

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

How Elections Impact the Stock Market

Saanvi Sharma

Student

Abstract

To analyze how electoral events influence financial markets, with a particular focus on volatility, investor behavior, sector-specific impacts, and long-term investment strategy. It draws from historical patterns and data from both the U.S. and Indian stock markets, combining insights from behavioral finance, economic policy, and macroeconomic trends.

Elections are more than a change in political leaders; they are major events that can have cascading influence on the economy and financial markets. Investors' sentiment, expectations about policy change and reactions from other countries usually depend on electoral results. In this article, we look at how elections affect the stock market by considering volatility, sectors, and long-term implications.

1. Election-Induced Volatility

Pre-Election Uncertainty

Prior to elections, uncertainty over policy decisions can generate volatility, and previous research indicates volatility spikes occur in the lead-up to U.S. presidential elections, especially in the month prior to election day.

T. Rowe Price, looked at volatility levels in U.S. equity markets during prior elections and determined volatility spikes in the weeks and months leading up to elections, with volatility being at its peak in the 1-3 months before elections because investors know there could be surprises anywhere in the election process.

Immediate Reaction to Results

After the results of the election are known, volatility usually declines. Research into the 2016 U.S. election indicates that sudden political surprises can lead stock prices to decline, but the next day often gives rise to a recovery - showing how uncertainty is priced and then resolved.

2. Election Year Stock Performance

Short-Term vs. Long-Term Trends

Historically, returns in election years are slightly lower than returns in non-election years. According to Forbes Advisor, U.S. equities returned about 6% over the 12 months before presidential elections, compared to $\sim 8\%$ over the previous three years.

Gains following elections are similarly close (average 9.3%), slightly above pre-election returns.

After Midterms vs. Presidential Polls

Generally, midterm elections typically cause larger post-election gains. According to Forbes, average one-year returns of the S&P 500 were 16% after midterm elections, compared to 5–6% after presidential elections.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

3. Party in Power & Congressional Control

Unified vs. Divided Government

Conventional wisdom might lead us to believe that a single-party "sweep" destabilizes the markets, but evidence suggests otherwise. Research by Investopedia and U.S. Bank shows that long-term market returns are or are not materially affected by which party controls Congress and the White House simultaneously.

That said, certain combinations do correlate with above-average returns:

- **Democratic White House + Republican Congress**: Notable above-average performance.
- Republican White House + Democratic Congress: Also delivers modestly better returns.

Conversely, **the Republican White House + split Congress** tends to show lower average returns (around 5–6%).

Presidential Party Performance

From 1945 onward, the average annual returns for the S&P 500 under a Democratic presidency is 11.2%, as compared to 6.9% under a Republican administration. Even accounting for external shocks, including recessions, Democrats are still in seven of the ten top first-year returns, through 2020.

4. Sectoral & Policy-Based Reactions

Policy-Driven Sector Rotation

Investor focus often narrows to sectors most affected by prospective policy changes:

- Energy & Defense: React positively to pro-military or fossil-fuel narratives. Amburgey (2025) found "shocks favoring Republican candidates increase... energy and defense sectors"
- Clean Energy: Tends to benefit from Democratic-leaning shifts.

Geographic Variations

Indian stock markets reflect similar patterns:

- After the 2004 Lok Sabha elections, the Nifty plunged nearly 12% before rebounding strongly over the following months.
- In 2014 and 2019, pro-business BJP victories led to rallies of around 16% and around 6% in the six months that followed.

5. Case Studies

U.S. Presidential Elections

Real-world outcomes highlight how markets react:

- 2008: Financial crisis overshadowed politics; election year losses were driven by macroeconomics.
- 2016: Trump's surprise win spooked markets initially, but sectoral rebounds followed.
- 2020: Despite the pandemic, the S&P 500 rose ~18% during the year, ending strongly post-election.

Indian General Elections

- 2004: NDA's unexpected defeat triggered a 12% fall in Nifty on result day, followed by a 19% sixmonth recovery.
- 2009: UPA re-election prompted a 17.7% jump in one day and an approx 63% six-month surge.
- 2024: Early losses (-6%) were swiftly reversed with an 11% gain in the subsequent month.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

6. Global & Economic Considerations

Macroeconomic Drivers

Market dynamics are often driven by macro rather than political cycles. U.S. Bank's research shows that changes to GDP growth and inflation are more important than the political outcome.

For India, aspects such as FII flows around U.S. elections determine market direction. In 2012, for example, the Nifty increased ~27% while FII flow was ~\$1.3 Trillion.

Behavioral Biases

Behavioral finance reveals that social moods affect markets. In developing economies such as India or Egypt, political instability can unevenly affect politically connected firms, intensifying effects on the market.

7. Investment Strategies During Election Cycles

1. Ignore the Noise, Focus on Fundamentals

Analysts consistently advise not to make investment decisions based purely on electoral outcomes:

- FT warns that election "noise" often overshadows fundamentals, yet long-term returns remain steady.
- LPL Financial found that staying invested through administrations yields far greater cumulative returns than market timing.

2. Leverage Policy Anticipation

Be aware of manifestos, exit polls, and policy platforms. Planned infrastructure spending, tax changes or environmental policy can move some sectors- either positively or negatively.

3. Diversify and Hedge

Diversification is crucial. Spreading investments across different sectors, regions, and types of financial instruments can help mitigate the turbulence often caused by elections.

4. Understand the Cycle

Some financial theories argue for cyclical tendencies, like the "presidential cycle," where markets are weakest in the year following a new administration. But consensus points to fundamentals and macro data as more reliable guides.

Conclusion

Elections create market noise—volatility, volatility, sector rotation, and all that comes with the short-term uncertainty surrounding elections. However, comprehensive historical analysis of the U.S. and India reveals behavioral patterns including:

- Markets often trend upward through downturns of the long election cycle.
- Event driven lurches tend to revert quickly.
- Changes in leadership matter, though the intermediary impact is usually more muted than macro variables.

Instead of reacting in the short-term, investors should:

- Stay invested in diversified portfolios with broad exposure.
- Position for the short term with an eye on policy expectations.
- Focus on the fundamentals—earnings growth, inflation, interest rates, and global liquidity.

In conclusion, elections are, at worst, periods of headline induced volatility—but the long-term health of the market relies upon economic developments, not changes in governance.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

References

- 1. Investing patterns and unified vs. split government performance
- 2. Volatility in pre- and post-election periods
- 3. Sectoral impact theory (energy/defense vs. clean energy)
- 4. Case examples: 2004, 2009, 2014, 2019, 2024 in India eelet.org.uk
- 5. Behavioral finance and political connection analysis emerald.com
- 6. Long-term diversification and market timing