International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Can Growth and Sustainability Truly Coexist? An Environmental Economics Perspective on the SDGs

Ms. Shreya Dhil

Student, Commerce, GEMS New Millennium School

ABSTRACT

Can growth and sustainability truly coexist? This paper examines that tension through the lens of the UN Sustainable Development Goals, using tools from environmental economics such as carbon pricing, green incentives, and behavioural nudges. It pairs theoretical insights with case studies from India and Sweden. What came through was a mix of progress and frustration. There's awareness, yes, but also financial limits, monopolies that make green options expensive, political pushback, and a clear gap between what people say and what they do. It shows that sustainability is still treated more as a moral duty than something that's essential for economic growth.

The paper argues for a change in mindset. One where climate action isn't seen as a burden or a sacrifice, but as the base that future growth stands on. Only when that shift happens can the SDGs move from lofty promises to something people can genuinely live and experience.

INTRODUCTION

One would think with all the data and science we have today, the planet would be doing better. But instead, the numbers keep getting worse. The World Health Organization claims around 13 million deaths each year are linked to environmental causes, things like pollution, poor air and water quality, and unsafe living conditions[1]. The truth is, we're using up natural resources faster than they can recover.

This is where environmental economics comes in. According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), environmental economics is the study of how economic activities and policies affect the environment, and how environmental policies can be designed using economic tools[2]. It tries to find a balance between economic growth and the environment, so that future generations still have resources to live on.

The Sustainable Development Goals, or SDGs, are a set of 17 global goals introduced by the United Nations in 2015. They were made to guide countries, businesses, and communities toward a better and more sustainable future by 2030. Some of these goals focus directly on environmental issues, clean energy, climate action, responsible consumption, but almost all of them have some link to the economy. You can't really talk about sustainability without talking about economics too.

This paper looks at how environmental economics can help achieve the SDGs, what's getting in the way, and what can be done differently.

UNDERSTANDING ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS

At its core, environmental economics is about using economic thinking to solve environmental problems. It looks at how people, businesses, and governments make choices about using natural resources, and how those choices affect the planet.

One of the main ideas in environmental economics is **externalities**. An externality happens when a person or a company's actions affect others, but they don't pay (or get paid) for those effects. For example, if a factory releases smoke into the air, it harms people's health and the environment, but those costs aren't always reflected in the price of the product the factory sells. That's called a **negative externality**. Environmental economics tries to correct these situations by making sure those costs are included, through policies like pollution taxes or fines.

Another key concept is **carbon pricing**. This means putting a price on greenhouse gas emissions to make pollution more expensive. It can be done in two main ways: through a **carbon tax**, where companies pay a fixed rate for every ton of carbon dioxide they emit, or through a **cap-and-trade system**, where the government sets a limit on total emissions and companies can buy or sell permits to pollute. The European Union has had a cap-and-trade system in place since 2005. It's helped lower emissions in certain industries, although the results have been mixed depending on how strict the rules were in different years[1].

Environmental economics also talks a lot about **market failure**. A market fails when it doesn't produce outcomes that are good for society as a whole. Environmental damage is a big example. Traditional markets often ignore the long-term harm done to nature because they focus on short-term profit. That's where the government or international agreements usually step in, using economic tools to fix the market's blind spots.

Then there's **Green GDP**. Normal GDP only looks at economic output, it counts how much a country produces, but not whether it's destroying forests, polluting rivers, or depleting resources in the process. Green GDP tries to fix that by subtracting environmental costs from a country's total output. While it's not used everywhere yet, it gives a more realistic picture of economic progress in the long term.

So how does this fit into regular economics? Well, traditional economics is often about **cost-benefit analysis**, comparing the costs of an action to its benefits. Environmental economics does the same, but it adds **ecological limits** to the mix. It asks: "What's the cost of losing clean air, or drying up a river, or pushing a species to extinction?" It brings nature into the equation, something that's been missing for too long.

Why is this field becoming more important now? Climate change, resource shortages, rising sea levels, all these problems are getting harder to ignore. On top of that, people are demanding more accountability from businesses and governments. We can't afford to treat environmental issues as someone else's problem anymore. Environmental economics gives us a way to deal with these challenges using the tools we already understand: prices, incentives, policies, and trade-offs.

THE SDGs THROUGH AN ECONOMIC LENS

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) were designed to tackle global issues from multiple angles, social, economic, and environmental. But some goals stand out more clearly for their direct connection to environmental economics. These goals require a balance between economic growth and environmental responsibility, and they rely heavily on smart economic policies and tools to be achieved. Below are five SDGs that environmental economics directly contributes to and sometimes complicates.

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

SDG 7 focuses on ensuring access to affordable, reliable, sustainable, and modern energy for all. Achieving this goal requires large-scale investment in renewable energy infrastructure, pricing strategies to make clean energy competitive, and phasing out fossil fuel subsidies.

Economic policies such as feed-in tariffs (which pay people or companies for generating renewable electricity) and carbon pricing directly support this goal. For instance, when governments place a cost on carbon emissions, they make fossil fuels more expensive, encouraging both producers and consumers to shift toward cleaner alternatives.

However, poorly managed subsidies or uneven access to funding can slow progress. In some developing countries, fossil fuels are still subsidized because they are seen as essential for economic growth, even though these subsidies distort market signals and worsen environmental damage.

SDG 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure

This goal promotes sustainable industrialization and innovation, along with building resilient infrastructure. Environmental economics plays a role here by encouraging investment in technologies that reduce waste, increase energy efficiency, and cut emissions during production.

Tools like cost-benefit analysis with environmental adjustments help policymakers decide which infrastructure projects should be prioritized. For example, funding a green public transport system may initially seem expensive, but when environmental and health savings are factored in, it often turns out to be more beneficial in the long run.

However, one challenge is the uneven distribution of innovation. High-income countries often have more access to research funding, green tech, and expertise. Without international cooperation or targeted finance mechanisms, SDG 9 can reinforce economic inequality instead of reducing it.

SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production

SDG 12 pushes for doing more and better with less. Reducing material use, cutting waste, and promoting sustainable lifestyles. This is where green consumerism and eco-labelling, both driven by environmental economics, come into play.

Environmental economics supports this goal by designing market incentives that make sustainable products more appealing. For example, offering tax breaks for companies that produce with recycled materials or setting higher taxes on single-use plastics. Extended producer responsibility (EPR) is another economic strategy, where companies are made financially responsible for the waste their products create.

Yet, there's a risk of monopolization in the green market, where a few companies dominate by branding themselves as "eco-friendly," pricing out smaller competitors, and reducing consumer choice. In such cases, environmental economics must also ensure market fairness, not just environmental benefit.

SDG 13: Climate Action

Climate change is the most urgent environmental issue today, and SDG 13 is all about taking urgent action to combat it. From a policy point of view, climate action is inseparable from economics. Tools like carbon trading systems, emissions taxes, and green bonds are all rooted in environmental economic thinking.

Cap-and-trade systems such as those used in the EU set a total limit on emissions and allow companies to buy and sell allowances, creating a market-based approach to cutting carbon. These systems give companies a financial reason to lower their emissions, aligning private incentives with public goals.

Still, these policies are only as strong as their design. If the carbon price is too low or enforcement is weak, companies have little reason to change. Moreover, in countries that rely heavily on polluting industries,

these policies can lead to short-term job losses and social backlash unless paired with just transition strategies.

SDG 17: Partnerships for the Goals

SDG 17 recognizes that sustainable development isn't possible without global cooperation especially when it comes to finance and technology. Environmental economics contributes by designing global funding mechanisms like the Green Climate Fund, which helps developing countries invest in renewable energy, climate resilience, and sustainability projects.

It also supports cross-border carbon credit markets, where developed countries can offset their emissions by funding clean projects elsewhere. This can be efficient, but it also raises ethical concerns, are richer countries just outsourcing their climate responsibility?

Environmental economists often argue for a fairer model of international cooperation, where technology transfer, financial aid, and capacity-building go hand in hand with market-based solutions.

In short, these SDGs show how deeply economics is tied to environmental outcomes. Without the right policies, incentives, and financial tools, even the best-intentioned environmental goals can fall short. But when economics is used thoughtfully, it can drive real, long-term progress.

BARRIERS TO IMPLEMENTATION

While environmental economics offers promising tools to advance the Sustainable Development Goals, real-world obstacles often get in the way. These barriers don't just slow progress, they can actively prevent countries from achieving meaningful sustainability. Understanding these challenges is key to designing better policies.

Political and Financial Limitations

Many governments struggle to prioritize environmental policies because of political pressures and limited budgets. Environmental reforms often require upfront investments that may take years to pay off, making them less attractive for politicians focused on short election cycles. Additionally, some industries and interest groups lobby against environmental regulations that threaten their profits, creating political resistance (Dasgupta, 2021)[1].

Financially, developing countries often lack the funds to invest in clean technologies or sustainable infrastructure. Without sufficient international aid or private investment, these countries cannot implement environmental economics strategies fully, which delays SDG achievement globally (UNDP, 2022)[2].

Rise of the Shadow Economy

The shadow economy, economic activities hidden from government regulation and taxation — tends to grow when policies become more costly or burdensome. High environmental taxes or strict regulations can push businesses and workers into informal markets where rules are ignored (Schneider, 2019)[3].

This informal economy undermines efforts to control pollution or resource use because it operates outside official monitoring systems. It also reduces government revenue, limiting funds available for sustainable development programs. In some countries, the shadow economy accounts for over 30% of GDP, making enforcement of environmental policies challenging (Schneider & Williams, 2013)[4].

Monopolies from Green Consumerism

The growth of green markets can unintentionally concentrate power in the hands of a few large firms that dominate sustainable product supply. These monopolies can set high prices and limit competition, making green goods less accessible to average consumers (Baker & Sinkula, 2020)[5].

Market concentration may discourage innovation and block smaller, potentially more efficient startups from entering, slowing the wider adoption of eco-friendly products and conflicting with SDG 12's goals (Porter, 2018)[6].

Lack of Awareness and Public Support

Environmental economics depends heavily on public understanding and acceptance. Low awareness leads to resistance against regulations perceived as costly or unnecessary, reducing demand for sustainable goods and weakening political will to enforce green policies (Dietz et al., 2018)[7].

Without broad social support, environmental initiatives often fail to gain traction, limiting progress on many SDGs (Leiserowitz et al., 2020)[8].

Technology and Expertise Gaps in Developing Countries

Developing nations often lack the technical know-how, skilled professionals, and access to modern technologies necessary to implement environmental economic policies effectively (World Bank, 2021)[9].

Installing renewable energy systems or managing carbon markets requires specialized expertise that may be scarce locally. Without international technology transfer and capacity building, these countries risk falling behind, making global progress uneven (IEA, 2022)[10].

In summary, these barriers show that simply having good environmental economic ideas is not enough. Political will, financial resources, market fairness, public engagement, and capacity building are all critical for turning theory into real-world progress.

SOLUTIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the challenges in implementing environmental economics, several promising solutions can help advance the Sustainable Development Goals. These approaches use market tools, international cooperation, insights from human behaviour, and partnerships between public and private sectors. Still, it's important to recognize that these solutions do not always benefit everyone equally.

Market-Based Solutions

Market tools like **carbon trading** and **green bonds** provide financial incentives to reduce pollution and support sustainable projects. Carbon trading limits emissions by setting a cap and allowing companies to buy or sell allowances, encouraging innovation and cost-effective pollution reduction (Ellerman et al., 2016)[1]. Green bonds attract investment for clean energy and infrastructure by offering financial returns to investors (Flammer, 2021)[2].

While effective in raising funds and driving change, these tools tend to favor large companies and wealthier countries that have better access to capital and expertise (Zeng et al., 2019)[3]. Smaller firms and poorer nations may face barriers to full participation, which could increase inequalities.

International Cooperation and Funding

Global environmental challenges require collaboration. Climate finance initiatives, such as the Green Climate Fund, provide money to developing countries to support clean energy and climate adaptation projects (Müller, 2016)[4]. Technology transfer agreements also help spread green innovations worldwide (Dechezleprêtre et al., 2014)[5].

However, decision-making power in these programs often lies with wealthier nations, which can limit how much influence poorer countries have over funding priorities. Additionally, some rich countries rely heavily on offsetting emissions abroad instead of reducing emissions at home (Roberts & Park, 2007)[6].

Role of Behavioral Economics

Policies alone are not enough; people's behavior matters. Behavioral economics studies how small chan-

ges, or "nudges," can encourage greener choices without restricting freedom. For example, clear eco-labels, default renewable energy options, and rewards for sustainable behavior make it easier for people to act in environmentally friendly ways (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008)[7].

These nudges are effective but need to be adapted to different cultures and used alongside strong policies to achieve lasting change (Allcott & Rogers, 2014)[8].

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)

PPPs bring together governments and private companies to fund and implement sustainable projects. By sharing costs and risks, they can speed up green infrastructure development that governments might struggle to finance alone (Hodge & Greve, 2007)[9].

However, without strong oversight, PPPs risk prioritizing profits over environmental or social benefits. Transparency and clear rules are necessary to ensure these partnerships support sustainable development goals (Yescombe, 2017)[10].

While these solutions offer important paths forward, they also highlight an ongoing challenge: ensuring that environmental economics tools are accessible and fair to all countries and communities, not just the wealthy and powerful.

CASE STUDIES

Real-world examples provide valuable lessons on how environmental economics policies influence Sustainable Development Goals across different contexts. This section examines one developing country, India, where notable successes have been achieved, and one developed country, Sweden, where challenges remain.

India: Success in Renewable Energy Expansion

India's commitment to increasing renewable energy capacity demonstrates how strong policy incentives can drive progress. The National Solar Mission, launched in 2010, established clear targets supported by subsidies and competitive bidding for solar projects (Sharma & Singh, 2019)[11].

Key successes:

- Competitive auctions reduced solar electricity prices by over 70% between 2010 and 2020, making solar power increasingly cost-competitive (IEA, 2021)[2].
- Government-backed financial support mitigated risks for investors, leading to rapid capacity additions.
- Partnerships with international organizations provided technical assistance and funding.

However, infrastructural challenges remain, such as grid capacity limitations and delays in payments from state utilities, which occasionally slow project implementation (Kumar et al., 2020)[3]. Overall, India's experience highlights the effectiveness of combining economic incentives with strong policy frameworks to advance environmental goals.

Sweden: Limitations in Carbon Tax Coverage

Sweden's pioneering carbon tax, introduced in 1991, is often praised for its role in reducing emissions while supporting economic growth (Andersson, 2019)[4]. The tax set a steadily rising price on fossil fuel emissions, encouraging industries and consumers to adopt cleaner alternatives.

Despite these successes, certain weaknesses limit the tax's overall impact:

- Several energy-intensive industries receive exemptions or rebates, diluting the carbon pricing effect (Sterner & Turnheim, 2009)[5].

- The tax excludes some greenhouse gases and sectors, such as agriculture and international aviation, reducing comprehensiveness (Swedish EPA, 2020)[6].
- These gaps allow emissions to persist in key areas, slowing progress toward climate targets.

Sweden's case shows that while market-based environmental tools are powerful, their design must be comprehensive and equitable to avoid unintended loopholes.

Together, these cases emphasize that effective environmental economics policies depend on local context and careful policy design. Developing countries like India can leverage targeted incentives to accelerate green transitions, whereas developed countries like Sweden must continuously refine policy details to close remaining gaps.[2]

CONCLUSION

This paper has shown how environmental economics provides both the tolls and the urgency to align markets with The Sustainable development goals. The climate clock is ticking, and every delay raises both economic ad environmental costs. Meeting the SDGs will require treating sustainability not as charity but as the foundation of 'Global Prosperity'. The real test is whether we act on time or pay the far higher price of inaction.

REFERENCES / BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. World Health Organization (2023). *Environment and health*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/environmental-health
2. OECD (n.d.). *Environmental Economics*. https://www.oecd.org/environment/tools-evaluation/environmentaleconomics.html
3. European Commission (2023). *EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS)*. https://climate.ec.europa.eu/eu-action/eu-emissions-trading-system-eu-ets_en
4. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2022). *Sustainable Development Goals*. https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals
5. World Bank. (2021). *Technology and Innovation for Development*. https://www.worldbank.org
6. Schneider, F. (2019). *The Shadow Economy*. IZA World of Labor.
7. Schneider, F., & Williams, C. C. (2013). *The Shadow Economy*. Institute of Economic Affairs.
8. Baker, W., & Sinkula, J. (2020). *Monopolies and Green Consumerism*. Journal of Business Ethics, 162(3).
9. Porter, M. (2018). *Competitive Strategy: Green Markets*. Harvard Business Review.
10. Dietz, T., Dan, A., & Shwom, R. (2018). *Environmental Social Science: Understanding and Managing Climate Change*. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 43.
11. Leiserowitz, A., Maibach, E., Roser-Renouf, C., & Smith, N. (2020). *Climate Change Public Opinion*. Yale Program on Climate Change Communication.
12. Dasgupta, P. (2021). *The Economics of Biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review*. HM Treasury
13. Ellerman, A. D., Joskow, P. L., & Harrison, D. Jr. (2016). *Markets for Clean Air: The U.S. Acid Rain Program*. Cambridge University Press.
14. Flammer, C. (2021). *Green Bonds: Effectiveness and Implications for Public Policy*. Environmental and Energy Policy and the Economy, 2, 95-128.

15. Zeng, S., Luo, T., & Tam, V. W. (2019). *Challenges for Green Bond Markets in Developing Countries*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 227, 747-757.
16. Müller, B. (2016). *International Climate Finance: The Green Climate Fund*. Routledge.
17. Dechezleprêtre, A., Glachant, M., & Ménière, Y. (2014). *Technology Transfer by CDM Projects: A Comparison of Brazil, China, India and Mexico*. Energy Policy, 36(8), 2773-2786.
18. Roberts, J. T., & Park, J. (2007). *A Climate of Injustice: Global Inequality, North-South Politics, and Climate Policy*. MIT Press.
19. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press.
20. Allcott, H., & Rogers, T. (2014). *The Short-Run and Long-Run Effects of Behavioral Interventions: Experimental Evidence from Energy Conservation*. American Economic Review, 104(10), 3003-3037.
21. Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2007). *Public-Private Partnerships: An International Performance Review*. Public Administration Review, 67(3), 545-558.
22. Yescombe, E. R. (2017). *Public-Private Partnerships: Principles of Policy and Finance*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
23. Sharma, A., & Singh, R. (2019). *Renewable Energy Policies in India: Achievements and Challenges*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 104, 196-207.
24. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2021). *India Energy Outlook 2021*. https://www.iea.org/reports/india-energy-outlook-2021
25. Kumar, N., et al. (2020). *Grid Integration Issues in India's Solar Power Sector*. Energy Policy, 138, 111247.
26. Andersson, J. J. (2019). *Carbon Taxes and CO2 Emissions: Sweden as a Case Study*. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, 11(4), 1-30.
27. Sterner, T., & Turnheim, B. (2009). *Energy Taxes and Industry Competitiveness: The Swedish Carbon Tax*. Climate Policy, 9(2), 145-158.
28. Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2020). *Sweden's Climate Policy Framework*. https://www.swedishepa.se