International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Circular Strategies for Sustainable Architecture and Planning in India

Dr. Gautam V. Desai

Architect & Planner

Abstract

As the global climate crisis intensifies and resource extraction continues to undermine ecological balance, reimagining the built environment through circular, regenerative models has become an urgent priority. This research paper explores the concept of spatial circularity within the frameworks of post-extractivism, sustainable architecture, and urban planning in the Indian context, offering a multidisciplinary narrative that integrates theory, practice, and personal lived experience.

At the heart of this inquiry lies a personal engagement with Goa, a state in India deeply impacted by over a century of iron ore mining. Drawing from lived experience in a region where landscapes have been degraded by open-cast mining, dust pollution, biodiversity loss, and environmental injustice, the study proposes circularity as an antidote to extractive legacies. Mining in Goa has not only disrupted ecological integrity but also strained local communities, polluted water bodies, and overloaded rural infrastructure. In this context, spatial circularity is not a utopian ideal but a necessary strategy to remediate past harm, reconfigure space, and envision regenerative futures.

The paper is framed by the foundational principle that "products should be conceived in a way that at the end of their life cycle, they feed back into the system as a nutrient and not as waste," as proposed by Walter R. Stahel. Expanding this notion beyond individual products, the study positions-built environments as material and energetic metabolisms. Cities and towns in India, whether hyper-dense metropolises like Mumbai or sprawling tier-2 cities, are caught in unsustainable cycles of linear consumption, overdevelopment, and material depletion. This paper interrogates the architectural and planning frameworks that perpetuate these unsustainable patterns, advocating for systemic change aligned with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and SDG 13 (Climate Action).

The paper introduces post-extractivism as a counter-hegemonic planning strategy that challenges dominant narratives of growth, progress, and industrialization. In the Indian context, post-extractivism offers a way to pivot from mining- and fossil-fuel-driven economies toward models centred on environmental justice, local resilience, and low-carbon development. It aligns with traditional Indian knowledge systems and indigenous practices of reuse, seasonal repair, and collective stewardship of land. Integrating these cultural practices, the paper proposes a circular approach to planning that is both rooted and transformative.

From an architectural perspective, the study foregrounds the use of reclaimed building components, recycled aggregates, bio-based materials such as bamboo and hempcrete, and design for disassembly. These practices can drastically reduce embodied carbon while also promoting aesthetic reimagination. Strategies such as passive climate control, thermodynamic interaction, and adaptive reuse are discussed in

detail, challenging dominant paradigms of demolition and redevelopment. Emphasis is placed on material transparency, lifecycle thinking, and the urban metabolism of cities.

Spatial circularity is also explored through reprogramming of land and infrastructure, where abandoned mining pits, vacant plots, and degraded lands are viewed as opportunities for environmental restoration and socio-spatial innovation. The study presents examples where decommissioned industrial sites are transformed into ecological parks, agroforestry zones, community markets, or cultural spaces, contributing to circular economies at the local scale. Case studies from Goa, Mumbai, Ahmedabad, and Auroville are analysed to illustrate both the potential and challenges of implementing such spatial strategies.

To bridge theory with action, the research incorporates insights from design methodologies for circular economy, emphasizing design loops, localized supply chains, modularity, and reverse logistics. Drawing from global best practices on circular design, the analysis demonstrates how planning and design can adopt these frameworks at both building and district levels.

A critical analysis is also made of India's green building certification landscape, including LEED, GRIHA, and IGBC, identifying key gaps in how these systems address circularity. The paper advocates for a transition from checklist-based sustainability metrics to regenerative indicators that account for social equity, material health, and long-term environmental resilience.

Ultimately, the research calls for a paradigm shift in urban development, from exploitative growth to regenerative circularity, where architecture and urban planning serve as tools for ecological healing and social transformation. By combining personal insights, policy critique, and innovative spatial strategies, the paper contributes a rich, context-sensitive framework for envisioning post-extractivist futures in India and beyond.

1. Introduction: The Limits of Linear Urbanization in India

In the face of climate emergency and ecological overshoot, the built environment finds itself at the crossroads of reinvention. Architecture and urban planning are increasingly called upon not only to design for functionality or aesthetics but to reimagine material, spatial, and ecological relationships that shape our cities. The idea of "becoming circular" as articulated by Walter R. Stahel and others in the circular economy offers an imperative shift: to move away from linear extractive models where products become waste, towards regenerative systems where everything, from materials to space, can be conceived as a resource for future cycles.

In India, where rapid urbanization intersects with deep ecological fragility and socio-economic inequality, this transition becomes all the more urgent. Indian cities have been shaped by an extractivist model of growth centred on land commodification, unrestrained real estate development, and resource-intensive infrastructure. The result has been an urban landscape marked by excessive demolition and construction, high embodied carbon, energy-intensive cooling systems, and rampant material waste all of which compound the climate crisis and deepen the inequities of access to comfort, space, and resilience.

The prevailing spatial logic of development in Indian cities whether in the form of vertical densification in megacities or horizontal sprawl in peri-urban regions is driven by speed, consumption, and disposability. In such a context, post-extractivist urbanism and spatial circularity emerge not as luxuries but as necessities: policies and practices rooted in proximity, regeneration, reuse, and equity. Circularity in spatial terms involves designing buildings and neighbourhoods that are modular, flexible, metabolically efficient, thermodynamically responsive, and materially cyclical. It also means resisting the impulse to demolish, instead exploring how buildings can be disassembled, repurposed, or reprogrammed over time.

As someone who has lived their entire life in Goa, a small state in India, my experience has been deeply shaped by the long legacy of iron ore mining in the state. For over a century, extractive practices have degraded Goa's natural environment leading to deforestation, biodiversity loss, dust pollution, groundwater depletion, and significant disruptions to local communities. The unchecked expansion of mining and its associated transport infrastructure has strained the state's ecological and social systems, often prioritizing profit over public well-being. In this context, the principles of spatial circularity offer a transformative framework to rethink Goa's post-extractivist future. Rather than pursuing unsustainable mining revival, circular strategies promote material reuse, regenerative land use, and localized, low-carbon construction.

Drawing from personal experience in Goa a state long impacted by iron ore mining the paper examines how post-extractivist approaches informed by circular product design, sustainable material use, and spatial reprogramming can lead to more resilient and inclusive environments. Through theoretical insights, policy critiques, and case studies from across India, this research aligns spatial circularity with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and SDG 15 (Life on Land). The paper contributes to the discourse on regenerative architecture, urban sustainability, and spatial justice, offering strategic pathways for India's architectural and planning communities.

This paper explores how spatial cycles of materials, energy, and space can be fostered through architecture and urban planning in India. It seeks to understand how these cycles intersect with sustainability principles, the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and the broader quest for post-extractivist urban futures. At the same time, it acknowledges the structural challenges that India faces from ineffective regulatory frameworks to exclusionary green certification regimes that hinder circular transitions. Through case studies and grounded analysis, this paper argues that India's path to sustainable development lies not in mimicking Western green models, but in inventing its own vocabulary of vernacular circularity rooted in justice, tradition, and low-carbon innovation.

2. India's Structural and Certification Challenges: Barriers to Spatial Circularity

Despite growing awareness of sustainability, the mainstream built environment in India continues to function within a resource-extractive paradigm. Circularity in terms of material recovery, spatial reuse, or energy recirculation remains rare and largely incidental. This section outlines three critical sets of challenges that obstruct the adoption of spatial circularity in India: material and waste management limitations, gaps in certification and regulatory frameworks, and institutional and financial barriers.

2.1. Material and Waste Management Limitations

India is among the largest producers of construction and demolition (C&D) waste in the world. According to estimates by the Building Materials and Technology Promotion Council (BMTPC), India generates over 150 million tonnes of C&D waste annually, but less than 1% is formally recycled. Most of this waste ends up in landfills, illegal dumping grounds, or is burnt contributing to urban pollution and land degradation.

Despite the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) issuing guidelines on the management of C&D waste, implementation remains extremely weak at the municipal level. Cities lack infrastructure such as material recovery facilities, urban mining protocols, and reverse logistics systems that are essential for a circular economy in construction. Moreover, urban planning laws and building codes rarely mandate reuse or

salvage, and the absence of material passports or traceability further prevents architects from working within circular systems.

India's informal sector particularly ragpickers, scrap dealers, and local recyclers plays a vital but under-recognized role in recovering construction materials, plastics, and metals. In cities like Mumbai (Dharavi), Delhi (Seemapuri), and Ahmedabad, decentralized circular systems are already in operation, albeit outside formal policy frameworks. Yet these grassroots economies of reuse remain invisible to planners, receive no institutional support, and are often displaced by state-led redevelopment or "smart city" projects.

2.2. Certification and Environmental Governance Issues

India has witnessed a rise in green building certifications over the last two decades, including:

- GRIHA (Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment)
- IGBC (Indian Green Building Council)
- LEED India (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design)
- ECBC (Energy Conservation Building Code)

While these frameworks aim to encourage environmentally responsible construction, they suffer from several limitations when viewed through a circular lens:

a. Elite Capture of Certification

Green certifications are largely obtained by commercial real estate developers, luxury residential towers, or corporate campuses. For example, IGBC-certified IT parks or LEED-rated office buildings may still be energy-intensive due to centralized HVAC systems, excessive glazing, or poor thermal performance. In many cases, certification is treated as a marketing tool rather than a commitment to long-term sustainability.

b. Neglect of Post-Occupancy Performance

Most green certifications in India are granted at the design or construction stage, with limited emphasis on post-occupancy audits. Studies have shown that many certified buildings fail to achieve their energy or water-saving targets over time due to lack of maintenance or behavioural gaps. This performance gap undermines the credibility of certification as a true sustainability measure.

c. Minimal Focus on Material Reuse or Circular Design

While GRIHA includes some points for material reuse or construction waste management, none of the major certifications mandate design for disassembly, modularity, or circular construction. Circularity is still peripheral to the core metrics of most rating systems, which favour technology-based solutions over passive, low-tech, or regenerative strategies.

d. Weak Integration with Urban Planning Regulations

India's urban development regulations from Floor Space Index (FSI) norms to Development Control Regulations (DCRs) are largely silent on issues of circular design, retrofit, or material cycles. There is little to no incentive for architects to retain and repurpose existing structures rather than demolish and rebuild. In fact, demolition is often encouraged through redevelopment policies (e.g., slum rehabilitation in Mumbai), which reward built-up volume over ecological performance.

2.3. Institutional and Financial Barriers

a. Linear Project Financing Models

Most real estate projects in India are financed through short-term capital that prioritizes speed of construction, high returns, and visible newness. Circular construction, which may involve longer timelines for dismantling, refurbishing, or adaptive reuse, is often deemed economically unviable. Banks and developers lack risk frameworks to support circular building models or small-scale, phased retrofits.

b. Lack of Cross-Sectoral Coordination

Circularity in the built environment requires coordination between urban planning departments, environmental ministries, public works agencies, and waste management bodies. In India, these institutions operate in silos, with overlapping jurisdictions and inconsistent data, making integrated spatial circularity nearly impossible to implement at scale.

c. Neglect of Informal Knowledge Systems

Traditional Indian construction practices such as lime plastering, mud walling, bamboo joinery, and courtyard-based cooling offer insights into low-carbon and circular design. However, these methods have been sidelined by modernist planning and building norms, which favor steel, concrete, and glass. There is no formal support system for traditional masons, artisans, or ecological builders, leading to a loss of vernacular construction knowledge.

Together, these systemic challenges reveal that circularity in architecture and urban planning in India is not simply a technical or design challenge it is a deeply political, economic, and institutional one. Without reforms in certification regimes, recognition of informal practices, and planning norms that value reuse and proximity, India risks continuing down a path of wasteful and exclusionary urbanization.

3. Theoretical Foundations: Circularity, Post-Extractivism, and Spatial Reprogramming

As global environmental pressures intensify, architecture and urban planning are being reoriented by critical concepts that question the linear, extractive logic of development. This section lays out the theoretical underpinnings of spatial circularity, post-extractivism, and spatial reprogramming, connecting them with frameworks from the circular economy, degrowth, political ecology, and thermodynamic thinking.

These concepts serve not only as academic tools but as actionable strategies in the face of India's urban sustainability challenges.

3.1. From Extractivism to Post-Extractivism

Extractivism refers to an economic model grounded in the large-scale extraction of natural resources whether minerals, fossil fuels, land, or labor with minimal regard for ecological regeneration or socio-cultural consequences. Originating in critiques of colonial and neoliberal development, extractivism is now recognized as a spatial practice embedded in the built environment: cities expand through land clearance, topsoil removal, sand mining, and the destruction of ecosystems and communities in the pursuit of profit.

In the Indian context, extractivism is visible in:

- Illegal hill cutting for real estate and infrastructure (e.g., in Goa and Maharashtra)
- River sand mining that erodes water tables and riverbeds
- Overextraction of groundwater for construction and cement mixing
- Demolition of structurally sound buildings in urban renewal schemes

Post-extractivism, as developed in Latin American and Global South scholarship (e.g., Gudynas, Svampa), challenges the idea of infinite growth and proposes models that prioritize ecological integrity, community control, and circular resource use. In urban terms, this entails designing cities that:

- Avoid wasteful extraction by reusing existing materials and spaces
- Minimize energy inputs and material flows
- Regenerate rather than consume ecosystems

Post-extractivist urbanism sees urban metabolism not as a one-way throughput of resources but as a cyclical system a shift that is critical for India's dense and vulnerable cities.

3.2. Circularity: Beyond Recycling

The circular economy, as popularized by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation and thinkers like Walter R. Stahel, promotes a regenerative model where waste is designed out of the system, and materials are kept in use for as long as possible through repair, reuse, refurbishment, and remanufacture. In architecture, this translates into several strategies:

- Design for disassembly (DfD): buildings that can be taken apart and reused
- Material passports: traceability of materials for future recovery
- Upcycling and reuse: from reclaimed bricks to structural timber
- Metabolic design: systems that manage energy, water, and nutrients within a closed loop.

Importantly, circularity is not just a technological fix or a recycling slogan. It involves rethinking the design process itself, moving from product-based thinking (buildings as objects) to system-based thinking (buildings as flows of matter, energy, and time).

India's informal economies already embody circular practices in construction such as salvaging steel, bricks, doors, and furniture but these are rarely recognized or scaled. A critical approach to circularity in India must therefore:

- Embrace the vernacular and informal
- Avoid elitist or tech-heavy interpretations
- Be integrated into local planning regulations and building codes

3.3. Spatial Reprogramming as an Anti-Demolition Ethic

Demolition is a central feature of extractive urbanism. Whether through slum clearance, redevelopment schemes, or infrastructure mega-projects, Indian cities routinely destroy existing buildings regardless of their material value, social utility,or environmental footprint. Spatial reprogramming offers a powerful counter-narrative.

Coined by architectural theorists and experimental practices (e.g., Lacaton & Vassal, Rotor), spatial reprogramming involves adapting and repurposing existing structures instead of demolishing them. This approach aligns with both environmental and social sustainability:

- Environmentally, it reduces embodied carbon by retaining material stock.
- Socially, it preserves community networks, microeconomies, and spatial memory.
- Key strategies in spatial reprogramming include:
- Adaptive reuse: converting industrial buildings into housing or community spaces
- Layered interventions: adding insulation, shading, or ventilation to existing facades
- Micro-retrofits: low-cost, household-level spatial upgrades
- In Indian cities, spatial reprogramming is visible in practices like:
- The incremental upgrading of chawls and slums in Mumbai
- Repurposing abandoned mill lands or godowns into public infrastructure
- Adding floors to existing buildings under modified FSI norms
- However, these are often ad hoc and not institutionalized. To promote reprogramming as a circular practice, Indian urban policy must:
- Offer legal frameworks for reuse and extension
- Incentivize retention over demolition
- Support architectural experimentation with hybrid materials and passive strategies

3.4. Thermodynamic Urbanism: Rethinking Comfort and Energy

Circularity must also address how we design for comfort and energy performance in buildings and cities. India's adoption of globalized glass-and-concrete typologies has led to architecture that is energy-intensive and climatically inappropriate, requiring constant cooling in hot climates and artificial lighting in daylit zones.

Thermodynamic urbanism, drawing from the work of architects like Philippe Rahm and ecological design thinkers, proposes an alternative climatic literacy based on:

- Passive strategies (e.g., ventilation, shading, thermal mass)
- Climatic zoning and orientation
- Thermodynamic thresholds of human comfort, rather than air conditioning norms.
- In Indian settings, this can be supported by:
- Courtyard houses that facilitate convection
- Mud and lime construction that buffers heat gain
- Green walls and rooftop gardens that lower ambient temperatures.

The future of energy circularity lies not just in renewable technologies but in spatial design that reduces dependence on energy altogether a principle deeply embedded in India's traditional architecture, from Kerala nalukettu homes to desert havelis in Rajasthan.

3.5. Political Ecology and Justice in Circular Design

Finally, any theory of circularity must account for social justice and political ecology. Who benefits from the reuse of materials? Who is excluded from certified "green" zones? Who performs the labour of salvage and retrofit?

In India, many so-called green developments such as luxury IGBC-certified townships are spatially exclusive and environmentally contradictory. They use "eco" labels while consuming vast amounts of water, energy, and land, often displacing poorer communities.

A justice-based approach to circularity means:

- Recognizing the value of informal labour in circular economies
- Ensuring access to regenerative design for all income groups
- Centering commons-based infrastructure (water, energy, mobility) over private consumption

This resonates with SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), which call for inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable urbanization, not just in outcomes, but in processes and participation.

Together, the concepts of post-extractivism, spatial circularity, thermodynamic design, and spatial reprogramming offer a holistic framework to rethink architecture and planning in India. These are not isolated ideas but interdependent logics that must be embedded in education, policy, and practice. For Indian cities facing extreme heat, resource scarcity, and ecological breakdown, this framework provides a realistic and regenerative alternative to the linear growth model.

4. Case Studies from India: Practicing Circularity and Post-Extractivist Urbanism

India's vast and complex built environment offers a compelling field for examining circular and post-extractivist spatial strategies. From vernacular resilience to institutional experimentation and grassroots innovation, Indian architecture and urban planning have generated multiple approaches to material circularity, energy recirculation, and spatial reprogramming. Yet these practices often operate in tension

with dominant growth-based, extractive development models. The following eight case studies explore this landscape, highlighting successes, contradictions, and potentials for systemic transformation.

4.1. CEPT University, Ahmedabad: Adaptive Reuse and Passive Educational Architecture

CEPT University stands as an example of how spatial reprogramming and passive strategies can be incorporated into academic architecture. The original campus, designed by B.V. Doshi, embraces climate-responsive design, material simplicity, and modular construction. Rather than replacing older buildings, CEPT has adopted adaptive reuse, where outdated structures are upgraded with minimal material footprint. Key elements include:

- Exposed brick, lime plaster, and local stone walls.
- Natural ventilation, open courtyards, and shaded verandahs instead of mechanical cooling.
- Retrofitting studios using recycled wood and steel for experimental installations.

This approach reduces embodied carbon, enhances educational flexibility, and fosters a culture of stewardship over demolition. CEPT's efforts directly support SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) and SDG 11.3 (Sustainable Urbanisation), positioning educational institutions as role models for spatial circularity.

4.2. COSTFORD and the Kerala Model: Earth-Based Affordable Housing

COSTFORD (Centre of Science and Technology for Rural Development), co-founded by Laurie Baker, integrates circular principles into thousands of low-income and public projects across Kerala. Their architecture is grounded in:

- Locally available mud, lime, laterite, and bamboo.
- Compressed Stabilised Earth Blocks (CSEB) for walls.
- Ferrocement roofing, rainwater harvesting, and composting toilets.

Through participatory methods and a commitment to non-extractive construction, COSTFORD has transformed housing for the poor into a model of environmental justice. Its projects remain cool without air-conditioning, are easy to repair, and fit into existing social fabrics. However, state housing policies increasingly lean toward prefabricated concrete solutions, marginalising COSTFORD's approach and revealing institutional biases against low-tech circularity.

4.3. Bhungas of Kutch: Vernacular Thermodynamic Structures

The Bhunga is a circular hut native to the arid Kutch region of Gujarat, designed with deep ecological intelligence. Key characteristics include:

- Circular mud-plastered walls and thatched or tiled conical roofs.
- Natural thermal regulation for desert climates.
- Resilience to earthquakes due to low center of gravity and flexible construction.

After the 2001 earthquake, many Bhungas were replaced by concrete homes under disaster relief programs. Yet these replacements were thermally inefficient and culturally alien. Bhungas exemplify embodied circularity construction using regenerable materials with zero waste and a living archive of adaptive comfort systems. Mainstream policy has yet to acknowledge the sophistication of such indigenous climate knowledge.

4.4. Chandigarh: Furniture Reuse and Building Retrofitting

Designed by Le Corbusier and Pierre Jeanneret, Chandigarh is India's modernist capital. Its aging infrastructure is increasingly being retrofitted, not demolished an act of spatial circularity in itself. Notable efforts include:

- Preservation and reuse of heritage furniture, often rescued from auctions.

- Retrofitting mid-century offices with solar roofs and passive cooling upgrades.
- Experiments with urban green corridors linking sectors and repurposed canals.

While Chandigarh wasn't initially conceived through a post-extractivist lens, its grid-based, low-density format offers opportunities for adaptive reuse. However, there is also pressure from real estate developers to densify and commercialise heritage zones, threatening circular practices with short-term financial motives.

4.5. Auroville Earth Institute (AEI): Experimental Circular Architecture

The Auroville Earth Institute in Tamil Nadu has pioneered compressed earth block (CEB) construction, vaults, and domes using site soil. AEI's work is guided by:

- Circular material flows, where excavated soil becomes building material.
- Reversible construction, where domes and walls can be dismantled and reused.
- Training local masons and youth, making circular construction economically inclusive.

AEI collaborates with UN-Habitat and disaster-affected regions worldwide, extending Indian expertise globally. Their experimental pavilions and eco-neighbourhoods stand as prototypes for circular settlements, where architecture aligns with ecosystems and the social commons.

4.6. Urban Resource Centre (URC), Mumbai: Circularity in Informal Settlements

The URC works with informal settlements to upgrade sanitation, housing, and infrastructure without displacing communities. Their model reveals that slums are often intrinsically circular:

- Homes are incrementally built, reused, and transformed over time.• Building materialsare recycled or repurposed, including tin, timber, and plastic.
- Communal systems for greywater reuse and composting are introduced.

Partnering with SPARC and Mahila Milan, URC demonstrates that low-carbon, high-density living already exists outside formal planning frameworks. Certification systems like IGBC and GRIHA exclude these realities, showing that sustainability in India cannot be technocratically defined it must include grassroots infrastructure and housing justice.

4.7. Sabarmati Riverfront Redevelopment, Ahmedabad: Spatial Reprogramming and Contradictions

The Sabarmati Riverfront Project transformed a degraded riverbank into a walkable, recreational, and ecological space. Its achievements include:

- Public greenways and bioswales to mitigate flooding.
- Non-motorised transport paths and restored riparian zones.
- Interceptors for sewage and waste control.

However, the project also displaced thousands of informal residents and encouraged adjacent luxury real estate, revealing the dual nature of spatial reprogramming: it can be ecologically positive but socially regressive if not planned with inclusivity.

This case highlights the critical question: Can circular and post-extractivist urbanism occur without spatial justice?

4.8. Dilli Haat, New Delhi: Modular, Demountable Cultural Infrastructure

Dilli Haat an open-air craft bazaar and cultural space was built using demountable kiosks, bamboo, and local stone, with:

- Flexible stalls that change based on regional exhibitions.
- Rainwater harvesting, composting, and passive shade structures.
- Minimal land imprint, designed as a low-rise alternative to malls.

Dilli Haat represents a circular urban typology for the informal economy, supporting local artisans and seasonal usage without intensive infrastructure. Its modularity makes it scalable and replicable in other cities, offering a culturally rooted model of regenerative design for temporary or rotational use spaces.

Synthesis and Learnings from Case Studies

The eight case studies collectively illustrate several modes of circularity:

- Material Circularity: Through adaptive reuse (CEPT, Chandigarh), use of earth-based materials (COSTFORD, AEI), and salvaging traditional systems (Bhungas).
- Energy and Resource Circularity: With passive climate control, rainwater harvesting, greywater reuse, and waste-based energy solutions.
- Spatial Reprogramming: Transforming land use (Sabarmati, Dilli Haat), upgrading informally (URC), and evolving spatial form incrementally.
- However, they also surface critical limitations:
- Lack of mainstream policy integration for circular practices.
- Greenwashing in certification systems where short-term aesthetics override long-term ecological intelligence.
- Displacement of low-income communities in the name of "ecological improvement."

These examples highlight that in the Indian context, circular and post-extractivist urbanism must be deeply embedded in equity, context-sensitivity, and long-term stewardship.

5. Challenges and Opportunities for Implementing Circular Spatial Policies in India

India's push toward sustainable urbanisation comes at a time when its cities are both rapidly expanding and facing ecological exhaustion. Implementing circular and post-extractivist spatial policies in this context is a significant opportunity but also a deeply complex challenge. While vernacular traditions, localised resilience, and material ingenuity offer natural alignments with circularity, systemic barriers in regulation, economy, and practice continue to uphold extractive, linear growth models. This section unpacks key challenges and explores emergent opportunities in reshaping spatial production across India.

5.1. Policy and Planning Frameworks: Fragmentation and Inertia

India's urban and regional planning system is a complex, multilayered hierarchy involving central, state, and local bodies. While policies such as the National Mission on Sustainable Habitat (part of the National Action Plan on Climate Change) call for sustainable infrastructure, actual urban planning still largely favors:

- Greenfield development over retrofitting,
- Farmland conversion for housing or industry,
- Use of cement and steel-intensive materials, and Rapid clearance of informal settlements under the guise of modernization.

Regional and metropolitan planning bodies often lack capacity, coordination, or incentives to incorporate circularity principles into land-use plans. Additionally, spatial circularity which includes adaptive reuse, passive design, and land value recalibration remains unaddressed in zoning norms, floor space index (FSI) regulations, or development control rules.

5.2. Environmental Certification: Bias and Exclusivity

Green certification systems in India, such as:

- IGBC (Indian Green Building Council),

- GRIHA (Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment), and LEED India (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design), offer voluntary compliance pathways toward "green" buildings. However, these are often:
- Heavily market-driven, favoring corporate and institutional developers.
- Cost-intensive and bureaucratically complex for small-scale, rural, or informal projects.
- Focused on energy performance during use, with less attention to embodiedenergy, construction waste, or material reuse.

As a result, buildings using vernacular, low-carbon methods (like Bhungas, bamboo structures, or mud housing) are rarely certified, while high-rise glass towers with solar panels earn green ratings despite their massive material footprints.

Certification Gaps:

- No frameworks for informal or incremental housing (e.g., Mumbai slums).
- Lack of metrics for spatial reprogramming, reversible design, or repair culture.
- Minimal emphasis on circular supply chains for materials and components.

Hence, environmental certification in India needs to evolve beyond technical quantification to include contextual performance, repairability, material origin, and social equity.

5.3. Cultural Stigma and Aspirational Imaginaries

Despite India's rich heritage in resource-conscious construction, many vernacular forms are associated with poverty, backwardness, or rurality. In both rural and urban areas:

a. Concrete, steel, glass, and imported materials symbolize aspirational modernity.
b. Bamboo, thatch, or earth are often rejected by clients even when structurally or thermally superior.
c. "Green" or "eco-friendly" design is marketed as an elite lifestyle, disconnected from cultural or economic realities of most Indians.

These aesthetic imaginaries are reinforced by the media, policy documents, and the real estate industry pushing for standardized, monolithic architecture over localised or regenerative design.

Overcoming this requires:

a. Redefining aspirational aesthetics through design pedagogy and public architecture.
b. Mainstreaming design for disassembly, reuse, and repair as progressive ideals.
c. Elevating local materials and craftspeople as agents of innovation, not tradition-bound relics.

5.4. Waste Infrastructure and Circular Supply Chains

India generates over 150 million tonnes of construction and demolition (C&D) waste annually, much of which is dumped illegally. Although C&D waste rules mandate:

a. On-site waste segregation,
b. Mandatory recycling, and
c. Integration of recovered aggregates, implementation is minimal. Few cities have functional material recovery facilities (MRFs), and even fewer have integrated this material stream into public construction.

Barriers include:

a. Poor awareness among architects and contractors.
b. Lack of economic incentives for reuse.
c. Absence of decentralized collection and processing hubs, especially in Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities.

Circularity in the built environment cannot advance without infrastructure to reclaim and recirculate materials. This demands an overhaul of urban governance to promote urban mining, incentivize reverse logistics, and reduce virgin material dependency.

5.5. Real Estate Pressure and Land Politics

India's cities are increasingly shaped by speculative land markets, where the logic of highest and fastest return on investment dominates decision-making. In this context:

- Demolition and redevelopment are incentivized over adaptive reuse.
- Historic or low-income neighborhoods are gentrified under the banner of "beautification."
- Regulatory tools like Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) and public- private partnerships (PPP) are often misused to displace rather than empower.

These dynamics erode the long-term thinking required for circularity, locking cities into extractive cycles of demolition, construction, and consumption.

Reclaiming spatial circularity requires:

- Regulatory tools for building retention, retrofitting, and lifecycle extension.
- Community ownership models (e.g., cooperatives, land trusts).
- Redefining land value based on ecosystem services and social benefit, not just monetized productivity.

5.6. Knowledge Gaps and Design Education

India's architecture and urban planning curricula are only beginning to grapple with sustainability as a design imperative. Challenges include:

- Limited exposure to systems thinking, material life cycles, and thermodynamic modeling.
- Emphasis on aesthetics and form over process and impact.
- Fragmentation between architecture, engineering, urban planning, and policy disciplines.

Emerging institutions like the CEPT Research and Development Foundation (CRDF) and the Auroville Earth Institute offer promising alternatives, yet they remain marginal to mainstream academia.

Opportunities:

- Integrate spatial circularity frameworks into studio pedagogy.
- Promote design-build projects with recycled materials.
- Encourage interdisciplinary collaboration for place-based innovation.

6. Emerging Opportunities and Transformative Pathways

Despite these barriers, India is uniquely positioned to pioneer spatial circularity at scale. The following opportunities are gaining traction:

6.1. Vernacular Resurgence

Post-pandemic construction slowdown has revived interest in local materials and craft systems. Architects like Wallmakers (Kerala) and Anupama Kundoo (Pondicherry) are using earth, bamboo, and ferrocement in experimental formats that combine circularity with new aesthetics.

6.2. Public Procurement Reform

Cities like Delhi and Ahmedabad are revising public works codes to permit use of recycled aggregates and low-carbon cement alternatives in public projects. This could drastically increase demand for circular materials and normalize green procurement.

6.3. Community-Led Innovation

Informal settlements, rural collectives, and indigenous communities across India continue to develop hyperlocal, low-waste systems. With support, these models can be scaled and documented, informing

mainstream policy.

6.4. Digital Tools and Urban Data

GIS-based mapping, lifecycle analysis, and Building Information Modeling (BIM) can now be used to model material flows, predict reuse potential, and simulate thermal performance tools that can make circularity measurable, scalable, and justifiable to policymakers.

Circular spatial policies in India represent a radical shift in how cities are imagined, built, and maintained. But this transition will require more than technical innovation it must involve systemic reform, cultural transformation, and the recognition of marginalised knowledge systems. Architects, planners, and urbanists must lead this charge by reframing design as stewardship, construction as regeneration, and waste as potential.

Circularity is not merely a set of techniques it is an ethos that demands care, patience, and collective responsibility in how we shape the built environment. India's built landscape diverse, layered, and contested may offer the most fertile ground yet for turning this vision into reality.

7. Design Recommendations and Future Pathways

Building on the challenges and opportunities discussed, this section outlines concrete design, policy, and planning strategies to operationalize spatial circularity and post-extractivist values in India's built environment. These recommendations are grouped under three interconnected domains: material systems, spatial design, and institutional frameworks each geared toward advancing Sustainable Development Goals (especially SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities, and SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production).

7.1. Material Systems: Designing for Reuse, Adaptability, and Low Impact

a. Promote Design for Disassembly (DfD):

Buildings should be designed not as static monuments but as assemblies of reversible components. Fasteners should be mechanical (bolts, clamps) rather than chemical (glue, cement), enabling future reuse.

Example: Modular bamboo pavilions designed by Hunnarshala Foundation in Kutch demonstrate how entire community structures can be disassembled and reassembled.

b. Support Local Material Ecosystems:

Shift away from global supply chains and standardized materials. Instead, develop bio-regional material profiles that valorize what's locally abundant mud, laterite, fly ash, coconut timber, or stone.

Example: Laurie Baker's cost-effective architecture in Kerala uses local laterite blocks and sloped terracotta roofs to reduce material transport and energy usage.

c. Establish Urban Material Banks and Recovery Loops:

Cities should develop centralized C&D waste sorting facilities, linked with a digital platform for resale or redistribution of recovered materials. This can create employment while closing urban material loops.

Example: The Delhi-based NGO Chintan supports waste picker cooperatives in identifying reusable materials from demolition sites.

7.2. Spatial Design: Reprogramming, Hybridization, and Passive Strategies

a. Adaptive Reuse over Demolition:

Prioritize reuse of underutilized structures malls, bus depots, industrial sheds through reprogramming rather than razing. Circularity begins with preserving embodied energy.

Example: The adaptive reuse of the German Hall at Pragati Maidan, New Delhi into a creative co-working space preserved over 10,000 tonnes of embedded carbon.

b. Passive Climate Strategies:

Architects must prioritize bioclimatic responses shaded courtyards, wind tunnels, thermal massing rather than mechanical HVAC systems.

Example: Arvind Krishnan's work in Bengaluru uses computational simulations to optimize natural ventilation and reduce operational energy.

c. Embrace Hybrid Typologies:

New designs should support mixed-use, multi-scalar, and intergenerational functions reducing the need for demolition due to programmatic obsolescence.

Example: Projects like RMA Architects' Belapur Housing in Navi Mumbai show how flexible spatial layouts can evolve over time without major structural changes.

7.3. Institutional Frameworks: Policy, Procurement, and Education

a. Integrate Circularity into Building Codes and Planning Acts:

Urban development regulations must embed material passports, lifecycle analysis requirements, and incentives for circular design.

Policy Suggestion: Amendments to the Unified Building Byelaws in metropolitan regions could standardize circular construction norms.

b. Reform Public Procurement:

Government projects should prioritize low-carbon and circular benchmarks in tendering, with bonus weightage for reuse, DfD, and bio-based materials.

Example: Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation's recent pilot using recycled C&D aggregates in road construction.

c. Invest in Community-Based Construction Systems:

Support NGOs, artisans, and local cooperatives as key players in regenerative construction. Provide capacity-building, legal recognition, and access to formal tenders.

Example: SECMOL (Student's Educational and Cultural Movement of Ladakh) engages youth to co-build sustainable campuses in high-altitude contexts.

d. Reform Architectural Education:

Redesign curricula to include systems thinking, environmental history, circular economy, and hands-on material experimentation. Studios should explore repair cultures, upcycling experiments, and future reuse scenarios.

Example: The 'Circular Studio' at CEPT University challenges students to build full-scale structures using only reclaimed materials.

7.4. Global Collaboration and Localization

India's transition to circular architecture should not replicate the West's greenwashing models. Instead:

- Collaborate globally to exchange tools and protocols, not aesthetics.
- Localize strategies based on climatic zones, cultural preferences, and social dynamics.
- Engage in South-South dialogues on indigenous construction, circular economies, and material sovereignty

8. Conclusion and Reflections for Global Audiences

As the world searches for architectural responses to climate change, ecological overshoot, and social fragmentation, India offers a paradoxical landscape marked by both massive ecological crises and timeless traditions of frugality, repair, and communal resilience.

Circular spatial strategies present not just a technical toolkit but a paradigm shift in how we conceptualize growth, value, and comfort. For architects and planners, this means moving from extractive production to regenerative design, from demolition-driven development to adaptive reuse, from energy-dependent comfort to passive performance, and from object-centric architecture to process-based urbanism.

India's challenges are immense from poor waste management systems to skewed land markets and aspirational glass towers. Yet, its opportunities are unparalleled. Here, scarcity becomes innovation, and diversity becomes strategy. The world can learn from India's:

- Informal circular economies (e.g., repair streets in Delhi, furniture upcycling in Ahmedabad),
- Material embeddedness (e.g., laterite homes of Goa, earthen architecture in Tamil Nadu), and
- Community stewardship (e.g., eco-villages in Auroville or Nagaland's indigenous planning).

For global architectural practice, the Indian case challenges us to ask: Can design abstain from extraction? Can it work with leftovers, with ruins, with memories? Can buildings have second, third, fourth lives as public spaces, as shelters, as compost?

Becoming circular is not a return to the past, nor a technocratic fix it is an invitation to re-imagine how we inhabit the planet.

References

1. 1Alvares, C. (2002). Fish, curry and rice: A citizen's report on the Goan environment. Goa Foundation.
2. Architectural Review. (2022). Post-extractive architecture: Building futures from ruins.https://www.architectural-review.com/essays/post-extractive-architecture
3. Bocken, N. M. P., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C., & van der Grinten, B. (2016). Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 33(5), 308–320.
4. https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124
5. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). Cities and circular economyfor food. https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org
6. GIZ India. (2022). Circular economy roadmap for the construction sector in India.Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ).
7. https://www.giz.de/en/worldwide/14251.html
8. Goa Foundation. (2013). Citizen's charter on sustainable mining in Goa. https://goafoundation.org
9. Graedel, T. E., & Allenby, B. R. (2010). Industrial ecology and sustainable engineering. Prentice Hall.
10. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). (2018). State of Environment Report: India. Government of India.
11. MoHUA (Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs). (2020). Compendium of green practices in urban India. Government of India. https://mohua.gov.in
12. Raworth, K. (2017). Doughnut economics: Seven ways to think like a 21st- centuryeconomist. Chelsea Green Publishing.
13. Stahel, W. R. (2010). The performance economy (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
14. TERI (The Energy and Resources Institute). (2014). Study on the impacts of iron-ore mining in Goa. The Energy and Resources Institute.

15. UN-Habitat. (2020). World cities report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanization. United Nations Human Settlements Programme. https://unhabitat.org
16. UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). (2021). Building circularity into national economic planning.
17. UNESCO. (2016). Culture: Urban future Global report on culture for sustainable.