities

Leveraging the Remittance Economy for Local Investment: The substantial inflow of remittances into Sylhet represents a significant untapped capital pool. If effectively channeled through innovative financial instruments and incentives, this wealth could be a powerful catalyst for local startup investment, moving beyond traditional, risk-averse allocations.

Potential in Tourism and Hospitality: Sylhet's rich natural beauty, including its tea gardens, haors, and migratory birds, positions it as a significant tourism destination. Existing tourism agencies like "Travelers of Sylhet" and transport startups like "Jatri" demonstrate a foundation for tech-enabled innovation in this sector. This offers clear opportunities for startups to develop solutions that enhance visitor experience, streamline logistics, and promote sustainable tourism.

Agri-tech and Food Processing: With its strong agricultural base, particularly the tea industry, Sylhet offers fertile ground for agri-tech and food processing startups. Organizations like iDE are already supporting green agricultural entrepreneurship in the region, indicating a receptive environment for innovations that can improve farming practices, supply chain efficiency, and market access, as exemplified by agri-tech companies like iFarmer. This "sectoral niche" provides a strategic advantage for local startups.

Natural Gas Sector: Sylhet possesses vast proven natural gas reserves and has seen recent discoveries. This presents opportunities for startups in energy efficiency, related technologies, or industrial

applications that can support or innovate within this critical sector.

Young and Digitally Literate Population: Bangladesh, including Sylhet, benefits from a young, tech-savvy population with increasing internet penetration. This demographic provides a ready talent pool for startups and a growing consumer base for digital products and services.

Government Commitment: The establishment of the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park and the organization of regional pitching programs by BHTPA and Startup Bangladesh Limited demonstrate a clear government intent to foster regional startup growth.

NGO/Development Partner Engagement: The active involvement of organizations like iDE in supporting local micro, small, and medium enterprises in Sylhet provides a valuable foundation of grassroots support, business development training, and market system strengthening. This collaboration can be a crucial enabler for new ventures.

Chapter 5: Discussion and Recommendations

5.1 Discussion of Key Findings

The analysis of Sylhet Division's startup ecosystem reveals a complex landscape shaped by the interplay of decentralized governance, national legal frameworks, and unique local dynamics. A significant observation is the pervasive gap between the theoretical benefits of decentralization and its practical implementation. While theories suggest that decentralization enhances allocative and productive efficiency by bringing governance closer to local preferences, Sylhet's local government bodies exhibit severely limited financial and administrative autonomy. They remain heavily dependent on conditional central government transfers, which effectively maintains a "centralized purse string" that dictates local development priorities. Political decentralization, intended to empower local elected bodies and foster citizen participation, is similarly constrained by political interference and a perceived lack of public involvement in decision-making. This creates a "policy-practice disconnect," where the stated goals of decentralization are not fully realized on the ground.

Regarding legal frameworks, while Bangladesh has established a foundational structure with tax incentives, funding schemes, and intellectual property (IP) laws, their impact in Sylhet is often undermined by implementation challenges. Bureaucratic hurdles and complex compliance requirements, including the absence of a local RJSC office, create a "compliance burden" that disproportionately affects nascent startups. The "one-size-fits-all" approach, which fails to distinguish early-stage startups from established SMEs, subjects them to inappropriate labor and tax regulations. Furthermore, weak IP enforcement, characterized by limited awareness, bureaucratic delays, and rampant piracy, creates an "innovation disincentive," discouraging investment in IP-driven ventures.

Sylhet's startup ecosystem, despite its inherent potential, demonstrates an "ecosystem maturity deficit." There is a pronounced scarcity of comprehensive incubation and mentorship support beyond the physical confines of the Hi-Tech Park. The Sylhet Hi-Tech Park, while a positive infrastructural investment, currently faces an "infrastructure-utilization gap," suggesting a "build-it-and-they-will-come" fallacy where physical presence alone has not fully catalyzed a vibrant entrepreneurial community. This is compounded by a "chicken-and-egg" problem of talent: brain drain to Dhaka or abroad due to limited local opportunities, which in turn hinders ecosystem growth. Weak industry-academia linkages further exacerbate the talent and innovation gap. A significant paradox in Sylhet is the "local capital trap." Despite a substantial inflow of remittances that contribute significantly to the local economy, these funds are predominantly channeled into risk-averse investments like land rather than

productive startups. This represents a critical underutilization of local assets for entrepreneurial growth. However, this also points to a unique "diaspora dividend" that extends beyond mere financial remittances to include human capital, expertise, and global networks. Comparing Sylhet's situation with general trends in Bangladesh, the challenges of centralization, bureaucratic hurdles, funding gaps, and brain drain are reflective of broader national issues that disproportionately affect regional development. The "policy inconsistency and investor confidence" issues, generally observed in Bangladesh, are particularly detrimental in nascent regional ecosystems that require stability to attract initial investment. Lessons from successful decentralized ecosystems globally and regionally offer valuable insights. Kerala, India, demonstrates the power of "contextualized policy design," with strong government support, student-led innovation, rural inclusion, and robust infrastructure tailored to local needs. The success of Tier-II and Tier-III cities in India, driven by digital penetration, lower costs, and grassroots innovation, highlights that entrepreneurial growth can thrive beyond capital cities. Examples from Kenya and Vietnam underscore the importance of supportive regulatory frameworks, strategic infrastructure investment, and leveraging unique local strengths (e.g., crypto in Vietnam). These cases collectively emphasize that successful decentralized ecosystems are not merely about physical infrastructure but require a holistic approach involving policy orchestration, regulatory agility, fostering local talent, and effectively channeling local capital. This reinforces that a piecemeal approach will likely fail if the underlying governance and regulatory environment remains unsupportive or if key ecosystem components are absent.

5.2 Policy Recommendations

Based on the comprehensive analysis of Sylhet's startup ecosystem, a multi-faceted approach involving national, local, and stakeholder-level interventions is imperative. The following recommendations aim to foster genuine decentralization and create a more conducive environment for entrepreneurial growth in Sylhet.

Table 5.1: Policy Recommendations Matrix for Fostering Sylhet's Startup Ecosystem

Recommendation Category

Governance & Autonomy

Legal & Regulatory Reform

Legal & Regulatory Reform

Funding & Investment

Talent & Human Capital

Ecosystem Infrastructure & Support

The matrix above provides a structured overview of actionable policy recommendations, categorized by key areas and targeting specific stakeholders. This format ensures clarity and facilitates implementation by assigning clear responsibilities and outlining anticipated outcomes. Each recommendation is grounded in the preceding analysis and addresses the identified challenges and opportunities within Sylhet's startup ecosystem.

For National Government:

The national government holds the primary responsibility for establishing an enabling environment for decentralized startup ecosystems. First, it is crucial to grant greater financial and administrative autonomy to Sylhet's local governments for targeted economic development initiatives. This move is essential to transition from mere deconcentration to genuine devolution, allowing local authorities to have independent revenue-generating capacities and control over their budgets. This would enable them

to tailor policies to unique local needs and opportunities, drawing lessons from successful decentralized models like Kerala. The current "centralized purse string" significantly limits Sylhet's ability to respond to local entrepreneurial demands. Second, decentralizing parts of the business registration and compliance processes to dedicated "one-stop online and offline support centers" in Sylhet is imperative. The existing centralization of the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies and Firms (RJSC) and general bureaucratic hurdles are significant deterrents for local entrepreneurs. Establishing local one-stop centers, as proposed by entrepreneurs, would simplify procedures, reduce time and cost burdens, and make the regulatory environment more accessible and responsive, thereby addressing the "digital divide" in regulatory access.

Third, establishing specific venture capital funds or matching grant programs tailored to Sylhet's unique economic sectors, perhaps leveraging diaspora investment, is vital. This directly addresses the "remittance paradox" where Sylhet's substantial diaspora remittances are often directed towards risk-averse investments rather than productive enterprises. National policy should incentivize channeling these funds into local startups, especially those in high-potential sectors like agritech, tourism, and natural gas, possibly through co-investment models or tax incentives for angel investors focusing on regional startups. Fourth, strengthening the mandate and resources of the Sylhet Hi-Tech Park to become a comprehensive regional innovation hub, including more robust mentorship and incubation support, is critical. The park currently faces underutilization despite incentives. Its role must expand beyond physical infrastructure to actively foster a vibrant ecosystem, providing high-quality, tailored mentorship, incubation, and acceleration programs, thereby addressing the "little to no mentoring and incubation support" gap. This moves beyond the "build-it-and-they-will-come" fallacy.

Fifth, creating a distinct regulatory category for early-stage startups with simplified labor and tax compliance requirements is essential. The current lack of distinction between startups and SMEs imposes undue compliance burdens on nascent ventures. Tailored regulations would reduce early-stage tax burdens and administrative overhead, allowing startups to focus on growth and innovation, rather than excessive compliance.

Finally, enhancing IP enforcement mechanisms through training for law enforcement, judicial capacity building, and public awareness campaigns at the regional level is crucial. Weak IP enforcement disincentivizes innovation. National government should invest in specialized training for local law enforcement and the judiciary in Sylhet to handle IP cases efficiently, while public awareness campaigns would educate entrepreneurs on IP protection and rights, mitigating the "innovation disincentive."

For Local Government (Sylhet City Corporation, District Administration):

Local government bodies in Sylhet must play a proactive role in nurturing the local startup ecosystem. First, they should develop a strategic plan for startup ecosystem development, identifying key growth sectors. This plan should proactively identify Sylhet's unique sectoral strengths (tourism, agritech, natural gas, tea) and develop a targeted strategy to foster startups within these areas. This approach recognizes the "sectoral niche" as a strategic advantage for regional startups.

Second, local authorities must actively engage with local entrepreneurs, universities, and business associations to understand their needs and co-create policies. Addressing the "trust deficit" and "lack of people's participation" requires genuine dialogue through formal and informal channels, ensuring policies are responsive to local realities and fostering a more inclusive governance model.

Third, simplifying local permits and licenses for startups is paramount. Beyond national registration, local permits can add significant bureaucratic burden. Sylhet's local authorities should review and

streamline their own licensing processes, perhaps offering fast-track options or temporary waivers for new startups, thereby reducing the "compliance burden."

Fourth, creating a dedicated "startup desk" or liaison office within the local administration to provide guidance and facilitate processes would be highly beneficial. This desk would act as a single point of contact for entrepreneurs, offering guidance on local regulations, connecting them with resources, and troubleshooting issues, directly addressing the perceived lack of support and responsiveness.

Finally, promoting local awareness campaigns about entrepreneurship and digital literacy is essential. These campaigns would address cultural barriers and encourage a shift towards an entrepreneurial mindset among the youth, highlighting the benefits of risk-taking and innovation.

For Stakeholders (Academia, Industry, Investors):

Various stakeholders also have a critical role in fostering Sylhet's startup ecosystem. Academia, particularly universities in Sylhet, should foster stronger collaborations with local startups for talent development and R&D. This directly addresses the "chicken-and-egg" problem of talent and the lack of strong industry-academia linkages. Universities can adapt curricula to industry needs, facilitate internships, and establish joint research projects that address local industry challenges.

Local industry and investors should establish local angel investor networks and potentially micro-venture capital funds. This would help to channel local capital, including remittances, into early-stage startups and provide crucial seed funding that is currently lacking. Actively engaging successful Sylheti business leaders and diaspora members in these networks can provide not only capital but also invaluable mentorship and expertise.

Finally, a concerted effort should be made to create mentorship programs by successful Sylheti business leaders and diaspora members. This directly addresses the scarcity of mentorship and incubation support. Leveraging the "diaspora dividend" in terms of knowledge and experience, beyond just financial remittances, is crucial for nurturing local entrepreneurial talent and providing them with the guidance needed to navigate early-stage challenges.

Chapter 6: Conclusion

This thesis concludes that while Bangladesh has made strides in establishing a national startup ecosystem, sustainable and inclusive growth necessitates a robust decentralized approach. The case of Sylhet Division highlights that the mere existence of national legal frameworks is insufficient; their effective implementation and adaptation at the local level, coupled with genuinely empowered local governance, are paramount. By embracing deeper decentralization and tailoring legal and administrative support to regional contexts, Bangladesh can unlock the full entrepreneurial potential of divisions like Sylhet, fostering a diverse, resilient, and nationally prosperous startup landscape.

Bibliography

- 1 Russian Journal of Economics. (n.d.). Article on decentralization. Retrieved from <https://rujec.org/article/27958/>
- 2 International Monetary Fund. (2011). Decentralizing Governance: Emerging Concepts and Practices (Chapter 1). Retrieved from <https://www.elibrary.imf.org/display/book/9781589069855/ch001.xml>
- 3 Dabla-Norris, E., et al. (2021). Fiscal decentralization and economic growth: Evidence from panel data. *Economic Modelling*, 94, 945–952. Retrieved from <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ecmode/v94y2021icp945-952.html>

- 4 World Bank. (n.d.). Decentralization and intergovernmental relations: A primer. Retrieved from <https://decentralization.net/resources/decentralization-mlg-and-intergovernmental-relations-a-primer-1/1-1-why-decentralization/>
- 5 Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). Decentralization. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved from <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decentralization>
- 6 Knutsen, C. H. (n.d.). Political decentralization and technological innovation: Testing the innovative advantages of decentralized states. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/24000091_Political_Decentralization_and_Technological_Innovation_Testing_the_Innovative_Advantages_of_Decentralized_States
- 7 Ranga, M., & Etzkowitz, H. (2013). Components of innovation ecosystems: A cross-country study. *Industry and Higher Education*, 27(4), 237–262. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283797767_Components_of_Innovation_Ecosystems_A_Cross-Country_Study
- 8 Number Analytics. (2022). Innovation ecosystem: A public policy guide. Retrieved from <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/innovation-ecosystem-public-policy-guide>
- 9 Moldstud. (n.d.). Global success stories in remote blockchain development: Inspiring case studies. Retrieved from <https://moldstud.com/articles/p-global-success-stories-in-remote-blockchain-development-inspiring-case-studies>
- 10 Number Analytics. (n.d.). Innovation hubs: Regional studies. Retrieved from <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/innovation-hubs-regional-studies>
- 11 Cresa. (2022). 2022 Global Innovation Hubs Report. Retrieved from <https://www.cresa.com/Blog/2022-Global-Innovation-Hubs-Report>
- 12 Chauhan, A. (2025). Transparency and accountability in innovation hubs. *Cogent Business & Management*. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311886.2025.2458700>
- 13 World Economic Forum. (2025, June). Kerala's startup boom. Retrieved from <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/06/kerala-startup-boom/>
- 14 YourStory. (2025, July). India's Tier II and III cities: The next hotbed for startups. Retrieved from <https://yourstory.com/2025/07/indias-tier-ii-and-iii-cities-the-next-hotbed-for-startups>
- 15 StartupBlink. (n.d.). The best countries for startups. Retrieved from <https://www.startupblink.com/blog/the-best-countries-for-startups/>
- 16 OECD. (2022). Implementing the OECD recommendation on regional development: Policy toolkit. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/en/about/projects/implementing-the-oecd-recommendation-on-regional-development-policy-toolkit.html>
- 17 Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). Allocative efficiency. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allocative_efficiency
- 18 Lumen Learning. (n.d.). Productive efficiency and allocative efficiency. Retrieved from <https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-macroeconomics/chapter/reading-productive-efficiency-and-allocative-efficiency/>
- 19 Startup Genome. (n.d.). Library. Retrieved from <https://startupgenome.com/library>
- 20 YouTube. (n.d.). Understanding allocative efficiency: VtlKP_DKOqs [Video]. Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vtlKP_DKOqs
- 21 Tahmidur Rahman Law Firm. (2024). Start-up law in Bangladesh and funding stages. Retrieved from

- <https://tahmidurrahman.com/start-up-law-in-bangladesh-and-funding-stages/>
- 22 Enterslice. (2024). Understanding business compliance requirements in Bangladesh. Retrieved from <https://enterslice.com/learning/bd/understanding-business-compliance-requirements-in-bangladesh/>
 - 23 Tahmidur Rahman Law Firm. (n.d.). Company registration in Bangladesh. Retrieved from <https://tahmidurrahman.com/company-registration-in-bangladesh/>
 - 24 Bangladesh Trade Portal. (2021). Business startup process in Bangladesh (Version 3). Retrieved from https://www.bangladeshtradeportal.gov.bd/kcfinder/upload/files/Business%20Startup%20Process%20in%20Bangladesh_SC%20v3.pdf
 - 25 The Business Standard. (2024). Startups struggle amid funding gaps and policy hurdles: Lack of skilled talent experts. Retrieved from <https://www.tbsnews.net/economy/startups-struggle-amid-funding-gaps-policy-hurdles-lack-skilled-talent-experts-1190776>
 - 26 OGR Legal Resources. (n.d.). [Title unknown]. Retrieved from <https://resource.ogrlegal>

Works cited

- Fiscal decentralization and regional economic growth: Theory ..., <https://rujec.org/article/27958/>
1. Fiscal Decentralization: Key Issues in: Making Fiscal ... - IMF eLibrary, <https://www.elibrary.imf.org/display/book/9781589069855/ch001.xml>
 2. Allocative efficiency - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allocative_efficiency
 3. Productive Efficiency and Allocative Efficiency | Macroeconomics - Lumen Learning, <https://courses.lumenlearning.com/wm-macroeconomics/chapter/productive-efficiency-and-allocative-efficiency/>
 4. Reading: Productive Efficiency and Allocative Efficiency | Macroeconomics, <https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-macroeconomics/chapter/reading-productive-efficiency-and-allocative-efficiency/>
 5. Why Decentralization? – Decentralization Net, <https://decentralization.net/resources/decentralization-mlg-and-intergovernmental-relations-a-primer-1/1-1-why-decentralization/>
 6. Impediments and Inducements to Youth Entrepreneurship Development in Sylhet Region of Bangladesh- An Exploratory Study - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313815301_Impediments_and_Inducements_to_Youth_Entrepreneurship_Development_in_Sylhet_Region_of_Bangladesh- An Exploratory Study
 7. Does administrative decentralization enhance economic growth ..., <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ecmode/v94y2021icp945-952.html>
 8. Success, challenges and prospects of decentralization in Africa: a ..., <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311886.2025.2458700>
 9. Decentralization - Wikipedia, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decentralization>
 10. Political Decentralization and Technological Innovation: Testing the Innovative Advantages of Decentralized States - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/24000091_Political_Decentralization_and_Technological_Innovation_Testing_the_Innovative_Advantages_of_Decentralized_States
 11. www.tandfonline.com, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311886.2025.2458700#:~:text=However%2C%20there%20have%20been%20many,lack%20of%20transparency%20and%20accountability>

12. Impediments and Inducements to Youth Entrepreneurship Development in Sylhet Region of Bangladesh- An Exploratory Study - IOSR Journal, <http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jbm/papers/Vol19-issue2/Version-1/B1902010613.pdf>
13. Improving local governance and service delivery in Bangladesh: The role of local government finance - World Bank Documents and Reports, <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/150821592287566995/pdf/Improving-Local-Governance-and-Service-Delivery-in-Bangladesh-The-Role-of-Local-Government-Finance.pdf>
14. (PDF) Decentralized Local Government and Peoples' Perception: A Case on a Union Parishad in Sylhet - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273128083_Decentralized_Local_Government_and_Peoples'_Perception_A_Case_on_a_Union_Parishad_in_Sylhet
15. A Guide to Innovation Ecosystem in Public Policy - Number Analytics, <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/innovation-ecosystem-public-policy-guide>
16. (PDF) Components of Innovation Ecosystems: A Cross-Country Study, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283797767_Components_of_Innovation_Ecosystems_A_Cross-Country_Study
17. Innovation Hubs in Regional Studies, <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/innovation-hubs-regional-studies>
18. 2022 Global Innovation Hubs Report: Top Global Cities for Innovation - Cresa, 2025, <https://www.cresa.com/Blog/2022-Global-Innovation-Hubs-Report>
19. The Best Countries for Startups to Relocate in 2025 - StartupBlink, <https://www.startupblink.com/blog/the-best-countries-for-startups/>
20. Library | Startup Genome, <https://startupgenome.com/library>
21. Day-2 Startup Ecosystems in Global Cities: Case Studies of New York and Berlin - YouTube, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vtlKP_DKOqs
22. Post-COVID-19 ICT Roadmap: The Startup Ecosystem, https://ictd.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/ictd.portal.gov.bd/page/6c9773a2_7556_4395_bbec_f132b9d819f0/ICT%20Roadmap_two-pager_Startup%20Ecosystem.pdf
23. Case Studies and Success Stories - SoluLab,, <https://www.solulab.com/case-studies/>
24. Governance at the Grassroots: How Local Actions Shape Urban Sustainability in Bangladesh - Research Communities, <https://communities.springernature.com/posts/governance-at-the-grassroots-how-local-actions-shape-urban-sustainability-in-bangladesh>
25. Global Success Stories in Remote Blockchain Development - Inspiring Case Studies, <https://moldstud.com/articles/p-global-success-stories-in-remote-blockchain-development-inspiring-case-studies>
26. Nairobi - Ecosystem Startup Genome | Building world-class startup ecosystems, <https://startupgenome.com/ecosystems/nairobi>
27. TouchNet Brings Sovereign AI Cloud to Kenya, Hosted at iXAfrica Data Centre, <https://techafricanews.com/2025/07/17/touchnet-brings-sovereign-ai-cloud-to-kenya-hosted-at-ixafrika-data-centre/>
28. EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SMEs FINANCING AND ENTREPRENEURS' PERCEPTIONS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY IN SYLHET | International Journal of Small and Medium Enterprises - CRIBFB,

<https://www.cribfb.com/journal/index.php/ijsmes/article/view/1939>

29. Bitcoin in Saigon: A Catalyst for Economic Empowerment and Innovation | ERIC KIM, <https://erickimphotography.com/bitcoin-in-saigon-a-catalyst-for-economic-empowerment-and-innovation/>
30. Vietri Web3 platform: Transforming the Vietnam Blockchain ecosystem by 2025 | Gate.com, <https://www.gate.com/blog/8393/Vietri-Web3-Platform--Transforming-Vietnams-Blockchain-Landscape-in-2025>
31. Bangabandhu-Sheikh-Mujib-HTP,-Sylhet - Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority, <https://bhtpa.gov.bd/site/page/f35bdd19-9f1a-4c58-8b5b-bb58e441ddfd/Bangabandhu-Sheikh-Mujib-HTP,-Sylhet>
32. Hi Tech Sylhet - Vitti,, <https://vitti.com.bd/bsm-hi-tech-sylhet/>
33. From classrooms to global impact: how Kerala built an inclusive ..., <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/06/kerala-startup-boom/>
34. (PDF) Fiscal System - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329610886_Fiscal_System
35. India's Tier-II and III cities: The next hotbed for startup innovation and ..., <https://yourstory.com/2025/07/indias-tier-ii-and-iii-cities-the-next-hotbed-for-startups>
36. Predictors of Investment Expenditure from Foreign Remittances in the Sylhet Region of Bangladesh: A Household-Level Analysis, <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/20173106602>
37. Bureaucratic hurdles, policy instability stalling economic growth: businesses | Bizbd Review, <https://bizbdreview.com/bureaucratic-hurdles-policy-instability-stalling-economic-growth-businesses/>
38. Start up law in Bangladesh in 2022 | Hire the most capable lawyer to help you with your seed funding stages - Tahmidur Rahman, <https://tahmidurrahman.com/start-up-law-in-bangladesh-and-funding-stages/>
39. A Complete Guide to Registering a Business in Bangladesh - Dr. Gazi & Associates, <https://drgaziandassociates.law/a-complete-guide-to-registering-a-business-in-bangladesh/>
40. Lack of Utilization of Local Funds and Productive Investment Climate in the Sylhet Region - Economic Research Group, http://www.ergonline.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/ERG-WP-2-2010_final.pdf
41. Company Registration in Bangladesh | 6 simple steps to efficiently register your company in Bangladesh - Tahmidur Rahman, <https://tahmidurrahman.com/company-registration-in-bangladesh/>
42. Business Startup Process in Bangladesh, https://www.bangladeshtradeportal.gov.bd/kcfinder/upload/files/Business%20Startup%20Process%20in%20Bangladesh_SC%20v3.pdf
43. Sylhet's Resilient Market Ecosystem - iDE, <https://www.ideglobal.org/key-project/sylhet>
44. Industry-Academia Collaboration for Entrepreneurship Development in Bangladesh - Bibliothek der Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung, <https://library.fes.de/pdf-files/bueros/bangladesch/22063.pdf>
45. Innovation Policy for the Developing World - Open Knowledge Repository, <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/0bc12c17-9ab6-51f3-9ee0-cf4a801ce301/content>
46. A Simple Guide to Compliance for Bangladeshi Early-Stage Entrepreneurs: Fundamentals and Sole Proprietorship Business (Part 01) - Future Startup, <https://futurestartup.com/2025/06/02/a-simple->

guide-to-compliance-for-bangladeshi-early-stage-entrepreneurs-fundamentals-and-sole-proprietorship-business-part-01/

47. Understanding the Requirements of Business Compliance in Bangladesh - Enterslice, <https://enterslice.com/learning/bd/understanding-business-compliance-requirements-in-bangladesh/>
48. Introduction - Office of the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies and Firms, <https://app.roc.gov.bd/Guidlines/Introduction.htm>
49. RJSC Offices,, https://app1.roc.gov.bd/psp/RJSC_Office
50. Startups struggle amid funding gaps, policy hurdles, lack of skilled talent: Experts | The Business Standard, <https://www.tbsnews.net/economy/startups-struggle-amid-funding-gaps-policy-hurdles-lack-skilled-talent-experts-1190776>
51. Economy of Sylhet Division - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economy_of_Sylhet_Division
52. Tax Exemptions and Incentives for Startups in Bangladesh - Resource Portal | OGR Legal, <https://resource.ogrlegal.com/exemption-incentive/start-up/>
53. IPR Enforcement - JK Associates, <https://jkassociates.com.bd/ipr-enforcement>
54. Intellectual Property Law in Bangladesh: A Comprehensive Guide, <https://sadat-sarwat.com/intellectual-property-law-in-bangladesh/>
55. Bangladesh Unleashed: Division-by-Division Startup Sparks to Ignite a Nation's Future, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391931696_Bangladesh_Unleashed_Division-by-Division_Startup_Sparks_to_Ignite_a_Nation's_Future
56. How Intellectual Property Protection Fuels Growth in Tech Startups | ArentFox Schiff, <https://www.afslaw.com/perspectives/alerts/how-intellectual-property-protection-fuels-growth-tech-startups>
57. Why Startups Must Prioritize Intellectual Property Protection - Greenspoon Marder LLP, <https://www.gmlaw.com/news/why-startups-must-prioritize-intellectual-property-protection/>
58. Supporting Entrepreneurs in Sylhet: LightCastle Partners with iDE, <https://lightcastlepartners.com/news/supporting-entrepreneurs-in-sylhet-lightcastle-partners-with-ide-in-their-enterprise-development-program/>
59. Bangladesh Bank's Startup Financing Revamp: Promise and Pitfalls, <https://futurestartup.com/2025/07/15/bangladesh-banks-startup-financing-revamp-promise-and-pitfalls/>
60. Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights in Bangladesh: To What Extent Is It TRIPS-Responsive? - Scientific Research Publishing, <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=87374>
61. Why copyright law reform is crucial for Bangladesh | The Business Standard, 2025, <https://www.tbsnews.net/thoughts/why-copyright-law-reform-crucial-bangladesh-999156>
62. Comprehensive Guide to Employment Laws in Bangladesh | Multiplier, <https://www.usemultiplier.com/bangladesh/employment-laws>
63. Rethinking hi-tech park strategies, focusing on FDI is key - Industry Insider, <https://industryinsiderbd.com/rethinking-hi-tech-park-strategies-focusing-on-fdr-is-key/>
64. Startup Bangladesh Limited Code of Conduct, https://www.startupbangladesh.vc/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/SBL_Code-of-Conduct.pdf
65. Department of Inspection for Factories and Establishments, Sylhet,, <https://dife.sylhet.gov.bd/en/site/page/0NnW-%E0%A6%8F%E0%A6%95->

[%E0%A6%A8%E0%A6%9C%E0%A6%B0%E0%A7%87](#)

66. Startup Bangladesh Limited - a Venture Capital Company, <https://www.startupbangladesh.vc/>
67. State-of-the-Ecosystem-for-Youth-Entrepreneurship-in-Bangladesh.pdf, <https://www.startupbangladesh.vc/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/State-of-the-Ecosystem-for-Youth-Entrepreneurship-in-Bangladesh.pdf>
68. Challenges for startups, <https://www.observerbd.com/news.php?id=464702>
69. Bangladesh - Support to Development of Kaliakoir Hi-Tech Park Project : environmental assessment (Vol. 1 of 3) - World Bank Documents and Reports, <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/345011468004787324>
70. ABOUT US - Youth Startup Summit 2025, <https://yss.startupbangladesh.gov.bd/about-us/>
71. How to Apply - iDEA, <https://idea.gov.bd/p/10/how-to-apply>
72. Prospective Gateway of Investment & Employment - Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority, https://bhtpa.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/bhtpa.portal.gov.bd/page/621728e8_88d1_41d6_a218_a30b6302dcae/2023-07-25-05-09-26e7461d944c7e19c9c88c197f9f4071.pdf
73. Startup-Information - Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority, <https://bhtpa.portal.gov.bd/site/page/4abe4e81-2036-4766-935f-fbc36cd7b7d8/Startup-Information>
74. (PDF) Socio-Economic Impact of Women Entrepreneurship in Sylhet City, Bangladesh, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228314898_Socio-Economic_Impact_of_Women_Entrepreneurship_in_Sylhet_City_Bangladesh
75. impacts of remittance on the socioeconomic condition of bangladesh: an analysis, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287458019_IMPACTS_OF_REMITTANCE_ON_THE_SOCIOECONOMIC_CONDITION_OF_BANGLADESH_AN_ANALYSIS
76. Borderless is building the infrastructure to power diaspora investments in Africa, <https://techpoint.africa/feature/joe-kinvi-borderless-diaspora-investments/>
77. Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority www.bhtpa.gov.bd Annual Report 2019-2020 3.1 Introduction, https://bhtpa.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/bhtpa.portal.gov.bd/page/e4831659_9dbe_48a6_85df_7f7679fe86b7/2020-07-23-07-00-ff83bf0db74eb11bd6d140a5b0283af0.pdf
78. About us - Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA), <https://ossbhtpa.gov.bd/about-us>
79. Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority, https://wikipedia.nucleos.com/viewer/wikipedia_en_all_maxi_2024-01/A/Bangladesh_Hi-Tech_Park_Authority
80. Bangladesh Hi-Tech Park Authority (BHTPA), <https://ossbhtpa.gov.bd/>
81. Hi-Tech Parks – BHTPA, <https://new.bhtpa.gov.bd/hi-tech-parks/>
82. Bridging the industry-academia gap through collaboration | The Business Standard, <https://www.tbsnews.net/features/panorama/bridging-industry-academia-gap-through-collaboration-544090>
83. Implementation Toolkit: OECD Recommendation on Regional Development Policy, <https://www.oecd.org/en/about/projects/implementing-the-oecd-recommendation-on-regional-development-policy-toolkit.html>
84. মেনু নির্বাচন করুন - উপজেলা তথ্য ও যোগাযোগ প্রযুক্তি অফিস, <http://www.doict.shahjadpur.sirajganj.gov.bd/en/site/page/k4xT--%E0%A6%86%E0%A6%AE%E0%A6%BE%E0%A6%A6%E0%A7%87%E0%A6%B0-%E0%A6%85%E0%A6%B0%E0%A7%8D%E0%A6%9C%E0%A6%A8%E0%A6%B8%E0%A6>

[%AE%E0%A7%82%E0%A6%B9](#)

85. Inclusive Digitalization in Eastern and Southern Africa (IDEA) - World Bank, <https://projects.worldbank.org/pt/projects-operations/procurement-detail/OP00336439>
86. Hi-Tech Park to take best startupper to Silicon Valley - | 24.KG, https://24.kg/english/319587_Hi-Tech_Park_to_take_best_startupper_to_Silicon_Valley/
87. Travelers Of Sylhet – The best Tour Management Platform, <https://travelersofsylhet.com/>
88. Government support needed for young entrepreneurs, <https://www.observerbd.com/news/534483>
89. The Economic Consequences of Brain Drain from Ghana, Tonga, Micronesia, Papua New Guinea, and New Zealand | IPA, <https://poverty-action.org/study/economic-consequences-brain-drain-ghana-tonga-micronesia-papua-new-guinea-and-new-zealand>
90. Bangladesh Employers' Federation (BEF), in collaboration with, <https://bef.org.bd/bangladesh-employers-federation-bef-in-collaboration-with-sylhet-technical-school-college-with-the-technical-assistance-from-skills-21-project-of-ilo/>
91. Understanding barriers to youth entrepreneurship as a career choice for youth - SlideShare.<https://www.slideshare.net/masumhussain1650/understanding-barriers-to-youth-entrepreneurship-as-a-career-choice-for-youth>
92. Bangladesh hosts first BEAR summit, semiconductor symposium to boost deep-tech ambitions | The Business Standard.<https://www.tbsnews.net/bangladesh/bangladesh-hosts-first-bear-summit-semiconductor-symposium-boost-deep-tech-ambitions>
93. District Social Services Office, accessed July 17, 2025, <https://dss.sylhet.gov.bd/en>
94. Examining of Post-Pandemic Challenges Faced by The Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) In Sylhet Region - ResearchGate.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385518527_Examining_of_Post-Pandemic_Challenges_Faced_by_The_Small_and_Medium-Sized_Enterprises_SMEs_In_Sylhet_Region