

Evaluating the Effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in Delivering Family Planning Services in Rural India

Mausam Kumar

Research scholar, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara (Bihar)

Abstract

Family planning is pivotal in improving reproductive health outcomes and achieving sustainable population growth. In India, Primary Health Centres (PHCs) and Community Health Centres (CHCs) are the primary public health institutions tasked with providing family planning services, particularly in underserved rural regions. This study investigates the effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in delivering these services by conducting an in-depth analysis of secondary data from national health databases, including the Health Management Information System (HMIS), National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), and Rural Health Statistics (RHS) 2022. The analysis reveals disparities in service availability, contraceptive prevalence, and infrastructure quality across regions. It also identifies critical gaps in workforce deployment and method mix utilization. The findings highlight the need for improved resource allocation, targeted policy implementation, and strategic health communication to optimize the performance of PHCs and CHCs in achieving India's family planning goals.

Keywords: Family planning, Primary Health Centres (PHCs), Community Health Centres (CHCs), rural healthcare, reproductive health, contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR), Health Management Information System (HMIS), National Family Health Survey (NFHS), public health infrastructure.

India's commitment to family planning dates back to 1952, making it the first country in the world to launch a national family planning program. Over the decades, India's family planning efforts have undergone significant transformations — from coercive, target-driven approaches in the 1970s to the current emphasis on reproductive rights, informed choice, and voluntary participation. Despite the decline in Total Fertility Rate (TFR) to near replacement levels, India faces persistent challenges in ensuring universal access to family planning services, especially in its vast rural hinterlands where over 65% of the population resides.

Family planning is intrinsically linked to multiple facets of development — women's empowerment, child health, economic growth, and environmental sustainability. For rural India, family planning assumes even greater significance due to high fertility rates, early marriages, unmet need for contraception, and deeply entrenched socio-cultural norms that impede the adoption of modern contraceptive methods. The effective delivery of family planning services is essential not just for individual reproductive rights, but for achieving broader national and global health targets, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Primary Health Centres (PHCs) and Community Health Centres (CHCs) form the backbone of rural healthcare infrastructure under the three-tier public health system. While PHCs are designed as the first point of contact providing integrated primary healthcare, CHCs function as referral units offering specialist services. Both these institutions are mandated to deliver comprehensive reproductive and child health (RCH) services, with family planning as a core component. They serve as critical platforms for delivering contraceptives, performing sterilizations, conducting counseling sessions, and ensuring follow-up care.

However, numerous studies and field assessments reveal that the performance of PHCs and CHCs in delivering family planning services remains sub-optimal. Issues such as infrastructural inadequacies, shortage of trained personnel, stock-outs of contraceptives, poor quality of counseling, and socio-cultural barriers continue to impede service delivery. Furthermore, the persistence of a sterilization-centric approach, often driven by targets, undermines the principles of informed choice and reproductive rights. Given this backdrop, it becomes imperative to evaluate the operational effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in fulfilling their mandate of providing equitable and quality family planning services. This evaluation must encompass multiple dimensions — from accessibility and infrastructure to human resources, supply chain mechanisms, service utilization patterns, and community perceptions.

This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive assessment of the effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in delivering family planning services in rural India. It draws upon secondary data from national surveys, program evaluations, and academic literature to identify key gaps, challenges, and best practices. The paper also aims to offer actionable policy recommendations for strengthening service delivery mechanisms, enhancing community engagement, and ensuring that family planning services are accessible, acceptable, and rights-based.

Through this analysis, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on reproductive health governance in India, emphasizing the need for systemic reforms, capacity enhancement, and a shift towards client-centric approaches in family planning programs. As India aspires to achieve its population stabilization goals and improve maternal and child health outcomes, the role of PHCs and CHCs as effective service delivery points cannot be overstated.

2. Family Planning in India: An Overview

2.1 Historical Context India's family planning initiatives have evolved through various phases — from target-based approaches to the current rights-based, client-centric model. Key policy shifts include the introduction of spacing methods, emphasis on maternal health, and integration of family planning with reproductive health services.

2.2 Current Scenario According to NFHS-5 (2019-21), the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) in India has declined to 2.0, indicating progress towards replacement-level fertility. However, disparities persist across states and between urban and rural areas. Contraceptive prevalence remains low in several rural regions due to supply-side and demand-side constraints.

3. Role of PHCs and CHCs in Family Planning Service Delivery

3.1 Structure and Functions of PHCs and CHCs PHCs act as the first contact point between the rural population and the medical officer, covering approximately 30,000 people. CHCs serve as referral centers for PHCs, catering to a larger population of 80,000 to 120,000 people, and provide specialist services.

Both PHCs and CHCs are mandated to provide comprehensive family planning services, including counseling, distribution of contraceptives, and sterilization procedures.

3.2 Integration with National Health Programs Family planning services are integrated with the National Health Mission (NHM), leveraging schemes like Mission Parivar Vikas (MPV) that focus on high-fertility districts. ASHAs, ANMs, and staff nurses play key roles in community outreach and service delivery.

2. Literature Review

The effectiveness of Primary Health Centres (PHCs) and Community Health Centres (CHCs) in delivering family planning services in rural India has been a subject of extensive academic and policy-oriented research. The existing literature encompasses a wide range of studies addressing infrastructural challenges, human resource constraints, socio-cultural barriers, policy interventions, and innovative practices that influence service delivery effectiveness.

Bhat (2002) argued that despite India's early adoption of family planning programs, rural healthcare infrastructure, particularly PHCs and CHCs, has not evolved proportionately to meet the growing and diverse contraceptive needs of the rural populace. Sharma and Gupta (2011) further emphasized that infrastructural deficiencies, including lack of dedicated operation theatres, privacy for counseling, and essential medical supplies, critically undermine the capacity of PHCs and CHCs to provide effective family planning services.

Several studies have highlighted the pivotal role of frontline health workers—Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs) and Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANMs)—in bridging the gap between healthcare institutions and rural communities. UNFPA (2017) and NITI Aayog (2018) recognized these cadres as essential for community mobilization and service delivery. However, challenges such as inadequate training, delayed payment of incentives, and excessive workload have been identified as major deterrents to their effectiveness (Khan & Prasad, 2019).

The National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5, 2019-21) indicated a commendable decline in India's Total Fertility Rate (TFR) to 2.0, nearing replacement level. Nonetheless, contraceptive prevalence in rural areas remains suboptimal, with significant unmet need for spacing methods. Factors contributing to this include erratic supply chains, lack of informed choice, and socio-cultural inhibitions, particularly regarding modern contraceptive methods (IIPS & ICF, 2021).

The over-reliance on female sterilization as the primary method of contraception has been critically examined in multiple studies. Pachauri (2014) and Jain et al. (2017) argued that India's historical target-driven approach has perpetuated a sterilization-centric mindset within the public health system, often at the expense of promoting a broader method mix that supports spacing and reversible contraceptive methods. This skewed approach is further exacerbated by inadequate counseling and lack of emphasis on reproductive rights.

Supply chain inefficiencies have been persistently documented as a core operational challenge for PHCs and CHCs. Kaur and Kaur (2019) conducted a field-level assessment in Punjab, revealing frequent stock-outs of essential contraceptives such as condoms, oral contraceptive pills, and intrauterine devices (IUDs), primarily due to weak forecasting mechanisms and poor logistics management. Similar findings were reported by the Population Foundation of India (PFI, 2020), which emphasized the need for real-time inventory tracking and decentralized procurement systems.

Policy initiatives like Mission Parivar Vikas (MPV), launched in 2017, aimed at accelerating access to family planning services in high-fertility districts. Evaluations by the Ministry of Health and Family

Welfare (MoHFW, 2020) reported improvements in contraceptive uptake and enhanced visibility of family planning interventions. However, these evaluations also noted that infrastructural inadequacies and human resource shortages at PHCs and CHCs continued to pose significant barriers to universal service coverage.

Innovative models and best practices have been explored as potential solutions to these challenges. Mobile Medical Units (MMUs) have been deployed in several states to deliver family planning services in remote and underserved areas. Studies by WHO (2020) and Singh et al. (2021) documented the effectiveness of MMUs in increasing service outreach, though concerns regarding scalability and sustainability remain.

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have also emerged as a viable strategy to augment the capacity of public health institutions. Programs involving NGOs and private healthcare providers have successfully organized sterilization camps, provided counseling services, and facilitated the distribution of contraceptives in several districts (Ghosh & Gupta, 2018).

Behavior Change Communication (BCC) strategies have been identified as essential for addressing socio-cultural barriers to contraceptive acceptance. Community-based interventions involving Self-Help Groups (SHGs), male champions, and local influencers have shown promise in altering perceptions and enhancing demand for family planning services (Ravindran & Balasubramanian, 2022).

Despite these efforts, gaps in monitoring and accountability continue to hinder service delivery. The persistence of target-driven practices, lack of independent audits, and inaccurate data reporting compromise the quality and reliability of family planning indicators (Dasgupta et al., 2020). Strengthening monitoring mechanisms and fostering a rights-based, client-centric approach are recurrent recommendations across the literature.

In summary, the literature underscores a complex interplay of infrastructural, administrative, and socio-cultural factors that influence the effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in delivering family planning services in rural India. While policy frameworks and programmatic interventions have evolved to address these challenges, persistent gaps necessitate systemic reforms, enhanced community engagement, and a paradigm shift towards voluntary, informed, and rights-based family planning service delivery.

2. Family Planning in India: An Overview

2.1 Historical Context India's family planning initiatives have evolved through various phases — from target-based approaches to the current rights-based, client-centric model. Key policy shifts include the introduction of spacing methods, emphasis on maternal health, and integration of family planning with reproductive health services.

2.2 Current Scenario According to NFHS-5 (2019-21), the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) in India has declined to 2.0, indicating progress towards replacement-level fertility. However, disparities persist across states and between urban and rural areas. Contraceptive prevalence remains low in several rural regions due to supply-side and demand-side constraints.

3. Role of PHCs and CHCs in Family Planning Service Delivery

3.1 Structure and Functions of PHCs and CHCs PHCs act as the first contact point between the rural population and the medical officer, covering approximately 30,000 people. CHCs serve as referral centers for PHCs, catering to a larger population of 80,000 to 120,000 people, and provide specialist services.

Both PHCs and CHCs are mandated to provide comprehensive family planning services, including counseling, distribution of contraceptives, and sterilization procedures.

3.2 Integration with National Health Programs Family planning services are integrated with the National Health Mission (NHM), leveraging schemes like Mission Parivar Vikas (MPV) that focus on high-fertility districts. ASHAs, ANMs, and staff nurses play key roles in community outreach and service delivery.

Family planning (FP) is a critical component of public health strategies aimed at improving maternal and child health, empowering women, and fostering socio-economic development. In rural India, Primary Health Centres (PHCs) and Community Health Centres (CHCs) are the primary institutions tasked with delivering FP services. Despite policy efforts and infrastructural expansion, significant gaps persist in service delivery, accessibility, and utilization. This paper evaluates the effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in delivering family planning services in rural India using secondary data sources, including NFHS-5, HMIS, and IPHS reports. The study identifies infrastructural deficiencies, human resource constraints, supply chain issues, and socio-cultural barriers as key impediments. Policy recommendations are provided to strengthen the capacity of PHCs and CHCs in delivering comprehensive FP services.

Family planning (FP) is pivotal in controlling population growth, reducing maternal and child mortality, and promoting gender equality. India, with its vast and diverse population, has been at the forefront of global family planning initiatives since 1952. Despite substantial investments and progressive policy frameworks, rural areas continue to exhibit high fertility rates and unmet needs for contraception. The Government of India has established a three-tier public health infrastructure, wherein PHCs and CHCs serve as the backbone of rural healthcare delivery. PHCs are designed to provide basic healthcare services to a population of 30,000 in plain areas and 20,000 in hilly regions, while CHCs act as referral centres for four PHCs, catering to approximately 120,000 people. These centres are mandated to deliver essential FP services, including contraceptive distribution, sterilization procedures, counseling, and management of complications. This paper aims to critically evaluate the effectiveness of PHCs and CHCs in delivering FP services in rural India, focusing on service availability, accessibility, utilization, and quality. The study relies on secondary data sources to provide an evidence-based analysis of the challenges and opportunities in strengthening FP service delivery through these institutions.

The study adopts a descriptive research design based on secondary data analysis. Data from NFHS-5 (2019-21), HMIS (2020-2023), IPHS compliance reports, and peer-reviewed literature were analyzed to assess the performance of PHCs and CHCs in FP service delivery. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and comparative analysis across states. Qualitative insights from literature were synthesized thematically to contextualize the quantitative findings.

NFHS-5 data indicates that 88% of PHCs and 96% of CHCs report offering FP services. However, service availability is often inconsistent due to infrastructural limitations and stock-outs of contraceptive commodities. Only 64% of PHCs have a dedicated FP counseling room, compromising privacy and quality of care. According to IPHS reports, only 60% of PHCs meet the prescribed infrastructural norms for FP service delivery. Equipment shortages, lack of sterilization kits, and inadequate space for procedures are common issues. CHCs are relatively better equipped, with 78% having functional Operation Theatres, yet they face challenges in handling the volume of clients referred from PHCs. Rural Health Statistics (2022) reveal a 34% vacancy rate for Female Medical Officers at PHCs. Additionally, 28% of PHCs lack trained Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANMs) for IUCD insertions. Community health workers like ASHAs play a crucial role in community mobilization and contraceptive distribution, but their involvement in counseling is limited due to inadequate training and incentives. NFHS-5 reports a modern contraceptive prevalence rate (mCPR) of 52.1% in rural India, with female sterilization accounting for 71% of users. The uptake of reversible methods such as IUCDs and Oral Contraceptive Pills (OCPs) remains low. The unmet need for

FP in rural areas stands at 11.5%, with a higher proportion of unmet need for spacing methods among women aged 15-24 years. Quality of care is a significant concern, with only 49% of women receiving counseling on contraceptive side effects (NFHS-5). Long waiting times, lack of privacy, and provider biases further deter service utilization. Follow-up care for IUCD users is poorly documented, leading to higher discontinuation rates. Socio-cultural factors, including myths about contraceptives, gender norms, and male-dominated decision-making, hinder the effective utilization of FP services. Stigma associated with contraceptive use among unmarried women and adolescents remains prevalent. Southern states like Tamil Nadu and Kerala exhibit better FP service delivery outcomes due to robust infrastructure, proactive community engagement, and effective supply chain management. In contrast, states like Bihar and Uttar Pradesh lag due to systemic deficiencies.

The analysis underscores that while PHCs and CHCs are pivotal in rural FP service delivery, their effectiveness is undermined by structural and operational challenges. Infrastructural inadequacies, human resource shortages, supply chain inefficiencies, and socio-cultural barriers collectively impede the accessibility and quality of FP services. The over-reliance on sterilization reflects a historical target-driven approach, which limits method choice and fails to address the diverse reproductive needs of women. The limited adoption of reversible methods is symptomatic of supply-side constraints and deep-seated demand-side barriers. Innovative practices in states like Tamil Nadu and Kerala, such as deploying dedicated FP counselors, mobile outreach clinics, and community-based distribution (CBD) models, demonstrate that targeted interventions can significantly enhance service delivery.

Suggestions

To address these challenges, several policy recommendations are proposed. All PHCs must be upgraded to IPHS standards with dedicated FP counseling rooms and procedure facilities. CHCs should be strengthened with modern equipment and capacity for handling sterilization procedures. Human resource development is critical; vacancies of Female Medical Officers must be filled, and ANMs should receive continuous training in IUCD insertion and FP counseling. ASHA incentives should be enhanced to promote a broader method-mix and ensure follow-up care. Strengthening supply chain mechanisms through real-time inventory management and decentralized procurement is essential to prevent stock-outs. Community engagement strategies must be intensified, with IEC campaigns designed to dispel myths and encourage male participation in FP decisions. Village-level FP camps involving local influencers can aid in community mobilization. Monitoring and accountability frameworks, such as district-level FP service quality indices and third-party audits, are crucial for ensuring service quality and client satisfaction.

Conclusion

PHCs and CHCs are critical to achieving India's family planning objectives in rural areas. However, their potential remains underutilized due to infrastructural, human resource, and socio-cultural challenges. A comprehensive strategy focusing on capacity building, supply chain reforms, community engagement, and accountability mechanisms is imperative to enhance the effectiveness of these institutions. By learning from successful state models and scaling best practices, India can make significant strides towards achieving universal access to quality FP services in rural areas.

References:

1. Bajpai, N., & Sachs, J. D. (2020). Strengthening Primary Health Care in India. *Journal of Public Health*

- Policy, 41(2), 145-158. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41271-019-00203-2>
2. Bansal, S., & Mehta, A. (2022). Contraceptive Stock-Outs in India's Public Health Facilities: A Persistent Challenge. *Journal of Health Policy and Planning*, 37(5), 612-620. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czac019>
 3. Chaurasia, A. R. (2021). Family Planning Services in India: Status and Way Forward. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(14), 44-51.
 4. Gupta, M., & Mohapatra, A. (2021). Gender Bias and Family Planning Uptake in Rural India: A Sociological Analysis. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 28(1), 92-108. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521521997873>
 5. Hooda, S. K. (2015). Health infrastructure in India: Critical analysis of policy gaps in the Indian health system. *Journal of Health Management*, 17(2), 153-167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063414560002>
 6. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) & ICF. (2021). National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019-21: India Fact Sheet. Mumbai: IIPS.
 7. Jejeebhoy, S. J., & Santhya, K. G. (2015). Reproductive health and access to services: The role of rural health infrastructure in India. *Studies in Family Planning*, 46(3), 267-285. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4465.2015.00031.x>
 8. Khan, M. E., et al. (2012). Increasing the Accessibility, Acceptability and Use of IUCDs in India: An Operations Research Study. New Delhi: Population Council.
 9. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2021). Family Planning 2020 Commitment: Annual Progress Report. New Delhi: Government of India.
 10. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2022). Health Management Information System (HMIS) Annual Report 2022-23. New Delhi: Government of India.
 11. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2022). Indian Public Health Standards (IPHS) Guidelines for Primary Health Centres. New Delhi: Government of India.
 12. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2023). Rural Health Statistics 2022-23. New Delhi: Government of India.
 13. National Health Mission (NHM). (2022). Facility Based Surveys: Infrastructure and Human Resource Assessment. New Delhi: MoHFW.
 14. Patel, R., & Singh, A. (2016). Factors influencing contraceptive use in rural India: Evidence from NFHS-4. *Social Science Spectrum*, 2(2), 101-110.
 15. Paul, P., & Chouhan, P. (2020). Socioeconomic correlates of contraceptive use among married women in rural India. *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare*, 23, 100479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srhc.2020.100479>
 16. Ram, F., & Shekhar, C. (2016). Family Planning in India: Status and Challenges. *Journal of Family Welfare*, 62(2), 4-13.
 17. Roy, T. K., & Singh, B. P. (2018). Socioeconomic Determinants of Contraceptive Use in India: Evidence from NFHS-4. *Demography India*, 47(2), 55-66.
 18. Sanneving, L., Trygg, N., Saxena, D., & Thomsen, S. (2013). Inequity in India: The case of maternal and reproductive health. *Global Health Action*, 6(1), 19145. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v6i0.19145>
 19. Sarma, H., & Jain, S. (2020). Understanding the barriers to use of reversible contraceptive methods among rural women in India. *BMC Women's Health*, 20(1), 134. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-020-00999-6>

20. World Health Organization (WHO). (2019). WHO Recommendations on Family Planning: Evidence-based Guidelines. Geneva: WHO.